#### OFFICIAL STATEMENT Dated: August 22, 2024

#### NEW ISSUE: BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY

In the opinion of Kline Alvarado Veio, P.C., Special Tax Counsel, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax; however, such interest is taken into account in determining the annual adjusted financial statement income of certain corporations for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on corporations for tax years beginning after December 31, 2022 subject to the matters described under "TAX MATTERS" herein.

The Bonds are designated by the District as "Qualified Tax-Exempt Obligations" for financial institutions.

#### \$9,260,000 CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (a political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Titus County, Texas) Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2024

#### Dated Date: September 1, 2024

#### Due: February 15, as shown on the inside cover page

The Chapel Hill Independent School District Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2024 (the "Bonds") are being issued pursuant to the Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, particularly Sections 45.001 and 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, an election held in the District on May 4, 2024 and an order (the "Bond Order") authorizing the issuance of the Bonds adopted on August 22, 2024 by the Board of Trustees (the "Board") of the Chapel Hill Independent School District (the "District"). The Bonds are payable as to principal and interest from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax levied annually, without legal limit as to rate or amount, against all taxable property located within the District. The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the Bonds to be guaranteed under the State of Texas Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (hereinafter defined), which guarantee will automatically become effective when the Attorney General of Texas approves the Bonds. (See "THE BONDS – Permanent School Fund Guarantee" herein and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto.)

Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the Dated Date specified above and will be payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year, commencing February 15, 2025, until stated maturity or prior redemption. The Bonds will be issued in fully-registered form in principal denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof. Principal of the Bonds will be payable by the Paying Agent/Registrar, which initially is BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas (the "Paying Agent/Registrar"), upon presentation and surrender of the Bonds for payment. Interest on the Bonds is payable by check dated as of the interest payment date and mailed by the Paying Agent/Registrar to the registered owner(s) as shown on the records of the Paying Agent/Registrar on the close of business as of the last business day of the month next preceding each interest payment date.

The District intends to utilize the Book-Entry-Only System of The Depository Trust Company New York, New York ("DTC"). Such Book-Entry-Only System will affect the method and timing of payment and the method of transfer of the Bonds. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.

Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used for (i) construction, renovation, improvement, acquisition and equipment of school buildings in the district, including necessary sites and (ii) paying the costs of issuing the Bonds. See "THE BONDS - Authorization and Purpose" herein.

The Bonds maturing on or after February 15, 2035 are subject to redemption at the option of the District in whole or in part on August 15, 2034 or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. See "THE BONDS - Optional Redemption" herein.

MATURITY SCHEDULE (On Inside Cover)

The Bonds are offered for delivery when, as and if issued, and received by the initial purchaser (the "Purchaser" or the "Initial Purchaser") subject to the approval of legality by the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the approval of certain legal matters by Powell Law Group, L.L.P., Austin, Texas and Haynes and Boone, LLP, Houston, Texas, Co-Bond Counsel, and Kline Alvarado Veio, P.C., Denver, Colorado, Special Tax Counsel. The Bonds are expected to be available for initial delivery through the facilities of DTC on or about September 24, 2024.

## \$9,260,000 CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (A political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Titus County, Texas) UNLIMITED TAX SCHOOL BUILDING BONDS, SERIES 2024

# MATURITY SCHEDULE

Base CUSIP No.: 159471(1)

Maturity	Dringing	Interest	Initial	CUSIP No.
Date 2/15	Principal Amount	Interest Rate	Yield	Suffix <sup>(1)</sup>
2030	\$295,000	5.50%	2.80	BB6
2031	310,000	5.50	2.85	BC4
2032	325,000	5.50	2.90	BD2
2033	345,000	5.00	2.95	BE0
2034	360,000	5.00	2.95	BF7
2035	380,000	4.00	3.00 <sup>(2)</sup>	BG5
2036	395,000	4.00	3.05 <sup>(2)</sup>	BH3
2037	410,000	4.00	3.15 <sup>(2)</sup>	BJ9
2038	425,000	4.00	3.20 <sup>(2)</sup>	BK6
2039	445,000	4.00	3.30 <sup>(2)</sup>	BL4
2040	465,000	4.00	3.40 <sup>(2)</sup>	BM2
2041	480,000	4.00	3.50 <sup>(2)</sup>	BN0
2042	500,000	4.00	3.60 <sup>(2)</sup>	BP5
2043	520,000	4.00	3.70 <sup>(2)</sup>	BQ3
2044	545,000	4.00	3.80 <sup>(2)</sup>	BR1
2045	565,000	4.00	3.93 <sup>(2)</sup>	BS9
2046	590,000	4.00	3.97 <sup>(2)</sup>	BT7
2047	610,000	4.00	4.02	BU4
2048	635,000	4.00	4.07	BV2
2049	660,000	4.00	4.12	BW0

(Interest to accrue from the Dated Date)

<sup>(1)</sup> CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP Global Services ("CGS") is managed on behalf of the American Bankers Association by FactSet Research Systems Inc. Copyright(c) 2024 CGS. All rights reserved. CUSIP® data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CGS database. CUSIP® numbers are provided for convenience of reference only. None of the District, the Financial Advisor, the Purchaser or their agents or counsel assume responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

<sup>(2)</sup> Yield calculated based on the assumption that the Bonds denoted and sold at a premium will be redeemed on August 15, 2034, the first optional call date for such Bonds, at a redemption price of par, plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

<u>Name</u> Jeremy Hampton, President	Year Initially Elected 2022	Current Term Expires (Nov) 2026	Occupation Director - Sports Construction
Mike Clifton, Vice President	2018	2026	Retired Educator
Jennifer Abbott, Secretary	2020	2024	Accountant
Brad Buchanan, Member	2018	2024	Real Estate Broker
Joe Lewis, Member	2022	2024	Vice President - Trailer Sales
Brandon Milam, Member	2020	2026	Chief Financial Officer
Sharon Nears, Member	2022	2026	Retired Accountant

## APPOINTED OFFICIALS

Name	Position	Length of Education Service	Length of Service with the District
Daniel Pritchett	Superintendent	24 Years	3 Years
John Russell	Business Manager	14 Years	4 Years

# CONSULTANTS AND ADVISORS

Powell Law Group, L.L.P., Austin, Texas	Co-Bond Counsel
Haynes and Boone, LLP, Houston, Texas	Co-Bond Counsel
Kline Alvarado Veio, P.C., Denver, Colorado	Special Tax Counsel
SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc., Plano, Texas	Financial Advisor
Rutherford, Taylor & Company, P.C., Greenville, Texas	Certified Public Accountants

For additional information, contact:

Daniel Pritchett Superintendent Chapel Hill Independent School District 1069 CR 4660 Mt. Pleasant, Texas 75455 (903) 572-8096 Doug Whitt / Brian Grubbs SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. 5800 Granite Parkway, Suite 210 Plano, Texas 75024 (214) 765-1469 (214) 279-8683 (Fax)

#### USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT

For purposes of compliance with Rule 15c2-12 of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, as amended, and in effect on the date of this Preliminary Official Statement ("Rule 15c2-12"), this document constitutes an "official statement" of the District with respect to the Bonds that has been "deemed final" by the District as of its date except for the omission of no more than the information permitted by Rule 15c2-12.

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page and the Appendices hereto, does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer, solicitation or sale.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized to give information or to make any representation other than those contained in this Official Statement, and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon.

The information set forth herein has been obtained from the District and other sources believed to be reliable, but such information is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness and is not to be construed as the promise or guarantee of the District or the Financial Advisor. This Official Statement contains, in part, estimates and matters of opinion which are not intended as statements of fact, and no representation is made as to the correctness of such estimates and opinions, or that they will be realized.

The information and expressions of opinion contained herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District or other matters described herein. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" herein and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM – PSF Continuing Disclosure Undertaking" attached hereto for a description of the undertakings of the Texas Education Agency and the District, respectively, to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

THE BONDS ARE EXEMPT FROM REGISTRATION WITH THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND CONSEQUENTLY HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED THEREWITH. THE REGISTRATION, QUALIFICATION, OR EXEMPTION OF THE BONDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAW PROVISIONS OF THE JURISDICTIONS IN WHICH THE BONDS HAVE BEEN REGISTERED, QUALIFIED, OR EXEMPTED SHOULD NOT BE REGARDED AS A RECOMMENDATION THEREOF.

IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING, THE INITIAL PURCHASER MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE THE MARKET PRICE OF THE BONDS AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

NONE OF THE DISTRICT, ITS FINANCIAL ADVISOR, OR THE PURCHASER MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT REGARDING THE DEPOSITORY TRUST COMPANY ("DTC") OR ITS BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM, OR THE AFFAIRS OF THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY ("TEA") DESCRIBED UNDER "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" ATTACHED HERETO, AS SUCH INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED BY DTC AND TEA, RESPECTIVELY.

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT CONTAINS "FORWARD-LOOKING" STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 21E OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED. SUCH STATEMENTS MAY INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY CAUSE THE ACTUAL RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS TO BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS TO BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS TO BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS INVESTORS ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.

The agreements of the District and others related to the Bonds are contained solely in the contracts described herein. Neither this Official Statement nor any other statement made in connection with the offer or sale of the Bonds is to be construed as constituting an agreement with the purchasers of the Bonds. INVESTORS SHOULD READ THIS ENTIRE OFFICIAL STATEMENT INCLUDING ALL APPENDICES ATTACHED HERETO, TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ESSENTIAL TO MAKING AN INFORMED INVESTMENT DECISION.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SELECTED DATA FROM THE OFFICIAL STATEMENT	.1
INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	.2
THE BONDS	.2
Authorization and Purpose	.2
General Description	
Optional Redemption	.2
Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption	.2
Notice of Redemption and DTC Notices	
Security	.3
Permanent School Fund Guarantee	
Legality	.3
Payment Record	.3
Amendments	
Defeasance	.3
Sources and Uses of Funds	.4
REGISTERED OWNERS' REMEDIES	.4
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM	.4
REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE	.5
THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM	.6
STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN	
TEXAS	.6
CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM	.7

AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES	10
TAX RATE LIMITATIONS	13
THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT.	14
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN AND OTHER POST-	
EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	14
RATING	15
LEGAL MATTERS	15
TAX MATTERS	15
INVESTMENT POLICIES	16
REGISTRATION AND QUALIFICATION OF BONDS FOR SALE	
CYBERSECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT	
FINANCIAL ADVISOR	
LEGAL INVESTMENTS AND ELIGIBILITY TO SECURE PUBLIC	
FUNDS IN TEXAS	
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION	
LITIGATION	
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	19
WINNING BIDDER	
CERTIFICATION OF THE OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND NO	
LITIGATION	
CONCLUDING STATEMENT	20

Financial Information of the District	Appendix A
General Information Regarding the District and Its Economy	Appendix B
Forms of Legal Opinions of Co-Bond Counsel and Special Tax Counsel	Appendix C
Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2023	Appendix D
The Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program	Appendix E

## SELECTED DATA FROM THE OFFICIAL STATEMENT

The selected data is subject in all respects to the more complete information and definitions contained or incorporated in this Official Statement. The offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of this entire Official Statement. No person is authorized to detach this page from this Official Statement or to otherwise use it without this entire Official Statement.

The District	The Chapel Hill Independent School District (the "District") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Titus County. The District is governed by a seven-member Board of Trustees (the "Board"). Policy-making and supervisory functions are the responsibility of, and are vested in, the Board. The Board delegates administrative responsibilities to the Superintendent of Schools who is the chief administrative officer of the District. Support services are supplied by consultants and advisors.
The Bonds	The Bonds are being issued in the principal amount of \$9,260,000 pursuant to the Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, particularly Sections 45.001 and 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, an election held in the District on May 4, 2024, and an order (the "Bond Order") authorizing the issuance of the Bonds adopted by the Board on August 22, 2024. Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used for (i) construction, renovation, improvement, acquisition and equipment of school buildings in the district, including necessary sites and (ii) paying the costs of issuing the Bonds. See "THE BONDS – Authorization and Purpose" herein.
Paying Agent/Registrar	The initial Paying Agent/Registrar is BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas. The District intends to use the Book- Entry-Only System of The Depository Trust Company. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.
Security	The Bonds will constitute direct and voted obligations of the District, payable as to principal and interest from ad valorem taxes levied annually against all taxable property located within the District, without legal limitation as to rate or amount. Payments of principal and interest on the Bonds will be further secured by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of Texas. See "THE BONDS – Security", "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS", "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein and hereto.
Redemption	The Bonds maturing on or after February 15, 2035 are subject to redemption at the option of the District in whole or in part on August 15, 2034 or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. See "THE BONDS – Optional Redemption" herein.
Permanent School Fund Guarantee	The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the payment of the Bonds to be guaranteed under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (defined herein), which guarantee will automatically become effective when the Attorney General of Texas approves the Bonds. See "THE BONDS – Permanent School Fund Guarantee" herein and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto.
Rating	The Bonds are rated "AAA" by S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") based upon the guaranteed repayment thereof under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (as defined herein) of the Texas Education Agency. The District's underlying, unenhanced rating, including the Bonds, is "A" from S&P. See "RATING" herein and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM – Ratings of Bonds Guaranteed Under the Guarantee Program".
Qualified Tax-Exempt Obligations	The District has designated the Bonds as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for financial institutions. See "TAX MATTERS – Qualified Tax-Exempt Obligations" herein.
Tax Matters	In the opinion of Special Tax Counsel for the District, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under statutes, regulations, published rulings and court decisions on the date hereof, subject to the matters described under "TAX MATTERS" herein, including the alternative minimum tax on certain corporations. See "TAX MATTERS" and "APPENDIX C – FORMS OF LEGAL OPINIONS OF CO-BOND COUNSEL AND SPECIAL TAX COUNSEL" hereto.
Payment Record	The District has never defaulted on the payment of its bonded indebtedness.
Legal Opinion	Delivery of the Bonds is subject to the approval by the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the rendering of an opinion as to legality by Powell Law Group, L.L.P., Austin, Texas, and Haynes and Boone, LLP, Houston, Texas, Co-Bond Counsel.
Delivery	When issued, anticipated to be on or about September 24, 2024.

#### INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

This Official Statement (the "Official Statement"), which includes the cover page and the Appendices attached hereto, has been prepared by the Chapel Hill Independent School District (the "District"), a political subdivision of the State of Texas (the "State") located in Titus County, Texas, in connection with the offering by the District of its Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2024 (the "Bonds") identified on the inside cover page hereof.

All financial and other information presented in this Official Statement has been provided by the District from its records, except for information expressly attributed to other sources. The presentation of information, including tables of receipts from taxes and other sources, is intended to show recent historic information, and is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial position or other affairs of the District. No representation is made that past experience, as is shown by that financial and other information, will necessarily continue or be repeated in the future.

There follows in this Official Statement descriptions of the Bonds and the Bond Order adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District (the "Board") on August 22, 2024 authorizing the issuance of the Bonds (the "Bond Order") and certain other information about the District and its finances. All descriptions of documents contained herein are only summaries and are qualified in their entirety by reference to each such document. Copies of such documents may be obtained by writing the Chapel Hill Independent School District, 1069 CR 4660, Mt. Pleasant, Texas 75455 and, during the offering period, from the Financial Advisor, SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc., 5800 Granite Parkway, Suite 210, Plano, Texas 75024, by electronic mail or upon payment of reasonable copying, mailing, and handling charges.

This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information contained herein is subject to change. A copy of this Official Statement relating to the Bonds will be submitted by the purchaser of the Bonds (the "Purchaser" or "Initial Purchaser") to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, and will be available through its Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) system. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" for a description of the District's undertaking to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

#### THE BONDS

#### Authorization and Purpose

The Bonds are being issued in the principal amount of \$9,260,000 pursuant to the Constitution and general laws of the State, particularly Sections 45.001 and 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, an election held in the District on May 4, 2024 (the "Election") and an order (the "Bond Order") authorizing the issuance of the Bonds adopted by the Board of Trustees (the "Board") on August 22, 2024. Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used for (i) construction, renovation, improvement, acquisition and equipment of school buildings in the district, including necessary sites and (ii) paying the costs of issuing the Bonds.

### **General Description**

The Bonds will be dated September 1, 2024 (the "Dated Date") and will bear interest from the Dated Date. The Bonds will mature on the dates and in the principal amounts set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. Interest on the Bonds will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months, and is payable on February 15, 2025 and on each February 15 and August 15 thereafter until stated maturity or prior redemption.

The Bonds will be issued only as fully-registered bonds. The Bonds will be issued in the denominations of \$5,000 of principal amount or any integral multiple thereof within a stated maturity.

Interest on the Bonds is payable by check mailed on or before each interest payment date by the Paying Agent/Registrar, initially, BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas, to the registered owner(s) at the last known address as it appears on the Paying Agent/Registrar's registration books at the close of business on the Record Date (as defined herein) or by such other customary banking arrangement acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar and the registered owner to whom interest is to be paid, provided, however, that such person shall bear all risk and expense of such other arrangements. Principal of the Bonds will be payable only upon presentation of such Bonds at the corporate trust office of the Paying Agent/Registrar at stated maturity or prior redemption. So long as the Bonds are registered in the name of CEDE & CO. or other nominee for The Depository Trust Company New York, New York ("DTC"), payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds will be made as described in "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.

If the date for the payment of the principal of or interest on the Bonds is a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday or a day on which banking institutions in the city where the Paying Agent/Registrar is located are authorized by law or executive order to close, then the date for such payment shall be the next succeeding day which is not a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday or a day on which banking institutions are authorized to close; and payment on such date shall have the same force and effect as if made on the original date payment was due.

## **Optional Redemption**

The Bonds maturing on or after, February 15, 2035 are subject to redemption, at the option of the District, in whole or in part, in principal amounts of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof, on August 15, 2034 or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. If less than all of the Bonds are to be redeemed, the District shall determine the amounts and maturities thereof to be redeemed and shall direct the Paying Agent/Registrar to select by lot the Bonds, or portions thereof, to be redeemed.

## Notice of Redemption and DTC Notices

The Paying Agent/Registrar and the District, so long as a Book-Entry-Only System is used for the Bonds, will send any notice of redemption, notice of proposed amendment to the Bond Order or other notices with respect to the Bonds only to DTC. Any failure by DTC to advise any DTC participant, or of any DTC participant or indirect participant to notify the beneficial owner, shall not affect the validity of the redemption of the Bonds called for redemption or any other action premised on any such notice. Redemption of portions of the Bonds by the District will reduce the outstanding principal amount of such Bonds held by DTC. In such event, DTC may implement, through its Book-Entry-Only System, a redemption of such Bonds held for the account of DTC participants in accordance with its rules or other agreements with DTC participants and then DTC participants and indirect participants may implement a redemption of such Bonds from the beneficial owners. Any such selection of Bonds to be redeemed will not be governed by the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Neither the District nor the Paying Agent/Registrar will near on the payments on the Bonds or the providing of notice to DTC participants, indirect participants, or beneficial owners of the selection of portions of the Bonds for redemption. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.

## Security

The Bonds are direct and voted obligations of the District and are payable as to both principal and interest from an ad valorem tax annually levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, on all taxable property within the District. The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the payment of the Bonds to be guaranteed under the State of Texas Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (hereinafter defined), which guarantee will automatically become effective when the Attorney General of Texas approves the Bonds. See "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS", "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" herein and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto.

## Permanent School Fund Guarantee

In connection with the sale of the Bonds, the District has received conditional approval from the Commissioner of Education of the State for the guarantee of the Bonds under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (Chapter 45, Subchapter C, of the Texas Education Code, as amended). Subject to meeting certain conditions discussed under "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto, the Bonds will be absolutely and unconditionally guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. In the event of a payment default by the District, registered owners will receive all payments due from the corpus of the Permanent School Fund.

In the event the District defeases any of the Bonds, the payment of such defeased Bonds will cease to be guaranteed by the Permanent School Fund Guarantee. See "REGISTERED OWNERS' REMEDIES" herein and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto.

## Legality

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued, subject to the approval of legality by the Attorney General of the State and the approval of certain legal matters by Powell Law Group, L.L.P., Austin, Texas, and Haynes and Boone LLP, Houston, Texas, Co-Bond Counsel. See "LEGAL MATTERS" and "APPENDIX C - FORMS OF LEGAL OPINIONS OF CO-BOND COUNSEL" hereto.

## Payment Record

The District has never defaulted on the payment of its bonded indebtedness.

## Amendments

In the Bond Order, the District has reserved the right to amend the Bond Order without the consent of any holder for the purpose of amending or supplementing the Order to (i) cure any ambiguity, defect or omission therein that does not materially adversely affect the interests of the holders, (ii) grant additional rights or security for the benefit of the holders, (iii) add events of default as shall not be inconsistent with the provisions of the Bond Order that do not materially adversely affect the interests of the holders, (iv) qualify the Bond Order under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, or corresponding provisions of federal laws from time to time in effect or (v) make such other provisions in regard to matters or questions arising under the Bond Order that are not inconsistent with the provisions thereof and which, in the opinion of Bond Counsel for the District, do not materially adversely affect the interests of the holders.

The Bond Order further provides that the majority of owners of the Bonds shall have the right from time to time to approve any amendment not described above to the Bond Order if it is deemed necessary or desirable by the District; provided, however, that without the consent of 100% of the holders in principal amount of the then outstanding Bonds so affected, no amendment may be made for the purpose of: (i) making any change in the maturity of any of the outstanding Bonds; (ii) reducing the rate of interest borne by any of the outstanding Bonds; (iii) reducing the terms of payment of principal or interest on outstanding Bonds or imposing any condition with respect to such payment; or (v) changing the minimum percentage of the principal amount of the Bonds necessary for consent to such amendment. Reference is made to the Bond Order for further provisions relating to the amendment thereof.

## Defeasance

The Bond Order provides for the defeasance of the Bonds when payment of the principal amount of the Bonds plus interest accrued on the Bonds to their due date (whether such due date be by reason of stated maturity, redemption or otherwise), is provided by irrevocably depositing with a paying agent, or other authorized escrow agent, in trust (1) moneys in an amount sufficient to make such payment and/or (2) Defeasance Securities, that will mature as to principal and interest in such amounts and at such times to insure the availability, without reinvestment, of sufficient moneys to make such payment, and all necessary and proper fees, compensation and expenses of the paying agent for the Bonds, and thereafter the District will have no further responsibility with respect to amounts available to such paying agent (or other financial institution permitted by applicable law) for the payment of such defeased Bonds, including any insufficiency therein caused by the failure of such paying agent (or other financial institution permitted by applicable law) to receive payment when due on the Defeasance Securities. The District has additionally reserved the right, subject to satisfying the requirements of (1) and (2) above, to substitute other Defeasance Securities originally deposited, to reinvest the uninvested moneys on deposit for such defeasance and to withdraw for the benefit of the District moneys in excess of the amount required for such defeasance. The Bond Order provides that "Defeasance Securities" means any securities and obligations now or hereafter authorized by State law that are eligible to discharge obligations such as the Bonds. Current State law permits defeasance with the following types of securities: (a) direct, noncallable obligations of the United States of America, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America, (b) noncallable obligations of an agency or instrumentality of the United States of América, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the agency or instrumentality and that, on the date the governing body of the District authorizes the defeasance, are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than AAA or its equivalent, and (c) noncallable obligations of a state or an agency or a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of a state that on the date the governing body of the District adopts or approves the proceedings authorizing the financial arrangements have been refunded and are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than AAA or its equivalent. District officials may restrict such eligible securities as deemed appropriate in connection with the sale of the Bonds. There is no assurance that the current law will not be changed in a manner which would permit investments other than those described above to be made with amounts deposited to defease the Bonds. Because the Bond Order does not contractually limit such investments, registered owners will be deemed to have consented to defeasance with such other investments, notwithstanding the fact that such investments may not be of the same investment quality as those currently permitted under State law. There is no assurance that the ratings for U.S. Treasury securities used for defeasance purposes or that for any other Defeasance Security will be maintained at any particular rating category.

Upon such deposit as described above, such Bonds shall no longer be regarded to be outstanding or unpaid. After firm banking and financial arrangements for the discharge and final payment of the Bonds have been made as described above, all rights of the District to initiate proceedings to call the Bonds for redemption or take any other action amending the terms of the Bonds are extinguished,

provided, however, the District has reserved the option, to be exercised at the time of the defeasance of the Bonds, to call for redemption at an earlier date those Bonds which have been defeased to their maturity date, if the District (i) in the proceedings providing for the firm banking and financial arrangements, expressly reserves the right to call the Bonds for redemption, (ii) gives notice of the reservation of that right to the owners of the Bonds immediately following the making of the firm banking and financial arrangements, and (iii) directs that notice of the reservation be included in any redemption notices that it authorizes.

Defeasance will automatically cancel the Permanent School Fund Guarantee with respect to those defeased Bonds.

#### Sources and Uses of Funds

The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be applied approximately as follows:

\$ 9,260,000.00
25,006.11
469,069.60
\$ 9,754,075.71
\$ 9,300,000.00
117,941.44
56,796.16
279,338.11
\$ 9,754,075.71
\$\$

#### **REGISTERED OWNERS' REMEDIES**

The Bond Order establishes specific events of default with respect to the Bonds and provides that if the District defaults in the payment of principal or interest on the Bond Order, and the continuation thereof for a period of 60 days after notice of default is given by the District by any registered owner, the registered owners may seek a writ of mandamus to compel District officials to carry out their legally imposed duties with respect to the Bonds, if there is no other available remedy at law to compel performance of the Bonds or the Bond Order covenants and the District's obligations are not uncertain or disputed. The issuance of a writ of mandamus is controlled by equitable principles and rests with the discretion of the court, but may not be arbitrarily refused. There is no acceleration of maturity of the Bond's in the event of default and, consequently, the remedy of mandamus may have to be relied upon from year to year. The Bond Order does not provide for the appointment of a trustee to represent the interest of the bondholders upon any failure of the District to perform in accordance with the terms of the Bond Order, or upon any other condition and accordingly all legal actions to enforce such remedies would have to be undertaken at the initiative of, and be financed by, the registered owners. The Texas Supreme Court ruled in Tooke v. City of Mexia, 197 S.W.3d 325 (Tex. 2006), that a waiver of sovereign immunity. In Tooke, the Court noted the enactment in 2005 of sections 271.151-160. Texas Local Government Code (the "Local Government ta Immunity Waiver Act"), which, according to the Court, waives "immunity from suit for courts aviare of any State court shave held that statutory language such as "sue and be sued", in and of itself, did not constitute a clear and unambiguous" and assess at most local governmental intimuty. Waiver Act"), which, according to the Court, waives "immunity from suit for courtact alime states to contract in by school districts for providing goods or services to school distric

### **BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM**

This section describes how ownership of the Bonds is to be transferred and how the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds are to be paid to and credited by DTC while the Bonds are registered in its nominee name. The information in this section concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been provided by DTC for use in disclosure documents such as this Official Statement. The District, the Financial Advisor and the Purchaser believe the source of such information to be reliable, but take no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The District cannot and does not give any assurance that (1) DTC will distribute payments of debt service on the Bonds, or redemption or other notices, to DTC Participants, (2) DTC Participants or others will distribute debt service payments paid to DTC or its nominee (as the registered owner of the Bonds) or redemption or other notices, to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or (3) DTC will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current rules applicable to DTC are on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the current procedures of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited Securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities Drokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations, the are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participants, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a S&P Global Ratings rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with t

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the Book-Entry-Only System for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Bonds, such as, redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Bond documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to accertain that the nominee holding the Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within a maturity are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such maturity to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

All payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Paying Agent/Registrar, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. All payments with respect to the Bonds to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) are the responsibility of the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Network of DTC.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, physical Bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered to bond holders.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, physical Bond certificates will be printed and delivered to bond holders.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's Book-Entry-Only System has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but none of the District, the Financial Advisor, or the Purchaser take any responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

#### Use of Certain Terms in Other Sections of this Official Statement

In reading this Official Statement it should be understood that while the Bonds are in the Book-Entry-Only System, references in other sections of this Official Statement to registered owners should be read to include the person for which the Direct or Indirect Participant acquires an interest in the Bonds, but (i) all rights of ownership must be exercised through DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System, and (ii) except as described above, notices that are to be given to registered owners under the Bond Order will be given only to DTC.

#### **REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE**

#### **Paying Agent/Registrar**

The initial Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds is BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas. In the Bond Order, the District covenants to maintain and provide a Paying Agent/Registrar until the Bonds are duly paid.

## Successor Paying Agent/Registrar

Provision is made in the Bond Order for replacing the Paying Agent/Registrar. If the District replaces the Paying Agent/Registrar, such Paying Agent/Registrar shall, promptly upon the appointment of a successor, deliver the Paying Agent/Registrar's records to the successor Paying Agent/Registrar, and the successor Paying Agent/Registrar shall act in the same capacity as the previous Paying Agent/Registrar. Any successor Paying Agent/Registrar selected by the District shall be a commercial bank or trust company organized under the laws of the United States or any state or other entity duly qualified and legally authorized to serve and perform the duties of the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds. Upon any change in the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds, the District has agreed to promptly cause a written notice thereof to be sent to each registered owner of the Bonds by United States mail, first-class, postage prepaid, which notice shall also give the address of the new Paying Agent/Registrar.

### **Initial Registration**

Definitive Bonds will be initially registered and delivered only to CEDE & CO., the nominee of DTC pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein.

### **Future Registration**

In the event the Book-Entry-Only System is discontinued, the Bonds may be transferred, registered and assigned on the registration books only upon presentation and surrender of the Bonds to the Paying Agent/Registrar, and such registration and transfer shall be without expense or service charge to the registered owner, except for any tax or other governmental charges required to be paid with respect to such registration and transfer. A Bond may be assigned by the execution of an assignment form on the Bonds or by other instrument of transfer and assignment acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar. A new Bond or Bonds will be delivered by the Paying Agent/Registrar, or sent by United States registered mail to the new registered owner at the registered owner's request, risk and expense. To the extent possible, new Bonds issued in an exchange or transfer of Bonds will be delivered to the registered owner or assignee of the registered owner in not more than three (3) business days after the receipt of the Bonds to be canceled in the exchange or transfer and the written instrument of transfer or request for exchange duly executed by the registered owner or his duly authorized agent, in form satisfactory to the Paying Agent/Registrar. New Bonds registered and delivered for exchange or transfer.

#### **Record Date For Interest Payment**

The record date ("Record Date") for determining the person to whom the interest on the Bonds is payable on any interest payment date means the close of business on the last business day of the next preceding month. In the event of a non-payment of interest on a scheduled payment date, and for thirty (30) days thereafter, a new record date for such interest payment (a "Special Record Date") will be established by the Paying Agent/Registrar, if and when funds for the payment of such interest have been received from the District. Notice of the Special Record Date and of the scheduled payment date of the past due interest (the "Special Payment Date" which shall be fifteen (15) days after the Special Record Date) shall be sent at least five (5) business days prior to the Special Record Date by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to the address of each registered owner of a Bond appearing on the books of the Paying Agent/Registrar at the close of business on the last business day next preceding the date of mailing of such notice.

### Limitation on Transfer of Bonds

The Paying Agent/Registrar shall not be required to make any such transfer, conversion or exchange (i) during the period commencing with the close of business on any Record Date and ending with the opening of business on the next following principal or interest payment date or (ii) with respect to any Bond or any portion thereof called for redemption prior to maturity, within forty-five (45) days prior to its redemption date; provided, however, that such limitation shall not apply to uncalled portions of a Bond redeemed in part.

#### **Replacement Bonds**

If any Bond is mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost, a new Bond in the same principal amount as the Bond so mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost will be issued. In the case of a mutilated Bond, such new Bond will be delivered only upon surrender and cancellation of such mutilated Bond. In the case of any Bond issued in lieu of and substitution for a Bond which has been destroyed, stolen or lost, such new Bond will be delivered only (a) upon filing with the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar a certificate to the effect that such Bond has been destroyed, stolen or lost and proof of the ownership thereof, and (b) upon furnishing the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar with indemnity satisfactory to them. The person requesting the authentication and delivery of a new Bond must pay such expenses as the Paying Agent/Registrar may incur in connection therewith.

## THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

Subject to satisfying certain conditions, the payment of the Bonds will be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. In the event of default, registered owners will receive all payments due on the Bonds from the Permanent School Fund, and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Reserve would be the first source to pay debt service if a charter school was unable to make such payment. See "APPENDIX E – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto for pertinent information regarding the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program. The disclosure regarding the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program in Appendix E is incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes.

## STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS

#### Litigation Relating to the Texas Public School Finance System

On seven occasions in the last thirty years, the Texas Supreme Court (the "Court") has issued decisions assessing the constitutionality of the Texas public school finance system (the "Finance System"). The litigation has primarily focused on whether the Finance System, as amended by the Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") from time to time (i) met the requirements of article VII, section 1 of the Texas Constitution, which requires the Legislature to "establish and make suitable provision for the support and maintenance of an efficient system of public free schools," or (ii) imposed a statewide ad valorem tax in violation of article VII, section 1-e of the Texas Constitution because the statutory limit on property taxes levied by school districts for maintenance and operation purposes had allegedly denied school districts meaningful discretion in setting their tax rates. In response to the Court's previous decisions, the Legislature enacted multiple laws that made substantive changes in the way the Finance System is funded in efforts to address the prior decisions declaring the Finance System unconstitutional.

On May 13, 2016, the Court issued its opinion in the most recent school finance litigation, Morath v. The Texas Taxpayer & Student Fairness Coal., 490 S.W.3d 826 (Tex. 2016) ("Morath"). The plaintiffs and intervenors in the case had alleged that the Finance System, as modified by the Legislature in part in response to prior decisions of the Court, violated article VII, section 1 and article VIII, section 1-e of the Texas Constitution. In its opinion, the Court held that "[d]espite the imperfections of the current school funding regime, it meets minimum constitutional requirements." The Court also noted that:

Lawmakers decide if laws pass, and judges decide if those laws pass muster. But our lenient standard of review in this policy-laden area counsels modesty. The judicial role is not to second-guess whether our system is

optimal, but whether it is constitutional. Our Byzantine school funding "system" is undeniably imperfect, with immense room for improvement. But it satisfies minimum constitutional requirements.

### Possible Effects of Changes in Law on District Bonds

The Court's decision in Morath upheld the constitutionality of the Finance System but noted that the Finance System was "undeniably imperfect." While not compelled by the Morath decision to reform the Finance System, the Legislature could enact future changes to the Finance System. Any such changes could benefit or be a detriment to the District. If the Legislature enacts future changes to, or fails adequately to fund the Finance System, or if changes in circumstances otherwise provide grounds for a challenge, the Finance System could be challenged again in the future. In its 1995 opinion in Edgewood Independent School District v. Meno, 917 S.W.2d 717 (Tex. 1995), the Court stated that any future determination of unconstitutionality "would not, however, affect the district's authority to levy the taxes necessary to retire previously issued bonds, but would instead require the Legislature to cure the system's unconstitutionality in a way that is consistent with the Contract Clauses of the U.S. and Texas Constitutions" (collectively, the "Contract Clauses"), which prohibit the enactment of laws that impair prior obligations of contracts.

Although, as a matter of law, the Bonds, upon issuance and delivery, will be entitled to the protections afforded previously existing contractual obligations under the Contract Clauses, the District can make no representations or predictions concerning the effect of future legislation, or any litigation that may be associated with such legislation, on the District's financial condition, revenues or operations. While the enactment of future legislation to address school funding in Texas could adversely affect the financial condition, revenues or operations of the District, the District does not anticipate that the security for payment of the Bonds, specifically, the District's obligation to levy an unlimited debt service tax and any Permanent School Fund guarantee of the Bonds would be adversely affected by any such legislation. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" herein.

## CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM

#### Overview

The following language constitutes only a summary of the Finance System as it is currently structured. The information contained under the captions "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" and "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS" is subject to change, and only reflects the District's understanding based on information available to the District as of the date of this Official Statement. For a more complete description of school finance and fiscal management in the State, reference is made to Chapters 43 through 49 of the Texas Education Code, as amended. Additionally, prospective investors are encouraged to review the Property Tax Code (as defined herein) for definitive requirements for the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes and the calculation of the defined tax rates.

Local funding is derived from collections of ad valorem taxes levied on property located within each school district's boundaries. School districts are authorized to levy two types of property taxes: a maintenance and operations ("M&O") tax to pay current expenses and an interest and sinking fund ("I&S") tax to pay debt service on bonds. School districts may not increase their M&O tax rate for the purpose of creating a surplus to pay debt service on bonds. Current law also requires school districts to demonstrate their ability to pay debt service on outstanding bonded indebtedness through the levy of an I&S tax at a rate not to exceed \$0.50 per \$100 of taxable value at the time bonds are issued. Once bonds are issued, however, school districts generally may levy an I&S tax sufficient to pay debt service on such bonds unlimited as to rate or amount (See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – I&S Tax Rate Limitations" herein). Because property values vary widely among school districts, the amount of local funding generated by school districts with the same I&S tax rate and M&O tax rate is also subject to wide variation; however, the public school finance funding formulas are designed to generally equalize local funding generated by a school district's M&O tax rate.

#### 2023 Regular and Special Legislative Sessions

The regular session of the 88th Texas Legislature began on January 10, 2023 and adjourned on May 29, 2023. The Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") meets in regular session in odd numbered years for 140 days. When the Legislature is not in session, the Governor may call one or more special sessions, at the Governor's discretion, each lasting no more than 30 days, and for which the Governor sets the agenda. The Governor called and the Legislature concluded four special sessions during the 88th Texas Legislature (such special sessions, together with the 88th Regular Session, the "2023 Legislative Sessions"). During the 88th Regular Session, the Legislature considered a general appropriations act and legislation affecting the Finance System and ad valorem taxation procedures and exemptions, and investments, among other legislature fully-funded the Foundation School Program for the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium and increased the state guaranteed yield on the first \$0.08 cents of tax effort beyond a school district's Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (as defined herein) to \$126.21 per penny of tax effort per student in WADA (as defined herein) in 2024 (from \$98.56 in 2023) and \$129.52 per penny of tax effort per student in WADA in 2025. See "– State Funding for School Districts – Tier Two." The Legislature also provided for an increase in funding for the school safety allotment to \$10.00 (from \$9.72 in the prior year) per ADA (as defined herein) and \$15,000 per campus. The Legislature set aside approximately \$4,000,000,000 in additional funding for public education contingent on certain legislation passing in future special sessions. However, the Legislature did not take action on such funding during the 2023 Legislative Sessions.

During the second called special session, legislation was passed, and at an election held in the State on November 7, 2023, voters approved a State constitutional amendment that (i) reduced the Maximum Compressed Tax Rate for school districts by approximately \$0.107 for the 2023-2024 school year; (ii) increased the amount of the mandatory school district general residential homestead exemption from ad valorem taxation from \$40,000 to \$100,000 and holds districts harmless from certain M&O and I&S tax revenue losses associated with the increase in the mandatory homestead exemption (in connection with the Bonds, the District will not be held harmless from I&S tax revenue losses associated with such increased exemption); (iii) adjusted the amount of the limitation on school district ad valorem taxes imposed on the residence homesteads of the elderly or disabled to reflect increases in exemption amounts; (iv) prohibited school districts, cities and counties from repealing or reducing a general optional homestead exemption that was granted in tax year 2022 (the prohibition expires on December 31, 2027); (v) established a three-year pilot program limiting growth in the taxable assessed value of non-residence homestead property valued at \$5,000,000 or less to 20 percent (school districts are not held harmless for any negative revenue impacts associated with such limits); (vi) excepted certain appropriations to pay for ad valorem tax relief from the constitutional limitation on the rate of growth of appropriations; and (vii) expanded the size of the governing body of an 15 appraisal district in a county with a population of more than 75,000 by adding elected directors and authorizing the Legislature to provide for a four-year term of office for a member of the board of directors of certain appraisal districts. This legislation reduces the amount of property taxes paid by homeowners and businesses and increases the State's share of the cost of funding public education.

#### Local Funding for School Districts

A school district's M&O tax rate is composed of two distinct parts: the "Tier One Tax Rate", which is the local M&O tax rate required for a school district to receive any part of the basic level of State funding (referred to herein as "Tier One") under the Foundation School Program, as further described below, and the "Enrichment Tax Rate", which is any local M&O tax effort in excess of its Tier One Tax Rate. Formulas for the State Compression Percentage and Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (each as described below) are designed to compress M&O tax rates in response to year-over-year increases in property values across the State and within a school district, respectively. The discussion in this subcaption "Local Funding For School Districts" is generally intended to describe funding provisions applicable to all school districts; however, there are distinctions in the funding formulas for school districts that generate local M&O tax revenues in excess of the school districts' funding entitlements, as further discussed under the subcaption "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – Local Revenue Level In Excess of Entitlement" herein.

### State Compression Percentage

The State Compression Percentage is a statutorily-defined percentage of the rate of \$1.00 per \$100 that is used to determine a school district's Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (described below). The State Compression Percentage is the lesser of three alternative calculations: (1) 93% or a lower percentage set by appropriation for a school year; (2) a percentage determined by formula if the estimated total taxable property value of the State (as submitted annually to the State Legislature by the State Comptroller) has increased by at least 2.5% over the prior year; and (3) the prior year State Compression Percentage. For any year, the maximum State Compression Percentage is 93%. For the State fiscal year ending in 2024, the State Compression Percentage is set at 68.80%.

### Maximum Compressed Tax Rate

The Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (the "MCR") is the tax rate per \$100 of valuation of taxable property at which a school district must levy its Tier One Tax Rate to receive the full amount of the Tier One funding to which the school district is entitled. The MCR is equal to the lesser of three alternative calculations: (1) the school district's prior year MCR; (2) a percentage determined by formula if the school district experienced a year-over-year increase in property value of at least 2.5%; or (3) the product of the State Compression Percentage for the current year multiplied by \$1.00. However, each year the TEA shall evaluate the MCR for each school district's MCR for the current year, if a school district's MCR is calculated to be less than 90% of any other school district's MCR for the current year, then the school district's MCR is instead equal to the school district's prior year MCR, until TEA determines that the difference between the school district's MCR and any other school district's MCR is not more than 10%. These compression formulas are intended to more closely equalize local generation of Tier One funding among districts with disparate tax bases and generally reduce the Tier One Tax Rates of school districts as property values increase. During the 2023 Legislative Sessions, the Legislature took action to reduce the maximum MCR for the 2023-2024 school year. It established \$0.6680 as the maximum rate and \$0.6192 as the floor. The MCR for the 2024-2025 school year is \$0.6885 and the floor is \$0.6169.

#### Tier One Tax Rate

A school district's Tier One Tax Rate is defined as a school district's M&O tax rate levied that does not exceed the school district's MCR.

#### Enrichment Tax Rate

The Enrichment Tax Rate is the number of cents a school district levies for M&O in excess of the Tier One Tax Rate, up to an additional \$0.17. The Enrichment Tax Rate is divided into two components: (i) "Golden Pennies" which are the first \$0.08 of tax effort in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate; and (ii) "Copper Pennies" which are the next \$0.09 in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate; and (ii) "Copper Pennies" which are the next \$0.09 in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate; and (ii) "Copper Pennies" which are the next \$0.09 in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate; and (ii) "Copper Pennies" which are the next \$0.09 in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate plus Golden Pennies.

School districts may levy an Enrichment Tax Rate at a level of their choice, subject to the limitations described under "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate"; however to levy any of the Enrichment Tax Rate in a given year, a school district must levy a Tier One Tax Rate equal to the school district's MCR. Additionally, a school district's levy of Copper Pennies is subject to compression if the guaranteed yield (i.e., the guaranteed level of local tax revenue and State aid generated for each cent of tax effort) of Copper Pennies is increased from one year to the next. (See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts – Tier Two.")

#### State Funding for School Districts

State funding for school districts is provided through the two-tiered Foundation School Program, which guarantees certain levels of funding for school districts in the State. School districts are entitled to a legislatively appropriated guaranteed yield on their Tier One Tax Rate and Enrichment Tax Rate. When a school district's Tier One Tax Rate and Enrichment Tax Rate generate tax revenues at a level below the respective entitlement, the State will provide "Tier One" funding or "Tier Two" funding, respectively, to fund the difference between the school district's entitlements and the actual M&O revenues generated by the school district's respective M&O tax rates.

The first level of funding, Tier One, is the basic level of funding guaranteed to all school districts based on a school district's Tier One Tax Rate. Tier One funding may then be "enriched" with Tier Two funding. Tier Two provides a guaranteed entitlement for each cent of a school district's Enrichment Tax Rate, allowing a school district to increase or decrease its Enrichment Tax Rate to supplement Tier One funding at a level of the school district's own choice. While Tier One funding may be used for the payment of debt service (except for school districts subject to the recapture provisions of Chapter 49 of the Texas Education Code, as discussed herein), and in some instances is required to be used for that purpose. (See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – I&S Tax Rate Limitations.") Tier Two funding may not be used for the payment of debt service or capital outlay.

The current public school Finance System also provides an Existing Debt Allotment ("EDA") to subsidize debt service on eligible outstanding school district bonds, an Instructional Facilities Allotment ("IFA") to subsidize debt service on newly issued bonds, and a New Instructional Facilities Allotment ("NIFA") to subsidize operational expenses associated with the opening of a new instructional facility. IFA primarily addresses the debt service needs of property-poor school districts. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, the State Legislature appropriated funds in the amount of \$1,072,511,740 for the EDA, IFA, and NIFA.

Tier One and Tier Two allotments represent the State's share of the cost of M&O expenses of school districts, with local M&O taxes representing the school district's local share. EDA and IFA allotments supplement a school district's local I&S taxes levied for debt service on eligible bonds issued to construct, acquire and improve facilities, provided that a school district qualifies for such funding and that the State Legislature makes sufficient appropriations to fund the allotments for a State fiscal biennium. Tier One and Tier Two allotments and existing EDA and IFA allotments are generally required to be funded each year by the State Legislature.

#### Tier One

Tier One funding is the basic level of funding guaranteed to a school district, consisting of a State-appropriated baseline level of funding (the "Basic Allotment") for each student in "Average Daily Attendance" (being generally calculated as the sum of student attendance for each State-mandated day of instruction divided by the number of State-mandated days of instruction, defined herein as "ADA"). The Basic Allotment is revised downward if a school district's Tier One Tax Rate is less than the State-determined threshold. The Basic Allotment is supplemented by additional State funds, allotted based upon the unique school district characteristics and demographics of students in ADA, to make up most of a school district's Tier One entitlement under the Foundation School Program.

The Basic Allotment for school districts with a Tier One Tax Rate equal to the school district's MCR, is \$6,160 (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) for each student in ADA and is revised downward for school districts with a Tier One Tax Rate lower than the school district's MCR. The Basic Allotment is then supplemented for all school districts by various weights to account for differences among school districts and their student populations. Such additional allotments include, but are not limited to, increased funds for students in ADA who: (i) attend a qualified special education program, (ii) are diagnosed with dyslexia or a related disorder, (iii) are economically disadvantaged, or (iv) have limited English language proficiency. Additional allotments to mitigate differences among school districts include, but are not limited to: (i) a transportation allotment for mileage associated with transporting students who reside two miles or more from their home campus, (ii) a fast growth allotment (for school districts in the top 25% of enrollment growth relative to other school districts), (iii) a college, career and military readiness allotment to further Texas' goal of increasing the number of students who attain a post-secondary education or workforce credential, and (iv) a teacher incentive compensation allotment to increase teacher retention in disadvantaged or rural school districts. A school district's total Tier One funding, less the allotments that are not derived by a weighted formula, divided by \$6,160, is a school district's measure of students in "Weighted Average Daily Attendance" ("WADA"), which serves to calculate Tier Two funding.

The fast growth allotment weights change to 0.48 for districts in the top 40% of school districts for growth, 0.33 for districts in the middle 30% of school districts for growth and 0.18 for districts in the bottom 30% of school districts for growth. The fast growth allotment is limited to \$315 million for the 2023-2024 school year.

#### Tier Two

Tier Two supplements Tier One funding and provides two levels of enrichment with different guaranteed yields (i.e., Golden Pennies and Copper Pennies) depending on the school district's Enrichment Tax Rate. Golden Pennies generate a guaranteed yield equal to the greater of (i) the local revenue per student in WADA per cent of tax effort available to a school district at the ninety-sixth (96th) percentile of wealth per student in WADA, or (ii) the Basic Allotment (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) multiplied by 0.016. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, school districts are guaranteed a yield of \$126.21 per student in WADA in 2025 for each Golden Penny levied. Copper Pennies generate a guaranteed yield per student in WADA equal to the school district's Basic Allotment (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) multiplied by 0.008. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, school districts are guaranteed a yield of \$49.28 per student in WADA for each Copper Penny levied. For any school year in which the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA for the preceding school year, a school district is required to reduce its Copper Pennies levied so as to generate no more revenue per student in WADA than was available to the school district for the preceding year.

### Existing Debt Allotment, Instructional Facilities Allotment, and New Instructional Facilities Allotment

The Foundation School Program also includes facilities funding components consisting of the IFA and the EDA, subject to legislative appropriation each State fiscal biennium. To the extent funded for a biennium, these programs assist school districts in funding facilities by, generally, equalizing a school district's I&S tax effort. The IFA guarantees each awarded school district a specified amount per student (the "IFA Yield") in State and local funds for each cent of I&S tax levied to pay the principal of and interest on eligible bonds issued to construct, acquire, renovate or improve instructional facilities. The IFA Yield has been \$35 since this program first began in 1997. New awards of IFA are only available if appropriated funds are allocated for such purpose by the State Legislature. To receive an IFA award, in years where new IFA awards are available, a school district must apply to the Commissioner in accordance with rules adopted by the TEA before issuing the bonds to be paid with IFA State assistance. The total amount of debt service assistance over a biennium for which a school district may be awarded is limited to the lesser of (1) the actual debt service payments made by the school district in the biennium in which the bonds are issued; or (2) the greater of (a) \$100,000 or (b) \$250 multiplied by the number of students in ADA. The IFA is also available for lease-purchase agreements and refunding bonds meeting certain prescribed conditions. Once a school district receives an IFA award for bonds, it is entitled to continue receiving State assistance for such bonds without reapplying to the Commissioner. The guaranteed level of State and local funds per student percent of local tax effort applicable to the bonds may not be reduced below the level provided for the year in which the bonds were issued. For the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium, the State Legislature did not appropriate any funds for new IFA awards; however, awards previously granted in years the State Legislature did appropriate funds for

State financial assistance is provided for certain existing eligible debt issued by school districts through the EDA program. The EDA guaranteed yield (the "EDA Yield") is the lesser of (i) \$40 per student in ADA or a greater amount for any year provided by appropriation; or (ii) the amount that would result in a total additional EDA of \$60 million more than the EDA to which school districts would have been entitled to if the EDA Yield were \$35. The portion of a school district's local debt service rate that qualifies for EDA assistance is limited to the first \$0.29 of its I&S tax rate (or a greater amount for any year provided by appropriation by the State Legislature). In general, a school district's bonds are eligible for EDA assistance if (i) the school district levied taxes to pay the principal of and interest on the bonds for that fiscal year. Each biennium, access to EDA funding is determined by the debt service taxes collected in the final year of the preceding biennium. A school district may not receive EDA funding for the principal and interest on a series of otherwise eligible bonds for which the school district receives IFA funding.

Since future-year IFA awards were not funded by the State Legislature for the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium and debt service assistance on school district bonds that are not yet eligible for EDA is not available, debt service payments during the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium on new bonds issued by school districts in the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium to construct, acquire and improve facilities must be funded solely from local I&S taxes, except to the extent the bonds of a school district are eligible for hold harmless funding from the State for local tax revenue lost as a result of an increase in the mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000. Hold harmless applies only to bonds authorized by voters prior to September 1, 2023.

A school district may also qualify for a NIFA allotment, which provides assistance to school districts for operational expenses associated with opening new instructional facilities. In the 2023 Legislative Sessions, the State Legislature appropriated funds in the amount of \$100,000,000 for each fiscal year of the 2024-2025 State fiscal biennium for NIFA allotments.

#### Tax Rate and Funding Equity

The Commissioner may proportionally reduce the amount of funding a school district receives under the Foundation School Program and the ADA calculation if the school district operates on a calendar that provides less than the State-mandated minimum instruction time in a school year. The Commissioner may also adjust a school district's ADA as it relates to State funding where disaster, flood, extreme weather or other calamity has a significant effect on a school district's attendance.

Furthermore, "property-wealthy" school districts that received additional State funds under the public school finance system prior to the enactment of the 2019 Legislation are entitled to an equalized wealth transition grant on an annual basis, which will be phased out in the 2023-2024 school year in an amount equal to the amount of additional revenue such school district would have received under former Texas Education Code Sections 41.002(e) through (g), as those sections existed on January 1, 2019. Additionally, school districts and open-enrollment charter schools may be entitled to receive an allotment in the form of a formula transition grant, but they will not be entitled to an allotment beginning with the 2024-2025 school year. This grant is meant to ensure a smooth transition into the funding formulas enacted by the 86th State Legislature. Furthermore, if the total amount of allotments to which school districts and open enrollment charter schools are entitled for a school year exceeds \$400 million, the Commissioner shall proportionately reduce each district's or school's allotment. The reduction in the amount to which a district or school is entitled may not result in an amount that is less than zero.

For the 2023-2024 school year, school districts will be held harmless and entitled to additional state aid to the extent that state and local revenue used to service eligible debt is less than the state and local revenue that would have been available to the district under state law providing for state aid to districts to account for increases in the general residence homestead exemption and the elderly or disabled tax ceiling as such state law existed on September 1, 2022, if any increase in a residence homestead exemption under the Texas Constitution, and any additional limitation on tax increases under the elderly or disabled tax ceiling had not occurred.

#### Local Revenue Level in Excess of Entitlement

A school district that has sufficient property wealth per student in ADA to generate local revenues on the school district's Tier One Tax Rate and Copper Pennies in excess of the school district's respective funding entitlements (a "Chapter 49 school district"), is subject to the local revenue reduction provisions contained in Chapter 49 of Texas Education Code, as amended ("Chapter 49"). Additionally, in years in which the amount of State funds appropriated specifically excludes the amount necessary to provide the guaranteed yield for Golden Pennies, local revenues generated on a school district's Golden Pennies in excess of the school district's respective funding entitlement are subject to the local revenue reduction provisions of Chapter 49. To reduce local revenue, Chapter 49 school districts are generally subject to a process known as "recapture," which requires a Chapter 49 school district to exercise certain options to remit local M&O tax revenues collected in excess of the Chapter 49 school district's funding entitlements to the State (for redistribution to other school districts) or otherwise expending the respective M&O tax revenues for the benefit of students in school districts that are not Chapter 49 school districts, as described in the subcaption "Options for Local Revenue Levels in Excess of Entitlement." Chapter 49 school districts receive their allocable share of funds distributed from the constitutionally-prescribed Available School Fund, but are generally not eligible to receive State aid under the Foundation School Program, although they may continue to receive State funds for certain competitive grants and certain programs that remain outside the Foundation School Program.

Recapture is measured by the "local revenue level" (being the M&O tax revenues generated in a school district) in excess of the entitlements appropriated by the State Legislature each fiscal biennium. Therefore, school districts are now guaranteed that recapture will not reduce revenue below their statutory entitlement.

#### **Options for Local Revenue Levels in Excess of Entitlement**

Under Chapter 49, a school district has six options to reduce local revenues to a level that does not exceed the school district's respective entitlements: (1) a school district may consolidate by agreement with one or more school districts to form a consolidated school district; all property and debt of the consolidating school districts vest in the consolidated school district; (2) a school district may detach property from its territory for annexation by a property-poor school district; (3) a school district may purchase attendance credits from the State; (4) a school district may contract to educate nonresident students from a property-poor school district sugners of one or more other school districts with career and technology education through a program designated as an area program for career and technology education; or (6) a school district may consolidate by agreement with one or more school districts to form a consolidated taxing school district solely to levy and distribute either M&O taxes or both M&O taxes and I&S taxes. A Chapter 49 school district may also exercise any combination of these remedies. Options (3), (4) and (6) require prior approval by the Chapter 49 school district's voters.

Furthermore, a school district may not adopt a tax rate until its effective local revenue level is at or below the level that would produce its guaranteed entitlement under the Foundation School Program. If a school district fails to exercise a permitted option, the Commissioner must reduce the school district's local revenue level to the level that would produce the school district's guaranteed entitlement, by detaching certain types of property from the school district and annexing the property to a property-poor school district or, if necessary, consolidate the school district with a property-poor school district. Provisions governing detachment and annexation of taxable property by the Commissioner do not provide for assumption of any of the transferring school district's existing debt.

#### Possible Effects of Wealth Transfer Provisions on the District's Financial Condition

For the 2024-2025 school year, the District was not designated as an "excess local revenue" Chapter 49 school district by TEA. Accordingly, the District has not been required to exercise one of the wealth equalization options permitted under applicable State law. As a district with local revenue less than the maximum permitted level, the District may benefit in the future by agreeing to accept taxable property or funding assistance from or agreeing to consolidate with, a property-rich district to enable such district to reduce its wealth per student to the permitted level.

A district's "excess local revenues" must be tested for each future school year and, if it exceeds the maximum permitted level, the District must reduce its wealth per student by the exercise of one of the permitted wealth equalization options. Accordingly, if the District's wealth per student should exceed the maximum permitted value in future school years, it may be required each year to exercise one or more of the wealth reduction options. If the District were to consolidate (or consolidate its tax base for all purposes) with a property-poor district, the outstanding debt of each district could become payable from the consolidated district's combined property tax base, and the District's ration of taxable property to debt could become diluted. If the District were to detach property voluntarily, a portion of its outstanding debt (including the Bonds) could be assumed by the district to which the property is annexed, in which case timely payment of the Bonds could become dependent in part on the financial performance of the annexing district.

For a detailed discussion of State funding for school districts, see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts" herein.

#### AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES

The following is a summary of certain provisions of State law as it relates to ad valorem taxation and is not intended to be complete. Reference is made to Title I of the Texas Tax Code, as amended (the "Property Tax Code"), for identification of property subject to ad valorem taxation, property exempt or which may be exempted from ad valorem taxation if claimed, the appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes, and the procedures and limitations applicable to the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes.

#### Valuation of Taxable Property

The Property Tax Code provides for countywide appraisal and equalization of taxable property values and establishes in each county of the State an appraisal district and an appraisal review board (the "Appraisal Review Board") responsible for appraising property for all taxing units within the county. The appraisal of property within the District is the responsibility of the Titus County Appraisal District (the "Appraisal District"). Except as generally described below, the Appraisal District is required to appraise all property within the Appraisal District on the basis of 100% of its market value and is prohibited from applying any assessment ratios. In determining market value of property, the Appraisal District is required to consider the cost method of appraisal, the income method of appraisal, and use the method the chief appraiser of the Appraisal District considers most appropriate. The Property Tax Code requires appraisal districts to reappraise all property in its jurisdiction at least once every three (3) years. A taxing unit may require annual review at its own expense, and is entitled to challenge the determination of appraised value of property within the taxing unit by petition filed with the Appraisal Review Board.

State law requires the appraised value of an owner's principal residence ("homestead" or "homesteads") to be based solely on the property's value as a homestead, regardless of whether residential use is considered to be the highest and best use of the property. State law further limits the appraised value of a homestead to the lesser of (1) the market value of the property or (2) 110% of the appraised value of the property for the preceding tax year plus the market value of all new improvements to the property.

Effective January 1, 2024, an appraisal district is prohibited from increasing the appraised value of real property during the 2024 tax year on certain non-homestead properties (the "Subjected Property") whose appraised values are not more than \$5 million dollars (the "maximum property value") to an amount not to exceed the lesser of: (1) the market value of the Subjected Property for the most recent tax year that the market value was determined by the appraisal office or (2) the sum of: (a) 20 percent of the appraised value of the Subjected Property for the preceding tax year; (b) the appraised value of the Subjected Property for the preceding tax year; (c) the market value of all new improvements to the Subjected Property (collectively, the "Appraisal Cap"). After the 2024 tax year, through December 31, 2026 unless extended by the State Legislature, the Appraisal Cap may be increased or decreased by the product of the preceding state fiscal year's increase or decrease in the consumer price index, as applicable, to the maximum property value.

State law provides that eligible owners of both agricultural land and open-space land, including open-space land devoted to farm or ranch purposes or open-space land devoted to timber production, may elect to have such property appraised for property taxation on the basis of its productive capacity. The same land may not be qualified as both agricultural and open-space land.

The appraisal values set by the Appraisal District are subject to review and change by the Appraisal Review Board. The appraisal rolls, as approved by the Appraisal Review Board, are used by taxing units, such as the District, in establishing their tax rolls and tax rates. (See "AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES – District and Taxpayer Remedies").

#### State Mandated Homestead Exemptions

State law grants, with respect to each school district in the State, (1) a \$100,000 exemption (as described below) of the appraised value of all homesteads, (2) a \$10,000 exemption of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older and the disabled, and (3) various exemptions for disabled veterans and their families, surviving spouses of members of the armed services killed in action and surviving spouses of first responders killed or fatally wounded in the line of duty.

#### Local Option Homestead Exemptions

The governing body of a taxing unit, including a city, county, school district, or special district, at its option may grant: (1) an exemption of up to 20% of the appraised value of all homesteads (but not less than \$5,000) and (2) an additional exemption of at least \$3,000 of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older and the disabled. Each taxing unit decides if it will offer the local option homestead exemptions and at what percentage or dollar amount, as applicable. The exemption described in (2), above, may also be created, increased, decreased or repealed at an election called by the governing body of a taxing unit upon presentment of a petition for such creation, increase, decrease, or repeal of at least 20% of the number of qualified voters who voted in the preceding election of the taxing unit.

Cities, counties, and school districts are prohibited from repealing or reducing a general optional homestead exemption that was granted in tax year 2022 through December 31, 2027. See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation, if any, attributable to local option homestead exemptions.

#### State Mandated Freeze on School District Taxes

Except for increases attributable to certain improvements, a school district is prohibited from increasing the total ad valorem tax on the homestead of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older or of disabled persons above the amount of tax imposed in the year such homestead qualified for such exemption. This freeze is transferable to a different homestead if a qualifying taxpayer moves and, under certain circumstances, is also transferable to the surviving spouse of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older, but not the disabled. See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation attributable to the freeze on taxes for the elderly and disabled.

#### **Personal Property**

Tangible personal property (furniture, machinery, supplies, inventories, etc.) used in the "production of income" is taxed based on the property's market value. Taxable personal property includes income-producing equipment and inventory. Intangibles such as goodwill, accounts receivable, and proprietary processes are not taxable. Tangible personal property not held or used for production of income, such as household goods, automobiles or light trucks, and boats, is exempt from ad valorem taxation unless the governing body of a taxing unit elects to tax such property.

#### Freeport and Goods-In-Transit Exemptions

Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded outside the State, and are detained in the State for 175 days or less for the purpose of assembly, storage, manufacturing, processing or fabrication ("Freeport Property") are exempt from ad valorem taxation unless a taxing unit took official action to tax Freeport Property before April 1, 1990 and has not subsequently taken official action to exempt Freeport Property. Decisions to continue taxing Freeport Property may be reversed in the future; decisions to exempt Freeport Property are not subject to reversal.

Certain goods, that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded to another location within or without the State, stored in a location that is not owned by the owner of the goods and are transported to another location within or without the State within 175 days ("Goods-in-Transit"), are generally exempt from ad valorem taxation; however, the Property Tax Code permits a taxing unit, on a local option basis, to tax Goods-in-Transit if the taxing unit takes official action, after conducting a public hearing, before January 1 of the first tax year in which the taxing unit proposes to tax Goods-in-Transit. Goods-in-Transit and Freeport Property do not include oil, natural gas or petroleum products, and Goods-in-Transit does not include aircraft or special inventories such as manufactured housing inventory, or a dealer's motor vehicle, boat, or heavy equipment inventory.

A taxpayer may receive only one of the Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions for items of personal property. See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation, if any, attributable to Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions.

## Other Exempt Property

Other major categories of exempt property include property owned by the State or its political subdivisions if used for public purposes, property exempt by federal law, property used for pollution control, farm products owned by producers, property of nonprofit corporations used for scientific research or educational activities benefitting a college or university, designated historic sites, solar and wind-powered energy devices, and certain classes of intangible personal property.

#### Temporary Exemption for Qualified Property Damaged by a Disaster

The Property Tax Code entitles the owner of certain qualified (i) tangible personal property used for the production of income, (ii) improvements to real property, and (iii) manufactured homes located in an area declared by the Governor to be a disaster area

following a disaster and is at least 15 percent damaged by the disaster, as determined by the chief appraiser, to an exemption from taxation of a portion of the appraised value of the property. The amount of the exemption ranges from 15 percent to 100 percent based upon the damage assessment rating assigned by the chief appraiser. The governing body of the taxing unit is not required to take any action in order for the taxpayer to be eligible for the exemption. If a taxpayer qualifies for the exemption after the beginning of the tax year, the amount of the exemption is prorated based on the number of days left in the tax year following the day on which the Governor declares the area to be a disaster area. The Texas Legislature amended Section 11.35. Texas Tax Code, to clarify that "damage: for purposes of such statute is limited to "physical damage." For more information on the exemption, reference is made to Section 11.35 of the Tax Code, as amended.

#### Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones

A city or county, by petition of the landowners or by action of its governing body, may create one or more tax increment reinvestment zones ("TIRZ") within its boundaries. At the time of the creation of the TIRZ, a "base value" for the real property in the TIRZ is established and the difference between any increase in the assessed valuation of taxable real property in the TIRZ in excess of the base value is known as the "tax increment." During the existence of the TIRZ, all or a portion of the taxes levied against the tax increment by a city or county, and all other overlapping taxing units that elected to participate, are restricted to paying only planned project and financing costs within the TIRZ and are not available for the payment of other obligations of such taxing units.

Until September 1, 1999, school districts were able to reduce the value of taxable property reported to the State to reflect any taxable value lost due to TIRZ participation by the school district. The ability of the school district to deduct the taxable value of the tax increment that it contributed prevented the school district from being negatively affected in terms of state school funding. However, due to a change in law, local M&O tax rate revenue contributed to a TIRZ created on or after May 31, 1999 will count toward a school district's Tier One entitlement (reducing Tier One State funds for eligible school districts) and will not be considered in calculating any school district's Tier Two entitlement. (See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts.")

#### **Tax Limitation Agreements**

The Texas Economic Development Act (formerly Chapter 313, Texas Tax Code, as amended ("Chapter 313")) allowed school districts to grant limitations on appraised property values to certain entities to encourage economic development within the school district. Generally, during the ten-year term of a tax limitation agreement, a school district may only levy and collect M&O taxes on the agreed-to limited appraised property value. For the purposes of calculating its Tier One and Tier Two entitlements, the portion of a school district's property that is not fully taxable is excluded from the school district's taxable property values. Therefore, a school district will not be subject to a reduction in Tier One or Tier Two State funds as a result of lost M&O tax revenues due to entering into a tax limitation agreement (see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts"). The 87th Texas Legislature did not take action to extend this program, which expired by its terms on December 31, 2022.

In the 88th Legislative Session, House Bill 5, codified as Chapter 403, Subchapter T, Texas Government Code, as amended ("Chapter 403T"), was enacted into law. Chapter 403T is intended as a replacement of former Chapter 313, but it contains significantly different provisions than the prior program under Chapter 313. Under Chapter 403T, a school district may offer a 50% abatement on taxable value for maintenance and operations ad valorem taxes for certain eligible projects, except that projects in a federally designated economic opportunity zone receive a 75% abatement. Chapter 403T also provides a 100% abatement of maintenance and operations taxes for eligible project's construction period. Taxable valuation for purposes of the debt services taxes securing the Bonds **cannot** be abated under Chapter 403T. Eligible projects must involve manufacturing, dispatchable power generation facilities, facilities related to the development of natural resources, facilities engaged in the research, development or manufacture of high-tech equipment or technology, or critical infrastructure projects and projects must create and maintain jobs, as well as meet certain minimum investment requirements. The District is still in the process or reviewing Chapter 403T and cannot make any representations as to what impact, if any, Chapter 403T will have on its finances or operations.

For a discussion of how the various exemptions described above are applied by the District, see "THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT" herein.

#### Tax Abatement Agreements

Taxing units may also enter into tax abatement agreements to encourage economic development. Under the agreements, a property owner agrees to construct certain improvements on its property. The taxing unit, in turn, agrees not to levy a tax on all or part of the increased value attributable to the improvements until the expiration of the agreement. The abatement agreement could last for a period of up to 10 years.

For a discussion of how the various exemptions described above are applied by the District, see "THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT" herein.

#### **District and Taxpayer Remedies**

Under certain circumstances, taxpayers and taxing units, including the District, may appeal the determinations of the Appraisal District by timely initiating a protest with the Appraisal Review Board. Additionally, taxing units such as the District may bring suit against the Appraisal District to compel compliance with the Property Tax Code.

Owners of certain property with a taxable value in excess of the current year "minimum eligibility amount," as determined by the State Comptroller, and situated in a county with a population of one million or more, may protest the determinations of an appraisal district directly to a three-member special panel of the appraisal review board, appointed by the chairman of the appraisal review board, consisting of highly qualified professionals in the field of property tax appraisal. The minimum eligibility amount is set at \$59,562,331 for the 2024 tax year and is adjusted annually by the State Comptroller to reflect the inflation rate.

The Property Tax Code sets forth notice and hearing procedures for certain tax rate increases by the District and provides for taxpayer referenda that could result in the repeal of certain tax increases. (See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate.") The Property Tax Code also establishes a procedure for providing notice to property owners of reappraisals reflecting increased property value, appraisals which are higher than renditions, and appraisals of property not previously on an appraisal roll.

#### Levy and Collection of Taxes

The District is responsible for the collection of its taxes, unless it elects to transfer such functions to another governmental entity. Taxes are due October 1, or when billed, whichever comes later, and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year. A delinquent tax incurs a penalty of six percent (6%) of the amount of the tax for the first calendar month it is delinquent, plus one percent (1%) for each additional month or portion of a month the tax remains unpaid prior to July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent. If the tax is not paid by July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent, the tax incurs a total penalty of twelve percent (12%) regardless of the number of months the tax has been delinquent and incurs an additional penalty of up to twenty percent (20%) if imposed by the District. The delinquent tax also accrues interest at a rate of one percent (1%) for each month or portion of a month it remains unpaid. The Property Tax Code also makes provision for the split payment of taxes, discounts for early payment and the postponement of the delinquency date of taxes for certain taxpayers. Furthermore, the District may provide, on a local option basis,

for the split payment, partial payment, and discounts for early payment of taxes under certain circumstances. The Property Tax Code permits taxpayers owning homes or certain businesses located in a disaster area and damaged as a direct result of the declared disaster to pay taxes imposed in the year following the disaster in four equal installments without penalty or interest, commencing on February 1 and ending on August 1. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES – Temporary Exemption for Qualified Property Damaged by a Disaster" for further information related to a discussion of the applicability of this section of the Property Tax Code.

#### District's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinquencies

Taxes levied by the District are a personal obligation of the owner of the property. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all state and local taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property. The lien exists in favor of each taxing unit, including the District, having power to tax the property. The District's tax lien is on a parity with tax liens of such other taxing units. A tax lien on real property takes priority over the claim of most creditors and other holders of liens on the property encumbered by the tax lien, whether or not the debt or lien existed before the attachment of the tax lien; however, whether a lien of the United States is on a parity with or takes priority over a tax lien of the District is determined by applicable federal law. Personal property, under certain circumstances, is subject to seizure and sale for the payment of delinquent taxes, penalty, and interest.

At any time after taxes on property become delinquent, the District may file suit to foreclose the lien securing payment of the tax, to enforce personal liability for the tax, or both. In filing a suit to foreclose a tax lien on real property, the District must join other taxing units that have claims for delinquent taxes against all or part of the same property.

Collection of delinquent taxes may be adversely affected by the amount of taxes owed to other taxing units, adverse market conditions, taxpayer redemption rights, or bankruptcy proceedings which restrain the collection of a taxpayer's debt.

Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of actions by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases, post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

## TAX RATE LIMITATIONS

### M&O Tax Rate Limitations

A school district is authorized to levy M&O taxes subject to approval of a proposition submitted to district voters under Section 45.003(d) of the Texas Education Code, as amended. The maximum M&O tax rate that may be levied by a district cannot exceed the voted maximum rate or the maximum rate described in the next succeeding paragraph. The maximum voted M&O tax rate for the District is \$1.50 per \$100 of assessed valuation as approved by the voters at an election held on November 13, 1971 under Chapter 20, Texas Education Code (now codified as Section 45.003, Texas Education Code, as amended).

The maximum M&O tax rate per \$100 of taxable value that may be adopted by an independent school district is the sum of \$0.17 and the school district's MCR. A District's MCR is, generally, inversely proportional to the change in taxable property values both within the District and the State, and is subject to recalculation annually. For any year, the highest possible MCR for an independent school district is \$0.93.

Furthermore, a school district cannot annually increase its tax rate in excess of the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate without submitting such tax rate to an election and a majority of the voters voting at such election approving the adopted rate. See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate" herein.

#### I&S Tax Rate Limitations

A school district is also authorized to issue bonds and levy taxes for payment of bonds subject to voter approval of one or more propositions submitted to the voters under Section 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, which provides a tax unlimited as to rate or amount for the support of school district bonded indebtedness. See "THE BONDS – Security" herein.

Section 45.0031 of the Texas Education Code, as amended, requires a school district to demonstrate to the Texas Attorney General that it has the prospective ability to pay its maximum annual debt service on a proposed issue of bonds and all previously issued bonds, other than bonds approved by voters of a school district at an election held on or before April 1, 1991 and issued before September 1, 1992 (or debt issued to refund such bonds, collectively, "exempt bonds"), from a tax levied at a rate of \$0.50 of assessed valuation before bonds may be issued (the "50-cent Test"). In demonstrating the ability to pay debt service at a rate of \$0.50, a school district may take into account EDA and IFA allotments to the school district, which effectively reduces the school district's local share of debt service, and may also take into account Tier One funds allotted to the school district. If a school district exercises this option, it may not adopt an I&S tax until it has credited to the school district's I&S fund an amount equal to all State allotments provided solely for payment of debt service and any Tier One funds needed to demonstrate (compliance with the threshold tax rate test and which is received or to be received in that year. Additionally, a school district may demonstrate its ability to comply with the 50-cent Test by applying the \$0.50 tax rate to an amount equal to 90% of projected future taxable value of property in the school district, as certified by a registered professional appraiser, anticipated for the earlier of the tax year five (5) years after the current tax year or the tax year in which the final payment for the bonds is due. However, if a school district uses projected future taxable values to meet the 50-cent Test thore subject to the school district we can at a rate greater than \$0.50 per \$100 of valuation to pay for bonds subject to the est, then for subsequently imposes a tax at a rate greater than \$0.50 per \$100 of valuation to pay for bonds subject to the est. The proped bonds and all

#### **Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate**

A school district's total tax rate is the combination of the M&O tax rate and the I&S tax rate. Generally, the highest rate at which a school district may levy taxes for any given year without holding an election to approve the tax rate is the "Voter-Approval Tax Rate," as described below.

A school district is required to adopt its annual tax rate before the later of September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after the date the certified appraisal roll is received by the taxing unit, except that a tax rate that exceeds the Voter-Approval Tax Rate must be adopted not later than the seventy-first (71st) day before the next occurring November uniform election date. A school district's failure to adopt a tax rate equal to or less than the Voter-Approval Tax Rate by September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll, will result in the tax rate for such school district for the tax year to be the lower of the "no-new-revenue tax rate" calculated for that tax year or the tax rate adopted by the school district for the preceding tax year. A school district's failure to adopt a tax rate in excess of the Voter-Approval Tax Rate on or prior to the seventy-first (71st) day before the next occurring November uniform election date, will result in the school district adopting a tax rate equal to or less than its Voter-Approval Tax Rate by the later of September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll. "No-new-revenue tax rate" means the rate that will produce the prior year's total tax levy from the current year's total taxable values, adjusted such that lost values are not included in the calculation of the prior year's taxable values and new values are not included in the current year's taxable values.

The Voter-Approval Tax Rate for a school district is the sum of (i) the school district's MCR; (ii) the greater of (a) the school district's Enrichment Tax Rate for the preceding year, less any amount by which the school district is required to reduce its current year Enrichment Tax Rate pursuant to Section 48.202(f), Education Code, as amended, or (b) the rate of \$0.05 per \$100 of taxable value; and (iii) the school district's Current I&S tax rate. A school district's M&O tax rate may not exceed the rate equal to the sum of (i) \$0.17 and (ii) the school district's MCR. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" herein, for more information regarding the State Compression Percentage, MCR, and the Enrichment Tax Rate.

The governing body of a school district generally cannot adopt a tax rate exceeding the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate without approval by a majority of the voters approving the higher rate at an election to be held on the next uniform election date. Further, subject to certain exceptions for areas declared disaster areas, State law requires the board of trustees of a school district to conduct an efficiency audit before seeking voter approval to adopt a tax rate exceeding the Voter-Approval Tax Rate and sets certain parameters for conducting and disclosing the results of such efficiency audit. An election is not required for a tax increase to address increased expenditures resulting from certain natural disasters in the year following the year in which such disaster occurs; however, the amount by which the increased tax rate exceeds the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate for such year may not be considered by the school district in the calculation of its subsequent Voter-Approval Tax Rate.

The calculation of the Voter-Approval Tax Rate does not limit or impact the District's ability to set an I&S tax rate in each year sufficient to pay debt service on all of the District's tax-supported debt obligations, including the Bonds.

Before adopting its annual tax rate, a public meeting must be held for the purpose of adopting a budget for the succeeding year. A notice of public meeting to discuss the school district's budget and proposed tax rate must be published in the time, format and manner prescribed in Section 44.004 of the Texas Education Code. Section 44.004(e) of the Texas Education Code provides that a person who owns taxable property in a school district is entitled to an injunction restraining the collection of taxes by the school district if the school district has not complied with such notice requirements or the language and format requirements of such notice as set forth in Section 44.004(b), (c), (c-1), (c-2), and (d), and, if applicable, subsection (i), and if such failure to comply was not in good faith. Section 44.004(e) further provides the action to enjoin the collection of taxes must be filed before the date the school district delivers substantially all of its tax bills. A school district in which the school district participates has certified to the asperaisal roll, so long as the chief appraiser of the appraisal district in which the school district participates has certified to the assessor for the school district an estimate of the no-new-revenue tax rate and the Voter-Approval Tax Rate of the school district shall be calculated based on the school district's certified estimate of taxable value. A school district that adopts a tax rate before the adoptis a tax rate before receipt of the school district shall be calculated based on the school district's certified estimate of taxable value of property in the value. A school district that adopts a tax rate before rate provides that adopts a tax rate before the adoptis a tax rate before the adoptis a tax rate before the adoptis on fits budget, both the no-new-revenue tax rate and the Voter-Approval Tax Rate of the school district shall be calculated based on the school district's certified estimate of taxable value. A school district that adopts a tax rate before ra

A school district must annually calculate and prominently post on its internet website, and submit to the county tax assessorcollector for each county in which all or part of the school district is located, its Voter-Approval Tax Rate in accordance with forms prescribed by the State Comptroller.

## THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT

The Appraisal District has the responsibility for appraising property in the District as well as other taxing units in Titus County. The Appraisal District is governed by a board of directors appointed by members of the governing bodies of various political subdivisions within Titus County.

Property within the District is assessed as of January 1 of each year, taxes become due October 1 of the same year and become delinquent on February 1 of the following year.

The District does not tax personal property not used in the production of income, such as personal automobiles.

The District applies the State-mandated \$100,000 residence homestead exemption to taxable properties in the District.

The District does not collect an additional 20% penalty to defray attorney costs in the collection of delinquent taxes over and above the penalty automatically assessed under the Tax Code.

The District's taxes are collected by the Titus County Appraisal District.

The District does not allow split payments and does not give discounts for early payment of taxes.

The District does not participate in a tax increment financing zone. The District has not granted any tax abatements.

The District does not grant a portion of the additional local option exemption of up to 20% of the market value of residence homesteads.

The District has not granted the freeport exemption. The District has not taken action to tax goods-in-transit.

## EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The District's employees participate in a retirement plan (the "Plan") with the State of Texas. The Plan is administered by the Teacher Retirement System of Texas ("TRS"). State contributions are made to cover costs of the TRS retirement plan up to certain statutory limits. The District is obligated for a portion of TRS costs relating to employee salaries that exceed the statutory limit. Aside from the District's contribution to TRS, the District has no pension fund expenditures or liabilities. For fiscal year ended August 31, 2023, the District made a contribution to TRS on a portion of their employee's salaries that exceeded the statutory minimum. The District generally does not offer any post-employment retirement benefits and has no liabilities for "Other Post Employment Retirement Benefits" as defined in GASB Statement No. 45. For a discussion of the TRS retirement plan, see "Note F. 1 - Defined Benefits Pension Plan" to the audited financial statements of the District that are attached hereto as Appendix D (the "Financial Statements").

During the year ended August 31, 2023, employees of the District were covered by a fully-insured health insurance plan (the "Health Care Plan"). The District contributed \$325 per month per employee to the Health Care Plan. Employees, at their option, authorize payroll withholdings to pay premiums for dependents. See "Note I. – Risk Management - Health Care" to the Financial Statements.

Formal collective bargaining agreements relating directly to wages and other conditions of employment are prohibited by State law, as are strikes by teachers. There are various local, state and national organized employee groups who engage in efforts to better terms and conditions of employment of school employees. Some districts have adopted a policy to consult with employer groups with respect to certain terms and conditions of employment. Some examples of these groups are the Texas State Teachers Association, the Texas Classroom Teachers Association, the Association of Texas Professional Educators and the National Education Association.

### RATING

The Bonds are rated "AAA" by S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") based upon the guaranteed repayment thereof under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (as defined herein) of the Texas Education Agency. The District's underlying, unenhanced rating, including the Bonds, is "A" from S&P. A securities rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time.

An explanation of the significance of such ratings may be obtained from the company furnishing the ratings. The ratings reflect only the view of such organization and the District makes no representation as to the appropriateness of any rating. There is no assurance that any rating will continue for any given period of time one or both of such ratings will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by the rating company, if in the judgment of such company the circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of one or more ratings, may have an adverse effect on the market price or marketability of the Bonds.

#### LEGAL MATTERS

The delivery of the Bonds is subject to the approval of the Attorney General of Texas, who will deliver its opinion, to the effect that the Bonds are valid and legally binding obligations of the District payable from the proceeds of an annual ad valorem tax levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, upon all taxable property in the District, and based upon examination of such transcript of proceedings, the approving legal opinion of Powell Law Group, L.L.P., Austin, Texas and Haynes and Boone, LLP, Houston, Texas, Co-Bond Counsel, to like effect and the opinion of Kine Alvarado Veio, P,C., Denver, Colorado, Special Tax Counsel to the District, to the effect that the interest on the Bonds will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, subject to the matters described under "TAX MATTERS" herein. The forms of Co-Bond Counsel's and Special Tax Counsel's opinions are attached hereto as Appendix C.

Powell Law Group, L.L.P. and Haynes and Boone, LLP, represent the Financial Advisor and purchasers of school district bonds from time to time in matters unrelated to the issuance of the Bonds, but they have been engaged by and only represent the District in the issuance of the Bonds. Co-Bond Counsel was not requested to participate, and did not take part, in the preparation of the Official Statement, and such firm has not assumed any responsibility with respect thereto or undertaken independently to verify any of the information contained herein, except that, in its capacity as Co-Bond Counsel, such firms have reviewed the information describing the Bonds in the Official Statement to verify that such description conforms to the provisions of the Order. The District intends to pay the legal fee of Co-Bond Counsel for services rendered in connection with the issuance of the Bonds from the proceeds of the Bonds.

The various legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds express the professional judgment of the attorneys rendering the opinions as to the legal issues explicitly addressed therein. In rendering a legal opinion, the attorney does not become an insurer or guarantor of the expression of professional judgment, of the transaction opined upon, or of the future performance of the parties to the transaction. Nor does the rendering of an opinion guarantee the outcome of any legal dispute that may arise out of the transaction.

## TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Kline Alvarado Veio, P.C., Special Tax Counsel, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for purposes of federal income tax under existing laws as enacted and construed on the date of initial delivery of the Bonds, assuming the accuracy of the certifications and continuing compliance with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Interest on the Bonds is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax; but, such interest is taken into account in determining the annual adjusted financial statement income of certain corporations for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on corporations for tax years beginning after December 31, 2022.

The opinion described above assumes the accuracy of certain representations and compliance by the District with covenants designed to satisfy the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") that must be met subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds. Failure to comply with such requirements could cause interest on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issuance of the Bonds. The District has covenanted to comply with such requirements. Co-Bond Counsel has expressed no opinion regarding other federal tax consequences arising with respect to the Bonds.

The accrual or receipt of interest on the Bonds may otherwise affect the federal income tax liability of the owners of the Bonds. The extent of these other tax consequences will depend on such owners' particular tax status and other items of income or deduction. Special Tax Counsel has expressed no opinion regarding any such consequences. Purchasers of the Bonds, particularly purchasers that are corporations (including S corporations and foreign corporations operating branches in the United States of America, and applicable corporations as defined in Section 59(k) of the Code relating to the alternative minimum tax imposed on corporations for tax years beginning after December 31, 2022), property or casualty insurance companies, banks, thrifts or other financial institutions, certain recipients of social security or railroad retirement benefits, taxpayers entitled to claim the earned income credit, taxpayers entitled to claim the refundable credit in Section 36B of the Code for coverage under a qualified health plan or taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations, should consult their tax advisors as to the tax consequences of purchasing or owning the Bonds.

#### **Qualified Tax-Exempt Obligations for Financial Institutions**

Section 265(a) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that interest paid or incurred by a taxpayer, including a "financial institution," on indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations is not deductible in determining the taxpayer's taxable income. Section 265(b) of the Code provides an exception to the disallowance of such deduction for any interest expense paid or incurred on indebtedness of a taxpayer that is a "financial institution" allocable to tax-exempt obligations, other than "private activity bonds", that are designated by a "qualified small issuer" as "qualified tax-exempt obligations." A "qualified small issuer" is any governmental issuer (together with any "on-behalf of" and "subordinate" issuers) who issues no more than \$10,000 of tax-exempt obligations during the calendar year. Section 265(b)(5) of the Code defines the term "financial institution" as any "bank" described in section 585(a)(2) of the Code, or any person accepting deposits from the public in the ordinary course of such person's trade or business that is subject to federal or state supervision as a financial institution. Notwithstanding the exception to the disallowance of the deduction of interest on indebtedness related to "qualified tax-exempt obligations" provided by section 265(b)

of the Code, section 291 of the Code provides that the allowable deduction to a "bank," as defined in section 585(a)(2) of the Code, for interest on indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase "qualified tax-exempt obligations" shall be reduced by twenty-percent (20%) as a "financial institution preference item."

The District has designated the Bonds as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" within the meaning of section 265(b) of the Code. In furtherance of that designation, the District has covenanted to take such action that would assure, or to refrain from such action that would adversely affect, the treatment of the Bonds as "qualified tax-exempt obligations." Potential purchasers should be aware that if the issue price to the public exceeds \$10,000,000, there is a reasonable basis to conclude that the payment of a de minimis amount of premium in excess of \$10,000,000 is disregarded; however the Internal Revenue Service could take a contrary view. If the Internal Revenue Service takes the position that the amount of such premium is not disregarded, then such obligations might fail to satisfy the \$10,000,000 limitation and the Bonds would not be "qualified tax-exempt obligations."

#### **INVESTMENT POLICIES**

#### Investments

The District invests its funds in investments authorized by Texas law in accordance with investment policies approved by the Board of the District. Both State law and the District's investment policies are subject to change.

#### Legal Investments

Under State law, the District is authorized to invest in: (1) obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, including the Federal Home Loan Banks; (2) direct obligations of the State or its agencies and instrumentalities; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States; (4) other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by, or backed by the full faith and credit of, the State or the United States, or their preparities agencies and instrumentalities including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities, including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States; (5) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than A or its equivalent; (6) bonds issued, assumed, or guaranteed by the State of Israel; (7) interest-bearing banking deposits that are guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (the "NCUSIF") or their respective successors; (8) interest-bearing banking deposits, other than those described in clause (7), that (i) are invested through a broker or institution with a main office or branch office in this state and selected by the District in compliance with the PFIA, (ii) the broker or institution arranges for the deposit of the funds in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the District's account, (iii) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of the banking deposits is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States, and (iv) the District appoints as its custodian of the banking deposits, in compliance with the PFIA, the institution in clause (8)(i) above, a bank, or a broker-dealer; (9) certificates of deposit and share certificates meeting the requirements of the PFIA (i) that are issued by an institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State and are guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or the NCUSIF, or their respective successors, or are secured as to principal by obligations described in clauses (1) through (8), above, or secured in accordance with Chapter 2257, Texas Government Code, or in any other manner and amount provided by law for District deposits, or (ii) where (a) the funds are invested by the District through a broker or institution that has a main office or branch office in the State and selected by the District in compliance with the PFIA, (b) the broker or institution arranges for the deposit of the funds in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the District, (c) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (d) the District appoints, in compliance with the PFIA, the institution in clause (9)(ii)(a) above, a bank, or broker-dealer as custodian for the District with the period of the the period of t with respect to the certificates of deposit; (10) fully collateralized repurchase agreements that have a defined termination date, are secured by a combination of cash and obligations described by clauses (1) or (12), which are pledged to the District, held in the District's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with the District or with a third party selected and approved by the District and are placed through a primary government scurities dealer, as defined by the Federal Reserve, or a financial institution doing business in the State; (11) certain bankers' acceptances with a stated maturity of 270 days or fewer, if the short-term obligations of the accepting bank, or of the holding company of which the bank is the largest subsidiary, are rated not less than A-1 or P-1 or the equivalent by at least one nationally recognized credit rating agency; (12) commercial paper with a stated maturity of 365 days or fewer that is rated at least A-1 or P-1 or an equivalent by either (i) two nationally recognized credit rating agency; is fully secured by an expression of the accepting bank. agenciés, or (ii) one nationally recognized credit rating agency if the commercial paper is fully secured by an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a United States or state bank; (13) no-load money market mutual funds registered with and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 2a-7; (14) no-load mutual funds that are registered and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission that have a weighted maturity of less than two years and either (i) have a duration of one year or more and are invested exclusively in obligations approved in this paragraph, or (ii) have a duration of less than one year and the investment portfolio is limited to investment grade securities, excluding asset or (ii) have a duration of less than one year and the investment portfolio is limited to investment grade securities, excluding asset backed securities; (15) guaranteed investment contracts that have a defined termination date and are secured by obligations described in clause (1), excluding obligations which the District is explicitly prohibited from investing in, and in an amount at least equal to the amount of bond proceeds invested under such contract; and (16) securities lending programs if (i) the securities loaned under the program are 100% collateralized, including accrued income, (ii) a loan made under the program allows for termination at any time, (iii) a loan made under the program is either secured by (a) obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) above, (b) irrevocable letters of credit issued by a state or national bank that is continuously rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm at not less than A or its equivalent, or (c) cash invested in obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) above, clauses (12) through (14) above, or an authorized investment pool. (iv) the terms of a loan made under the program require that the securities through (14) above, or an authorized investment pool, (iv) the terms of a loan made under the program require that the securities being held as collateral be pledged to the District, held in the District's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with the District or with a third party designated by the District, (v) a loan made under the program is placed through either a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State, and (vi) the agreement to lend securities has a term of one year or less.

The District may invest in such obligations directly or through government investment pools that invest solely in such obligations provided that the pools are rated no lower than "AAA" or "AAAm" or an equivalent by at least one nationally recognized rating service.

The District is specifically prohibited from investing in: (1) obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal; (2) obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security and bears no interest; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations that have a stated final maturity of greater than 10 years; and (4) collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which is determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in a market index.

Under State law, the District may contract with an investment management firm registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. Section 80b-1 et seq.) or with the State Securities Board to provide for the investment and management of its public funds or other funds under its control for a term of up to two years, but the District retains ultimate responsibility as fiduciary of its assets. In order to renew or extend such a contract, the District must do so by order, ordinance or resolution. The District

has not contracted with, and has no present intention of contracting with, any such investment management firm or the Texas Securities Board to provide such services.

### **Investment Policies**

Under State law, the District is required to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity; that address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management; and that includes a list of authorized investments for District funds, maximum allowable stated maturity of any individual investment owned by the District and the maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed for pooled fund groups. All District funds must be invested consistent with a formally adopted "Investment Strategy Statement" that specifically addresses each fund's investment. Each Investment Strategy Statement will describe its objectives concerning: (1) suitability of investment type, (2) preservation and safety of principal, (3) liquidity, (4) marketability of each investment, (5) diversification of the portfolio, and (6) yield.

State law also requires that District investments must be made "with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person's own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived." At least quarterly the investment officers of the District shall submit an investment report detailing: (1) the investment position of the District, (2) that all investment officers jointly prepared and signed the report, (3) the beginning market value, any additions and changes to market value and the ending value of each pooled fund group, (4) the book value and market value of each separately listed asset at the beginning and end of the reporting period, (5) the maturity date of each separately invested asset, (6) the account or fund or pooled fund group for which each individual investment was acquired, and (7) the compliance of the investment portfolio as it relates to: (a) adopted investment strategy statements and (b) State law. No person may invest District funds without express written authority from the Board.

### **Additional Provisions**

Under State law, the District is additionally required to: (1) annually review its adopted policies and strategies, (2) adopt a rule, order, ordinance or resolution stating that it has reviewed its investment policy and investment strategies and records any changes made to either its investment policy or investment strategy in the respective rule, order, ordinance or resolution, (3) require any investment officers with personal business relationships or relatives with firms seeking to sell securities to the entity to disclose the relationship and file a statement with the Texas Ethics Commission and the Board; (4) require the qualified representative of firms offering to engage in an investment transaction with the District to: (a) receive and review the District's investment policy, (b) acknowledge that reasonable controls and procedures have been implemented to preclude investment transactions conducted between the District and the business organization that are not authorized by the District's investment policy (except to the extent that this authorization is dependent on an analysis of the makeup of the District's entire portfolio or requires an interpretation of subjective investment standards), and (c) deliver a written statement in a form acceptable to the District and the business organization attesting to these requirements; (5) perform an annual audit of the management controls on investments and adherence to the District's investment policy; (6) provide specific investment training for the Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and investment officers; (7) restrict reverse repurchase agreements to not more than 90 days and restrict the investment of reverse repurchase agreement funds to no greater than the term of the reverse purchase agreement; (8) restrict the investment in no-load mutual funds in the aggregate to no more than 15% of the District's monthly average fund balance, excluding bond proceeds and reserves and other funds held for debt service; (9) require local government investment pools to conform to the new disclosure, rating, net asset value, yield calculation, and advisory board requirements, and (10) at least annually review, revise, and adopt a list of qualified brokers that are authorized to engage in investment transactions with the District.

#### Current Investments

As of June 30, 2024, the District has approximately \$7,994,255 (unaudited) invested in the TexClass, \$57 (unaudited) invested in TexPool (both of which are government investment pools which generally have the characteristics of a money market mutual fund) and \$1,727,083 (unaudited) invested in an interest bearing account at a local bank. The market value of such investments (as determined by the District by reference to published quotations, dealer bids, and comparable information) is approximately 100% of the book value. No funds of the District are invested in derivative securities; i.e., securities whose rate of return is determined by reference to some other instrument, index, or commodity.

## **REGISTRATION AND QUALIFICATION OF BONDS FOR SALE**

No registration statement relating to the Bonds has been filed with the SEC under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon the exemption provided thereunder by Section 3(a)(2). The Bonds have not been approved or disapproved by the SEC, nor has the SEC passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the Official Statement. The Bonds have not been registered or qualified under the Securities Act of Texas in reliance upon various exemptions contained therein; nor have the Bonds been registered or qualified under the securities acts of any other jurisdiction. The District assumes no responsibility for registration or qualification of the Bonds under the securities laws of any jurisdiction in which the Bonds may be sold, assigned, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise transferred. This disclaimer of responsibility for registration or qualification for sale or other disposition of the Bonds shall not be construed as an interpretation of any kind with regard to the availability of any exemption from securities registration or qualification provisions.

It is the obligation of the Purchaser to register or qualify the sale of the Bonds under the securities laws of any jurisdiction which so requires. The District has agreed to cooperate, at the Purchaser's written request and sole expense, in registering or qualifying the Bonds or in obtaining an exemption from registration or qualification in any state where such action is necessary; provided, however, that the District shall not be required to qualify as a foreign corporation or to execute a general or special consent to service of process in any jurisdiction.

# CYBERSECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT

The District's operations are increasingly dependent on information technologies and services, which are exposed to cybersecurity risks and cyber incidents or attacks. While the District continually assesses and monitors its cybersecurity risks, the District has been (and may be in the future) subject to cyber-attacks from time to time. In response to such assessments and monitoring, the District takes actions it deems appropriate in response to cybersecurity risks, including, but not limited to, implementing cybersecurity training programs, obtaining technology improvements to mitigate cybersecurity risks, and taking other similar measures. To date, the District has not been the victim of any cyber-attack that has had a material adverse effect on its operations or financial condition. However, no assurance can be given that the District will fully prevent or successfully remediate the operational and/or financial impact of any cybersecurity incursions or incidents arising from events wholly or partially beyond the District's control, including electrical telecommunications outages, natural disasters or cyber-attacks initiated by criminal activities of individuals or organizations. Any such occurrence could materially and adversely affect the District's operations and/or financial condition.

#### FINANCIAL ADVISOR

SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. (the "Financial Advisor") is employed as Financial Advisor to the District to assist in the issuance of the Bonds. In this capacity, the Financial Advisor has compiled certain data relating to the Bonds that is contained in this Official Statement. The Financial Advisor has not independently verified any of the data contained herein or conducted a detailed investigation of the affairs of the District to determine the accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement. Because of their limited participation, the Financial Advisor assumes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of the information contained herein. The fee of the Financial Advisor for services with respect to the Bonds is contingent upon the issuance and sale of the Bonds. In the normal course of business, the Financial Advisor may from time to time sell investment securities to the District for the investment of bond proceeds or other funds of the District upon the request of the District.

The Financial Advisor has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Financial Advisor has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to the District and, as applicable, to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Financial Advisor does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

#### LEGAL INVESTMENTS AND ELIGIBILITY TO SECURE PUBLIC FUNDS IN TEXAS

Section 1201.041 of the Public Securities Procedures Act (Chapter 1201, Texas Government Code) provides that the Bonds are negotiable instruments governed by Chapter 8, Texas Business and Commerce Code, and are legal and authorized investments for insurance companies, fiduciaries, and trustees, and for the sinking funds of municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State. With respect to investment in the Bonds by municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State, the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code, requires that the Bonds be assigned a rating of not less than "A" or its equivalent as to investment quality by a national rating agency. See "RATING" herein. In addition, various provisions of the Texas Finance Code provide that, subject to a prudent investor standard, the Bonds are legal investments for state banks, savings banks, trust companies with at least \$1 million of capital, and savings and loan associations. The Bonds are eligible to secure deposits of any public funds of the State, its agencies, and its political subdivisions, and are legal security for those deposits to the extent of their market value.

The District has made no investigation of other laws, rules, regulations or investment criteria which might apply to such institutions or entities or which might limit the suitability of the Bonds for any of the foregoing purposes or limit the authority of such institutions or entities to purchase or invest in the Bonds for such purposes. The District has made no review of laws in other states to determine whether the Bonds are legal investments for various institutions in those states.

#### CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

In the Bond Order, the District has made the following agreement for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The District is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains obligated to advance funds to pay the Bonds. Under the agreement, the District will be obligated to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually, and timely notice of specified events, to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB"). For a description of the continuing disclosure obligations of the TEA, see "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" attached hereto. The information provided to the MSRB will be available to the public free of charge via the Electronic Municipal Markets Access ("EMMA") system at www.emma.msrb.org.

#### Annual Reports

The District will provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually to the MSRB. The information to be updated includes financial information and operating data with respect to the District of the general type included in this Official Statement in Appendix A (such information being the "Annual Operating Report"). The District will additionally provide financial statements of the District (the "Financial Statements"), that will be (i) prepared in accordance with the accounting principles described in Appendix D or such other accounting principles as the District may be required to employ from time to time pursuant to State law or regulation and shall be in substantially the form included in Appendix D and (ii) audited, if the District commissions an audit of such Financial Statements and the audit is completed within the period during which they must be provided. The District will update and provide the Annual Operating Report within six months after the end of each fiscal year and the Financial Statements within 12 months after the end of each fiscal year, in each case beginning with the fiscal year ending in and after 2024. The District may provide the Financial Statements is not complete within 12 months after any such fiscal year end, then the District shall file unaudited Financial Statements within such 12-months after any such fiscal year end, then the District shall file unaudited Financial Statements within such 12-months enter any such Financial Statements for the applicable fiscal year, when and if the audit report on such Financial Statements within such 12-months enter any such Financial Statements for the applicable fiscal year, when and if the audit report on such Financial Statements becomes available.

The District may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly available documents, as permitted by Rule 15c2-12.

The District's current fiscal year end is August 31. Accordingly, the Annual Operating Report must be provided by the last day of February in each year, and the Financial Statements must be provided by August 31 of each year, unless the District changes its fiscal year. If the District changes its fiscal year, it will notify the MSRB of the change.

#### Notice of Certain Events

The District will also provide timely notices of certain events to the MSRB. The District will provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds to the MSRB in a timely manner (but not in excess of ten business days after the occurrence of the event): (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) non-payment related defaults, if material; (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB), or other material notices or determinations to rights of holders of the Bonds, if material; (8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers; (9) defeasances; (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds, if material; (11) rating changes; (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the District, which shall occur as described below; (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into of a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; (14) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a financial obligation of the District, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the District, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of the District, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of accele

enhancement. In the Order, the District adopted policies and procedures to ensure timely compliance of its continuing disclosure undertakings.

For these purposes, (a) an event described in clause (12) of in the immediately preceding paragraph is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the District in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District. For the purposes of the above describe event notices (15) and (16), the term "financial obligation" means a (i) debt obligation, (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation, or (iii) a guarantee of (i) or (ii); provided however, that a "financial obligation" shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement (as defined in Rule 15c2-12) has been provided to the MSRB consistent with Rule 15c2-12.

#### Availability of Information

All information and documentation filing required to be made by the District in accordance with its undertaking made for the Bonds will be made with the MSRB in electronic format in accordance with MSRB guidelines. Access to such filings will be provided, without charge to the general public, by the MSRB through EMMA at www.emma.msrb.org.

#### **Limitations and Amendments**

The District has agreed to update information and to provide notices of specified events only as described above. The District has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that has been provided except as described above. The District makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Bonds at any future date. The District disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the District to comply with its agreement. Nothing in this paragraph is intended or shall act to disclaim, waive or limit the District's duties under federal or state securities laws.

The District may amend its continuing disclosure agreement to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the District, if, but only if, (1) the agreement, as so amended, would have permitted underwriters to purchase or sell Bonds in the initial primary offering in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 to the date of such amendment, as well as such changed circumstances, and (2) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Bonds consent or (b) any qualified person unaffiliated with the District (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that the amendment will not materially impair the interests of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The District may also amend or repeal the provisions of this continuing disclosure agreement if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provision of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling Bonds in the primary offering of the Bonds. If the District amends its agreement, it has agreed to include with the financial information and operating data next provided, in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports" an explanation, in narrative form, of the reasons for the amendment and of the impact of any change in the type of information and operating data so provided.

#### Compliance with Prior Undertakings

During the last five years, the District has complied in all material respects with all continuing disclosure agreements made by it in accordance with Rule 15c2-12.

#### LITIGATION

In the opinion of District officials, the District is not a party to any litigation or other proceeding pending or to their knowledge threatened, in any court, agency or other administrative body (either state or federal) which, if decided adversely to the District, would have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the District.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained in this Official Statement, and in any other information provided by the District, that are not purely historical, are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the District's expectations, hopes, intentions, or strategies regarding the future. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement are based on information available to the District on the date hereof, and the District assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. It is important to note that the District's actual results could differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements herein are necessarily based on various assumptions and estimates and are inherently subject to various risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties relating to the possible invalidity of the underlying assumptions and estimates and possible changes or developments in social, economic, business, industry, market, legal and regulatory circumstances and conditions and actions taken or omitted to be taken by third parties, including customers, suppliers, business partners and competitors, and legislative, judicial and other governmental authorities and officials. Assumptions related to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive, and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of the District. Any of such assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement would prove to be accurate.

#### WINNING BIDDER

After requesting competitive bids for the Bonds, the District accepted the bid of Raymond James & Associates, Inc. (the "Purchaser") or the "Initial Purchaser") to purchase the Bonds at the interest rates shown on the page 2 of this Official Statement at a price of par, plus a net reoffering premium of \$469,069.60, plus accrued interest on the Bonds from their Dated Date to their date of initial delivery. The initial reoffering yields shown on page 2 hereof will produce compensation to the Purchaser in the amount of \$56,796.16. The District can give no assurance that any trading market will be developed for the District after their sale by the District to the Purchaser. The District has no control over the price at which the Bonds are subsequently sold and the initial yield at which the Bonds will be the responsibility of the Purchaser.

#### CERTIFICATION OF THE OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND NO LITIGATION

At the time of payment for and delivery of the Initial Bond, the Purchaser will be furnished a certificate, executed by proper officials of the District, acting in their official capacities, to the effect that to the best of their knowledge and belief: (a) the descriptions and statements of or pertaining to the District contained in its Official Statement, and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto,

for the Bonds, on the date of such Official Statement, on the date of sale of said Bonds and the acceptance of the best bid therefor, and on the date of the delivery, were and are true and correct in all material respects; (b) insofar as the District and its affairs, including its financial affairs, are concerned, such Official Statement did not and does not contain an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; (c) insofar as the descriptions and statements including financial data, of or pertaining to entities, other than the District, and their activities contained in such Official Statement are concerned, such statements and data have been obtained from sources which the District believes to be reliable and the District has no reason to believe that they are untrue in any material respect; (d) except as may be otherwise described in the Official Statement, there has been no material adverse change in the financial condition of the District, since August 31, 2023, the date of the last financial statements of the District appearing in the Official Statement; and (e) no litigation of any nature has been filed or is pending, as of the date hereof, to restrain or enjoin the issuance or delivery of the Bonds or which would affect the provisions made for their payment or security or in any manner question the validity of the Bonds.

## CONCLUDING STATEMENT

No person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the District. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy in any state in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

The information set forth herein has been obtained from the District's records, audited financial statements and other sources which the District considers to be reliable. There is no guarantee that any of the assumptions or estimates contained herein will ever be realized. All of the summaries of the statutes, documents and the Order contained in this Official Statement are made subject to all of the provisions of such statutes, documents, and the Order. These summaries do not purport to be complete statements of such provisions and reference is made to such summarized documents for further information. Reference is made to official documents in all respects.

The Order authorized the issuance of the Bonds and approved the form and content of this Official Statement and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto and authorized its further use in the re-offering of the Bonds by the Purchaser. The Board has approved the Official Statement for distribution in accordance with the provisions of Rule 15c2-12.

/s/ Jeremy Hampton

President, Board of Trustees

ATTEST:

/s/ Jennifer Abbott

Secretary, Board of Trustees

APPENDIX A

FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE DISTRICT

(this page intentionally left blank)

#### CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Financial Information

#### ASSESSED VALUATION (1)

2024/25 Total Valuation			\$ 464,216,443
Less Exemptions & Deductions <sup>(2)</sup> :			
State Homestead Exemption	\$ 71,	038,521	
State Over-65 Exemption	2,	574,147	
Disabled Exemption	3,	083,506	
Veterans Exemption		224,091	
Surviving Spouse 100% Disabled Exemption		519,396	
Productivity Loss	128,	670,504	
Homestead Cap Loss	25,	973,760	
Non-Homestead (23.231) Cap Loss		906,202	
	\$ 232,	990,127	
2024/25 Certified Net Taxable Valuation			\$ 231.226.316

(1) Source: Certified Values from the Titus County Appraisal District as of July 24, 2024. The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 7, 2023 increased the homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES -- Residential Homestead Exemptions" in this Official Statement. (2) Excludes the values on which property taxes are frozen for persons 65 years of age or older and disabled taxpayers which totaled \$18,477,215 in 2023/24.

#### VOTED GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT

Unlimited Tax Bonds Outstanding		\$ 1,355,000
Plus: The Bonds		9,260,000
Total Unlimited Tax Bonds		\$ 10,615,000
Less: Interest & Sinking Fund Balance (As of August 31, 2023) <sup>(1)</sup>		(198,687)
Net General Obligation Debt		\$ 10,416,313
Ratio of Net G.O. Debt to Net Taxable Valuation <sup>(2)</sup>	4.50%	
2024 Population Estimate <sup>(3)</sup>	2,955	
Per Capita Net Taxable Valuation	\$78.249	
Per Capita Net G.O. Debt	\$3,525	
	1 - )	

Source: Chapel Hill ISD Audited Financial Statements.
 The ratio does not include the Maintenance Tax Notes which are payable solely from the limited maintenance and operations tax or other lawfully available funds of the District and does not include the portion of the District's outstanding debt service that is payable from any debt subsidies that may be provided by the State of Texas. The District expects to receive state funding assistance for voted bond debt service equal to approximately 38% of its debt service requirements for its unlimited tax debt service for the 2023/24 fiscal year. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement and "DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS" and "MAINTENANCE TAX NOTES" in this appendix and see the "Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2023" in Appendix D for more information relative to the District's outstanding obligations.
 Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas.

#### PROPERTY TAX RATES AND COLLECTIONS

	Net						
	Taxable					% Collec	tions <sup>(6)</sup>
Fiscal Year	 Valuation		Т	ax Rate		Current <sup>(7)</sup>	Total (7)
2006/07	\$ 84,731,502	(1)	\$	1.4250	(8)	97.18%	102.31%
2007/08	92,112,471	(1)		1.1551	(8)	96.14%	99.08%
2008/09	104,297,465	(1)		1.1477		96.67%	99.23%
2009/10	115,027,139	(1)		1.1477		95.80%	99.19%
2010/11	114,777,531	(1)		1.1369		97.65%	102.31%
2011/12	107,165,613	(1)		1.1385		97.54%	100.41%
2012/13	109,077,776	(1)		1.1379		97.45%	99.33%
2013/14	109,061,907	(1)		1.1459		97.97%	100.67%
2014/15	112,366,124	(1)		1.1459		97.97%	99.32%
2015/16	110,941,665	(1) (2)		1.1459		97.82%	99.93%
2016/17	119,944,575	(1) (2)		1.1459		96.89%	98.90%
2017/18	129,660,138	(1) (2)		1.1459		97.68%	100.48%
2018/19	139,767,638	(1) (2)		1.1459		98.01%	101.05%
2019/20	146,883,930	(1) (2)		1.1459	(9)	97.27%	99.20%
2020/21	158,899,146	(1) (2)		1.1038		98.22%	99.73%
2021/22	175,482,319	(1) (2)		1.0522		97.91%	100.66%
2022/23	198,516,018	(1) (3)		1.0101		97.21%	99.22%
2023/24	213,017,738	(1) (4)		0.8405		(In Process o	of Collection)
2024/25	231,226,316	(4) (5)					

Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - Property Tax Division.

Source: Comprover or Public Accounts - Property 1ax Division.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 3, 2015 increased the homestead exemption from \$15,000 to \$25,000.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 7, 2022 increased the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$40,000.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 7, 2023 increased the homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$40,000.
 Source: Certified Values from the Titus County Appraisal District as of July 24, 2024.
 Source: Chapel Hill ISD Audited Financial Statements.

(b) Source. Chapter hill ISD Audited Final Statements.
 (c) Source of penalties and interest.
 (d) The declines in the District's Maintenance & Operation Tax for the 2006/07 and 2007/08 fiscal years are a function of House Bill 1 adopted by the Texas Legislature in May 2006. See "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS" and "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement.
 (e) The decline in the District's Maintenance & Operation Tax for the 2019/19 fiscal year to the 2019/05 fiscal years is a function of House Bill 1 adopted by the Texas Legislature in June 2019. See "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS" and "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement.

## TAX RATE DISTRIBUTION (1)

	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24
Maintenance & Operations <sup>(2)</sup> Debt Service	\$1.0527 \$0.0932	\$0.9979 \$0.1059	\$0.9447 \$0.1075	\$0.9268 \$0.0833	\$0.7414 \$0.0991
Total Tax Rate	\$1.1459	\$1.1038	\$1.0522	\$1.0101	\$0.8405

(1) On August 27, 2016 the District successfully held a tax ratification election at which voters approved a maintenance and operations tax rate of \$1.1459. (2) The decline in the District's Maintenance & Operations Tax from the 2019/20 fiscal year to the 2023/24 fiscal year is a function of House Bill 3 adopted by the Texas Legislature in June 2019.

### VALUATION AND FUNDED DEBT HISTORY

Fiscal Net		Bond Debt	Ratio		
Year	Taxable Valuation	Outstanding <sup>(1)</sup>	Debt to A.V. <sup>(2)</sup>		
2006/07	\$ 84,731,502	\$ 4,495,000	5.30%		
2007/08	92,112,471	4,375,000	4.75%		
2008/09	104,297,465	4,250,000	4.07%		
2009/10	115,027,139	4,115,000	3.58%		
2010/11	114,777,531	3,975,000	3.46%		
2011/12	107,165,613	3,775,000	3.52%		
2012/13	109,077,776	3,645,000	3.34%		
2013/14	109,061,907	3,535,000	3.24%		
2014/15	112,366,124	3,355,000	2.99%		
2015/16	110,941,665	3,145,000	2.83%		
2016/17	119,944,575	2,940,000	2.45%		
2017/18	129,660,138	2,730,000	2.11%		
2018/19	139,767,638	2,515,000	1.80%		
2019/20	146,883,930	2,295,000	1.56%		
2020/21	158,899,146	2,070,000	1.30%		
2021/22	175,482,319	1,840,000	1.05%		
2022/23	198,516,018	1,600,000	0.81%		
2023/24	213,017,738	1,355,000	0.64%		
2024/25	231,226,316 <sup>(3)</sup>	10,360,000 (4)	4.48%		

(1) At Fiscal Year End.
 (2) See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement, "DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS" and "MAINTENANCE TAX NOTES" in this Appendix and see the "Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2023" in Appendix D for more information.

(3) Source: Certified Values from the Titus County Appraisal District as of July 24, 2024.

(4) Includes the Bonds.

### ESTIMATED OVERLAPPING DEBT STATEMENT

Taxing Body	Amount	Percent Overlapping	Amount Overlapping		
Northeast Texas CCD Titus County	\$ 22,162,476 16,470,036	4.49% 7.44%	\$	995,095 1,225,371	
Total Overlapping Debt <sup>(1)</sup>			\$	2,220,466	
Chapel Hill Independent School District (2)				10,416,313	
Total Direct & Overlapping Debt <sup>(2)</sup>			\$	12,636,779	
Ratio of Net Direct & Overlapping Debt to Net Per Capita Direct & Overlapping Debt	Taxable Valuation	5.47% \$4,276			

(1) Equals gross debt less self-supporting debt.

(2) Includes the Bonds.

Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas. The District has not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of such information (except for the amounts relating to the District), and no person should rely upon such information as being accurate or complete.

## 2024/25 Top Ten Taxpayers

				% of Net	
Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business	Ta	Taxable Value		
Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Electric Utility	\$	6,388,787	2.76%	
Best Fender Products Inc.	Industrial Manufacturing		3,770,645	1.63%	
His Glory	Residential		1,735,912	0.75%	
Rhory & Sherri Wilson	Residential		1,453,528	0.63%	
Bowie Cass Electric Co-Op Inc.	Electric Utility		1,288,700	0.56%	
Milan & Yanet Sekulic	Residential		1,185,654	0.51%	
2310 Investments Inc.	Apartments		1,185,583	0.51%	
Kansas City Southern Railway	Railroad		1,182,350	0.51%	
Jason W. & Rebecca M. Bell	Residential		1,157,826	0.50%	
Duc Anh LLC	Residential		1,116,765	0.52%	
		\$	20,465,750	8.89%	

# 2023/24 Top Ten Taxpayers

				% of Net	
Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business	Та	axable Value	Valuation	
Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Electric Utility	\$	6,271,353	2.94%	
Best Fender Products Inc.	Industrial Manufacturing		3,948,359	1.85%	
His Glory	Residential		1,816,940	0.85%	
Rhory & Sherri Wilson	Residential		1,369,837	0.64%	
Bowie Cass Electric Co-Op Inc.	Electric Utility		1,234,400	0.58%	
Kansas City Southern Railway	Railroad		1,182,350	0.56%	
Milan & Yanet Sekulic	Residential		1,134,609	0.53%	
Duc Anh LLC	Residential		1,131,330	0.53%	
2310 Investments Inc.	Apartments		1,111,000	0.52%	
Albert Joseph & Lynn Cicman	Residential		1,015,357	0.51%	
		\$	20,215,535	9.52%	

# 2022/23 Top Ten Taxpayers

% of Net

Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business	Та	Taxable Value	
Southwestern Electric Power Co.	Electric Utility	\$	6,009,624	3.03%
Best Fender Products Inc.	Industrial Manufacturing		2,548,907	1.28%
Rhory & Sherri Wilson	Residential		1,372,866	0.69%
Albert Joseph & Lynn Cicman	Residential		1,299,604	0.65%
Bowie Cass Electric Co-Op Inc.	Electric Utility		1,179,340	0.59%
Milan & Yanet Sekulic	Residential		1,145,240	0.58%
Duc Anh LLC	Residential		1,078,309	0.54%
Kansas City Southern Railway	Railroad		1,065,030	0.54%
2310 Investments Inc.	Apartments		911,000	0.46%
Barry Hamilton	Residential		840,197	0.48%
		\$	17,450,117	8.85%

(1) Source: Titus County Appraisal District.

## CLASSIFICATION OF ASSESSED VALUATION BY USE CATEGORY

Category		<u>2024/25</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	% of <u>Total</u> <u>2023/24</u> <sup>(2)</sup>		<u>2023/24</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	% of <u>Total</u>		<u>2022/23</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	% of <u>Total</u>
Real, Residential, Single-Family	\$	109,053,301	23.49%	\$	102,039,313	22.30%	\$	82,267,712	23.60%
Real, Residential, Multi-Family		1,303,982	0.28%		897,581	0.20%		880,178	0.25%
Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts		2,644,399	0.57%		2,155,996	0.47%		1,000,391	0.29%
Real, Qualified Land & Improvements		139,059,876	29.96%		146,261,840	31.97%		111,689,967	32.05%
Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements		183,884,895	39.61%		178,479,939	39.01%		131,959,160	37.86%
Real, Commercial & Industrial		6,712,487	1.45%		7,295,096	1.59%		4,776,526	1.37%
Oil & Gas		-	0.00%		-	0.00%		-	0.00%
Utilities		9,876,447 2.13% 9,653,306 2.11		2.11%		8,991,450	2.58%		
Tangible Personal, Commercial		1,381,215	0.30%		1,044,648	0.23%		919,342	0.26%
Tangible Personal, Industrial		384,730	0.08%		536,380	0.12%		607,700	0.17%
Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other		9,870,130	2.13%		9,077,185	1.98%		5,415,273	1.55%
Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory		44,981	<u>0.01%</u>		48,892	<u>0.01%</u>		24,447	<u>0.01%</u>
Total Appraised Value	\$	464,216,443	100.00%	\$	457,490,176	100.00%	\$	348,532,146	100.00%
Less:									
Homestead Cap Adjustment	\$	25,973,760		\$	35,573,842		\$	13,811,204	
Non-Homestead (23.231) Cap Adjustment		906,202			-			-	
Productivity Loss		128,670,504			136,052,182			101,888,499	
Exemptions		77,439,661	(3)		72,846,414	(3)		34,316,425	(4)
Total Exemptions/Deductions <sup>(6)</sup>	\$	232,990,127		\$	244,472,438		\$	150,016,128	
Net Taxable Assessed Valuation	\$	231,226,316		\$	213,017,738		\$	198,516,018	

Category		<u>2021/22</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	% of 21/22 <sup>(2)</sup> <u>Total</u>		% of 2020/21 <sup>(2)</sup> <u>Total</u>		<u>2019/20</u> <sup>(2)</sup>		% of <u>Total</u>
Real, Residential, Single-Family	\$	64,121,938	22.37%	\$	59,540,686	23.31%	\$	56,413,775	23.59%
Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts		979,678	0.34%		739,783	0.29%		844,352	0.35%
Real, Qualified Land & Improvements		95,124,303	33.19%		82,214,093	32.18%		78,678,587	32.90%
Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements		106,684,261	37.22%		94,362,300	36.94%		85,068,592	35.57%
Real, Commercial & Industrial		4,108,134	1.43%		3,997,160	1.56%		4,244,612	1.78%
Oil & Gas		-	0.00%		-	0.00%		-	0.00%
Utilities		7,992,154	2.79%		7,642,750	2.99%		7,382,224	3.09%
Tangible Personal, Commercial		920,167	0.32%		1,000,993	0.39%		1,022,619	0.43%
Tangible Personal, Industrial		636,030	0.22%		676,030	0.26%		694,840	0.29%
Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other		4,993,394	1.74%		4,131,708	1.62%		3,726,976	1.56%
Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory		30,640	<u>0.01%</u>		181,100	<u>0.07%</u>		69,454	<u>0.03%</u>
Total Appraised Value	\$	286,608,564	100.00%	\$	255,476,642	100.00%	\$	239,126,810	100.00%
Less:									
Homestead Cap Adjustment	\$	3,479,912		\$	2,019,184		\$	1,597,429	
Non-Homestead (23.231) Cap Adjustment		-			-			-	
Productivity Loss		85,304,422			72,573,732			69,848,344	
Exemptions		22,341,911	(5)		21,984,580	(5)		20,797,107	(5)
Total Exemptions/Deductions <sup>(6)</sup>	\$	111,126,245		\$	96,577,496		\$	92,242,880	
Net Taxable Assessed Valuation	<u>\$</u>	175,482,319		\$	158,899,146		<u>\$</u>	146,883,930	

Source: Certified Values from the Titus County Appraisal District as of July 24, 2024.
 Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - Property Tax Division.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 7, 2023 increased the homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on May 7, 2022 increased the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$40,000.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 3, 2015 increased the homestead exemption from \$15,000 to \$25,000.
 The passage of a Texas constitutional amendment on November 3, 2015 increased the homestead exemption from \$15,000 to \$25,000.
 Excludes values on which property taxes are frozen for persons 65 years of age or older and disabled taxpayers.

## PRINCIPAL REPAYMENT SCHEDULE

Fiscal Year Ending 8/31			Plus: The Bonds		 Total	Bonds Unpaid At Year End	Percent of Principal Retired	
2025	\$	255,000.00	\$	-	\$ 255,000.00	\$ 10,360,000.00	2.40%	
2026		265,000.00		-	265,000.00	10,095,000.00	4.90%	
2027		270,000.00		-	270,000.00	9,825,000.00	7.44%	
2028		280,000.00		-	280,000.00	9,545,000.00	10.08%	
2029		285,000.00		-	285,000.00	9,260,000.00	12.76%	
2030		-		295,000.00	295,000.00	8,965,000.00	15.54%	
2031		-		310,000.00	310,000.00	8,655,000.00	18.46%	
2032		-		325,000.00	325,000.00	8,330,000.00	21.53%	
2033		-		345,000.00	345,000.00	7,985,000.00	24.78%	
2034		-		360,000.00	360,000.00	7,625,000.00	28.17%	
2035		-		380,000.00	380,000.00	7,245,000.00	31.75%	
2036		-		395,000.00	395,000.00	6,850,000.00	35.47%	
2037		-		410,000.00	410,000.00	6,440,000.00	39.33%	
2038		-		425,000.00	425,000.00	6,015,000.00	43.33%	
2039		-		445,000.00	445,000.00	5,570,000.00	47.53%	
2040		-		465,000.00	465,000.00	5,105,000.00	51.91%	
2041		-		480,000.00	480,000.00	4,625,000.00	56.43%	
2042		-		500,000.00	500,000.00	4,125,000.00	61.14%	
2043		-		520,000.00	520,000.00	3,605,000.00	66.04%	
2044		-		545,000.00	545,000.00	3,060,000.00	71.17%	
2045		-		565,000.00	565,000.00	2,495,000.00	76.50%	
2046		-		590,000.00	590,000.00	1,905,000.00	82.05%	
2047		-		610,000.00	610,000.00	1,295,000.00	87.80%	
2048		-		635,000.00	635,000.00	660,000.00	93.78%	
2049		-		660,000.00	660,000.00	-	100.00%	

# MAINTENANCE TAX NOTES (1)

Fiscal Year Ending 8/31	Principal		Principal Interest		Total	Fede	Less: eral Subsidy <sup>(2)</sup>	Net Total Debt Service		
2025	\$	178,941.86	\$	35,075.79	\$	214,017.65	\$	11,047.24	\$	202,970.41
2026		188,444.48		25,497.07		213,941.55		5,705.15		208,236.40
2027		81,032.00		15,352.55		96,384.55		-		96,384.55
2028		51,287.79		12,677.24		63,965.03		-		63,965.03
2029		53,211.08		10,753.95		63,965.03		-		63,965.03
2030		55,206.50		8,758.53		63,965.03		-		63,965.03
2031		57,276.74		6,688.29		63,965.03		-		63,965.03
2032		59,424.62		4,540.41		63,965.03		-		63,965.03
2033		61,653.01		2,312.02		63,965.03		-		63,965.03
Total	\$	786,478.08	\$	121,655.85	\$	908,133.93	\$	16,752.39	\$	891,381.54

(1) The Maintenance Tax Notes are payable solely from the limited maintenance and operations tax or other lawfully available funds of the District. Please see the "Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2023" in Appendix D for more information relative to the District's outstanding obligations.

(2) The QSCB Direct Pay Subsidy has been reduced to 94.3% of the expected receipts for 2024/25. 2021/22 and beyond assume the District receives 94.3% of the expected receipts due to sequestration.

Fiscal Year	(	Dutstanding		Combined			
Ending 8/31	C	Debt Service	 Principal	 Interest	 Total		Total <sup>(1) (2)</sup>
2025	\$	290,097.50	\$ -	\$ 374,004.44	\$ 374,004.44	\$	664,101.94
2026		291,372.50	-	391,400.00	391,400.00		682,772.50
2027		288,372.50	-	391,400.00	391,400.00		679,772.50
2028		291,231.25	-	391,400.00	391,400.00		682,631.25
2029		288,770.00	-	391,400.00	391,400.00		680,170.00
2030		-	295,000.00	383,287.50	678,287.50		678,287.50
2031		-	310,000.00	366,650.00	676,650.00		676,650.00
2032		-	325,000.00	349,187.50	674,187.50		674,187.50
2033		-	345,000.00	331,625.00	676,625.00		676,625.00
2034		-	360,000.00	314,000.00	674,000.00		674,000.00
2035		-	380,000.00	297,400.00	677,400.00		677,400.00
2036		-	395,000.00	281,900.00	676,900.00		676,900.00
2037		-	410,000.00	265,800.00	675,800.00		675,800.00
2038		-	425,000.00	249,100.00	674,100.00		674,100.00
2039		-	445,000.00	231,700.00	676,700.00		676,700.00
2040		-	465,000.00	213,500.00	678,500.00		678,500.00
2041		-	480,000.00	194,600.00	674,600.00		674,600.00
2042		-	500,000.00	175,000.00	675,000.00		675,000.00
2043		-	520,000.00	154,600.00	674,600.00		674,600.00
2044		-	545,000.00	133,300.00	678,300.00		678,300.00
2045		-	565,000.00	111,100.00	676,100.00		676,100.00
2046		-	590,000.00	88,000.00	678,000.00		678,000.00
2047		-	610,000.00	64,000.00	674,000.00		674,000.00
2048		-	635,000.00	39,100.00	674,100.00		674,100.00
2049		-	660,000.00	13,200.00	673,200.00		673,200.00
	\$	1,449,843.75	\$ 9,260,000.00	\$ 6,196,654.44	\$ 15,456,654.44	\$	16,906,498.19

 Includes accrued interest in the amount of \$25,006.11.
 Based on its wealth per student, the District expects to receive approximately \$105,000 of state financial assistance for the payment of debt service for the fiscal year 2023/24. The amount of state financial assistance for debt service, if any, may differ substantially each year depending on a variety of factors, including the amount, if any, appropriated for that purpose by the state legislature and a school district's wealth per student. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Variational Variatio Statement

TAX ADEQUACY WITH RESPECT TO THE DISTRICT'S BONDS	
Projected Maximum Debt Service Requirement <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 682,772.50
Projected State Financial Assistance for Debt Service in 2023/24 <sup>(2)</sup>	 105,000.00
Projected Net Debt Service Requirement <sup>(1) (2)</sup>	\$ 577,772.50
\$0.25497 Tax Rate @ 98% Collections Produces	\$ 577,772.50
2024/25 Certified Net Taxable Assessed Valuation <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 231,226,316

(1) Includes the Bonds.

(2) The amount of state financial assistance for debt service, if any, may differ substantially each year depending on a variety of factors, including the amount, if any, appropriated for that purpose by the state legislature and a school district's wealth per student. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement

(3) Source: Certified Values from the Titus County Appraisal District as of July 24, 2024.

## AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED BONDS

Following the issuance of the Bonds, the District will not have any authorized but unissued unlimited ad valorem tax bonds from the May 4, 2024 bond election. The District may incur other financial obligations payable from its collection of taxes and other sources of revenue, including maintenance tax notes payable from its collection of maintenance taxes, public property finance contractual obligations, delinquent tax notes, and leases for various purposes payable from State appropriations and surplus maintenance taxes.

	Fiscal Year Ended August 31										
Beginning Fund Balance		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023	
	\$	3,723,085	\$	4,149,112	\$	4,324,906	\$	5,336,483	\$	6,706,013	
Revenues:											
Local and Intermediate Sources	\$	2,252,532	\$	1,815,142	\$	1,788,903	\$	2,005,710	\$	2,265,131	
State Sources		8,578,626		9,948,270		10,048,400		10,695,818		11,039,040	
Federal Sources & Other		141,534		118,582		85,905		80,150		138,930	
Total Revenues	\$	10,972,692	\$	11,881,994	\$	11,923,208	\$	12,781,678	\$	13,443,101	
Expenditures:											
Instruction	\$	5,682,504	\$	6,274,271	\$	6,218,875	\$	6,313,145	\$	6,235,495	
Instructional Resources & Media Services		236,136		193,273		219,677		236,367		210,160	
Curriculum & Instructional Staff Development		62,384		75,357		78,868		46,919		68,751	
Instructional Leadership		87,630		168,996		167,482		113,371		109,245	
School Leadership		536,095		604,616		609,650		746,153		693,600	
Guidance, Counseling & Evaluation Services		192,521		255,435		258,843		326,914		171,448	
Health Services		88,613		106,367		136,004		104,422		110,684	
Student (Pupil) Transportation		418,959		520,355		450,020		309,823		590,616	
Food Services		-		-		-		-		16,068	
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		331,337		313,318		314,435		357,343		544,749	
General Administration		626,265		751,586		776,613		820,019		882,825	
Plant Maintenance and Operations		1,896,186		2,183,611		1,432,447		1,599,073		1,851,549	
Security and Monitoring Services		142,081		181,351		125,704		113,486		125,401	
Data Processing Services		159,044		181,042		210,578		166,969		236,987	
Community Services		-		-		-		-		1,159	
Principal on Long-Term Debt		69,000		74,000		106,088		145,420		574,484	
Interest on Long-Term Debt		15,291		15,760		25,452		12,724		48,877	
Bond Issuance Costs and Fees		-		-		-		-		12,821	
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		836,044	
Other Intergovernmental Charges		-		-		-		-		7,528	
Total Expenditures	\$	10,544,046	\$	11,899,338	\$	11,130,736	\$	11,412,148	\$	13,328,491	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues	÷	10,011,010	÷	11,000,000	÷	11,100,100	÷	,,	Ŷ	10,020,401	
over Expenditures	\$	428,646	\$	(17,344)	\$	792,472	\$	1,369,530	\$	114,610	
	Ŷ	120,010	Ŷ	(17,011)	Ŷ	102,112	Ψ	1,000,000	Ŷ	111,010	
Other Resources and (Uses): Issuance of Non-Current Debt	¢		¢		\$		¢		¢	070 444	
	\$	-	\$	-	¢	-	\$	-	\$	870,114	
Non-Current Loans		-		205,063		-		-		-	
Capital Leases		-		-		219,105		-		-	
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		63,887	
Transfers Out		(22,676)		(11,925)		-	<u> </u>	-			
Total Other Resources (Uses)	\$	(22,676)	\$	193,138	\$	219,105	\$	-	\$	934,001	
Excess (Deficiency) of											
Revenues and Other Sources											
over Expenditures and Other Uses	\$	405,970	\$	175,794	\$	1,011,577	\$	1,369,530	\$	1,048,611	
Prior Period Adjustment	\$	20,057 (2)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Ending Fund Balance	\$	4,149,112	\$	4,324,906	\$	5,336,483	\$	6,706,013	\$	7,754,624	

See "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS" in Appendix D and "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement.
 The prior period adjustment in 2019 is due to voiding some old outstanding checks in the amount of approximately \$20,000.

	Fiscal Year Ended August 31									
		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023
Revenues:										
Program Revenues:										
Charges for Services	\$	346,187	\$	395,274	\$	340,948	\$	868,421	\$	887,074
Operating Grants and Contributions		1,514,688		1,910,465		1,935,939		2,519,950		2,513,247
General Revenues:										
Property Taxes Levied for General Purposes		1,525,612		1,451,229		1,494,309		1,488,432		1,795,792
Property Taxes Levied for Debt Service		-		128,674		156,856		155,540		161,742
State Aid - Formula Grants		8,317,804		9,613,941		9,657,092		10,358,207		-
Grants and Contributions Not Restricted		-		-		-		-		10,491,724
Investment Earnings		97,449		58,236		23,147		43,119		299,304
Miscellaneous		469,391		192,761		106,892		248,328		64,677
	\$	12,271,131	\$	13,750,580	\$	13,715,183	\$	15,681,997	\$	16,213,560
Expenses:										
Instruction	\$	6,765,992	\$	7,704,072	\$	7,520,384	\$	7,614,709	\$	7,825,110
Instruction Resources & Media Services		264,139		224,137		243,758		237,031		201,234
Curriculum & Staff Development		67,578		85,385		81,876		45,044		66,426
Instructional Leadership		92,824		188,978		175,444		106,783		104,036
School Leadership		572,438		660,011		631,589		706,624		663,373
Guidance, Counseling & Evaluation Services		202,903		278,581		267,865		301,275		163,493
Health Services		93,807		114,082		140,071		97,834		105,868
Student Transportation		358,387		398,813		376,519		435,250		535,493
Food Service		499,673		514,491		482,766		572,417		583,937
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		392,842		382,588		375,468		897,383		1,074,338
General Administration		682,875		806,038		821,757		800,641		876,148
Facilities Maintenance & Operations		822,645		915,272		1,032,196		1,113,681		1,359,611
Security and Monitoring Services		151,615		213,682		128,712		111,077		166,522
Data Processing Services		159,044		181,042		210,578		166,969		234,987
Community Services		-		-		-		-		26,998
Debt Service - Interest on Long-term Debt		155,972		142,369		137,081		112,468		189,329
Debt Service - Bond Issuance Cost and Fees		-		-		-		-		13,821
Other Intergovernmental Charges		-		-		-		-		7,528
Total Expenditures	\$	11,282,734	\$	12,809,541	\$	12,626,064	\$	13,319,186	\$	14,198,252
Extraordinary Item - Business Activity	\$	2,517	\$	(4,570)	\$	(3,077)	\$	(914)	\$	-
Change in Net Assets	\$	990,914	\$	936,469	\$	1,086,042	\$	2,361,897	\$	2,015,308
Beginning Net Assets	\$	4,090,884	\$	5,101,855	\$	6,038,324	\$	7,124,366	\$	9,682,681
Prior Period Adjustment	\$	20,057 <sup>(2</sup>	<sup>!)</sup> \$	-	\$	-	\$	196,418 <sup>(;</sup>	<sup>3)</sup> \$	-
Ending Net Assets	\$	5,101,855	\$	6,038,324	\$	7,124,366	\$	9,682,681	\$	11,697,989

The foregoing information represents government-wide financial information provided in accordance with GASB 34, which the District adopted in the 2002 fiscal year.
 The prior period adjustment in 2019 is due to voiding some old outstanding checks in the amount of approximately \$20 thousand.
 The prior period adjustment in 2022 is due to a reflection of moving the custodial funds beginning balance over into the campus activity funds.

APPENDIX B

GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE DISTRICT AND ITS ECONOMY (this page intentionally left blank)

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### **General and Economic Information**

Chapel Hill Independent School District (the "District") is a rural district located in Titus County in northeast Texas on FM 1735, approximately six miles east of Mount Pleasant, Texas and 115 miles east of Dallas, Texas. The District was organized in 1850 and has been in continuous operation since that time. The districts estimated population is 2,955.

Titus County (the "County") is located in northeast Texas, traversed by Interstate 30, U.S. Highways 67 and 271, and State Highways 11 and 49. Titus is bordered by the Sulphur River to the north and Lake Bob Sandlin and Big Cypress Creek to the south. The county seat is Mount Pleasant.

Source: Texas Municipal Report for Chapel Hill ISD and Titus County

#### **Enrollment Statistics**

Year Ending 8/31	<u>Enrollment</u>
2014	1,002
2015	985
2016	1,022
2017	1,035
2018	1,034
2019	1,055
2020	990
2021	1,042
2022	1,061
2023	980
Current	964

#### **District Staff**

Teachers		84
Teachers' Aides & Secretaries		40
Auxiliary Personnel		26
Administrators		10
Other (Counselors/Technology)		15
	Total	175

#### Facilities

		Current			Year of Addition/
<u>Campus</u>	<u>Grades</u>	Enrollment	Capacity	Year Built	<b>Renovation</b>
Elementary School	PK-5	475	500	1973	2007
Junior High	6-8	201	240	1996	N/A
High School	9-12	288	360	1996	2007

#### **Principal Employers within the District**

	Type of	Number of
Name of Company	Business	Employees
Pilgrim's Pride	Poultry	3,400
Mount Pleasant ISD	Education	929
Titus Regional Medical Center	Health Care	740
Priefert Manufacturing	Farm Equipment	485
Luminent Electric Generation	Lignite Coal	482
Wal-Mart Supercenter	Retail	460
Big Tex Trailers	Trailers	386
Chapel Hill ISD	Education	175
AEP SWEPCO	Electric Power/Generation	170

#### **Unemployment Rates**

	July	July	July
	2022	2023	2024
Titus County	4.5%	4.6%	5.0%
State of Texas	4.1%	4.2%	4.4%
Source: Texas Workforce Con	nmission		

(this page intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX C

FORMS OF LEGAL OPINIONS OF CO-BOND COUNSEL AND SPECIAL TAX COUNSEL

(this page intentionally left blank)

\_\_\_\_\_, 2024

WE HAVE ACTED as Co-Bond Counsel for CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (the "*District*"), in connection with the issuance of bonds (the "*Bonds*") described as follows:

CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT UNLIMITED TAX SCHOOL BUILDING BONDS, SERIES 2024, dated September 1, 2024, in the principal amount of \$9,260,000 and maturing on February 15 in the years 2030 through 2049. The Bonds are issuable in fully-registered form only, in denominations of \$5,000 of the principal amount or integral multiples thereof, bear interest, are subject to redemption prior to maturity, and may be transferred and exchanged as set out in the Bonds and in the bond order (the "*Order*") adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District authorizing their issuance. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings so assigned in the Order.

WE HAVE ACTED as Co-Bond Counsel for the sole purpose of rendering an opinion (the "*Opinion*") with respect to the legality and validity of the Bonds under the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas. In such capacity, we have participated in the preparation of, and have examined, a transcript of certain certified proceedings pertaining to the issuance of the Bonds, as described in the Order. The transcript contains certified copies of certain proceedings of the District; certain certifications and representations, and other material facts within the knowledge and control of the District, upon which we rely; and certain other customary documents and instruments authorizing and relating to the issuance of the Bonds. We have also examined executed Bond No. R-1 of this issue. We have also examined such portions of the Constitution and statutes of the State of Texas as we have deemed necessary for the purposes of rendering this Opinion.

WE HAVE NOT BEEN REQUESTED to examine, and have not investigated or verified, any original proceedings, records, data, or other material, but have relied upon the transcript of certified proceedings. We have not assumed any responsibility with respect to the financial condition or capabilities of the District or the disclosure thereof in connection with the sale of the Bonds. Our role in connection with the District's Official Statement prepared for use in connection with the sale of the Bonds has been limited as described therein.

BASED UPON SUCH EXAMINATION, it is our opinion that, under existing law:

(A) The transcript of certified proceedings evidences complete legal authority for the issuance of the Bonds in full compliance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas presently in effect; the Bonds constitute valid and legally binding obligations of the District, enforceable in accordance with the terms and conditions thereof, except to the extent that the rights and remedies of the owners of the Bonds may be limited by laws heretofore or hereafter enacted relating to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium or other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions, and the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases; and the Bonds have been authorized and delivered in accordance with existing law; and

(B) The Bonds are payable, both as to principal and interest, from the receipts of an annual ad valorem tax levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, upon taxable property located within the District, which taxes have been pledged irrevocably to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds.

OUR OPINION IS BASED ON EXISTING LAW AS OF THE DATE HEREOF, which is subject to change. Such opinion is further based on our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof. We assume no duty to update or supplement this Opinion to reflect any facts or circumstances that may thereafter come to our attention, or to reflect any changes in any law that may thereafter occur or become effective. Moreover, this Opinion is not a guarantee of result and represents our legal judgment based upon our review of existing law that we deem relevant to such opinion and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above. We express no opinion as to any matters not specifically covered hereby.

Respectfully submitted,



September 24, 2024

Chapel Hill Independent School District 1069 CR 4660 Mount Pleasant, TX 75455

SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. 5800 Granite Parkway, Suite 210 Plano, TX 75024

S & P Global Ratings 15 Iverness Way East Englewood, CO 80112 Haynes and Boone, LLP 1221 McKinney Street Suite 4000 Houston, TX 77010

Powell Law Group, LLP 108 Wild Basin Rd., Suite 100 Austin, TX 78746

Raymond James c/o Randall Hawkins 5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1900 Dallas, Texas 75225

# Re: <u>\$9,260,000 Chapel Hill Independent School District Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds,</u> Series 2024

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have acted as special tax counsel ("Special Tax Counsel") to the Chapel Hill Independent School District (the "District") in connection with the issuance by the District of its Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2024 in the aggregate principal amount of \$9,260,000 (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are being issued by the District for the purpose of (a) construction, renovation, improvement, acquisition, and equipment of school buildings, in the District including necessary sites; and (b) paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds. The Bonds are authorized by the Bond Order to be adopted on August 22, 2024 by the Board of Trustees of the District (the "Bond Order").

As Special Tax Counsel, we have reviewed the opinions of Haynes and Boone, LLP, and Powell Law Group, LLP as co-bond counsel to the District (together, "Co-Bond Counsel"), the Tax Compliance Certificate of the Issuer dated the date hereof, and other certificates of the District, the Bond Order approving the issuance of the Bonds, Bond Purchase Agreement, and such other documents, opinions and matters to the extent we deemed necessary to render the opinions set forth herein. As to the questions of fact material to our opinion, we have relied upon the certified proceedings and other certifications furnished to us without undertaking to verify the same by independent investigation. Furthermore, with respect to the validity of the Bonds, we are relying upon the opinion of Co-Bond Counsel. Our examination has been limited to the foregoing as they exist or are in effect as of the date hereof. Our opinion is limited to the matters expressly set forth herein, and we express no opinion concerning any other matters. Chapel Hill Independent School District Haynes and Boone, LLP Powell Law Group, LLP S & P Global Ratings SAMCO Capital Markets Raymond James September 24, 2024 Page 2

In accordance with the provisions of Section 265(b) of the Code, the District has designated in the Bond Order that the Bonds are considered "qualified tax-exempt obligations" for the purposes of Section 265(b)(3) of the Code. The District has certified in the Bond Order the following: (a) that during the calendar year 2024, the District (including all entities that issue obligations on behalf of the District) has not designated nor will designate obligations which, when aggregated with the Bonds, will result in more than \$10,000,000 of "qualified tax-exempt obligations" being issued; and (b) that the District has examined its financing needs for the calendar year 2024 and reasonably anticipates that the amount of bonds, leases, loans or other obligations, together with the Bonds and any other tax-exempt obligations heretofore issued by the District (plus those of all entities that issue obligations on behalf of the District) during the calendar year 2024, when the higher of the face amount or the issue price of each such tax-exempt obligation issued for the calendar year 2024 by the District is taken into account, will not exceed \$10,000,000.

Based on our examination and the foregoing, we are of the opinion, as of the date hereof and under existing law as presently enacted and construed, as follows:

1. Interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for purposes of federal income tax under existing laws as enacted and construed on the date of initial delivery of the Bonds, assuming the accuracy of the certifications of the District and continuing compliance by the District with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Interest on the Bonds is not an item of tax preference for purposes of individual federal alternative minimum tax. However, such interest is taken into account in determining the annual adjusted financial statement income of certain corporations for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on corporations for tax years beginning after December 31, 2022.

2. The District has designated the Bonds as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" under Section 265(b)(3) of the Code and nothing has come to our attention to reach a different opinion. Therefore the interest expense of a financial institution will not be subject to allocation to the interest on the Bonds under Section 265(b).

3. The information relating to the Bonds and legal issues described in "TAX MATTERS" in the Official Statement is an accurate description of the tax laws and legal issues therein as of the date of this opinion and with respect to the Bonds, such information conforms to the Bond Order.

In rendering our opinion, we wish to advise you that:

Chapel Hill Independent School District Haynes and Boone, LLP Powell Law Group, LLP S & P Global Ratings SAMCO Capital Markets Raymond James September 24, 2024 Page 3

(a) we express no opinion herein as to the accuracy, adequacy, or completeness of any offering material relating to the Bonds; and

(b) except as set forth above, we express no opinion regarding any other tax consequences relating to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds.

Respectfully submitted,

Victoria S. Byerly Kline Alvarado Veio, P.C. (this page intentionally left blank)

### APPENDIX D

AUDITED FINANCIAL REPORT FISCAL YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023 (this page intentionally left blank)

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

RUTHERFORD, TAYLOR & COMPANY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants 3500 Joe Ramsey Blvd. Greenville, Texas 75401 (903) 455-6252

#### CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	_	
INTRODUCTORY SECTION	<u>Page</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>
Certificate of Board	3	
FINANCIAL SECTION		
Independent Auditor's Reports:		
Report on Basic Financial Statements Report on Compliance and Internal Controls ( <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ) Report on Compliance and Internal Controls (OMB Circular A-133) Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5 8 10 12	
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)	18	
Basic Financial Statements:		
Government-wide Financial Statements:	07	A 4
Statement of Net Position	27	A-1
Statement of Activities Fund Financial Statements:	28	B-1
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds	29	C-1
Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	30	C-2
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	31	C-3
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	32	C-4
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	33	E-1
Statement of Change in Fiduciary Net Position	34	E-2
Statement of Change in Floudary Net Position	-	E-2
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	35	
Required Supplementary Information:		
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	59	G-1
Schedule of District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	60	G-2
Schedule of District Pension Contributions	61	G-3
Schedule of District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability	62	G-4
Schedule of District OPEB Contributions	63	G-5
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	64	0-0
Other Information Section:		
Schedule of Delinguent Taxes Receivable	66	J-1
Budgetary Comparison Schedules Required by the Texas Education Agency:		
School Breakfast and National School Lunch Program	67	J-3
Debt Service	68	J-4
Schedule of Required Responses to Selected School FIRST Indicators	69	J-5
Schedule of Required Responses to State Mandated Program Requirements	70	J-6
FEDERAL AWARDS SECTION	70	J-0
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	72	K-1
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	73	K-2

## **CERTIFICATE OF BOARD**

Chapel Hill Independent School District Name of School District

Titus County

225-906 Co.-Dist. Number

We, the undersigned, certify that the attached auditor's report of the above named school district was reviewed and X approved/ disapproved for the year ended August 31, 2023, at a meeting of the board of school trustees of such school district on Jamany 18, 2029.

Signature of Board

Signature of Board President

If the auditor's report was checked above as disapproved, the reasons(s) therefore is/are (attach list if necessary):

FINANCIAL SECTION

# RUTHERFORD, TAYLOR & COMPANY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

3500 Joe Ramsey Blvd.

Greenville, Texas 75401

(903) 455-6252

Fax (903) 455-6667

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Members of the Board Chapel Hill Independent School District

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

### Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Chapel Hill Independent School District (District), as of and for the year ended August 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Chapel Hill Independent School District as of August 31, 2023, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Basis for Opinions**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgement made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures including examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgement, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charges with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information and schedules related to pension and other post-employment benefit activities be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards,* is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

### Independent Auditor's Report - Continued

#### Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the other information section of exhibits presented in the table of contents but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 9, 2024, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliances.

Rutherford, Taylor & Congany PL

January 9, 2024 Greenville, Texas

# RUTHERFORD, TAYLOR & COMPANY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

3500 Joe Ramsey Blvd.

Greenville, Texas 75401

(903) 455-6252

Fax (903) 455-6667

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Members of the Board Chapel Hill Independent School District

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Chapel Hill Independent School District (District), as of and for the year ended August 31, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 9, 2024.

## Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

## **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rutherford, Taylor & Canyany PL

January 9, 2024 Greenville, Texas

# RUTHERFORD, TAYLOR & COMPANY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

3500 Joe Ramsey Blvd.

Greenville, Texas 75401

(903) 455-6252

Fax (903) 455-6667

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Members of the Board Chapel Hill Independent School District

## Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

## **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited Chapel Hill Independent School District's (District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2023. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the type of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended August 31, 2023.

## Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

#### Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the District's federal programs.

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud, or error, and express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentation, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgement made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit
  procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the
  District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as
  we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

## Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weakness, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

## Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rutherford, Taylor & Canyany 12

January 9, 2024 Greenville, Texas

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

## Summary of Auditor's Results (Section I)

Financial Statements –	
Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified Opinion
Internal Control over Financial Reporting: Material weaknesses identified Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses	None None reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted	None
Federal Awards –	
Internal control over major programs: Material weaknesses identified Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses	None None reported
Type of Auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs	Unmodified opinion
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported	No
Identification of Major Programs	Education Stabilization Fund Cluster: ESSER – I (84.425d) ESSER – II (84.425u) ESSER – III (84.425u)
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and B programs	\$ 750,000
Entity qualifies as a low risk auditee	Yes
Pass-through Entities	Texas Education Agency

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Financial Statement Findings (Section II)

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

## Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Section III)

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Prior Year Findings (Section IV)

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Corrective Action Plan (Section V)

FINANCIAL SECTION

This section of Chapel Hill Independent School District's annual financial report presents our discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance during the year ended August 31, 2023. Please read it in conjunction with the District's basic financial statements, which follow this section.

### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS


- The District's total combined net position was \$ 11,697,989 at August 31, 2023.
- During the year, the District's expenses were \$ 2,015,308 less than the \$ 16,213,560 generated in taxes and other revenues for governmental activities.
- District expenses were 6.6% greater than the prior year amounts with revenues being 3.39% greater than the prior amounts.
- The General Fund reported a fund balance this year of \$7,754,624, an increase of \$1,048,611.
- The District issued tax maintenance notes and executed other debtor agreements to provide funds for major renovations and procurement of school buses.

## **OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

This annual report consists of three parts—management's discussion and analysis (this section), the basic financial statements, and required supplementary information. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the District:

- The first two statements are government-wide financial statements that provide both long-term and short-term information about the District's overall financial status.
- The remaining statements are fund financial statements that focus on individual parts of the government, reporting the District's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements.
- The governmental funds statements tell how general government services were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending.
- Proprietary fund statements offer short- and long-term financial information about the activities the government operates like businesses, such as the print shop.
- Fiduciary fund statements provide information about the financial relationships in which the District acts solely as a trustee or agent for the benefit of others, to whom the resources in question belong.

The basic financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the basic financial statements and provide more detailed data. The statements are followed by a section of required supplementary information that further explains and supports the information in the basic financial statements. Figure A-1 shows how the required parts of this annual report are arranged and related to one another.






Figure A-2 summarizes the major features of the District's basic financial statements, including the portion of the District government they cover and the types of information they contain. The remainder of this overview section of management's discussion and analysis explains the structure and contents of each of the statements.

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENTS

The government-wide statements report information about the District as a whole using accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net position includes all of the government's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's and expenses revenues are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid.

			Fund Statements	1
Type of Statements	Government-wide	Governmental Funds	Proprietary Funds	Fiduciary Funds
	Entire Agency's government (except fiduciary funds)	The activities of the district that are not proprietary or	Activities the district operates similar to private	Instances in which the district is the trustee or
Scope	and the Agency's component units	fiduciary	businesses: self insurance	agent for someone else's resources
	• Statement of net assets	Balance sheet	• Statement of net assets	<ul> <li>Statement of fiduciary net assets</li> </ul>
Required financial	<ul> <li>Statement of activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Statement of revenues,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Statement of revenues,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Statement of changes</li> </ul>
statements		expenditures & changes	expenses and changes in	in fiduciary net assets
		in fund balances	fund net assets	
			<ul> <li>Statement of cash flows</li> </ul>	
Accounting basis	Accrual accounting and	Modified accrual	Accrual accounting and	Accrual accounting and
and measurement	economic resources focus	accounting and current	economic resources focus	economic resources focus
focus		financial resources focus		
	All assets and liabilities,	Only assets expected to	All assets and liabilities,	All assets and liabilities,
Type of	both financial and capital,		both financial and capital,	both short-term and long-
usset/liability	short-term and long-term	Ŭ,	and short-term and long- term	term; the Agency's funds d not currently contain
nformation		no capital assets included	term	capital assets, although
		no capital assets meraded		they can
	All revenues and	Revenues for which cash	All revenues and expenses	All revenues and
	expenses during year,	is received during or soon	during year, regardless of	expenses during year,
	regardless of when cash	after the end of the year;	when cash is received or	regardless of when cash
Type of	is received or paid	1	paid	is received or paid
inflow/outflow		or services have been		
information		received and payment is due during the year or		
		soon thereafter		
		soon mercanel		

Figure A-2. Major Features of the District's Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The two government-wide statements report the District's net position and how they have changed. Net position—the difference between the District's assets and liabilities—is one way to measure the District's financial health or position.

- Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net position are an indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating, respectively.
- To assess the overall health of the District, one needs to consider additional nonfinancial factors such as changes in the District's tax base and student population.

The government-wide financial statements of the District include the governmental activities. Most of the District's basic services are included here, such as instruction, extracurricular activities, curriculum and staff development, health services and general administration. Property taxes and grants finance most of these activities.

## FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the District's most significant funds—not the District as a whole. Funds are accounting devices that the District uses to keep track of specific sources of funding and spending for particular purposes.

- Some funds are required by State law and by bond covenants.
- The Board of Trustees establishes other funds to control and manage money for particular purposes or to show that it is properly using certain taxes and grants.
- Governmental funds—Most of the District's basic services are included in governmental funds, which focus on (1) how cash
  and other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash flow in and out and (2) the balances left at year-end that are
  available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps you
  determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the District's
  programs. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements,
  we provide additional information on the subsequent page that explains the relationship (or differences) between them.

• Fiduciary funds—The District is the trustee, or fiduciary, for certain funds. The District is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes. All of the District's fiduciary activities are reported in a separate statement of fiduciary net position. We exclude these activities from the District's government-wide financial statements because the District cannot use these assets to finance its operations.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT AS A WHOLE

The District's combined net position was \$ 11,697,989 at August 31, 2023.

Chapel Hill Independer	nt Scl	hool District's	s Ne	t Position	Table A-1
		Governr	Total Percentage		
		Activi	ties		Change
		2023		2022	2022-2023
Assets:					
Cash and Investments	\$	6,904,430	\$	5,564,515	24.08%
Other Assets		2,211,042		2,209,316	0.08%
Capital Assets less Accumulated Depreciation		12,125,786		11,129,477	8.95%
Total Assets	\$	21,241,258	\$	18,903,308	12.37%
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$	3,109,238		1,803,646	72.39%
Liabilities:					
Current Liabilities	\$	620,221	\$	388,941	59.46%
Long-term Liabilities		8,476,173		7,095,740	19.45%
Total Liabilities	\$	9,096,394	\$	7,484,681	21.53%
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$	3,556,113	\$	3,573,414	-0.48%
Net Position:					
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$	9,001,997	\$	8,113,836	10.95%
Restricted		443,952		425,307	4.38%
Unrestricted		2,252,040		1,109,716	102.94%
Total Net Position	\$	11,697,989	\$	9,648,859	21.24%

Approximately \$ 203,110 of the District's restricted net position represents funds restricted for retirement of tax supported debt. Unrestricted net position represents resources available to fund the programs of the District next year.

#### **CHANGES IN NET POSITION**


The District's total revenues were \$ 16,213,560. 81% comes from state aid and federal grants (See Table A-2). 12% of the District's revenue comes from local property taxes, while only 7% relates to charges for services and other miscellaneous revenues including investment earnings.


The total cost of all programs and services was \$ 14,198,252. 57% of these costs are for instruction and instructional related services.

The Districts tax collection percentage rate (current and delinquent base tax only) was 98.68%. The total collection percentage rate (base tax and penalty and interest) was 99.64%.

#### **GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES**

					Table A-
Changes in Chapel Hill Independen	t Scho	ool District's	Ne	t Position	Total
		Govern	me	ntal	Percentage
		Activ			Change
		2023		2022	2022-2023
Program Revenues: Charges for Services	\$	887,074	¢	868,421	-0.279
Operating Grants and Contributions	φ	2,513,247	φ	2,519,950	-0.27
General Revenues:		2,515,247		2,319,930	-0.27
Property Taxes		1,957,534		1,643,972	19.079
State Aid – Formula		10,491,724		10,358,207	1.29
Investment Earnings		299,304		43,119	594.13
Miscellaneous		299,304 64,677		248,328	-73.969
Total Revenues	¢	16,213,560	¢	15,681,997	-73.90
Total Nevenues	Ψ	10,213,300	ψ	13,001,997	5.55
Expenses:					
Instruction	\$	7,825,110	\$	7,614,709	2.769
Instructional Resources and Media Services		201,234		237,031	-15.10
Curriculum and Staff Development		66,426		45,044	47.479
Instructional Leadership		104,036		106,783	-2.579
School Leadership		663,373		706,624	-6.12
Guidance, Counseling and Evaluation Services		163,493		301,275	-45.73
Health Services		105,868		97,834	8.219
Student Transportation		535,493		435,250	23.03
Food Services		583,937		572,417	2.019
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		1,074,338		897,383	19.729
General Administration		876,148		800,641	9.439
Facilities Maintenance and Operations		1,359,611		1,113,681	22.08
Security and Monitoring Services		166,522		111,077	49.929
Data Processing Services		234,987		166,969	40.749
Community Services		26,998		-	100.009
Debt Service		203,150		112,468	80.639
Other Intergovernmental Charges		7,528		-	100.00
Total Expenses	\$	14,198,252	\$	13,319,186	6.60
Increase (Decrease) in Net Position	\$	2,015,308	\$	2,362,811	-14.71
Net Position - Beginning (September 1)	\$	9,648,859	\$	7,089,630	36.10
Prior Period Adjustment		33,822		196,418	-82.789
Net Position - Beginning (Restated)	\$	9,682,681	\$	7,286,048	32.899
Net Position - Ending (August 31)	\$	11,697,989	\$	9,648,859	21.249





- Table A-3 presents the cost of selected functions as well as each function's net cost (total cost less fees generated by the activities and intergovernmental aid). The net cost reflects what was funded by state revenues as well as local tax dollars.
- The cost of all governmental activities this year was \$ 14,198,252.
- The amount that our taxpayers paid for these activities through property taxes was \$ 1,957,534.
- State funds generated for enrollment and attendance amounted to \$ 10,491,724.
- Some of the cost was paid by those who directly benefited from the programs \$887,074, or
- By grants and contributions \$ 2,513,247.

Chapel Hill Independent School District's Net Cost of Selected District Functions								
		Total Cost o 2023	f Se	ervices 2022	% Change	Net Cost of 2023	f Services 2022	% Change
Instruction	\$	7.825.110	\$	7,614,709	2.76%	\$ 5,833,580	\$ 5,566,640	4.80%
School Leadership	Ψ	663.373	Ψ	706.624	-6.12%	650.334	706.624	-7.97%
General Administration		1,359,611		800,641	69.82%	865,249	800,641	8.07%
Facilities Maintenance and Operations		166,522		1,113,681	-85.05%	1,333,451	1,113,681	19.73%
Debt Service		13,821		112,468	-87.71%	60,001	112,468	-46.65%

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT'S FUNDS

Revenues in the governmental funds totaled \$ 16,537,011. This represents an increase of \$ 463,619 from the prior year revenues of \$ 16,073,392. The change represents increases across multiple revenue sources including property taxes and state aid related to attendance and enrollment.

Expenditures in the governmental funds totaled \$ 16,328,771. This represents an increase of \$ 1,898,800 from the prior year expenditures of \$ 14,429,971. The change represents generally increased operating costs across all functional categories along with new school buses, major upgrades to roofs and other facilities.

#### **GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS**

Over the course of the year, the District revised its General Fund budget several times. With these adjustments, actual expenditures were \$ 91,380 below final General Fund budget amounts. The most significant positive variances resulted in the area of instruction. Seven functional categories were over expended during the year. These excess amounts are attributed to personnel changes in the business office and the timing of expenditure recognitions.

Revenues for the District were \$ 560,210 more than anticipated in the budget. The excess was generally the result of better than anticipated State foundation amounts earned related to enrollment and attendance.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### CAPITAL ASSETS

At year end, the District had invested \$ 20,951,283 in a broad range of capital assets, including land, equipment, buildings and vehicles (See Table A-4).

		ital Assets	
Covered	mor	tol	Total Percentage
			Change
 2023	lites	2022	2022-2023
\$ 200,278	\$	200,278	0.00%
18,893,821		17,711,247	6.68%
1,316,296		1,889,191	-30.32%
 540,888		560,519	-3.50%
\$ 20,951,283	\$	20,361,235	2.90%
 (8,825,497)		(9,231,748)	-4.40%
\$ 12,125,786	\$	11,129,487	8.95%
	Activ 2023 \$ 200,278 18,893,821 1,316,296 540,888 \$ 20,951,283 (8,825,497)	Activities 2023 \$ 200,278 \$ 18,893,821 1,316,296 540,888 \$ 20,951,283 \$ (8,825,497)	\$ 200,278         \$ 200,278           18,893,821         17,711,247           1,316,296         1,889,191           540,888         560,519           \$ 20,951,283         \$ 20,361,235           (8,825,497)         (9,231,748)

## DEBT

At year-end, the District had \$ 3,123,789 in debt outstanding as shown in Table A-5. More detailed information about the District's debt is presented in the notes to the basic financial statements.

Table A-5 Chapel Hill Independent School District's Debt		
	Governmental Activities 2023 2022	Total Percentage Change <u>2022-2023</u>
Bonds Payable Other Payables	\$ 1,600,000 \$ 2,242,000 1,523,789 773,641	-28.64% 96.96%
Total Debt Payable	\$ 3,123,789 \$ 3,015,641	3.59%

#### CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

#### **ECONOMIC FACTORS**

The District's property valuation continues to increase related to the overall economic recovery. Local property tax rates are restricted by state statute, without local elections, to \$.97 for maintenance and operations. The State Legislature passed HB3 which included various changes to the property tax rate setting process thus limiting school districts' opportunities for tax modifications and compressing the rates charged to taxpayers. The additional changes required under HB3 could impact the District's financial operations, including cash flow.

Student population has remained at a steady historical growth rate in the District. The economic outlook for the area is for growth to be relatively slow as indicated by economic studies. Housing has not expanded at the rate of other northeast Texas communities. These relatively stable economic conditions should allow the District to maintain constant funding and staffing levels.

The State has increased funding levels for the 2019-2023 biennium, which will affect the revenue levels of the District. The level of funding per attendance credits increased significantly. With these increases, the state-imposed requirements to spend at least 30% of the new funding on salaries to personnel with at least 75% of the 30% to be for teachers and other designated classes of employees. With these increases in funding, the District anticipates monitoring expenditure levels to ensure financial stability remains strong.

The global outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 ("COVID-19") presents various global risks. The full impact of the COVID-19 outbreak continues to evolve as of the date of this report. Management of the District is actively monitoring the global situation on its financial condition, liquidity, operations, supplies, vendors, and industry. Given the evolution of the COVID-19 outbreak and the global responses to curb its spread, the District is not able to estimate the effects, if any, of the COVID-19 outbreak on its results of operations and financial condition.

#### CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact John Russell, Director of Business and Finance for the District.

# BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AUGUST 31, 2023

		•
ata ontrol		Governmental
odes		Activities
	_	
	ASSETS	
1110	Cash and Investments	\$ 6,904,430
1225	Property Taxes Receivable, Net	78,773
1240	Due from Other Governments	2,096,849
1290	Other Receivables, Net	30,024
1300	Inventories	5,396
	Capital Assets:	
1510	Land	200,278
1520	Buildings and Improvements, Net	10,836,420
1530	Furniture and Equipment, Net	1,089,088
1000	Total Assets	\$ 21,241,258
	DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
1705	Deferred Outflows - Pensions	\$ 1,750,915
1706	Deferred Outflows - OPEB	1,358,323
1700	Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	\$ 3,109,238
2140		¢
2110	Accounts Payable	\$ 22,685
2140 2165	Interest Payable Accrued Liabilities	37,008
		517,888
2180 2300	Due to Other Governments Unearned Revenues	1,837
2300		40,803
2501	Noncurrent Liabilities: Due Within One Year	480,800
2502	Due in More Than One Year	
2540	Net Pension Liability	2,642,989 3,324,781
2545	Net OPEB Liability	2,027,603
2000	Total Liabilities	\$ 9,096,394
	DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
2605	Deferred Inflows - Pensions	\$ 239,386
2606	Deferred Inflows - OPEB	3,316,727
2600	Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$ 3,556,113
	NET POSITION	
3200	Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$ 9,001,997
0200	Restricted for:	¢ 3,001,337
3820	Federal and State Programs	240,842
3850	Debt Service	203,110
3900	Unrestricted	2,252,040
3000	Total Net Position	\$ 11,697,989

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

1 3 4

		1		3		4		
				Program	Rever	ues	R	et (Expense) Revenue and anges in Net Assets
Data						Operating		
Contro	ol		C	harges for	C	Grants and	G	overnmental
Codes	s Functions/Programs	 Expenses		Services	C	ontributions		Activities
	Governmental Activities:							
11	Instruction	\$ 7,825,110	\$	141,790	\$	1,849,740	\$	(5,833,580)
12	Instructional Resources and Media Services	201,234		-		3,930		(197,304)
13	Curriculum and Staff Development	66,426		-		1,009		(65,417)
21	Instructional Leadership	104,036		-		2,265		(101,771)
23	School Leadership	663,373		-		13,039		(650,334)
31	Guidance, Counseling and Evaluation Services	163,493		-		3,485		(160,008)
33	Health Services	105,868		-		2,106		(103,762)
34	Student Transportation	535,493		-		3,566		(531,927)
35	Food Services	583,937		177,623		401,240		(5,074)
36	Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities	1,074,338		531,304		3,848		(539,186)
41	General Administration	876,148		-		10,899		(865,249)
51	Facilities Maintenance and Operations	1,359,611		12,894		13,266		(1,333,451)
52	Security and Monitoring Services	166,522		-		60,451		(106,071)
53	Data Processing Services	234,987		-		888		(234,099)
61	Community Services	26,998		23,463		366		(3,169)
72	Interest on Long-term Debt	189,329		-		143,149		(46,180)
73	Debt Issuance Costs and Fees	13,821		-		-		(13,821)
99	Other Intergovernmental Charges	 7,528		-		-		(7,528)
TG	Total Governmental Activities	\$ 14,198,252	\$	887,074	\$	2,513,247	\$	(10,797,931)
TP	Total Primary Government	\$ 14,198,252	\$	887,074	\$	2,513,247	\$	(10,797,931)

	General Revenues:	
MT	Property Taxes, Levied for General Purposes	\$ 1,795,792
DT	Property Taxes, Levied for Debt Service	161,742
IE	Investment Earnings	299,304
GC	Grants and Contributions Not Restricted to Specific Programs	10,491,724
MI	Miscellaneous	64,677
TR	Total General Revenues	\$ 12,813,239
CN	Change in Net Position	\$ 2,015,308
NB	Net Position - Beginning (September 1)	\$ 9,648,859
PA	Prior Period Adjustment	33,822
	Net Position - Beginning, as Restated	\$ 9,682,681
NE	Net Position - Ending (August 31)	\$ 11,697,989

#### CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS AUGUST 31, 2023

		10				
Data				Other		Total
Control		General	Go	vernmental	G	overnmental
Codes		Fund		Funds		Funds
	_					
	ASSETS					
1110	Cash and Investments	\$ 6,177,486	\$	726,944	\$	6,904,430
1225	Property Taxes Receivable, Net	72,378		6,395		78,773
1240	Due from Other Governments	2,069,618		27,231		2,096,849
1260	Due from Other Funds	34,463		-		34,463
1290	Other Receivables	27,704		2,320		30,024
1300	Inventories	 -		5,396		5,396
1000	Total Assets	\$ 8,381,649	\$	768,286	\$	9,149,935
	LIABILITIES					
	Current Liabilities:					
2110	Accounts Payable	\$ 17,704	\$	4,981	\$	22,685
2150	Payroll Deductions & Withholdings	306		-		306
2160	Accrued Wages Payable	509,125		-		509,125
2170	Due to Other Funds	-		34,463		34,463
2180	Due to Other Governments	-		1,837		1,837
2200	Accrued Expenditures	8,457		-		8,457
2300	Unearned Revenues	 19,055		21,748		40,803
2000	Total Liabilities	\$ 554,647	\$	63,029	\$	617,676
	DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
2610	Deferred Property Taxes	\$ 72,378	\$	6,395	\$	78,773
2600	Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$ 72,378	\$	6,395	\$	78,773
	FUND BALANCES					
	Nonspendable Fund Balances:					
3410	Inventories	\$ -	\$	5,396	\$	5,396
	Restricted Fund Balances:					
3450	Federal and State Grants	-		235,446		235,446
3480	Retirement of Long Term Debt	-		198,687		198,687
	Committed Fund Balance:					
3545	Other Committed Fund Balance	708,489		259,333		967,822
	Assigned Fund Balances					
3510	Construction	1,540,000		-		1,540,000
3600	Unassigned	 5,506,135		-		5,506,135
3000	Total Fund Balances	\$ 7,754,624	\$	698,862	\$	8,453,486
	Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows					
4000	of Resources and Fund Balances	\$ 8,381,649	\$	768,286	\$	9,149,935

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET (GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS) TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AUGUST 31, 2023

Total fund balances - Balance Sheet (governmental funds)	\$ 8,453,486
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement	
of net position are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not reported in the funds.	12,125,786
Property taxes receivable unavailable to pay for current period expenditures are deferred in the funds.	78,773
Payables for bond principal which are not due in the current period are not reported in the funds.	(1,600,000)
Payables for debt interest which are not due in the current period are not reported in the funds.	(37,008)
Payables for direct borrowings which are not due in the current period are not reported in the funds.	(1,434,291)
Recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability is not reported in the funds.	(3,324,781)
Deferred Resource Inflows related to the net liability plans are not reported in the funds.	(239,386)
Deferred Resource Outflows related to the net liability plans are not reported in the funds.	1,750,915
Bond premiums are amortized in the SNA but not in the funds.	(89,498)
Recognition of the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability is not reported in the funds.	(2,027,603)
Deferred Resource Inflows related to the OPEB plans are not reported in the funds.	(3,316,727)
Deferred Resource Outflows related to the OPEB plans are not reported in the funds.	 1,358,323
Net position of governmental activities - Statement of Net Position	\$ 11,697,989

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

			10				98
Data					Other		Total
Control			General	Go	overnmental	G	overnmental
Codes			Fund		Funds		Funds
00003	_				T unus		T UNUS
	REVENUES						
5700	Local and Intermediate Sources	\$	2,265,131	\$	892,291	\$	3,157,422
5800	State Program Revenues		11,039,040		192,897		11,231,937
5900	Federal Program Revenues		138,930		2,008,722		2,147,652
5020	Total Revenues	\$	13,443,101	\$	3,093,910	\$	16,537,011
	EXPENDITURES						
	Current:						
0011	Instruction	\$	6,235,495	\$	1,558,075	\$	7,793,570
0012	Instructional Resources and Media Services		210,160		-		210,160
0013	Curriculum and Staff Development		68,751		-		68,751
0021	Instructional Leadership		109,245		-		109,245
0023	School Leadership		693,600		-		693,600
0031	Guidance, Counseling and Evaluation Services		171,448		-		171,448
0033	Health Services		110,684		-		110,684
0034	Student Transportation		590,616		-		590,616
0035	Food Services		16,068		498,328		514,396
0036	Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		544,749		503,620		1,048,369
0041	General Administration		882,825		-		882,825
0051	Facilities Maintenance and Operations		1,851,549		63,364		1,914,913
0052	Security and Monitoring Services		125,401		58,303		183,704
0053	Data Processing Services		236,987		-		236,987
0061 0071	Community Services Principal of Long-term Debt		1,159		26,662 240,000		27,821
0071	Interest on Long-term Debt		574,484 48,877		240,000 50,928		814,484 99,805
0072	Debt Issuance Costs and Fees		40,077		1,000		99,805 13,821
0073	Capital Outlay		836,044		1,000		836,044
0099	Other Intergovernmental Charges		7,528		-		7,528
6030	Total Expenditures	\$	13,328,491	\$	3,000,280	\$	16,328,771
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		- , , -		-,,	<u> </u>	-,,
1100	Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over						
	Expenditures	\$	114,610	\$	93,630	\$	208,240
	OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	•		•		•	
7914	Issuance of Non-Current Debt	\$	870,114	\$	-	\$	870,114
7915	Transfer In		63,887		-		63,887
8911	Transfer Out				(63,887)		(63,887)
7080	Net Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$	934,001	\$	(63,887)	\$	870,114
1200	Net Changes in Fund Balances	\$	1,048,611	\$	29,743	\$	1,078,354
0100 1300	Fund Balances - Beginning (September 1) Prior Period Adjustment	\$	6,706,013 -	\$	635,297 33,822	\$	7,341,310 33,822
	Fund Balances - Beginning, As Restated	\$	6,706,013	\$	669,119	\$	7,375,132
3000	Fund Balances - Ending (August 31)	\$	7,754,624	\$	698,862	\$	8,453,486

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 1,078,353
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Capital outlays are not reported as expenses in the SOA.	1,631,292
The depreciation of capital assets used in governmental activities is not reported in the funds.	(592,736)
The gain or loss on the sale of capital assets is not reported in the funds.	(42,247)
Certain property tax revenues are deferred in the funds. This is the change in these amounts this year.	51,168
Expenses not requiring the use of current financial resources are not reported as expenditures in the funds.	(52,517)
Repayment of bond principal is an expenditure in the funds but is not an expense in the SOA.	642,000
Repayment of direct borrowings principal is an expenditure in the funds but is not an expense in the SOA.	172,483
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest from beginning of period to end of period.	(37,008)
Proceeds of direct borrowings do not provide revenue in the SOA, but are reported as current resources in the funds.	(870,114)
Pension contributions in the CY are de-expended and recorded as deferred resource outflows.	305,093
Pension contributions deferred in the PY are expended in the CY.	(261,210)
Pension expense recorded in the SOA but not in the funds.	(296,535)
OPEB contributions in the CY are de-expended and recorded as deferred resource outflows.	74,394
OPEB contributions deferred in the PY are expended in the CY.	(69,551)
OPEB expense is recorded in the SOA but not in the funds.	 282,442
	\$ 2,015,307

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION - FIDUCIARY FUNDS AUGUST 31, 2023

		Custodial
Data		Funds
Control		Verizon
Codes	_	Plan
	ASSETS	
1110	Cash and Investments	\$ 59,821
1000	Total Assets	\$ 59,821
	Restricted for:	
3800	Verizon Plan	\$ 59,821
3000	Total Net Position	\$ 59,821

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CHANGE IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Data Control Codes	_		ustodial Funds Verizon Plan
	ADDITIONS		
5700	Fees Received	\$	267,675
5020	Total Additions	\$	267,675
	DEDUCTIONS		
6300 6400	Technology Services Transfer Out	\$	207,855 262,492
6030	Total Deductions	\$	470,347
1300	Change in Net Position	\$	(202,672)
0100	Net Position, Beginning (September 1)		262,493
3000	Net Position, Ending (August 31)	_\$	59,821

#### A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The basic financial statements of the Chapel Hill Independent School District (District) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) applicable to governmental units in conjunction with the Texas Education Agency's *Financial Accountability System Resource Guide* (*Guide*). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

#### 1. Reporting Entity

The Board of School Trustees (Board), a seven member group, has governance responsibilities over all activities related to public elementary and secondary education within the jurisdiction of the District. The Board is elected by the public and as a body corporate has the exclusive power and duty to govern and oversee the management of the public schools of the District. All powers and duties not specifically delegated by statute to the Texas Education Agency (Agency) or to the State Board of Education are reserved for the Board, and the Agency may not substitute its judgment for the lawful exercise of those powers and duties by the Board. The District is not included in any other governmental "reporting entity" as defined by GASB in its Statement No. 14, "The Financial Reporting Entity."

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, the financial statements of the reporting entity include those of the District (primary government) and its components units. The component unit discussed below is included in the District reporting entity because of the significance of the financial relationship with the District.

*Component Unit* – As required by GAAP, the financial statements of the Chapel Hill Independent School District Public Facility Corporation (PFC) have been blended with the primary government to present the financial information of the reporting entity. The PFC, an entity legally separate from the District, is governed by a seven member board comprised of the District's board of trustees. The purpose of the PFC is to finance real property improvements for the District's use.

The District receives funding from local, state, and federal government sources and must comply with the requirements of these funding source entities.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation – Basis of Accounting

a. Basis of Presentation

Government-wide Statements – The statement of net position (SNA) and the statement of activities include the financial activities of the overall government, except for fiduciary activities. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double-counting of internal activities. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange transactions.

The statement of activities (SOA) presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function of the District's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. The District does not allocate indirect expenses in the statement of activities. Program revenues include (a) fees, fines, and charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

*Fund Financial Statements* – The fund financial statements provide information about the District's funds, with separate statements presented for each fund category. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

Proprietary fund operating revenues, such as charges for services, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the fund. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Nonoperating revenues, such as subsidies and investment earnings, result from nonexchange transactions or ancillary activities.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund – This is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the District except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

# A. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

In addition, the District reports the following fund types:

Special Revenue Funds – The District accounts for resources restricted to or designated for specific purposes by the District or a grantor in a special revenue fund. Most Federal and some State financial assistance is accounted for in a special revenue fund, and sometimes unused balances must be returned to the grantor at the close of specified project years. The Board can commit specific types of resources to specific purposes which are included as special revenue funds.

Debt Service Fund – This fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of general long-term Debt principal, interest, and related costs.

Custodial Funds – These funds are reported in the fiduciary fund financial statements. These funds are used to report student activity funds and other resources held in a custodial capacity. Custodial funds typically involve only the receipt, temporary investment, and remittance of fiduciary resources to individuals, private organizations, or other governments.

#### b. Measurement Focus – Basis of Accounting

Government-wide, Proprietary, and Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements – These financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus. The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Nonexchange transactions, in which the District gives (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

*Governmental Fund Financial Statements* – Governmental funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available.

The District considers all revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if the revenues are collected within sixty days after year-end. Revenues from local sources consist primarily of property taxes. Property tax revenues and revenues received from the State are recognized under the susceptible-to-accrual concept. Miscellaneous revenues are recorded as revenue when received in cash because they are generally measurable until actually received. Investment earnings are recorded as earned, since they are both measurable and available. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on long-term debt, which are recognized as expenditures to the extent they have matured. Capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital lease are reported as other financing sources.

When the District incurs an expenditure or expense for which both restricted and unrestricted resources may be used, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources.

#### 3. Budgetary Data

The official budget was prepared for adoption for the general, food service and debt service funds. The following procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the basic financial statements:

- a. Prior to August 20 of the preceding fiscal year, the District prepares a budget for the next succeeding fiscal year beginning September 1.
- b. A meeting of the Board is called for the purpose of adopting the proposed budget with public notice given at least 10 days prior to the meeting.
- c. Prior to the expenditure of funds, the budget is adopted by the Board.

#### A. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

After adoption, the budget may be amended through action by the Board. Budget amendments are approved at the functional expenditure level. All amendments are before the fact and reflected in the official minutes of the Board. Budgets are controlled at the function level by personnel responsible for organizational financial reporting. All budget appropriations lapse at the year end. Budget amendments throughout the year were not significant.

#### 4. Encumbrance Accounting

Encumbrances for goods or purchased services are documented by purchase orders or contracts. Under Texas law, appropriations lapse at August 31, and encumbrances outstanding at that time are to be either canceled or appropriately provided for in the subsequent year's budget.

End-of-year outstanding encumbrances that were provided for in the subsequent year's budget are:

General Fund Special Revenue Fund Debt Service Fund	\$ -0- -0- -0-
Total	\$ -0-

# 5. Financial Statement Amounts

#### Cash and Investments

The District pools cash resources of its various funds in order to facilitate the management of cash. Cash applicable to a particular fund is readily identifiable. The balance in the pooled accounts is available to meet current operating requirements. Cash in excess of current requirements is invested in various interest-bearing securities and disclosed as a part of the District's cash and temporary investments.

For the purpose of the statement of cash flows, highly liquid investments are considered to be cash equivalents if they have a maturity of three months or less when purchased.

#### Fund Balance

Governmental funds utilize a fund balance presentation for equity. Fund balance is categorized as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned or unassigned.

*Nonspendable fund balance* – represents amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form (such as inventory or prepaids) or legally required to remain intact (such as notes receivable or principal of a permanent fund).

*Restricted fund balance* – represents amounts with external constraints placed on the use of these resources (such as debt covenants, grantors, other governments, etc.) or imposed by enabling legislation. Restrictions may be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers.

*Committed fund balance* – represents amounts that can only be used for specific purposes imposed by a formal action of the District's highest level of decision-making authority, the Board. Committed resources cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Board removes or changes the specific use by taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.

Assigned fund balance – represents amounts the District intends to use for specific purposes as expressed by the Board or an official delegated the authority. The Board has delegated the authority to assign fund balances to the Superintendent.

Unassigned fund balance – represents the residual classification for the general fund or deficit balances in other funds.

In circumstances where an expenditure is to be made for a purpose for which amounts are available in multiple fund balance classifications, the order in which resources will be expended is as follows: restricted fund balance, followed by committed fund balance, assigned fund balance, and lastly, unassigned fund balance.

# A. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

The following schedule provides information about the specific fund balance classification by fund:

	 General	Other vernmental	 Total
Nonspendable:			
Inventories	\$ -	\$ 5,396	\$ 5,396
Restricted:			
Retirement of Long Term Debt	-	198,687	198,687
Child Nutrition	-	235,446	235,446
Committed:			
Capital Improvemnets	708,489	-	708,489
After School Program	-	30,622	30,622
Campus Activity Funds	-	228,711	228,711
Assigned:			
Future Construction	1,540,000	-	1,540,000
Unassigned	 5,506,135	 -	 5,506,135
Totals	\$ 7,754,624	\$ 698,862	\$ 8,453,486

#### Inventories

On government-wide financial statements, inventories are presented at cost using the weighted average method and are expensed when used. On fund financial statements, inventories of governmental funds are valued at cost. For all funds, cost is determined using the weighted average method, and are determined by physical count. Inventory in governmental funds consists of expendable supplies held for consumption. The cost is recorded as an expenditure at the time of use. Reported inventories in these funds are equally offset by a fund balance reserve, which indicates they do not represent available spendable resources.

#### Prepaid Items

Certain payments to vendors reflect cost applicable to future accounting years and are recorded as prepaid items. Prepaid items are recorded as expenditures when the items are consumed or occur.

#### Capital Assets

Purchased or constructed capital assets are reported at cost or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of the donation. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not capitalized. A capitalization threshold of \$ 5,000 is used.

Capital assets are being depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

	Estimated
Asset Class	Useful Lives
Buildings and Improvements	15-50
Vehicles	5-10
Other Equipment	3-15

#### Right of Use Assets and Liabilities

GASB Statement 87, *Leases* created new financial statement accounts "Right of Use" assets and similar offsetting liabilities. A "right of use" asset accounts for the net present value of future payments attached to a leased asset. Common examples of "Right of Use" assets are copiers, printers and other types of equipment that the District does not take ownership of but uses under the lease agreement. The assets value will be amortized over the life of the lease using a straight-line method. The liability offsetting the" Right of Use" is presented as lease payable.

# A. <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)</u>

#### Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements (SBITA)

GASB Statement 96, *Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements* created new financial statement assets and offsetting liabilities. A SBITA asset accounts for the net present value of future payments required for right of use subscription assets. To the extent relevant, the standards for SIBTA's are based on the standards established by GASB Statement 87, *Leases.* A SBITA is defined as a contract that conveys control of the right of use of another party's information technology (software) as specified in the contract for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. The asset will be amortized over the life of the contract allowing the use of the information technology over a straight line method. The asset is included in the financial statement caption right of use asset with the offsetting liability identified as SBITA payable.

#### Unearned Revenues

Unearned revenues include state funds received but have not been earned in the year. The balance will be earned in the future year and not recorded as liabilities.

#### 6. Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

The District implemented GASB Statement Number 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and GASB Statement Number 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits and Other Pensions. In addition to assets and liabilities, the government-wide Statement of Net Position and governmental fund Balance Sheet report separate sections for deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources. Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position/fund balance that applies to a future year and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. Deferred inflows of resources represent the acquisition of net position/fund balance that applies to a future year and will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District reports certain deferred inflows and outflows related to pensions on the government-wide Statement of Net Position. At the governmental fund level, earned but unavailable revenue is reported as a deferred inflow of resources.

The District also implemented GASB Statement Number 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date*, which amends the transition provisions of GASB 68. GASB 71 requires that, at transition, governments recognize a beginning deferred outflow of resource for pension contributions made subsequent to the measurement date of the beginning Net Pension Liability. Implementation is reflected in the financial statements and the prior year adjustment.

7. Receivable and Payable Balances

The District believes that sufficient detail of receivable and payable balances is provided in the financial statements to avoid the obscuring of significant components by aggregation. Therefore, no disclosure is provided which disaggregates those balances.

There are no significant receivables which are not scheduled for collection within one year of year end.

#### 8. Interfund Activities

Interfund activity results from loans, service provided, reimbursements or transfers between funds. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures or expenses. Reimbursements occur when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting fund, and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers In and Transfers Out are netted and presented as a single "Transfer" line on the government-wide statement of activities. Similarly, interfund receivables and payables are netted and presented as a single "Internal Balances" line of the government-wide statement of net position.

9. Vacation, Sick Leave, and Other Compensated Absences

District employees are entitled to certain compensated absences based on their length of employment. Sick leave accrues at various rates established by the State and adopted by the Board of Trustees. Sick leave does not vest but accumulates and is recorded as an expenditure as it is paid.

# A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### 10. Pensions

The fiduciary net position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) and additions to/ deductions from TRS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by TRS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

11. Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

The fiduciary net position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) TRS Care Plan has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. This includes for purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, OPEB expenses, and information about assets, liabilities and additions to/deductions from TRS Care's fiduciary net position. Benefit payments are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit term. There are no investments as this a pay-as-you-go plan and all cash is held in a cash account.

12. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the use of management's estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could vary from these estimates.

13. New Accounting Pronouncements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has issued various new accounting standards to better meet the information needed for users of financial statements by improving accounting and financial reporting. The GASB does this by issuing statements that cover various issues identified as needing additional clarification or direction to maintain standardization and comparability of financial information. During the year, the GASB issued no new standards. The following statements with varying effective dates noted are to be implemented in the coming periods:

Statement 99: Omnibus 2023 (Effective upon issuance with some effective FY beginning after June 15, 2023)

Statement 100: Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (Effective FY beginning after June 15, 2023)

Statement 101: Compensated Absences (Effective FY beginning after December 15, 2023)

### 14. Data Control Codes

Data control codes refer to the account code structure prescribed by the Agency in the *Guide*. The Agency requires the District to display these codes in its financial statements filed with the Agency in order to ensure accuracy in building a statewide database for policy development and funding plans.

15. Accounting System

In accordance with Texas Education Code, Chapter 44, Subchapter A, the District adopted and implemented an accounting system which at least meets the minimum requirements prescribed by the State Board of Education and approved by the State Auditor. The District's accounting system uses codes and the code structure presented in the accounting code section of the *Guide*. Mandatory codes are utilized in the form provided in that section.

#### B. Deposits, Securities and Investments

#### Cash Deposits

The District's funds are deposited and invested under the terms of a depository contract. The contract requires the depository to pledge approved securities in an amount significant to protect the District's day-to-day balances. The pledge is waived only to the extent of the dollar amount of Federal Deposit Insurance District (FDIC) insurance. At year end, all District cash deposits appear to have been adequately covered by FDIC insurance or by pledged collateral held by the District or the depository in the District's name. The District's deposits appear to have been properly secured throughout the year.

#### Investments

The District's investment policies and types of investments are governed by the Public Funds Investment Act (PFIA). The Act requires specific training, reporting and establishment of local policies. The District appears to be in compliance with all the requirements of the Act.

The PFIA (Government Code Chapter 256) contains specific provisions in the areas of investment practices, management reports, and establishment of appropriate policies. Among other things, it requires a governmental entity to adopt, implement and publicize an investment policy. That policy must address the following areas (1) safety of principal and liquidity, (2) portfolio diversifications, (3) allowable investments, (4) acceptable risk level, (5) expected rates of return, (6) maximum allowable stated maturity of portfolio investments, (7) maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed based on the state maturity date of portfolio, (8) investment staff quality and capabilities, (9) and bid solicitation preference for certificates of deposit. Statutes authorize the District to invest in (1) obligations of the U.S. Treasury, certain U.S. agencies, and the State of Texas, (2) certificates of deposit, (3) certain municipal securities, (4) money market savings accounts, (5) repurchase agreements, (6) banker's acceptances, (7) mutual funds, (8) investment pools, (9) guaranteed investment contracts, (10) and common trust funds. The PFIA governs the District's investment policies and types of investments.

The District's management believes that it complies with the requirements of the PFIA and the District's investment policies.

District investments include investments in Money Market bank accounts. All investments are reported at fair value and are presented as cash and investments.

The following table lists the District's investments at year end:

	air Value/ ortized Costs	Weighted Average Maturity (Days)		
Governmental Activities : Investments : TexPool Texas CLASS	\$ 90 6,389,689	37 41		
Total	\$ 6,389,779			

#### Texas Local Government Investment Pool

The District invests in the Texas Local Government Investment Pool (TexPool), which is a local government investment pool that was established in conformity with the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code, and operates under the PFIA, Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code. The State Comptroller of Public Accounts oversees TexPool. Federated Investors, Inc. is the administrator and investment manager of TexPool under contract with the State Comptroller. In accordance with the Public Funds Investment Act, the State Comptroller has appointed the TexPool Investment Advisory Board to advise with respect to TexPool. The board is composed equally of participants in TexPool Portfolios and other persons who do not have a business relationship with TexPool Portfolios and are qualified to advise in respect to Texpool Portfolios. The Advisory Board members review the investment policy and management fee structure. TexPool is rated AAAm by Standard and Poor's and operates in a manner consistent with the SEC's Rule 2a7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. All investments are stated at amortized cost, which approximates market value of the securities. The stated objective of TexPool is to maintain a stable average of \$1.00 per unit net asset value; however, the \$1.00 net asset value is not guaranteed or insured. The financial statements can be obtained from the Texas Trust Safekeeping Trust Company website at <u>www.ttstc.org</u>.

#### B. Deposits, Securities and Investments (Continued)

#### Texas Cooperative Liquid Assets Securities System Trust (Texas CLASS)

The Texas Cooperative Liquid Assets Securities System Trust (Texas CLASS), was created as an investment pool for it participants pursuant to Section 2256.016 of the Public Funds Investment Act, Texas Government Code. The Texas CLASS Trust Agreement (Trust) is an agreement of indefinite term regarding the investment, reinvestment and withdrawal of local government funds. The parties to the Trust Agreement are Texas local government entities that choose to participate (the "Participants"), MBIA Municipal Investors Service Corporation as Program Administrator (the "Program Administrator"), and Wells Fargo Bank Texas, NA as Custodian (the "Custodian").

Texas CLASS is supervised by a Board of Trustees who are elected by the Participants. The Board of Trustees supervises the Trust and its affairs and acts as the liaison between the Participants, the Custodian, and the Program Administrator. The Board administers the affairs of the Trust. It also selects the consultants for Texas CLASS, including the Program Administrator and the Custodian. The Board of Trustees has appointed an Advisory Board composed of Participants and other persons who do not have a business relationship with the Trust and are qualified to advise the Trust. The Advisory Board provides advice to the Board of Trustees and the Program Administrator about the Investment Policy and Investment Strategy of the Trust, and about other matters as requested by the Board of Trustees and the Program Administrator. The Fund is rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's rating agency. This rating is the highest principal stability fund rating assigned by Standard & Poor's.

GASB Statement No. 40 requires a determination as to whether the District was exposed to the following specific investment risks at year end and if so, the reporting of certain related disclosures:

#### a. Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The ratings of securities by nationally recognized agencies are designed to give an indication of credit risk. At year end, the District was not significantly exposed to credit risk.

#### b. Custodial Credit Risk

Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the District's name.

Investment securities are exposed to custodial risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by either the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the Districts' name. At year end, the District was not exposed to custodial credit risk.

The largest combined balances of cash, saving and time deposit accounts amounted to \$ 2,341,552 and occurred in December 2022. The market value of bonds or other securities used to secure cash on the date of the highest combined cash balance was \$ 2,181,593. The total amount of FDIC coverage at the time of the highest combined balance was \$ 311,705. Guaranty Bank & Trust, Mt. Pleasant, Texas, is the District's depository.

#### c. Concentration of Credit Risk

This risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. At year end, the District was not exposed to concentration of credit risk.

#### d. Interest Rate Risk

This is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. District investments are limited to short term maturities to limit any potential interest rate risk. At year end, the District was not exposed to interest rate risk.

#### e. Foreign Currency Risk

This is the risk that exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. State statutes do not allow for foreign investments this eliminating foreign currency rate risk. The District was not exposed to foreign currency risk.

#### B. Deposits, Securities and Investments (Continued)

#### f. Fair Value Measurements

The District categorizes its fair value measurements with the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the assets. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets: Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or equivalent) as practical expedient are not classified in the fair value hierarchy below.

In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels in the above fair value hierarchy, fair value measurements in their entirety are categorized based on the lowest level input that is significant to the valuation. The District's assessment of the significance of particular inputs to these fair value measurements require judgement and considers factors specific to each asset or liability.

# C. Property Taxes

Property taxes are levied by October 1, in conformity with Subtitle E, Texas Property Tax Code. Taxes are due on receipt of the tax bill and are delinquent if not paid before February 1 of the year following the October 1 levy date. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all taxes, penalties and interest ultimately imposed. Property tax revenues are considered available when collected within the current year or expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current year.

Property taxes are prorated between maintenance and debt service based on rates adopted for the year of the levy. Allowances for uncollectibles within the General and Debt Service Funds are based upon historical experience in collecting property taxes. Section 33.05, Property Tax Code, requires the tax collector for the District to cancel and remove from the delinquent tax rolls a tax on real property that has been delinquent for more than 20 years or a tax on personal property that has been delinquent for more than 10 years. Delinquent taxes meeting these criteria may not be canceled if litigation concerning these taxes is pending.

The District levied taxes on property within the District at \$ 0.926800 to fund general operations and \$ 0.083281 for the payment of principal and interest on long-term debt. The rates were levied on property assessed totaling \$ 189,409,859. The District contracts with a tax attorney for the collection of all delinquent taxes. Delinquent taxes are subject to both penalty and interest plus a 20% delinquent tax attorney collection fee.

#### D. <u>Capital Assets</u>

Capital asset activities during the year were as follows:

		Beginning Balances		Increases		Decreases		Ending Balances
Governmental Activities:								
Capital Assets not being depreciated:								
Land	\$	200,278	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200,278
Total Capital Assets not being depreciated	\$	200,278	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200,278
Capital Assets being depreciated:								
Buildings and Improvements	\$	17,711,247	\$	1,182,574	\$	-	\$	18,893,821
Vehicles		1,889,191		181,732		754,627		1,316,296
Equipment		560,509		266,986		286,607		540,888
Total Capital Assets being depreciated	\$	20,160,947	\$	1,631,292	\$	1,041,234	\$	20,751,005
Less Accumulated Depreciation for:								
Buildings and Improvements	\$	7,622,170	\$	435,231	\$	-	\$	8,057,401
Vehicles		1,197,189		123,888		714,316		606,761
Equipment		412,389		33,617		284,671		161,335
Total Accumulated Depreciation	\$	9,231,748	\$	592,736	\$	998,987	\$	8,825,497
	<u> </u>	0,201,110	Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ	0,0-0,101
Total Capital Assets being depreciated, net	\$	10,929,199	\$	1,038,556	\$	42,247	\$	11,925,508
Governmental Activities Capital Assets, net	\$	11,129,477	\$	1,038,556	\$	42,247	\$	12,125,786

# D. Capital Assets (Continued)

Depreciation was charged to governmental activities functions as follows:

Instruction	\$ 312,710
Student Transportation	134,653
Food Services	76,497
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities	34,971
General Administration	19,104
Facilities Maintenance and Operations	11,146
Security and Monitoring Services	 3,655
Total	\$ 592,736

# E. Long-term Obligations

# Long-term Obligation Activity

Long-term obligation activities during the year were as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increases	C	Decreases	Ending Balance	0	Amounts Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities: General Obligation Bonds Payable Direct Borrowings Payable Unamortized Premium (Discount)	\$ 2,242,000 736,660 36.981	\$ - 870,114 -	\$	642,000 172,483 (52,517)	\$ 1,600,000 1,434,291 89,498	\$	245,000 235,800
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 3,015,641	\$ 870,114	\$	761,966	\$ 3,123,789	\$	480,800

#### <u>Bonds</u>

The District has issued various series of general obligation bonds to fund facility construction and improvements. Bonds mature at various times with varying rates of interest. The bonds issued require the District to levy an ad valorem tax annually to retire the current maturities. The District is required to make semi-annual interest payments along with annual principal payments.

The following bonded debt issues are outstanding at year end:

Description	Interest Rate	Original Amount	C	Outstanding Balance
Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2011 Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	1.00% - 3.50% 2.00% - 4.00%	\$ 2,110,000 1,400,000	\$	895,000 705,000
	Total		\$	1,600,000

Maturity requirements on bonded debt at year end are as follows:

Year Ending				Total
August 31	 Principal	Interest	F	Requirements
2024	\$ 245,000	\$ 43,298	\$	288,298
2025	255,000	35,098		290,098
2026	265,000	26,373		291,373
2027	270,000	18,373		288,373
2028	280,000	11,231		291,231
2029-2033	285,000	3,768		288,768
Totals	\$ 1,600,000	\$ 138,141	\$	1,738,141

#### E. Long-term Obligations (Continued)

There are a number of limitations and restrictions contained in the general obligation bond indentures. The District appears to be in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions as of year end.

#### Direct Borrowing

The District issued various agreements identified here as direct borrowings. The direct borrowings are made up of financing arrangements including maintenance tax notes, time warrants, leases that transfer ownership and other debtor agreements

The District issued various tax maintenance notes for improvements and upgrades. The various agreements have interest that is paid annually along with principal amounts. The annual payments continue until the balance is liquidated. Interest rates and maturity dates are presented in the schedule that follows. The Series 2022 Maintenance Tax Notes were issued October 11, 2022 and incur interest of 3.75%. The proceeds were used to make necessary renovations and repairs as authorized by Section 45.108, Texas Education Code.

Financing arrangements have been executed to provide for school buses and other equipment in the District. The financing arrangements from prior years include buses that are paid with annual principal and interest requirements as listed in the following schedule. During the current year, the District executed financing arrangements for the purchase of buses. These arrangements require annual payments of principal and interest at the rate indicated in the following schedule. The District also executed a financing arrangement to purchase energy management equipment for all facilities. This agreement requires quarterly payments of principal and interest at the rate presented in the schedule below.

The following direct borrowing agreements are outstanding at year end:

Description/Purpose	Maturity Date	Implicit Interest Rate	Original Amount	_	Balance tstanding
Maintenance Tax Notes, Series 2011	2/15/2026	6.87%	\$ 1,084,000	\$	310,000
Maintenance Tax Notes, Series 2019	11/7/2026	2.60%	205,063		121,668
Maintenance Tax Notes, Series 2022	10/1/2032	3.75%	525,595		525,595
Empire Financial - 2021 School Bus (2)	9/20/2026	1.95%	219,105		156,359
Empire Financial - 2022 Activity Bus	9/15/2028	2.75%	124,858		124,858
Empire Financial - 2023 School Bus	9/15/2028	4.95%	140,597		116,747
Ideal Impact - Energy Management Equipment	10/30/2026	6.687%	79,064		79,064

Totals

\$ 1,434,291

Maturity requirements for the direct borrowings are as follows:

Year Ending					Total
August 31	Principal	I	nterest	Red	quirements
2024	\$ 235,800	\$	56,028	\$	291,828
2025	271,729		50,819		322,548
2026	285,187		36,884		322,071
2027	188,851		23,359		212,210
2028	90,924		17,683		108,607
2029-2033	 361,800		36,327		398,127
Totals	\$ 1,434,291	\$	221,100	\$	1,655,391

# F. Defined Benefits Pension Plan

#### 1. Plan Description

The District participates in a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension that has a special funding situation. The plan is administered by the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS). It is a defined benefit pension plan established and administered in accordance with the Texas Constitution, Article XVI, Section 67 and Texas Government Code, Title 8, Subtitle C. The pension trust fund is a qualified pension trust under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Texas Legislature establishes benefits and contribution rates within the guidelines of the Texas Constitution. The pension's Board of Trustees does not have the authority to establish or amend benefit terms.

#### F. Defined Benefits Pension Plan (Continued)

All employees of public, state-supported educational institutions in Texas who are employed for one-half or more of the standard workload and who are not exempted from membership under Texas Government Code, Title 8, Section 822.002 are covered by the System.

#### 2. Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the System's fiduciary net position is available in a separately issued Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) that includes financial statements and required information. That report may be obtained on the internet at <a href="http://www.trs.texas.gov/pages/about">http://www.trs.texas.gov/pages/about</a> publications.aspx; by writing to TRS at 1000 Red River Street, Austin, TX 78701-2698; or by calling (512) 542-6592. The information provided in the Notes to the Financial Statements in the 2022 ACFR for TRS provides the following information regarding the Pension Plan fiduciary net position as of August 31, 2022, and 2021.

Net Pension Liability	2022	2021			
Total Pension Liability Less: Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$ 243,553,045,455 (184,185,617,196)	\$ 227,273,463,630 (201,807,002,496)			
Net Pension Liability	\$ 59,367,428,259	\$ 25,466,461,134			
Net Position as percentage of Total Pension Liability	75.62%	88.79%			

#### 3. Benefits Provided

TRS provides service and disability retirement, as well as death and survivor benefits, to eligible employees (and their beneficiaries) of public and higher education in Texas. The pension formula is calculated using 2.3 percent (multiplier) times the average of the five highest annual creditable salaries times years of credited service to arrive at the annual standard annuity except for members who are grandfathered, the three highest annual salaries are used. The normal service retirement is at age 65 with 5 years of credited service or when the sum of the member's age and years of credited service equals 80 or more years. Early retirement is at age 55 with 5 years of service credit or earlier than 55 with 30 years of service credit. There are additional provisions for early retirement if the sum of the member's age and years of service credit total at least 80, but the member is less than age 60 or 62 depending on date of employment, or if the member was grandfathered in under a previous rule. There are no automatic post-employment benefit changes, including automatic COLAs. Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes, including ad hoc COLAs can be granted by the Texas Legislature as noted in the Plan description in (1) above.

Texas Government Code Section 821.006 prohibits benefit improvements, if, as a result of the particular action, the time required to amortize TRS' unfunded actuarial liabilities would be increased to a period that exceeds 31 years, or, if the amortization period already exceeds 31 years, the period would be increased by such action. Actuarial implications of the funding provided in the manner are determined by the TRS's actuary.

#### 4. Contributions

Contribution requirements are established or amended pursuant to Article 16, Section 67 of the Texas Constitution which requires the Texas legislature to establish a member contribution rate of not less than 6% of the member's annual compensation and a state contribution rate of not less than 6% and not more than 10% of the aggregate annual compensation paid to members of TRS during the fiscal year.

Employee contribution rates are set in state statute, Texas Government Code, Section 825.402. The TRS Pension Reform Bill (Senate Bill 12) of the 86<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature amended Texas Government Code Section 825.402 for member contributions and increased employee and employer contribution rates for fiscal years 2020 thru 2025.

#### F. Defined Benefits Pension Plan (Continued)

Employee contribution rates are set in state statute, Texas Government Code Section 825.402. The TRS Pension Reform Bill (SB12) of the 86<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature amended Texas Government Code Section 825.402 for member contributions and increased employee and employer contribution rates for fiscal years 2020 thru 2025.

Contribution Rates			
	2022		2023
Member	8.00%	_	8.00%
Non-Employer Contributing Entity (State)	7.75%		8.00%
Employers	7.75%		8.00%
Current Year Employer Contributions		\$	305,093
Current Year Member Contibutions		\$	631,238
Measurement Year NECE On-Behalf Contributions		\$	429,756

Contributors to the plan include members, employers and the State of Texas (State) as the only non-employer contributing entity. The State contributes to the plan in accordance with state statutes and the General Appropriations Act (GAA).

As the non-employer contributing entity for public education, the State of Texas contributes to the retirement system an amount equal to the current employer contribution rate times the aggregate annual compensation of all participating members of the pension trust fund during that fiscal year reduced by the amounts described below which are paid by the employers. Employers including public schools are required to pay the employer contribution rate in the following instances:

- On the portion of the member's salary that exceeds the statutory minimum for members entitled to the statutory minimum under Section 21.402 of the Texas Education Code.
- During a new member's first 90 days of employment.
- When any part or all of an employee's salary is paid by federal funding sources, a privately sponsored source, from non-educational and general, or local funds.

In addition to the employer contributions listed above, there are two additional surcharges an employer is subject to.

- When employing a retiree of the Teacher Retirement System, the employer shall pay both the member contribution and the state contribution as an employment after retirement surcharge.
- A Public Education Employer contribution surcharge of 1.7% of the member's salary beginning in fiscal year 2022, gradually increasing to 2% in fiscal year 2025 on all covered payroll.

#### 5. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability in the August 31, 2022, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Valuation Date	August 31, 2021 rolled forward to
	August 31, 2022
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry Age Normal
Asset Valuation Method	Fair Value
Single Discount Rate	7.00%
Long-term expected Investment Rate	7.00%
Municipal Bond Rate as of August 31, 2022	3.91% - The source for the rate is
	the Fixed Income Market
	Data/Yield curve/Data Municipal
	bonds with 20 years to maturity
	that include only federally tax-
	exempt municipal bonds as
	reported in Fidelity Index's "20-
	Year Municipal GO AA Index"
Last year ending August 31 in Projection Period	
(100 years)	2121
Salary Increases including inflation	2.95% to 8.95% including inflation
Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes	None

#### F. Defined Benefits Pension Plan (Continued)

The actuarial methods and assumptions used in the determination of the total pension liability are the same assumptions used in the actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2021. For full description of these assumption please see the actuarial valuation report dated November 12, 2021.

#### Discount Rate

A single discount rate of 7.00% was used to measure the total pension liability. The single discount rate was based on the expected rate of return on plan investments of 7.00%. The projection of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that contributions from active members, employers and the non-employer contributing entity will be made at the rates set by the legislature during the 2019 session. It is assumed that future employer and state contributions will be 8.50% of payroll in fiscal year 2020 gradually increasing to 9.55% of payroll over the next several years. This includes all employer and state contributions for active and rehired retirees.

Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.25%. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.25%. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.25%. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.25%. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense, and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighing the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the TRS System's target asset allocation as August 31, 2021, are summarized below:

		Long -Term	Expected
	Target	Expected	Contribution to Long
	Allocation	Geometric Real	Term Portfolio
Asset Class	%**	Rate of Return***	Returns
Global Equity			
USA	18.00%	4.60%	1.12%
Non-US Developed	13.00%	4.90%	0.90%
Emerging Markets	9.00%	5.40%	0.75%
Private Equity*	14.00%	7.70%	1.55%
Stable Value			
Government Bonds	16.00%	1.00%	0.22%
Absolute Return*	0.00%	3.70%	0.00%
Stable Value Hedge Funds	5.00%	3.40%	0.18%
Real Return			
Real Estate	15.00%	4.10%	0.94%
Energy, Natural Resources & Infrastructure	6.00%	5.10%	0.37%
Commodities	0.00%	3.60%	0.00%
Risk Parity	8.00%	4.60%	0.43%
Asset Allocation Leverage			
Cash	2.00%	3.00%	0.01%
Asset Allocation Leverage	(6.00%)	3.60%	(0.05%)
Inflation Expectation			2.70%
Volatility Drag****			(0.91%)
Expected Return	100%		8.19%

\* Absolute Return includes Credit Sensitive Investments.

\*\* Target allocations are based on the FY2022 policy model.

\*\*\* Capital Market Assumptions come from Aon Hewitt (as of 8/31/2022)

\*\*\*\* The volatility drag results from the conversion between arithmetic and geometric mean returns.

### F. Defined Benefits Pension Plan (Continued)

6. Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the District's share of the net pension liability of the plan using the discount rate of 7.00% as well as what the District's share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 – percentage point lower (6.00%) or 1 – percentage point higher (8.00%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease		Dis	scount Rate	19	% Increase
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	5,172,098	\$	3,324,781	\$	1,827,443

7. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At August 31, 2023, the District reported a liability of \$ 3,324,781 for its proportionate share of the TRS's net pension liability. This liability reflects a reduction for State pension support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability	\$ 3,324,781
State's proportionate share that is associated with the District	 5,467,616
Total	\$ 8,792,397

The net pension liability was measured as of August 31, 2021 and rolled to August 31, 2022 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The employer's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the employer's contribution to the pension plan relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2021 thru August 31, 2022.

At August 31, 2022, the employer's proportion of the collective net pension liability was 0.0056003452%, which was an increase of 0.0010292145% from its proportion measured as of August 31, 2021.

#### Changes Since the Prior Actuarial Valuation

The actuarial assumptions and methods have been modified since the determination of the prior year's Net Pension Liability. These new assumptions were adopted in conjunction with an actuarial experience study. The primary assumption change was the lowering of the single discount rate from 7.25% to 7.00%.

#### Pension Expense

For the current year, the District recognized pension expense of \$ 1,080,387 and revenue of \$ 522,642 for support provided by the State.

The District reported its proportionate share of the system's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources at year end:

	 red Outflows Resources	 red Inflows Resources
Differences between expected and actual actuarial experiences Changes of actuarial assumptions	\$ 48,209 619,515	\$ 72,487 154,400
Differences between projected and actual investment earnings Changes in proportion and differences between the employer's	328,478	-
contributions and the proportionate share of contributions	 449,620	 12,499
Total as of August 31, 2022 measurement date	\$ 1,445,822	\$ 239,386
Contributions paid to TRS subsequent to the measurement date	 305,093	 -
Total at fiscal year end	\$ 1,750,915	\$ 239,386

# F. Defined Benefits Pension Plan (Continued)

The net amounts of the employer's balances of deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ending August 31	Amount				
2024 2025 2026 2027 2028	\$	307,345 198,252 121,828 469,198 109,813			
Thereafter		-			

#### G. Other Post-Employment Defined Benefit Plans

#### 1. Plan Description

The District participates in the Texas Public School Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care). It is a multiple-employer, cost-sharing defined Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) plan that has a special funding situation. The TRS-Care program was established in 1986 by the Texas Legislature.

The TRS Board of Trustees administers the TRS-Care program and the related fund in accordance with Texas Insurance Code Chapter 1575. The Board of Trustees is granted the authority to establish basic and optional group health insurance coverage for participants as well as to amend benefit terms as needed un Chapter 1575.052. The Board may adopt rules, plans, procedures, and orders reasonably necessary to administer the program, including minimum benefits and financing standards.

#### 2. OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detail Information about the TRS-Care's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued TRS Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) that includes financial statements and required information. That report may be obtained on the internet at <a href="http://www.trs.texas.gov/pages/about publications.aspx">http://www.trs.texas.gov/pages/about publications.aspx</a>; by writing to TRS at 1000 Red River Street, Austin, TX 78701-2698; or by calling (512) 542-6592.

The components of the Net OPEB Liability of the TRS-Care plan as of August 31, 2022, and 2021 are as follows:

Net OPEB Liability	 2022		2021
Total OPEB Liabilty Less Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$ 27,061,942,520 (3,117,937,218)	\$	41,113,711,083 (2,539,242,470)
Net OPEB Liabiltiy	\$ 23,944,005,302	\$	38,574,468,613
Net Position as percentage of Total Liability	11.52%		6.18%

#### 3. Benefits Provided

TRS-Care provides a basic health insurance coverage (TRS-Care 1), at no cost to all retirees from public schools, charter schools, regional education service centers and other educational districts who are members of the TRS pension plan. Optional dependent coverage is available for an additional fee.

Eligible non-Medicare retirees and their dependents may enroll in TRS-Care Standard, a high deductible health plan. Eligible Medicare retirees and their dependents may enroll in the TRS-Care Medicare Advantage medical plan and the TRS-Care Medicare Rx prescription drug plan. To qualify for TRS-Care coverage, a retiree must have at least 10 years of service credit in the TRS pension system. The TRS Board of Trustees is granted the authority to establish basic and optional group insurance coverage for participants as well as to amend benefit terms as needed under Chapter 1575.052. There are no automatic post-employment benefit changes; including automatic COLAs.

# G. Other Post-Employment Defined Benefit Plans (Continued)

The premium rates for retirees are presented in the table below:

TRS-Care Monthly Premium Rates					
	Mee	dicare	Non N	ledicare	
Retiree or Surviving Spouse	\$	135	\$	200	
Retiree and Spouse		529		689	
Retiree or Surviving Spouse and Children		468		408	
Retiree and Family		1,020		999	

#### 4. Contributions

Contribution rates for the TRS-Care plan are established in state statute by the Texas Legislature, and there is no continuing obligation to provide benefits beyond each fiscal year. The TRS-Care plan is currently funded on a payas-you-go basis and is subject to change based on available funding. Funding for TRS-Care is provided by retiree premium contributions and contributions from the state, active employees, and school districts based upon public school district payroll. The TRS Board of Trustees does not have the authority to set or amend contribution rates.

Texas Insurance Code, Section 1575.202 establishes the state's contribution rate which is 1.25% of the employee's salary. Section 1575.203 establishes the active employee's rate which is 0.75% of pay. Section 1575.204 establishes an employer contribution rate of not less than 0.25% or not more than 0.75% of the salary of each active employee of the public. The actual employer contribution rate is prescribed by the Legislature in the General Appropriations Act. The following table shows contributions to the TRS-Care plan by type of contributor.

#### Contribution Rates

	2022	 2023
Active Employee	0.65%	0.65%
Non-Employer Contributing Entity (State)	1.25%	1.25%
Employers	0.75%	0.75%
Federal/Private Funding remitted by Employers	1.25%	1.25%
Current Year Employer Contributions		\$ 74,394
Current Year Employer Contributions		\$ 51,288
Measurement Year NECE On-Behalf Contributions		\$ 84,843

In addition to the employer contributions listed above, there is an additional surcharge all TRS employers are subject to (regardless of whether or not they participate in the TRS-Care OPEB program). When employers hire a TRS retiree, employers are required to pay TRS-Care a monthly surcharge of \$ 535 per retiree.

TRS-Care received supplemental appropriations from the State of Texas as the Non-Employer Contributing Entity in the amount of \$ 83 million in fiscal year 2022 from the Federal Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) to help defray Covid-19 related health care costs during fiscal year 2022.

#### 5. Actuarial Assumptions

The actuarial valuation was performed as of August 31, 2021. Updated procedures were used to roll forward the total OPEB liability to August 31, 2022. The actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions.

#### G. Other Post-Employment Defined Benefit Plans (Continued)

The actuarial valuation of TRS-Care is similar to the actuarial valuation performed for the pension plan, except that the OPEB valuation is more complex. All of the demographic assumptions, rates of retirement, termination, and disability including general inflation and salary increases are identical to those used in the respective TRS Pension valuation. These assumptions were developed in the experience study performed for TRS for the measurement period ended August 31, 2017. The following assumptions and other inputs used for members of TRS-Care are based on an established pattern of practice and are identical to the assumptions used in the August 31, 2021 TRS pension actuarial valuation that was rolled forward to August 31, 2022:

Rates of Mortality	General Inflation
Rates of Retirement	Wage Inflation
Rates of Termination	Salary Increases
Rates of Disability	-

The active mortality rates were based on 90 percent of the RP-2014 Employee Mortality Tables for males and females. The post retirement mortality rates for healthy lives were based on the 2018 TRS of Texas Healthy Pensioner Mortality Tables, with full generational projection using the ultimate improvement rates from the mortality projection scale MP-2018.

Additional Actuarial Methods and Assumptions:

Valuation Date	August 31, 2021 rolled forward to August 31, 2022
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry-Age Normal
Inflation	2.30%
Discount Rate	3.91% as of August 31, 2022
Aging Factors	Based on plan specific experience
Expenses	Third-party administrative expenses related to the delivery of health care benefits are included in the age- adjusted claims costs.
Salary Increases	3.05% to 9.05%, including inflation
Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes	None

#### Health Care Trend Rates

The initial medical trend rates were 8.25% for Medicare retirees and 7.25% for Non-Medicare retirees. There was an initial prescription drug trend rate of 8.25% for all retirees. The initial trend rates decrease to an ultimate trend rate of 4.25% over a period of 13 years.

#### Discount Rate

A single discount rate of 3.91% was used to measure the total OPEB liability. There was an increase of 1.96% in the discount rate since the previous year. Because the plan is essentially a "pay-as-you-go" plan, the single discount rate is equal to the prevailing municipal bond rate. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from active members and those of the contributing employers and the non-employer contributing entity are made at the statutory required rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to not be able to make all future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the municipal bond rate was used for the long-term rate of return and was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB Liability.

The source of the municipal bond rate is the Fidelity "20-year Municipal GO AA Index" as of August 31. 2021 using the Fixed Income Market Data/Yield Curve/Data Municipal bonds with 20 years to maturity that include only federal tax-exempt municipal bonds.

#### 6. Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following schedule shows the impact of the net OPEB liability if the discount rate used was 1% greater than the discount rate that was used (3.91%) in measuring the net OPEB liability as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate of 1% less than the rate used.

	1% Decrease	Discount Rate	1% Increase
District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$ 2,390,705	\$ 2,027,603	\$ 1,733,444

#### G. Other Post-Employment Defined Benefit Plans (Continued)

#### 7. Healthcare Cost Trend Rates Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the plan using the assumed healthcare cost trend rate (8.5%), as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a trend rate that is one-percentage point lower or one-percentage point higher than the assumed healthcare cost trend rate.

	Current Healthcare			
	1% Decrease	Cost Trend Rate		1% Increase
District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$ 1,670,754	\$	2,027,603	\$ 2,490,212

# 8. OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEBs

At year end, the District reported a liability of \$ 2,027,603 for its proportionate share of the TRS's net OPEB liability. This liability reflects a reduction for State OPEB support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net OPEB liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the collective net OPEB liability	\$ 2,027,603	
State's proportionate share that is associated with the District	2,473,358	
Total	\$ 4,500,961	

The net OPEB liability was measured as of August 31, 2021 and rolled forward to August 31, 2022 and the total OPEB Liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net OPEB Liability was based on the employer's contributions to the OPEB plan relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2021 thru August 31, 2022.

At August 31, 2022, the District's proportion of the collective net OPEB liability was 0.0084681046%, which was an increase of 0.0009087152% from its proportion measured as of August 31, 2021.

#### Change Since the Prior Actuarial Valuation

The following were changed to the actuarial assumptions or other inputs that affected measurement of the Total OPEB liability since the prior measurement period:

• The discount rate changed from 1.95% as of August 31, 2021 to 3.91% as pf August 31, 2022. This change decreased the Total OPEB Liability.

#### Changes of Benefit Terms Since the Prior Measurement Date

There were no changes in benefit terms since the prior measurement date.

#### **OPEB** Expense

The amount of OPEB expense recognized by the District in the reporting period was (\$ 563,880) and revenue of (\$ 350,989) for support provided by the State.

The District reported its proportionate share of TRS-Care's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to other post-employment benefits from the following sources at year:

	 red Outflows Resources	 erred Inflows Resources
Differences between expected and actual actuarial experiences Changes in actuarial assumptions Differences between projected and actual investment earnings	\$ 112,728 308,844 6,040	\$ 1,689,176 1,408,658 -
Changes in proportion and difference between the employer's contributions and the proportionate share of contributions	 856,317	 218,893
Total as of August 31, 2022 measurement date	\$ 1,283,929	\$ 3,316,727
Contributions paid to TRS subsequent to the measurement date	 74,394	 
Total at fiscal year end	\$ 1,358,323	\$ 3,316,727

### G. Other Post-Employment Defined Benefit Plans (Continued)

The net amounts of the employer's balances of deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expenses as follows:

Year Ending August 31	 Amount
2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 Thereafter	\$ (431,029) (431,009) (345,024) (228,616) (219,187) (377,933)

#### H. <u>Medicare Part D Coverage</u>

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 established prescription drug coverage for Medicare beneficiaries known as Medicare Part D. Under Medicare Part D, TRS-Care receives retiree drug subsidy payments from the federal government to offset certain prescription drug expenditures for eligible TRS-Care participants. For the years ended August 31, 2023, 2022 and 2021, the subsidy payments received by TRS-Care on behalf of the District were \$ 42,522, \$ 31,835 and \$ 32,962 respectively. These payments are recorded as equal revenues and expenditures in the governmental funds financial statement of the District.

#### I. <u>Risk Management</u>

#### Health Care

During the year, employees of the District were covered by a health insurance plan (the Plan). The District paid premiums of \$ 325 per month per employee and employees, at their opinion, authorized payroll withholdings to provide dependents coverage under the Plan. All premiums were paid to a licensed insurer. The Plan was authorized by Article 3.51-2, Texas Insurance Code and was documented by contractual agreement.

The contract between the District and Blue Cross Blue Shield is renewable September 1 of each year and terms of coverage and premium costs are included in the contractual provisions. Latest financial statements for Blue Cross Blue Shield are available for the year ended December 31, 2022 and have been filed with the Texas State Board of Insurance, Austin, Texas, and are public records

#### Workers Compensation

During the year, the District met its statutory worker's compensation obligation through participation in the TASB Risk Management Fund (the Fund). The Fund was created and is operated under the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code. The Fund's Workers Compensation Program is authorized by Chapter 504, Texas Labor Code. All members participating in the Fund execute Interlocal Agreements that define the responsibilities of the parties. The Fund provides statutory worker's compensation benefits to its member's injured employees.

The fund and its members are protected against higher than expected claims costs through the purchase of stop loss coverage for any claim in excess of the Fund's self-insured retention of \$ 2 million. The Fund uses the service of an independent actuary to determine reserve adequacy and fully funds the reserves. As of August 31, 2022, the Fund carries a discount reserve of \$ 50,647,775 for future development on reported claims and claims that have been insured but not yet reported. For the current year, the fund anticipates no additional liability to members beyond their contractual obligations for payment of contributions

The Fund engages the services of an independent auditor to conduct a financial audit after the close of each year on August 31. The audit is accepted by the Fund's Board of Trustees in February of the following year. The Fund's audited financial statements as of August 31, 2022 are available on the TASB Risk Management Fund website and have been filed with the Texas Department of Insurance Austin.

The District was formerly in the East Texas Educational Insurance Association Workers' Compensation Self Insurance Joint Fund. The District will continue to be responsible for claims incurred during their membership period. At year end, the District's claim liability was estimated at \$ 978 including an allotment for incurred but not reported claims.

#### I. Risk Management (Continued)

#### Unemployment Compensation Pool

During the current year, the District provided unemployment compensation coverage to its employees through participation in the TASB Risk Management Fund (the Fund). The Fund was created and is operated under the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code. The Fund's Unemployment Compensation Program is authorized by Section 22.005 of the Texas Education Code and Chapter 172 of the Texas Local Government Code. All members participating in the Fund execute interlocal agreements that define the responsibilities of the parties.

The Fund meets its quarterly obligation to the Texas Workforce Commission. Expenses are accrued each month until the quarterly payment has been made. Expenses can be reasonably estimated; therefore, there is no need for specific or aggregate stop loss coverage for Unemployment Compensation pool.

The Fund engages the services of an independent auditor to conduct a financial audit after the close of each plan year on August 31. The audit is accepted by the Fund's Board of Trustees in February of the following year. The Fund's audited financial statements as of August 31, 2022, are available at the TASB offices and have been filed with the Texas Department of Insurance in Austin.

#### Other Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. During the fiscal year, the District purchased commercial insurance to cover these liabilities. There were not significant reductions in coverage in the past fiscal year, and there were no settlements exceeding insurance coverage for each of the past three fiscal years.

#### J. Litigation

The District appears to have no pending litigation as of August 31, 2023.

#### K. <u>Commitments and Contingencies</u>

The District participates in numerous state and federal grant programs which are governed by various rules and regulations of the grantor agencies. Costs charged to the respective grant programs are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor agencies; therefore, to the extent that the District has not complied with the rules and regulations governing the grants, if any, refunds of any money received may be required and the collectability of any related receivable at year end, may be impaired. In the opinion of the District, there are no significant contingent liabilities relating to compliance with the rules and regulations governing the respective grants; therefore, no provision has been recorded in the accompanying combined financial statements for such contingencies.

The effects of the coronavirus-19 (COVID-19) pandemic continues to affect the District's operations including funding for student attendance and operating programs and cost. Continued funding allowances including additional federal funding will cease in future periods. The operational costs associated with these additional revenues will be evaluated along with the need for these services. The cost of the additional federal funded programs may exceed the available resources and require the District to either eliminate or curtail their existence.

#### L. Revenue from Local and Intermediate Sources

During the year, the District received revenue from local and intermediate sources in the Districts individual major funds and aggregate non-major funds consisting of the following:

			Other	
	General	Go	vernmental	
	 Fund		Funds	Total
Property Tax Collections	\$ 1,746,665	\$	159,704	\$ 1,906,369
Investment Income	287,795		11,513	299,308
Tuition and Fees	124,790		23,463	148,253
Food Service Income	-		177,622	177,622
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities	11,312		519,989	531,301
Services to Other Districts	17,000		-	17,000
Other	 77,569		-	77,569
Total	\$ 2,265,131	\$	892,291	\$ 3,157,422

### M. <u>Receivables</u>

Receivables at year end, for the District's individual major funds and aggregate nonmajor funds, including any applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts are as follows:

	General	G	Other overnmental	
	 Fund		Funds	Total
Due from Other Governments	\$ 2,069,618	\$	27,231	\$ 2,096,849
Property Taxes	80,420		7,106	87,526
Less Allowance for Uncollectible				
Property Taxes	(8,042)		(711)	(8,753)
Other Receivables	 27,704		2,320	30,024
Net Receivables	\$ 2,169,700	\$	35,946	\$ 2,205,646

#### N. Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after August 31, 2023 up through January 9, 2024 the date the financial statements were issued.

### O. State Aid Reconciliation

The State provides various types of funding for local school districts as provided for in state statute. The following reconciliation presents funding earned by the District in each category presented. Because of the State's delay in reconciling the funding to local districts, the summary below represents an estimate of earnings. The settle up with the State will occur some 9 to 10 months following the fiscal year end.

Funding is earned for: 1) Available – annual allocation based on prior year enrollment; 2) Foundation – annual allocation based on student attendance, property tax collections and valuations, and special student population; 3) Instructional Facilities Allotment – based on property wealth; and 4) Existing Debt Allotment – based on eligible debt, student attendance and property wealth. Various other sources are received but not reconciled here as these are the major sources of funding.

	 Available	F	oundation	 IFA	EDA
CY Summary of Finances (SOF)	\$ 610,881	\$	9,677,418	\$ 128,785	\$ -
Prior Year Settle Ups	-		(22,162)	(6,002)	-
August Instructional Days Change	 30,103		195,484	 -	 -
Financial Statement Earnings	\$ 640,984	\$	9,850,740	\$ 122,783	\$ <u> </u>
Financial Statement Amounts SOF Receivable (Overpayment)	\$ -	\$	1,201,921	\$ (11,019)	\$ -
August Instructional Days Receivable	30,103		767,836	-	-

\* Overpayments are represented in the financial statements as Unearned Revenue (government-wide or governmental).

#### P. Change in Accounting Principle

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement 96, *Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements*, with an effective date of fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2022 This required the District to implement the provisions of the Statement during the year. The Statement requires the recognition of longer than 12 month agreements to utilize other types of subscription based technology As such there are new financial statement captions on the government wide financial statements. For the fund financial statements, the net present value of the payments is recognized as an expenditure in the initial year of the agreement with subsequent payments represented as debt retirement payments. The Statement requires retroactive restatement of assets and liabilities with the difference modifying the beginning net position.

# Q. Compliance, Stewardship, and Accountability

#### Expenditure over Appropriations

The following individual funds incurred expenditures in excess of appropriations at functional expenditure levels

	 Budget	Actual	Excess
General Fund:			
School Leadership	\$ 677,538	\$ 693,600	\$ 16,062
Student Transportation	499,008	590,616	91,608
Extracurricular Activities	422,034	544,749	122,715
General Administration	861,882	882,825	20,943
Secuirty and Monitoring	120,689	125,401	4,712
Data Processing Services	225,403	236,987	11,584
Debt Service	556,131	636,182	80,051
Child Nutrition Fund:			
Facilities Maintenance and Operations	60,000	63,364	3,364

# R. Interfund Balances and Activities

#### Interfund Receivables and Payables

The composition of interfund balances at year end are as follows:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	A	mount
General Fund	Campus Activity Fund	\$	34,463

#### Transfers between Funds

Transfers between funds during year consisted of the following:

Transfers To	Trasfers From	A	Mount	Reason
General Fund	ESSER - PPRP	\$	63,887	Correct Prior Year Posting

# S. Prior Period Adjustment

The District reclassified the after school program from an enterprise fund to a special revenue fund at the beginning of the year. The program was misclassified as a enterprise fund when no effort or intention to generate profits. The reclassification combined the government wide net asset balances into a single presentation as governmental activities. The governmental fund balance increased \$ 33,822 while the enterprise fund is not presented.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

	YEAR ENI	DED AUGU	IST 31, 2023					Va	riance w ith
Data									nal Budget
Contro	bl		Budgetee	d Amo	punts				Positive
Codes	3		Original		Final		Actual	(	Negative)
5700	REVENUES	¢	1 820 000	¢	0 404 959	¢	0.065.101	¢	(150.000)
5700 5800	Local and Intermediate Sources State Program Revenues	\$	1,830,000 10,323,538	\$	2,424,353 10,323,538	\$	2,265,131 11,039,040	\$	(159,222) 715,502
5900	Federal Program Revenues		135,000		135,000		138,930		3,930
3900	rederal Flogram Revenues		133,000		133,000		138,930		3,930
5020	Total Revenues	\$	12,288,538	\$	12,882,891	\$	13,443,101	\$	560,210
	EXPENDITURES								
	Instruction and Instructional Related Services:								
0011	Instruction	\$	6,917,869	\$	6,603,972	\$	6,235,495	\$	368,477
0012	Instructional Resources and Media Services		210,081		213,125		210,160		2,965
0013	Curriculum and Staff Development		44,286		68,786		68,751		35
	Total Instruction and Instr. Related Services	\$	7,172,236	\$	6,885,883	\$	6,514,406	\$	371,477
0004	Instructional and School Leadership:	¢	400.004	¢	444.000	¢	100.045	¢	5 00 4
0021 0023	Instructional Leadership	\$	138,234	\$	114,339	\$	109,245	\$	5,094
0023	School Leadership Total Instructional and School Leadership	\$	668,914 807,148	\$	677,538 791,877	\$	693,600 802,845	\$	(16,062) (10,968)
	Total instructional and School Leadership	<u>_</u>	807,148	_φ_	791,077	φ	802,845	φ	(10,908)
	Support Services - Student (Pupil):								
0031	Guidance, Counseling and Evaluation Services	\$	246,406	\$	184,662	\$	171,448	\$	13,214
0033	Health Services		109,959		111,988		110,684		1,304
0034	Student Transportation		448,900		499,008		590,616		(91,608)
0035	Food Services		-		16,068		16,068		-
0036	Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		419,409		422,035		544,749		(122,714)
	Total Support Services - Student (Pupil)	\$	1,224,674	\$	1,233,761	\$	1,433,565	\$	(199,804)
0044	Administrative Support Services:	¢	000 000	¢	001 000	¢	000 005	¢	(00.040)
0041	General Administration	\$	838,293	\$	861,882	\$	882,825	\$	(20,943)
	Total Administrative Support Services	\$	838,293	\$	861,882	\$	882,825	\$	(20,943)
	Support Services - Nonstudent Based:								
0051	Facilities Maintenance and Operations	\$	1,025,691	\$	1,891,937	\$	1,851,549	\$	40,388
0052	Security and Monitoring Services	Ŷ	169,608	Ψ	120,689	Ψ	125,401	Ŷ	(4,712)
0053	Data Processing Services		224,388		225,403		236,987		(11,584)
	Total Support Services - Nonstudent Based	\$	1,419,687	\$	2,238,029	\$	2,213,937	\$	24,092
	Ancillary Services:								
0061	Community Services	\$	-	\$	1,208	\$	1,159	\$	49
	Total Ancillary Services	\$	-	\$	1,208	\$	1,159	\$	49
	Debt Service:								
0071	Principal on Long-Term Debt	\$	492,000	\$	515,850	\$	574,484	\$	(58,634)
0072	Interest on Long-Term Debt		34,500	•	37,766	•	48,877		(11,111)
0073	Bond Issuance Costs and Fees		300,000		2,515		12,821		(10,306)
	Total Debt Service	\$	826,500	\$	556,131	\$	636,182	\$	(80,051)
	Capital Outlay:								
0081	Capital Outlay	\$	-		836,044	\$	836,044	\$	-
	Total Capital Outlay	\$	-	\$	836,044	\$	836,044	\$	-
	Intergovernmental Charges:								
0099	Other Intergovernmental Charges	\$	-	\$	15,056	\$	7,528	\$	7,528
	Total Intergovernmental Charges	\$	-	\$	15,056	\$	7,528	\$	7,528
		-	10,000,500	<u>_</u>	10 110 071		10.000.101		
6030	Total Expenditures	\$	12,288,538	\$	13,419,871	\$	13,328,491	\$	91,380
1100	Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	\$	-	\$	(536,980)	\$	114,610	\$	651,590
	OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)								
7914	Issuance of Non-Current Debt	\$	-	\$	-	\$	870,114	\$	870,114
7915	Transfers In		-		-		63,887		63,887
						<u>^</u>		<u>^</u>	
7080	Net Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	934,001	\$	934,001
1200	Net Change in Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	(536,980)	\$	1,048,611	\$	1,585,591
0100	Fund Balance - Beginning (September 1)		6,706,013		6,706,013		6,706,013		-
3000	Fund Balance - Ending (August 31)	\$	6,706,013	\$	6,169,033	\$	7,754,624	\$	1,585,591
		<u> </u>	., .,	<u> </u>	., .,	<u> </u>	,,	<u> </u>	,,

	SCHEL	SCHEDULES OF THE DISTRICTS PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023	STRICTS PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023	HARE OF THE NET PEN TEM OF TE XAS 31, 2023	ISION LIABILITY				
	2022 *	2021 *	2020 *	2019 *	2018 *	2017 *	2016 *	2015 *	2014 *
District's proportion of the net pension liability	0.0056003452%	0.0045711307%	0.0044006495%	0.0044006485%	0.0043001448%	0.0044417609%	0.0041471357%	0.0044072000%	0.0022875000%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability State's proportionate share of the net pension liability associated with the District	\$ 3,324,781 5,467,616	\$ 1,164,105 2,760,901	\$ 2,356,898 5,477,945	\$ 2,287,595 4,788,641	\$ 2,366,904 5,297,926	\$ 1,420,236 3,181,523	\$ 1,567,141 3,917,908	\$ 1,557,887 3,678,602	\$ 611,023 3,161,724
Total	\$ 8,792,397	\$ 3,925,006	\$ 7,834,843	\$ 7,076,236	\$ 7,664,830	\$ 4,601,759	\$ 5,485,049	\$ 5,236,489	\$ 3,772,747
District's covered-employee payroll (for Measurement Year)	\$ 7,560,912	\$ 7,560,411	\$ 7,397,572	\$ 6,821,126	\$ 5,998,520	\$ 5,858,198	\$ 5,710,664	\$ 5,608,784	\$ 5,369,342
District's proportionate share of the net persion liability as a percentage of it's covered-employee payroll	43.97%	15.40%	31.86%	33.54%	39.46%	24.24%	27.44%	27.78%	11.38%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	75.62%	88.79%	75.54%	75.24%	73.74%	82.17%	78.00%	78.43%	83.25%

Exhibit G-2

CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Note: Only nine years of data is presented in accordance with GASB 68, paragraph 138. "The information for all periods for the 10-year schedules that are required to be presented as required supplementary information may not be available initially. In these cases, during the transition period, that information should be presented for as many years are available. The schedules should not include information that is not measured in accordance with the requirements of this Statement."

+ The years above present data for the measurement period ending date. The measurement period represents the period for which the pension plan prepare its reports which provides a 10 month delay for financial reporting in accordance with GASB 68.

CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

		2023		2022		2021		2020		2019		018	2	017		2016		2015	
Contractually required contributions	\$	305,093	÷	333,025	¢	259,068	÷	242,594	\$	208,318	Ф	205,242	в	\$ 184,126	Ф	3 158,527	ŝ	162,378	
Contributions in relations to the contractual required contributions		(305,093)		(333,025)		(259,068)		(242,594)		(208,318)		(205,242)		(184,126)		(158,527)		(162,378)	
Contribution deficiency (excess)	ω		φ	·	ŵ	·	φ		ю		φ		θ	·	ω		φ		
District's covered employee payroll	÷	7,890,466	ŝ	7,560,411	Ŷ	7,397,572	ŝ	6,821,126	ø	5,998,520	ŝ	5,858,198	÷	5,710,664	ø	5,608,784	÷	5,369,342	
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll		3.87%		4.40%		3.50%		3.56%		3.47%		3.50%		3.22%		2.83%		3.02%	

Note: Only interyears of data is presented in accordance with GASB 68, paragraph 138. "The information for all periods for the 10-year schedules that are required to be presented as required supplementary information may not be available initially. In these cases, during the transition period, that information the requirements of this Statement."

Exhibit G-3

SCHEDULES OF THE	CHAPEL HILL INDEP E DISTRICTS PROPO TEACHER RETIREN YEAR ENDEI	CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT LES OF THE DISTRICTS PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET OPEB LIABILITY TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023	RICT HE NET OPEB LIABLIT S	÷		
	2022 *	2021 *	2020 *	2019 *	2018 *	2017 *
District's proportion of the Net OPEB Liability (Asset) District's proportionate share of the Net OPEB Liability (Asset) State's proportionate share of the Net OPEB Liability (Asset) associated with the District	0.0084681046% \$ 2,027,603 t 2,473,358	0.0075593894% \$2,915,994 3,906,783	0.0073851105% \$2,807,414 3,772,491	0.0066937365% \$ 3,165,550 4,206,308	0.0067728523% \$3,381,747 5,101,843	0.0074673815% \$3,247,284 4,578,957
Total	\$ 4,500,961	\$ 6,822,777	\$ 6,579,905	\$ 7,371,858	\$ 8,483,590	\$ 7,826,241
Districts covered-employee payroll (for Measurement Year)	\$ 7,560,912	\$ 7,560,411	\$ 7,397,572	\$ 6,821,126	\$ 5,998,520	\$ 5,858,198
District's proportionate share of the Net OPEB Liability as a percentage of it's covered-employee payroll	26.82%	38.57%	37.95%	46.41%	56.38%	55.43%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	11.52%	6.18%	4.99%	2.66%	1.57%	0.91%
Note: Only six years of data is presented in accordance with GASB 75 paragraph 245. "The information for all periods for the 10-year schedules that are required to be presented as required supplementary information may not be available initially. In these cases, during the transition period, that information should be presented for as many years as are available. The schedules should not include information that is not measured in accordance with the requirements of this Statement."	The information for all p presented for as ma	periods for the 10-year so ny years as are available	chedules that are require e. The schedules should	id to be presented as re d not include information	quired supplementary in that is not measured i	normation may not be n accordance with the

Exhibit G-4

\* The years above present data for the measurement period ending date. The measurement period represents the period for which the pension plan prepares its reports which provides a 10 month delay for financial reporting in accordance with GASB 75.

62

Note: Only six years of data is presented in accordance with GASB 75, paragraph 245. "The information for all periods for the 10-year schedules that are required to be presented as required supplementary information may not be available initially. In these cases, during the transition period, that information should be presented for as many years as are available. The schedules should not include information that is not measured in accordance with the requirements."

# Exhibit G-5

CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF DISTRICTS OPEB CONTRIBUTIONS

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

## A. Budget

The official budget was prepared for adoption for all Government Fund Types. The budget was prepared in accordance with accounting practices generally accepted in the United States of America. The following procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the basic financial statements:

- 1. Prior to August 20 of the preceding fiscal year, the District prepares a budget for the next succeeding fiscal year beginning September 1. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. A meeting of the Board is then called for the purpose of adopting the proposed budget after ten days' public notice of the meeting has been given.
- 3. Prior to September 1, the budget is legally enacted through passage of a resolution by the Board.

Once a budget is approved, it can be amended at function and fund level only by approval of a majority of the members of the Board. Amendments are presented to the Board at its regular meetings.

Each amendment must have Board approval. Such amendments are made before the fact, are reflected in the official minutes of the Board and are not made after fiscal year end as required by law.

Each amendment is controlled by the budget coordinator at the revenue and expenditure function/object level. Budgeted amounts are as amended by the Board. All budget appropriations lapse at year end.

Encumbrances for goods or purchased services are documented by purchase orders or contracts. Under Texas law, appropriations lapse on August 31, and encumbrances outstanding at the time are to be either cancelled or appropriately provided for in the subsequent year's budget. There were no end-of-year outstanding encumbrances that were provided for in the subsequent year's budget.

- B. <u>Defined Benefit Pension Plan</u>
  - 1. <u>Changes of Assumptions Since the Prior Measurement Date</u>

Actuarial assumptions and methods have been modified since the determination of the prior year net pension liability. These new assumptions were adopted in conjunction with an actuarial experience study. The primary assumption change was the lowering of the single discount rate from 7.25% to 7.00%.

2. Changes of Benefit Terms Since the Prior Measurement Date

There were no changes of benefit terms that affected measurement of the total pension liability during the measurement period.

## C. OPEB Healthcare Plan

## 1. <u>Changes of Assumptions Since the Prior Measurement Date</u>

The single discount rate changed from 1.95% as of last year to 3.91% at August 31, 2022. Lower participation rates and updates to the health care trend rate assumptions were also factors then decreased the District's proportion of the total OPEB liability.

2. Changes of Benefit Terms Since the Prior Measurement Date

There were no changes of benefit terms that affected measurement of the total OPEB liability during the measurement period.

## OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

	50 Ending Balance 8/31/2023	937	365	950	1,510	2,509	2,384	3,418	6,915	15,467	53,071	87,526
		(214) \$	(48)	(49)	(52)	Ø	ø	ø	69	(802)	(9,310)	(10,381) \$
	40 Entire Year's Adjustments	\$	-	-	·		·	-			6)	
	30a Debt Service Tax Collections	23	23	78	·	·	·	283	566	2,045	152,599	155,617 \$
		227 \$	224	770	1,494	1,965	2,898	3, 193	5,336	17,971	,213	1,732,291 \$
	30 Maintenance Tax Collections	÷			,	,			5	17	1,698,213	
DISTRICT CEIVABLE		I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1,913,193	72,622 \$ 1,913,193 \$
CHOOL [ XES REC 31, 2023	20 Current Year's Total Lew	÷									1,9	\$ 1,9
HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL D LE OF DELINQUENT TAXES REC YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023	10 Beginning Balance 9/1/2022	1,401	660	1,847	3,056	4,465	5,274	6,886	12,748	36,285	T	72,622
INDEPE DELING ENDED		ф										÷
CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF DELINQUENT TAXES RECEIVABLE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023	3 Assessed/Appraised Value For School Tax Purposes	Various	108,684,266	107,486,692	115,942,752	124,607,994	133,510,690	139,333,799	150,463,490	166,115,948	189,409,859	
	2 lates Debt Service	Various	0.105900	0.105900	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.093200	0.105900	0.107500	0.083281	
	1 Tax Rates I Maintenance Se	Various	1.040000	1.040000	1.145900	1.145900	1.145900	1.052700	0.997900	0.944700	0.926800	
	Last Ten Years Ended August 31	2014 and Prior	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	1000 TOTALS
	Tax Roll Year	XXX	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	1000 T

Exhibit J-1

99

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHOOL BREAKFAST AND NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Data Contro	SI	Budgeted	Amour	te		Fir	riance with al Budget Positive
Codes		 Original	Amou	Final	Actual		Vegative)
00000		onginai		T mai	 Total	(i	logativoj
	REVENUES						
5700	Local and Intermediate Sources	\$ 103,000	\$	103,000	\$ 177,623	\$	74,623
5800	State Program Revenues	16,998		16,998	2,528		(14,470)
5900	Federal Program Revenues	 516,000		516,000	 396,043		(119,957)
5020	Total Revenues	\$ 635,998	\$	635,998	\$ 576,194	\$	(59,804)
	EXPENDITURES						
	Current:						
	Support Services - Student:						
0035	Food Services	\$ 640,271	\$	575,998	\$ 498,328	\$	77,670
	Total Support Services - Student	\$ 640,271	\$	575,998	\$ 498,328	\$	77,670
	Support Services						
	Facilities Maintenance and Operations	\$ -	\$	60,000	\$ 63,364	\$	(3,364)
	Total Support Services	\$ -	\$	60,000	\$ 63,364	\$	(3,364)
6030	Total Expenditures	\$ 640,271	\$	635,998	\$ 561,692	\$	74,306
1100	Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues						
	Over Expenditures	\$ (4,273)	\$		\$ 14,502	\$	14,502
1200	Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (4,273)	\$	-	\$ 14,502	\$	14,502
0100	Fund Balance - Beginning (September 1)	 226,340		226,340	 226,340		
3000	Fund Balance - Ending (August 31)	\$ 222,067	\$	226,340	\$ 240,842	\$	14,502

## Exhibit J-4

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT DEBT SERVICE FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Data Contro Codes		 Budgeted Original	Amou	nts Final		Actual	Fin	iance with al Budget Positive Jegative)
Codes		Onginai		Filidi		Actual	(	vegative)
	REVENUES							
5700	Local and Intermediate Sources	\$ 150,000	\$	158,500	\$	168,872	\$	10,372
5800	State Program Revenues	 150,000		139,430		122,783		(16,647)
5020	Total Revenues	\$ 300,000	\$	297,930	\$	291,655	\$	(6,275)
	EXPENDITURES							
	Debt Service:							
0071	Principal on Long-term Debt	\$ 230,000	\$	230,000	\$	240,000	\$	(10,000)
0072	Interest on Long-term Debt	60,000		57,973		50,928		7,045
0073	Bond Issuance Costs and Fees	 10,000	_	9,957	_	1,000		8,957
	Total Debt Service	\$ 300,000	\$	297,930	\$	291,928	\$	6,002
6030	Total Expenditures	\$ 300,000	\$	297,930	\$	291,928	\$	6,002
1100	Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over							
	Expenditures	\$ 	\$		\$	(273)	\$	(273)
1200	Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ -	\$	-	\$	(273)	\$	(273)
0100	Fund Balance - Beginning (September 1)	 198,960		198,960		198,960		
3000	Fund Balance - Ending (August 31)	\$ 198,960	\$	198,960	\$	198,687	\$	(273)

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REQUIRED RESPONSES TO SELECTED SCHOOL FIRST INDICATORS AS OF AUGUST 31, 2023

Data Control <u>Codes</u>		<u>Response</u>
SF1	Was there an unmodified opinion in the Annual Financial Report on the financial statements as a whole?	Yes
SF2	Were there any disclosures in the Annual Financial Report and / other sources information concerning nonpayment of any terms of any debt agreement at fiscal year end?	No
SF3	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teacher Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies? (If the school district was issued a warrant hold and the warrant hold was not cleared within 30 days from the date the warranty hold was issued, the school district is considered to not have made timely payments).	Yes
	Payments to the TRS and TWC are considered timely if a warrant hold that was issued in connection to the untimely payment was cleared within 30 days from the date the warrant hold was issued. Payments to the IRS are considered timely if a penalty or delinquent payment notice was cleared within 30 days from the date the notice was issued.	
SF4	Was the school district issued a warrant hold? Even if the issue surrounding the initial hold was resolved and cleared within 30 days, the shool district is considered to have been inssued a warrant hold.	No
SF5	Did the Annual Financial Report disclose any instances of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?	No
SF6	Was there any disclosure in the Annual Financial Report of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state or federal funds?	No
SF7	Did the school post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and other statues, laws and rules that were in effect at the school districts fiscal year-end?	Yes
SF8	Did the school board members discuss the school districts property value at a board meeting within 120 days before the school district adopted its budget?	Yes
SF9	Total accumulated accretion on CABs included in government- wide financial statements at fiscal year-end.	\$-0-

## Exhibit J-6

# CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REQUIRED RESPONSES TO STATE MANDATED PROGRAM REQIREMENTS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

Data Control <u>Codes</u>		R	esponse
	Section A: Compensatory Education Programs		
<u>AP1</u>	Did your District expend any state compensatory education program state allotment funds during the district's fiscal year?		Yes
<u>AP2</u>	Does the District have written policies and procedures for its state compensatory education program?		Yes
<u>AP3</u>	List the total state allotment funds received for state compensatory education programs during the district's fiscal year.	\$	759,605
<u>AP4</u>	List the actual direct program expenditures for state compensatory education programs during the District's fiscal year. (PICs 24, 26, 28, 29, 30, 34)	\$	551,172
	Section B: Billingual Education Programs		
<u>AP5</u>	Did your District expend any bilingual education program state allotment funds during the District's		Yes
<u>AP6</u>	Does the District have written policies and procedures for its bilingual education program?		Yes
<u>AP7</u>	List the total state allotment funds received for bilingual education programs during the District's fiscal year.	\$	101,748
<u>AP8</u>	List the actual direct program expenditures for bilingual education programs during the District's fiscal year. (PIC's 25, 35)	\$	116,949

## FEDERAL AWARDS SECTION

#### CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

(01) Federal Grantor/ Pass Through Grantor/ Program Title	(02) Federal ALN Number	(02A) Pass-Through Grantor Identifying Number	(03) Federal Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION			
Passed through the Texas Education Agency:			
ESSA Title I Part A - Improving Basic Programs	84.010a	23610101225906	\$ 165,138
ESSA Title I Part A - Improving Basic Programs	84.010a	22610101225906	12,924
Total ALN 84.010			178,062
IDEA-B, Formula - B	84.027	236600012259066600	251,031
IDEA-B, Formula - B	84.027	226600012259066600	8,627
Total ALN 84.027			259,658
IDEA-B, Preschool - B	84.173	236610012259066610	2,840
Total ALN 84.173			2,840
ESSA Title II Part A - Supporting Effective Education	84.367a	23694501225906	27,002
Total ALN 84.367			27,002
Elementary and Secondary School Relief - I - D*	84.425d	20521001225906	468
Elementary and Secondary School Relief - II - D*	84.425d	21521001225906	390,348
Elementary and Secondary School Relief - III - D*	84.425u	21528001225906	734,427
Total ALN 84.425			1,125,243
ESSA Title IV Part A - Student Support & Academic Achievement	84.424a	23680101225906	15,373
Total ALN 84.424			15,373
Total paased through Texas Education Agency			1,608,178
Passed through Education Service Center, Region VIII:			
CTE Perkins - Vocational Education	84.048a	23420006225950	4,500
Total ALN 84.048			4,500
Total passed through Education Service Center, Region VIII			4,500
Total Department of Education			1,612,678
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
Passed through the Texas Department of Agriculture:			
School Breakfast Program - A	10.553	01047	60,789
National School Lunch Program - A	10.555	01047	258,716
NSLP - Supply Chain Assistance Grant - A	10.555	01047	40,932
USDA Commodity Food Distribution	10.565	01047	34,317
USDA - Commodity Delivery Reimbursement	10.560	01047	661
NSLP - Pandemic EBT Administrative Costs	10.542	01047	628 396,043
Total Department of Agriculture			396,043
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 2,008,721
			,000,721
* Denotes Major Program			

A - Child Nutrition Cluster - Cluster Total - \$ 360,437

B - Special Education Cluster - Cluster Total - \$ 262,498

C - Education Stablization Fund Cluster - Cluster Total - \$ 1,125,243

See accompanying notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

## CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED AUGUST 31, 2023

## A. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) includes the federal activity of the Chapel Hill Independent School District and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which a fund liability occurs. Funds are considered earned to the extent of expenditures made.

The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the District, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position or changes in net position of the District. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

## B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. The governmental fund types are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. All federal expenditures were accounted for in the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds which are governmental fund types. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement. No federal financial assistance has been provided to a subrecipient.

Federal grants are considered to be earned to the extent of expenditures made under the provisions of the grant.

C. Food Distribution

Nonmonetary assistance is reported in the Schedule at the fair market value of the commodities received and used. No provision has been made for amounts on hand on August 31, 2023.

D. Indirect Cost

The District has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

## E. <u>Reconciliation of Federal Revenue with Financial Statements</u>

The District records amounts received from the federal government or other recipients of federal grant as federal revenue in the financial statements. This reconciliation identifies the difference between the financial statement revenues and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards:

Total Federal Expenditures (Exhibit K-1)	\$ 2,008,721
School Health and Related Services (SHARS)	118,565
Qualified Bond Credit Payments Reimbursement	 20,366
Federal Revenue (Exhibit C-3)	\$ 2,147,652

(this page intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX E

THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

(this page intentionally left blank)

## THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

This disclosure statement provides information relating to the program (the "Guarantee Program") administered by the Texas Education Agency (the "TEA") with respect to the Texas Permanent School Fund guarantee of tax-supported bonds issued by Texas school districts and the guarantee of revenue bonds issued by or for the benefit of Texas charter districts. The Guarantee Program was authorized by an amendment to the Texas Constitution in 1983 and is governed by Subchapter C of Chapter 45 of the Texas Education Code, as amended (the "Act"). While the Guarantee Program applies to bonds issued by or for both school districts and charter districts, as described below, the Act and the program rules for the two types of districts have some district bonds and to charter district bonds are referred to herein as the "School District Bond Guarantee Program" and the "Charter District Bond Guarantee Program," respectively.

Some of the information contained in this Section may include projections or other forward- looking statements regarding future events or the future financial performance of the Texas Permanent School Fund (the "PSF" or the "Fund"). Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any such projections or forward-looking statements.

During the 87th Regular Session of the Texas Legislature (the "87<sup>th</sup> Regular Session"), which concluded on May 31, 2021, Senate Bill 1232 ("SB 1232") was enacted and became effective on September 1, 2021. SB 1232 provided for a variety of changes to the operations and management of the Fund, including the creation of the Permanent School Fund Corporation (the "PSF Corporation"), and the delegation of responsibility to manage the portion of the Fund previously under the management supervision of the State Board of Education (the "SBOE") to the PSF Corporation. SB 1232 also required changes with respect to the management of certain investments previously made at the discretion of the Texas School Land Board (the "SLB"), including limiting the types of investments that may be made by the SLB and mandating the transfer of cash and certain other investment properties from the SLB to the PSF Corporation.

The regular session of the 88th Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") was held from January 10, 2023, to May 29, 2023. As of the date of this disclosure, there have been four special sessions held, with the fourth special session ending December 5, 2023. The Texas Governor may call one or more additional special sessions. During this time, the Legislature may enact laws that materially change current law as it relates to the Guarantee Program, the TEA, the SBOE, the Act, the PSF Corporation, and Texas school finance generally. No representation is made regarding any actions the Legislature has taken or may take, but the TEA, SBOE, and PSF Corporation monitor and analyze legislation for any developments applicable thereto.

## **History and Purpose**

The PSF supports the State's public school system in two major ways: distributions to the constitutionally established Available School Fund (the "ASF"), as described below, and the guarantee of school district and charter district issued bonds through the Guarantee Program. The PSF was created in 1845 and received its first significant funding with a \$2,000,000 appropriation by the Legislature in 1854 expressly for the benefit of the public schools of Texas, with the sole purpose of assisting in the funding of public education for present and future generations. The Constitution of 1876 described that the PSF would be "permanent," and stipulated that certain lands and all proceeds from the sale of these lands should also constitute the PSF. Additional acts later gave more public domain land and rights to the PSF. In 1953, the U.S. Congress passed the Submerged Lands Act that relinquished to coastal states all rights of the U.S. navigable waters within state boundaries. If the State, by law, had set a larger boundary prior to or at the time of admission to the Union, or if the boundary had been approved by Congress, then the larger boundary applied. After three years of litigation (1957-1960), the U.S. Supreme Court on May 31, 1960, affirmed Texas' historic three marine leagues (10.35 miles) seaward boundary. Texas proved its submerged lands property rights to three leagues into the Gulf of Mexico by citing historic laws and treaties dating back to 1836. All lands lying within that limit belong to the PSF. The proceeds from the sale and the mineral-related rental of these lands, including bonuses, delay rentals and royalty payments, become the corpus of the Fund. Prior to the approval by the voters of the State of an amendment to the constitutional Amendment"), and which is further described below, only the income produced by the PSF could be used to complement taxes in financing public education, which primarily consisted of income from securities, capital gains from securities transactions, and royalties from

On November 8, 1983, the voters of the State approved a constitutional amendment that provides for the guarantee by the PSF of bonds issued by school districts. On approval by the State Commissioner of Education (the "Education Commissioner"), bonds properly issued by a school district are fully guaranteed by the PSF. See "The School District Bond Guarantee Program."

In 2011, legislation was enacted that established the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program as a new component of the Guarantee Program. That legislation authorized the use of the PSF to guarantee revenue bonds issued by or for the benefit of certain open-enrollment charter schools that are designated as "charter districts" by the Education Commissioner. On approval by the Education Commissioner, bonds properly issued by a charter district participating in the Guarantee Program are fully guaranteed by the PSF. The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program became effective on March 3, 2014. See "The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program."

State law also permits charter schools to be chartered and operated by school districts and other political subdivisions, but bond financing of facilities for school district-operated charter schools is subject to the School District Bond Guarantee Program, not the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program.

While the School District Bond Guarantee Program and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program relate to different types of bonds issued for different types of Texas public schools, and have different program regulations and requirements, a bond guaranteed under either part of the Guarantee Program has the same effect with respect to the guarantee obligation of the Fund thereto, and all guaranteed bonds are aggregated for purposes of determining the capacity of the Guarantee Program (see "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program"). The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program as enacted by State law has not been

reviewed by any court, nor has the Texas Attorney General (the "Attorney General") been requested to issue an opinion, with respect to its constitutional validity.

Audited financial information for the PSF is provided annually through the PSF Corporation's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (the "Annual Report"), which is filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB"). Due to the establishment of the PSF Corporation, the most recent financial statements include several restatements related thereto. The SLB's land and real assets investment operations, which are part of the PSF as described below, are also included in the annual financial report of the Texas General Land Office (the "GLO") that is included in the annual comprehensive report of the State of Texas. The Annual Report includes the Message of the Chief Executive Officer of the PSF Corporation (the "Message") and the Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A"). The Annual Report for the year ended August 31, 2023, as filed with the MSRB in accordance with the PSF undertaking and agreement made in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 ("Rule 15c2-12") of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), as described below, is hereby incorporated by reference into this disclosure. Information included herein for the year ended August 31, 2023, is derived from the audited financial statements of the PSF, which are included in the Annual Report as it is filed and posted. Reference is made to the Annual Report for the complete Message and MD&A for the year ended August 31, 2023, and for a description of the financial results of the PSF for the year ended August 31, 2023, the most recent year for which audited financial information regarding the Fund is available. The 2023 Annual Report speaks only as of its date and the TEA has not obligated itself to update the 2023 Annual Report or any other Annual Report. The PSF Corporation posts (i) each Annual Report, which includes statistical data regarding the Fund as of the close of each fiscal year, (ii) the most recent disclosure for the Guarantee Program, (iii) the PSF Corporation's Investment Policy Statement (the "IPS"), and (iv) monthly updates with respect to the capacity of the Guarantee Program (collectively, the "Web Site Materials") on the PSF Corporation's web site at https://texaspsf.org/bond-guarantee-program/ and with the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org. Such monthly updates regarding the Guarantee Program are also incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes. In addition to the Web Site Materials, the Fund is required to make quarterly filings with the SEC under Section 13(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such filings, which consist of a list of the Fund's holdings of securities specified in Section 13(f), including exchange-traded (e.g., NYSE) or NASDAQ-quoted stocks, equity options and warrants, shares of closed-end investment companies and certain convertible debt securities, are available from the SEC at www.sec.gov/edgar. A list of the Fund's equity and fixed income holdings as of August 31 of each year is posted to the PSF Corporation's web site and filed with the MSRB. Such list excludes holdings in the Fund's securities lending program. Such list, as filed, is incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes.

#### Management and Administration of the Fund

The Texas Constitution and applicable statutes delegate to the SBOE and the PSF Corporation the authority and responsibility for investment of the PSF's financial assets. The SBOE consists of 15 members who are elected by territorial districts in the State to four-year terms of office. The PSF Corporation is a special-purpose governmental corporation and instrumentality of the State entitled to sovereign immunity, and is governed by a nine-member board of directors (the "PSFC Board"), which consists of five members of the SBOE, the Land Commissioner, and three appointed members who have substantial background and expertise in investments and asset management, with one member being appointed by the Land Commissioner and the other two appointed by the Governor with confirmation by the Senate.

The PSF's non-financial real assets, including land, mineral and royalty interests, and individual real estate holdings, are held by the GLO and managed by the SLB. The SLB is required to send PSF mineral and royalty revenues to the PSF Corporation for investment, less amounts specified by appropriation to be retained by the SLB.

The Texas Constitution provides that the Fund shall be managed though the exercise of the judgment and care under the circumstances then prevailing which persons of ordinary prudence, discretion, and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not in regard to speculation, but in regard to the permanent disposition of their funds, considering the probable income therefrom as well as the probable safety of their capital (the "Prudent Person Standard"). In accordance with the Texas Constitution, the SBOE views the PSF as a perpetual endowment, and the Fund is managed as an endowment fund with a long-term investment horizon. For a detailed description of the PSFC Board's investment objectives, as well as a description of the PSFC's roles and responsibilities in managing and administering the fund, see the IPS (available on the PSF Corporation's website).

As described below, the Total Return Constitutional Amendment restricts the annual pay-out from the Fund to both (i) 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property, on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium, and (ii) the total-return on all investment assets of the Fund over a rolling ten-year period.

By law, the Education Commissioner is appointed by the Governor, with Senate confirmation, and assists the SBOE, but the Education Commissioner can neither be hired nor dismissed by the SBOE. The PSF Corporation has also engaged outside counsel to advise it as to its duties with respect to the Fund, including specific actions regarding the investment of the PSF to ensure compliance with fiduciary standards, and to provide transactional advice in connection with the investment of Fund assets in non-traditional investments. TEA's General Counsel provides legal advice to the SBOE but will not provide legal advice directly to the PSF Corporation.

The Total Return Constitutional Amendment shifted administrative costs of the Fund from the ASF to the PSF, providing that expenses of managing the PSF are to be paid "by appropriation" from the PSF. In January 2005, the Attorney General issued a legal opinion, Op. Tex. Att'y Gen. No. GA-0293 (2005), stating that the Total Return Constitutional Amendment does not require the SBOE to pay from such appropriated PSF funds the indirect management costs deducted from the assets of a mutual fund or other investment company in which PSF funds have been invested.

The Act requires that the Education Commissioner prepare, and the SBOE approve, an annual status report on the Guarantee Program (which is included in the Annual Report). The State Auditor audits the financial statements of the PSF, which are separate from other financial statements of the State. Additionally, not less than once each year, the PSFC Board must submit an audit

report to the Legislative Budget Board ("LBB") regarding the operations of the PSF Corporation. The PSF Corporation may contract with a certified public accountant or the State Auditor to conduct an independent audit of the operations of the PSF Corporation, but such authorization does not affect the State Auditor's authority to conduct an audit of the PSF Corporation in accordance with State laws.

With respect to the 2024-2025 State biennium, and for subsequent biennia, the PSF Corporation is required to submit a legislative appropriations request ("LAR") to the LBB and the Office of the Governor that details a request for appropriation of funds to enable the PSF Corporation to carry out its responsibilities for the investment management of the Fund. The requested funding, budget structure, and riders are sufficient to fully support all operations of the PSF Corporation in state fiscal years 2024 and 2025. As described therein, the LAR is designed to provide the PSF Corporation with the ability to operate as a stand-alone state entity in the State budget while retaining the flexibility to fulfill its fiduciary duty and provide oversight and transparency to the Legislature and Governor.

## The Total Return Constitutional Amendment

The Total Return Constitutional Amendment requires that PSF distributions to the ASF be determined using a "total-return-based" that provides that the total amount distributed from the Fund to the ASF: (1) in each year of a State fiscal biennium must be an amount that is not more than 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property (the "Distribution Rate"), on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium, in accordance with the rate adopted by: (a) a vote of two-thirds of the total membership of the SBOE, taken before the Regular Session of the Legislature convenes or (b) the Legislature by general law or appropriation, if the SBOE does not adopt a rate as provided by clause (a); and (2) over the ten-year period consisting of the current State fiscal year and the nine preceding State fiscal years may not exceed the total return on all investment assets of the Fund over the same ten-year period (the "Ten Year Total Return"). In April 2009, the Attorney General issued a legal opinion, Op. Tex. Att'y Gen. No. GA-0707 (2009) ("GA-0707"), with regard to certain matters pertaining to the Distribution Rate and the determination of the Ten Year Total Return. In GA-0707 the Attorney General opined dy the SBOE, to permit monthly transfers equal in aggregate to the annual Distribution Rate to be halted and subsequently made up if such transfers temporarily exceed the Ten Year Total Return, is not prohibited by State law, provided that such contingency plan applies only within a fiscal year time basis, not on a biennium basis, and (iii) the amount distributed from the Fund in a fiscal year may not exceed 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund year, transfers to the ASF will be halted. However, if the Ten Year Total Return is exceeded during a fiscal year, transfers to the ASF will be halted. However, if the Ten Year Total Return subsequently increases during the biennium, transf

In determining the Distribution Rate, the SBOE has adopted the goal of maximizing the amount distributed from the Fund in a manner designed to preserve "intergenerational equity." The definition of intergenerational equity that the SBOE has generally followed is the maintenance of purchasing power to ensure that endowment spending keeps pace with inflation, with the ultimate goal being to ensure that current and future generations are given equal levels of purchasing power in real terms. In making this determination, the SBOE takes into account various considerations, and relies upon PSF Corporation and TEA staff and external investment consultants, which undertake analysis for long-term projection periods that includes certain assumptions. Among the assumptions used in the analysis are a projected rate of growth of student enrollment State-wide, the projected contributions and expenses of the Fund, projected returns in the capital markets and a projected inflation rate.

The Texas Constitution also provides authority to the GLO or another entity (described in statute as the SLB or the PSF Corporation) that has responsibility for the management of revenues derived from land or other properties of the PSF to determine whether to transfer an amount each year to the ASF from the revenue derived during the current year from such land or properties. The Texas Constitution limits the maximum transfer to the ASF to \$600 million in each year from the revenue derived during that year from the GLO, the SBOE or another entity to the extent such entity has the responsibility for the management of revenues derived from such land or other properties. Any amount transferred to the ASF pursuant to this constitutional provision is excluded from the 6% Distribution Rate limitation applicable to SBOE transfers.

The following table shows amounts distributed to the ASF from the portions of the Fund administered by the SBOE (the "PSF(SBOE)"), the PSF Corporation (the "PSF(CORP)"), and the SLB (the "PSF(SLB)").

#### Annual Distributions to the Available School Fund<sup>1</sup>

Fiscal Year Ending	<u>2014</u>	<u>201</u> 5	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022 20</u>	<b>)23</b> <sup>2</sup>
PSF(CORP) Distribution	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ - \$ 2,	076
PSF(SBOE) Distribution	839	839	1,056	1,056	1,236	1,236	1,102	1,102	1,731	-
PSF(SLB) Distribution	0	0	0	0	0	300	600	600 <sup>3</sup>	415	115
Per Student Distribution	175	173	215	212	247	306	347	341	432	440

<sup>1</sup> In millions of dollars. Source: Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2023.

<sup>2</sup> Reflects the first fiscal year in which distributions were made by the PSF Corporation.

<sup>3</sup> In September 2020, the SBOE approved a special, one-time transfer of \$300 million from the portion of the PSF managed by the SBOE to the portion of the PSF managed by the SLB, which amount is to be transferred to the ASF by the SLB in fiscal year

2021. In approving the special transfer, the SBOE determined that the transfer was in the best interest of the PSF due to the historic nature of the public health and economic circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on the school children of Texas.

In November 2022, the SBOE approved a \$3.1 billion distribution to the ASF for State fiscal biennium 2024-2025. In making its determination of the 2024-2025 Distribution Rate, the SBOE took into account the announced planned distribution to the ASF by the SLB of \$1.2 billion for the biennium.

Efforts to achieve the intergenerational equity objective, as described above, result in changes in the Distribution Rate for each biennial period. The following table sets forth the Distribution Rates announced by the SBOE in the fall of each even-numbered year to be applicable for the following biennium.

State Fiscal Biennium 2008-09 2010-11 2012-13 2014-15 2016-17 2018-19 2020-21 2022-23 2024-25

SBOE Distribution Rate<sup>1</sup> 3.5% 2.5% 4.2% 3.3% 3.5% 3.7% 2.974% 4.18% 3.32%<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Includes only distributions made to the ASF by the SBOE; see the immediately preceding table for amounts of direct SLB distributions to the ASF. In addition, the SLB approved transfers of \$600 million per year directly to the ASF for fiscal biennium 2024-25.

<sup>2</sup> The distribution rate approved by the SBOE for fiscal biennium 2024-25 was based on a number of assumptions, including a mid- to long-term expected return rate for the Fund of 6.35% and a rate of inflation measured by the consumer price index of 2.70% according to the policy adopted by the SBOE in June 2022.

## **PSF** Corporation Strategic Asset Allocation

The PSFC Board sets the asset allocation policy for the Fund, including determining the available asset classes for investment and approving target percentages and ranges for allocation to each asset class, with the goal of delivering a long-term risk adjusted return through all economic and market environments. Effective January 1, 2023, the IPS includes a combined asset allocation for all Fund assets (consisting of assets transferred for management to the PSF Corporation from the SBOE and the SLB). The IPS provides that the Fund's investment objectives are as follows:

- Generate distributions for the benefit of public schools in Texas;
- Maintain the purchasing power of the Fund, after spending and inflation, in order to maintain intergenerational equity with respect to distributions from the Fund;
- Provide a maximum level of return consistent with prudent risk levels, while maintaining sufficient liquidity needed to support Fund obligations; and
- Maintain a AAA credit rating, as assigned by a nationally recognized securities rating organization.

The table below sets forth the current asset allocation of the Fund that was adopted February 2024 (which is subject to change from time to time):

	Strategic Asset	Rai	nge
Asset Class	Allocation	Min	Max
Cash	2.0%	0.0%	7.0%
Core Bonds	10.0%	5.0%	15.0%
High Yield	2.0%	0.0%	7.0%
Bank Loans	4.0%	0.0%	9.0%
Treasury Inflation Protected Securities	2.0%	0.0%	7.0%
Large Cap Equity	14.0%	9.0%	19.0%
Small/Mid-Cap Equity	6.0%	1.0%	11.0%
Non-US Developed Equity	7.0%	2.0%	12.0%
Absolute Return	3.0%	0.0%	8.0%
Real Estate	12.0%	7.0%	17.0%
Private Equity	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%
Private Credit	8.0%	3.0%	13.0%
Natural Resources	5.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Infrastructure	5.0%	0.0%	10.0%

The table below sets forth the comparative investments of the PSF for the fiscal years ending August 31, 2022 and 2023, as set forth in the Annual Report for the 2023 fiscal year. As of January 1, 2023, the assets of the PSF(SBOE) and the PSF (SLB) were generally combined (referred to herein as the PSF(CORP)) for investment management and accounting purposes.

		llions) August 31	, 2023 and 2022	
	August 31,	August 31,	Amount of Increas	Percent
<u>ASSET CLASS</u> EQUITY	<u>2023</u>	<u>2022</u>	e <u>(Decrease)</u>	<u>Change</u>
Domestic Small Cap	\$ 2,975.1	\$ 2,858.4	\$ 116.7	4.1%
Domestic Large Cap	7,896.5	<u>6,402.1</u>	<u>1,494.4</u>	<u>23.3%</u>
Total Domestic Equity	10,871.6	9,260.5	1,611.1	17.4%
International Equity	7,945.5	<u>7,197.9</u>	<u>747.6</u>	<u>10.4%</u>
TOTAL EQUITY	18,817.1	16,458.4	2,358.7	14.3%
FIXED INCOME Domestic Fixed Income	5,563.7	E 967 E	(303.8)	-5.2%
U.S. Treasuries	937.5	5,867.5 1,140.2	(202.7)	-17.8%
High Yield Bonds	1,231.6	1,142.5	(202.7) 89.1	7.8%
Emerging Market Debt	869.7	<u>1,190.9</u>	<u>(321.2)</u>	<u>-27.0%</u>
TOTAL FIXED INCOME	8,602.5	9,341.1	(738.6)	-7.9%
ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS				
Absolute Return	3,175.8	2,932.3	243.5	8.3%
Real Estate	6,525.2	6,286.9	238.3	3.8%
Private Equity	8,400.7	7,933.1	467.6	5.9%
Emerging Manager Program	134.5	29.9	104.6	349.8%
Real Return	1,663.7	1,620.3	43.4	2.7%
Real Assets TOT ALT INVESTMENTS	<u>4,712.1</u> 24.612.0	<u>4,341.3</u> 23,143.8	<u>370.8</u> 1.468.2	<u>8.5%</u> 6.3%
UNALLOCATED CASH	,	,	,	
TOTAL	<u>348.2</u>	<u>231.7</u>	<u>116.5</u>	<u>50.3%</u>
PSF(CORP) INVESTMENTS	\$ 52,379.8	\$ 49,175.0	\$ 3,204.8	6.5%

Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2023 and 2022

Source: Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2023.

The table below sets forth the investments of the PSF(SLB) for the year ended August 31, 2023.

## Investment Schedule - PSF(SLB)<sup>1</sup>

Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2023

	As of 8-31-23	
Investment Type Investments in Real		
Assets		
Sovereign Lands	\$ 276.14	
Discretionary Internal Investments	264.32	
Other Lands	167.97	
Minerals <sup>(2), (3)</sup>	<u>5,435.62</u>	(6)
Total Investments <sup>(4)</sup>	6,144.05	
Cash in State Treasury <sup>(5)</sup>	508.38	
Total Investments & Cash in State		
Treasury	\$ 6,652.44	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unaudited figures from Table 5 in the FY 2023 Unaudited Annual Financial Report of the Texas General Land Office and Veterans Land Board.

<sup>2</sup> Historical Cost of investments at August 31, 2023 was: Sovereign Lands \$838,776.71; Discretionary Internal Investments \$129,728,504.04; Other Lands \$38,241,863.70; and Minerals \$13,437,063.73.

<sup>3</sup> Includes an estimated 1,000,000.00 acres in freshwater rivers.

<sup>4</sup> Includes an estimated 1,747,600.00 in excess acreage.

<sup>5</sup> Cash in State Treasury is managed by the Treasury Operations Division of the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas.

<sup>6</sup> Future Net Revenues discounted at 10% and then adjusted for risk factors. A mineral reserve report is prepared annually by external third-party petroleum engineers.

The asset allocation of the Fund's financial assets portfolio is subject to change by the PSF Corporation from time to time based upon a number of factors, including recommendations to the PSF Corporation made by internal investment staff and external consultants. Fund performance may also be affected by factors other than asset allocation, including, without limitation, the general performance of the securities markets and other capital markets in the United States and abroad, which may be affected by different levels of economic activity; decisions of political officeholders; significant adverse weather events; development of hostilities in and among nations; cybersecurity threats and events; changes in international trade policies or practices; application of the Prudent Person Standard, which may eliminate certain investment opportunities for the Fund; management fees paid to external managers and embedded management fees for some fund investments; and PSF operational limitations impacted by Texas law or legislative appropriation. The Guarantee Program could also be impacted by changes in State or federal law or regulations or the implementation of new accounting standards.

## The School District Bond Guarantee Program

The School District Bond Guarantee Program requires an application be made by a school district to the Education Commissioner for a guarantee of its bonds. If the conditions for the School District Bond Guarantee Program are satisfied, the guarantee becomes effective upon approval of the bonds by the Attorney General and remains in effect until the guaranteed bonds are paid or defeased, by a refunding or otherwise.

In the event of default, holders of guaranteed school district bonds will receive all payments due from the corpus of the PSF. Following a determination that a school district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on any guaranteed bond, the Act requires the school district to notify the Education Commissioner not later than the fifth day before the stated maturity date of such bond or interest payment. Immediately following receipt of such notice, the Education Commissioner must cause to be transferred from the appropriate account in the PSF to the Paying Agent/Registrar an amount necessary to pay the maturing or matured principal and interest. Upon receipt of funds for payment of such principal or interest, the Paying Agent/Registrar must pay the amount due and forward the canceled bond or evidence of payment of the interest to the State Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "Comptroller"). The Education Commissioner will instruct the Comptroller to withhold the amount paid, plus interest, from the first State money payable to the school district. The amount withheld pursuant to this funding "intercept" feature will be deposited to the credit of the PSF. The Comptroller must hold such canceled bond or evidence of payment of the interest on behalf of the PSF. Following full reimbursement of such payment by the school district to the PSF with interest, the Comptroller will cancel the bond or evidence of payment of the interest and forward it to the school district. The Act permits the Education Commissioner to order a school district to set a tax rate sufficient to reimburse the PSF for any payments made with respect to guaranteed bonds, and also sufficient to pay future payments on guaranteed bonds, and provides certain enforcement mechanisms to the Education Commissioner, including the appointment of a board of managers or annexation of a defaulting school district to another school district.

If a school district fails to pay principal or interest on a bond as it is stated to mature, other amounts not due and payable are not accelerated and do not become due and payable by virtue of the district's default. The School District Bond Guarantee Program does not apply to the payment of principal and interest upon redemption of bonds, except upon mandatory sinking fund redemption, and does not apply to the obligation, if any, of a school district to pay a redemption premium on its guaranteed bonds. The guarantee applies to all matured interest on guaranteed school district bonds, whether the bonds were issued with a fixed or variable interest rate and whether the interest rate changes as a result of an interest provision or other bond order provision requiring an interest rate change. The guarantee does not extend to any obligation of a school district under any agreement with a third party relating to guaranteed bonds that is defined or described in State law as a "bond enhancement agreement" or a "credit agreement," unless the right to payment of such third party is directly as a result of such third party being a bondholder.

In the event that two or more payments are made from the PSF on behalf of a district, the Education Commissioner shall request the Attorney General to institute legal action to compel the district and its officers, agents and employees to comply with the duties required of them by law in respect to the payment of guaranteed bonds.

Generally, the regulations that govern the School District Bond Guarantee Program (the "SDBGP Rules") limit guarantees to certain types of notes and bonds, including, with respect to refunding bonds issued by school districts, a requirement that the bonds produce debt service savings. The SDBGP Rules include certain accreditation criteria for districts applying for a guarantee of their bonds, and limit guarantees to districts that have less than the amount of annual debt service per average daily attendance that represents the 90th percentile of annual debt service per average daily attendance for all school districts, but such limitation will not apply to school districts that have enrollment growth of at least 25% over the previous five school years. As noted, above, in connection with the Regulatory Recodification, the SDBGP Rules are now codified in the Texas Administrative Code at 19 TAC section 33.6 and are available at https://tea.texas.gov/finance-and- grants/state-funding/facilities-funding-and-standards/bond-guarantee-program.

#### The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program became effective March 3, 2014. The SBOE published final regulations in the Texas Register that provide for the administration of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program (the "CDBGP Rules"). As noted,

above, in connection with the Regulatory Recodification, the CDBGP Rules are now codified at 19 TAC section 33.7 and are available at https://tea.texas.gov/finance-and-grants/state-funding/facilities-funding-and- standards/bond-guarantee-program.

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program has been authorized through the enactment of amendments to the Act, which provide that a charter holder may make application to the Education Commissioner for designation as a "charter district" and for a guarantee by the PSF under the Act of bonds issued on behalf of a charter district by a non-profit corporation. If the conditions for the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program are satisfied, the guarantee becomes effective upon approval of the bonds by the Attorney General and remains in effect until the guaranteed bonds are paid or defeased, by a refunding or otherwise.

Pursuant to the CDBGP Rules, the Education Commissioner annually determines the ratio of charter district students to total public school students, for the 2024 fiscal year, the ratio is 7.69%. At February 26, 2024, there were 186 active open-enrollment charter schools in the State and there were 1,128 charter school campuses authorized under such charters, though as of such date, 212 of such campuses are not currently serving students for various reasons; therefore, there are 916 charter school campuses actively serving students in Texas. Section 12.101, Texas Education Code, limits the number of charters that the Education Commissioner may grant to a total number of 305 charters. While legislation limits the number of charters that may be granted, it does not limit the number of campuses that may operate under a particular charter. For information regarding the capacity of the Guarantee Program, see "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program." The Act provides that the Education Commissioner may not approve the guarantee of refunding or refinanced bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program.

In accordance with the Act, the Education Commissioner may not approve charter district bonds for guarantee if such guarantees will result in lower bond ratings for public school district bonds that are guaranteed under the School District Bond Guarantee Program. To be eligible for a guarantee, the Act provides that a charter district's bonds must be approved by the Attorney General, have an unenhanced investment grade rating from a nationally recognized investment rating firm, and satisfy a limited investigation conducted by the TEA.

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program does not apply to the payment of principal and interest upon redemption of bonds, except upon mandatory sinking fund redemption, and does not apply to the obligation, if any, of a charter district to pay a redemption premium on its guaranteed bonds. The guarantee applies to all matured interest on guaranteed charter district bonds, whether the bonds were issued with a fixed or variable interest rate and whether the interest rate changes as a result of an interest reset provision or other bond resolution provision requiring an interest rate change. The guarantee does not extend to any obligation of a charter district under any agreement with a third party relating to guaranteed bonds that is defined or described in State law as a "bond enhancement agreement" or a "credit agreement," unless the right to payment of such third party is directly as a result of such third party being a bondholder.

In the event of default, holders of guaranteed charter district bonds will receive all payments due from the corpus of the PSF. Following a determination that a charter district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on any guaranteed bond, the Act requires a charter district to notify the Education Commissioner not later than the fifth day before the stated maturity date of such bond or interest payment and provides that immediately following receipt of notice that a charter district to instruct will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on a guaranteed bond, the Education Commissioner is required to instruct the Comptroller to transfer from the Charter District Reserve Fund to the district's paying agent an amount necessary to pay the maturing or matured principal or interest. If money in the Charter District Reserve Fund is insufficient to pay the amount due on a bond for which a notice of default has been received, the Education Commissioner is required to instruct the Comptroller to transfer from the district's paying agent the amount necessary to pay the balance of the unpaid maturing or matured principal or interest. If a total of two or more payments are made under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program on charter district bonds and the Education Commissioner determines that the charter district is acting in bad faith under the program, the Education Commissioner may request the Attorney General to institute appropriate legal action to compel the charter district and its officers, agents, and employees to comply with the duties required of them by law in regard to the guaranteed bonds. As is the case with the School District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act provides a funding "intercept" feature that obligates the Education Commissioner to instruct the Comptroller to withhold the amount paid with respect to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act provides a funding "intercept" feature that obligates the Education Commissioner to

The CDBGP Rules provide that the PSF may be used to guarantee bonds issued for the acquisition, construction, repair, or renovation of an educational facility for an open-enrollment charter holder and equipping real property of an open-enrollment charter school and/or to refinance promissory notes executed by an open-enrollment charter school, each in an amount in excess of \$500,000 the proceeds of which loans were used for a purpose described above (so-called new money bonds) or for refinancing bonds previously issued for the charter school that were approved by the Attorney General (so-called refunding bonds). Refunding bonds may not be guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program if they do not result in a present value savings to the charter holder.

The CDBGP Rules provide that an open-enrollment charter holder applying for charter district designation and a guarantee of its bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program satisfy various provisions of the regulations, including the following: It must (i) have operated at least one open-enrollment charter school with enrolled students in the State for at least three years; (ii) agree that the bonded indebtedness for which the guarantee is sought will be undertaken as an obligation of all entities under common control of the open-enrollment charter holder, and that all such entities will be liable for the obligation if the open-enrollment charter holder, and that all such entities will be liable for the obligation if the open-enrollment charter holder defaults on the bonded indebtedness, provided, however, that an entity that does not operate a charter school in Texas is subject to this provision only to the extent it has received state funds from the open- enrollment charter holder; (iii) have had completed for the past three years an audit for each such year that included unqualified or unmodified audit opinions; and (iv) have received an investment grade credit rating within the last year. Upon receipt of an application for guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Education Commissioner is required to conduct an investigation into the financial status of the applicant charter district and of the accreditation status of all open-enrollment charter schools operated under

the charter, within the scope set forth in the CDBGP Rules. Such financial investigation must establish that an applying charter district has a historical debt service coverage ratio, based on annual debt service, of at least 1.1 for the most recently completed fiscal year, and a projected debt service coverage ratio, based on projected revenues and expenses and maximum annual debt service, of at least 1.2. The failure of an open- enrollment charter holder to comply with the Act or the applicable regulations, including by making any material misrepresentations in the charter holder's application for charter district designation or guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, constitutes a material violation of the open-enrollment charter holder's charter.

From time to time, TEA has limited new guarantees under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program to conform to capacity limits specified by the Act. The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program Capacity (the "CDBGP Capacity") is made available from the capacity of the Guarantee Program but is not reserved exclusively for the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. See "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program." Other factors that could increase the CDBGP Capacity include Fund investment performance, future increases in the Guarantee Program multiplier, changes in State law that govern the calculation of the CDBGP Capacity, as described below, changes in State or federal law or regulations related to the Guarantee Program limit, growth in the relative percentage of students enrolled in open-enrollment charter schools to the total State scholastic census, legislative and administrative changes in funding for charter districts, changes in level of school district or charter district participation in the Guarantee Program, or a combination of such circumstances.

## Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program

The capacity of the Fund to guarantee bonds under the Guarantee Program is limited to the lesser of that imposed by State law (the "State Capacity Limit") and that imposed by regulations and a notice issued by the IRS (the "IRS Limit", with the limit in effect at any given time being the "Capacity Limit"). From 2005 through 2009, the Guarantee Program twice reached capacity under the IRS Limit, and in each instance the Guarantee Program was closed to new bond guarantee applications until relief was obtained from the IRS. The most recent closure of the Guarantee Program commenced in March 2009 and the Guarantee Program reopened in February 2010 after the IRS updated regulations relating to the PSF and similar funds.

Prior to 2007, various legislation was enacted modifying the calculation of the State Capacity limit; however, in 2007, Senate Bill 389 ("SB 389") was enacted, providing for increases in the capacity of the Guarantee Program, and specifically providing that the SBOE may by rule increase the capacity of the Guarantee Program from two and one-half times the cost value of the PSF to an amount not to exceed five times the cost value of the PSF, provided that the increased limit does not violate federal law and regulations and does not prevent bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program from receiving the highest available credit rating, as determined by the SBOE. SB 389 further provided that the SBOE shall at least annually consider whether to change the capacity of the Guarantee Program. Additionally, on May 21, 2010, the SBOE modified the SDBGP Rules, and increased the State Capacity Limit to an amount equal to three times the cost value of the PSF.

Such modified regulations, including the revised capacity rule, became effective on July 1, 2010. The SDBGP Rules provide that the Education Commissioner will estimate the available capacity of the PSF each month and may increase or reduce the State Capacity Limit multiplier to prudently manage fund capacity and maintain the AAA credit rating of the Guarantee Program but also provide that any changes to the multiplier made by the Education Commissioner are to be ratified or rejected by the SBOE at the next meeting following the change. See "Valuation of the PSF and Guaranteed Bonds" below.

Since September 2015, the SBOE has periodically voted to change the capacity multiplier as shown in the following table.

Changes in SBOE-			

Date	<u>Multiplier</u>
Prior to May 2010	2.50
May 2010	3.00
September 2015	3.25
February 2017	3.50
September 2017	3.75
February 2018 (current)	3.50

Since December 16, 2009, the IRS Limit was a static limit set at 500% of the total cost value of the assets held by the PSF as of December 16, 2009; however, on May 10, 2023, the IRS released Notice 2023-39 (the "IRS Notice"), stating that the IRS would issue regulations amending the existing regulations to amend the calculation of the IRS limit to 500% of the total cost value of assets held by the PSF as of the date of sale of new bonds, effective as of May 10, 2023.

The IRS Notice changed the IRS Limit from a static limit to a dynamic limit for the Guarantee Program based upon the cost value of Fund assets, multiplied by five. As of December 31, 2023 the cost value of the Guarantee Program was \$44,034,322,531 (unaudited), thereby producing an IRS Limit of \$220,171,612,655 in principal amount of guaranteed bonds outstanding.

As of December 31, 2023, the estimated State Capacity Limit is \$154,120,128,859, which is lower than the IRS Limit, making the State Capacity Limit the current Capacity Limit for the Fund.

Since July 1991, when the SBOE amended the Guarantee Program Rules to broaden the range of bonds that are eligible for guarantee under the Guarantee Program to encompass most Texas school district bonds, the principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program has increased sharply. In addition, in recent years a number of factors have caused an increase in the amount of bonds issued by school districts in the State. See the table "Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds" below. Effective March 1, 2023, the Act provides that the SBOE may establish a percentage of the Capacity Limit to be reserved from use in guaranteeing bonds (the "Capacity Reserve"). The SDBGP Rules provide for a maximum Capacity Reserve

for the overall Guarantee Program of 5% and provide that the amount of the Capacity Reserve may be increased or decreased by a majority vote of the SBOE based on changes in the cost value, asset allocation, and risk in the portfolio, or may be increased or decreased by the Education Commissioner as necessary to prudently manage fund capacity and preserve the AAA credit rating of the Guarantee Program (subject to ratification or rejection by the SBOE at the next meeting for which an item can be posted). The CDBGP Rules provide for an additional reserve of CDBGP Capacity determined by calculating an equal percentage as established by the SBOE for the Capacity Reserve, applied to the CDBGP Capacity. Effective March 1, 2023, the Capacity Reserve is 0.25%. The Capacity Reserve is noted in the monthly updates with respect to the capacity of the Guarantee Program on the PSF Corporation's web site at https://texaspsf.org/monthly-disclosures/, which are also filed with the MSRB.

Based upon historical performance of the Fund, the legal restrictions relating to the amount of bonds that may be guaranteed has generally resulted in a lower ratio of guaranteed bonds to available assets as compared to many other types of credit enhancements that may be available for Texas school district bonds and charter district bonds. However, the ratio of Fund assets to guaranteed bonds and the growth of the Fund in general could be adversely affected by a number of factors, including Fund investment performance, investment objectives of the Fund, an increase in bond issues by school districts and charter districts, which could adversely affect the credit quality of those districts, the implementation of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, or significant changes in distributions to the ASF. The issuance of the IRS Notice and the Final IRS Regulations resulted in a substantial increase in the amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program.

No representation is made as to how the capacity will remain available, and the capacity of the Guarantee Program is subject to change due to a number of factors, including changes in bond issuance volume throughout the State and some bonds receiving guarantee approvals may not close. If the amount of guaranteed bonds approaches the State Capacity Limit, the SBOE or Education Commissioner may increase the State Capacity Limit multiplier as discussed above.

## 2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program

The CDBGP Capacity is established by the Act. During the 85th Texas Legislature, which concluded on May 29, 2017, Senate Bill 1480 ("SB 1480") was enacted. SB 1480 amended the Act to modify how the CDBGP Capacity is established effective as of September 1, 2017, and made other substantive changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. Prior to the enactment of SB 1480, the CDBGP Capacity was calculated as the Capacity Limit less the amount of outstanding bond guarantees under the Guarantee Program multiplied by the percentage of charter district scholastic population relative to the total public school scholastic population. SB 1480 amended the CDBGP Capacity calculation so that the Capacity Limit is multiplied by the percentage of charter district scholastic population prior to the subtraction of the outstanding bond guarantees, thereby increasing the CDBGP Capacity.

The percentage of the charter district scholastic population to the overall public school scholastic population has grown from 3.53% in September 2012 to 7.69% in February 2024. TEA is unable to predict how the ratio of charter district students to the total State scholastic population will change over time.

In addition to modifying the manner of determining the CDBGP Capacity, SB 1480 provided that the Education Commissioner's investigation of a charter district application for guarantee may include an evaluation of whether the charter district bond security documents provide a security interest in real property pledged as collateral for the bond and the repayment obligation under the proposed guarantee. The Education Commissioner may decline to approve the application if the Education Commissioner determines that sufficient security is not provided. The Act and the CDBGP Rules also require the Education Commissioner to make an investigation of the accreditation status and financial status for a charter district applying for a bond guarantee.

Since the initial authorization of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act has established a bond guarantee reserve fund in the State treasury (the "Charter District Reserve Fund"). Formerly, the Act provided that each charter district that has a bond guaranteed must annually remit to the Education Commissioner, for deposit in the Charter District Reserve Fund, an amount equal to 10% of the savings to the charter district that is a result of the lower interest rate on its bonds due to the guarantee by the PSF. SB 1480 modified the Act insofar as it pertains to the Charter District Reserve Fund. Effective September 1, 2017, the Act provides that a charter district that has a bond guaranteed must remit to the Education Commissioner, for deposit in the Charter District Reserve Fund, an amount equal to 20% of the savings to the charter district that is a result of the bond due to the guarantee by the PSF. The amount due shall be paid on receipt by the charter district of the bond proceeds. However, the deposit requirement will not apply if the balance of the Charter District Reserve Fund is at least equal to 3.00% of the total amount of outstanding guaranteed bonds issued by charter districts. At January 31, 2024, the Charter District Reserve Fund is held and invested as a non-commingled fund under the administration of the PSF Corporation staff.

#### Charter District Risk Factors

Open-enrollment charter schools in the State may not charge tuition and, unlike school districts, charter districts have no taxing power. Funding for charter district operations is largely from amounts appropriated by the Legislature. Additionally, the amount of State payments a charter district receives is based on a variety of factors, including the enrollment at the schools operated by a charter district, and may be affected by the State's economic performance and other budgetary considerations and various political considerations.

Other than credit support for charter district bonds that is provided to qualifying charter districts by the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, State funding for charter district facilities construction is limited to a program established by the Legislature in 2017, which provides \$60 million per year for eligible charter districts with an acceptable performance rating for a variety of funding purposes, including for lease or purchase payments for instructional facilities. Since State funding for charter facilities is limited, charter schools generally issue revenue bonds to fund facility construction and acquisition, or fund facilities from cash flows of the school. Some charter districts have issued non-guaranteed debt in addition to debt guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, and such non-guaranteed debt is likely to be secured by a deed of trust covering all or part of the

charter district's facilities. In March 2017, the TEA began requiring charter districts to provide the TEA with a lien against charter district property as a condition to receiving a guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, charter district bonds issued and guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program prior to the implementation of the new requirement did not have the benefit of a security interest in real property, although other existing debts of such charter districts that are not guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program may be secured by real property that could be foreclosed on in the event of a bond default.

As a general rule, the operation of a charter school involves fewer State requirements and regulations for charter holders as compared to other public schools, but the maintenance of a State- granted charter is dependent upon on-going compliance with State law and regulations, which are monitored by TEA. TEA has a broad range of enforcement and remedial actions that it can take as corrective measures, and such actions may include the loss of the State charter, the appointment of a new board of directors to govern a charter district, the assignment of operations to another charter operator, or, as a last resort, the dissolution of an open-enrollment charter school. Charter holders are governed by a private board of directors, as compared to the elected boards of trustees that govern school districts.

As described above, the Act includes a funding "intercept" function that applies to both the School District Bond Guarantee Program and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, school districts are viewed as the "educator of last resort" for students residing in the geographical territory of the district, which makes it unlikely that State funding for those school districts would be discontinued, although the TEA can require the dissolution and merger into another school district if necessary to ensure sound education and financial management of a school district. That is not the case with a charter district, however, and open-enrollment charter schools in the State have been dissolved by TEA from time to time. If a charter district that has bonds outstanding that are guaranteed by the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program should be dissolved, debt service on guaranteed bonds of the district would be no funding available for reimbursement of the PSF by the Comptroller for such payments. As described under "The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program," the Act established the Charter District Reserve Fund, to serve as a reimbursement resource for the PSF.

## Infectious Disease Outbreak

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, TEA and TEA investment management for the PSF have continued to operate and function pursuant to the TEA continuity of operations plan developed as mandated in accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 412.054. That plan was designed to ensure performance of the Agency's essential missions and functions under such threats and conditions in the event of, among other emergencies, a pandemic event.

Circumstances regarding the COVID-19 pandemic continue to evolve; for additional information on these events in the State, reference is made to the website of the Governor, https://gov.texas.gov/, and, with respect to public school events, the website of TEA, https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/safe-and-healthy-schools/coronavirus-covid-19-support-and- guidance.

TEA cannot predict whether any school or charter district may experience short- or longer-term cash flow emergencies as a direct or indirect effect of COVID-19 that would require a payment from the PSF to be made to a paying agent for a guaranteed bond. However, through the end of January 2024, no school district or charter district had failed to perform with respect to making required payments on their guaranteed bonds. Information regarding the respective financial operations of the issuer of bonds guaranteed, or to be guaranteed, by the PSF is provided by such issuers in their respective bond offering documents and the TEA takes no responsibility for the respective information, as it is provided by the respective issuers.

## Ratings of Bonds Guaranteed Under the Guarantee Program

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., S&P Global Ratings, and Fitch Ratings, Inc. rate bonds guaranteed by the PSF "Aaa," "AAA" and "AAA," respectively. Not all districts apply for multiple ratings on their bonds, however. See the applicable rating section within the offering document to which this is attached for information regarding a district's underlying rating and the enhanced rating applied to a given series of bonds.

#### Valuation of the PSF and Guaranteed Bonds

	Permanent School Fund Valuati	ons
Fiscal Year		
Ended 8/31	Book Value <sup>(1)</sup>	Market Value <sup>(1)</sup>
2019	\$35,288,344,219	\$46,464,447,981
2020	36,642,000,738	46,764,059,745
2021	38,699,895,545	55,582,252,097
2022	42,511,350,050	56,754,515,757
2023 <sup>(2)</sup>	43,915,792,841	59,020,536,667

<sup>(1)</sup> SLB managed assets are included in the market value and book value of the Fund. In determining the market value of the PSF from time to time during a fiscal year, the current, unaudited values for PSF investment portfolios and cash held by the SLB are used. With respect to SLB managed assets shown in the table above, market values of land and mineral interests, internally managed real estate, investments in externally managed real estate funds and cash are based upon information reported to the

PSF Corporation by the SLB. The SLB reports that information to the PSF Corporation on a quarterly basis. The valuation of such assets at any point in time is dependent upon a variety of factors, including economic conditions in the State and nation in general, and the values of these assets, and, in particular, the valuation of mineral holdings administered by the SLB, can be volatile and subject to material changes from period to period.

<sup>(2)</sup> At August 31, 2023, mineral assets, sovereign and other lands and discretionary internal investments, and cash managed by the SLB had book values of approximately \$13.4 million,

\$168.8 million, and \$708.4 million, respectively, and market values of approximately \$5,435.6 million, \$678.4 million, and \$508.4 million, respectively.

Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds				
At 8/31	Principal Amount <sup>(1)</sup>			
2019	\$84,397,900,203			
2020	90,336,680,245			
2021	95,259,161,922			
2022	103,239,495,929			
2023	115,730,826,682 <sup>(2)</sup>			

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents original principal amount; does not reflect any subsequent accretions in value for compound interest bonds (zero coupon securities). The amount shown excludes bonds that have been refunded and released from the Guarantee Program. The TEA does not maintain records of the accreted value of capital appreciation bonds that are guaranteed under the Guarantee Program.

<sup>(2)</sup> At August 31, 2023 (the most recent date for which such data is available), the TEA expected that the principal and interest to be paid by school districts and charter districts over the remaining life of the bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program was \$178,520,723,868, of which

\$62,789,897,186 represents interest to be paid. As shown in the table above, at August 31, 2023, there were \$115,730,826,682 in principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program. Using the State Capacity Limit of \$154,120,128,859 (the State Capacity Limit is currently the Capacity Limit), net of the Capacity Reserve, as of December 31, 2023, 7.36% of the Guarantee Program's capacity was available to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. As of December 31, 2023, the amount of outstanding bond guarantees represented 76.36% of the Capacity Limit (which is currently the State Capacity Limit). December 31, 2023 values are based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment.

	Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds by Category <sup>(1)</sup>				ategory <sup>(1)</sup>	
		School District Bonds		Charter District Bor	nds	Totals
Fiscal Year						
Ended <u> 8/31</u>	No. of	Principal	No. of	Principal	No. of	Principal
	Issues	<u>Amount (\$)</u>	Issues	<u>Amount (\$)</u>	Issues	<u>Amount (\$)</u>
2019	3,297	82,537,755,203	49	1,860,145,000	3,346	84,397,900,203
2020	3,296	87,800,478,245	64	2,536,202,000	3,360	90,336,680,245
2021	3,346	91,951,175,922	83	3,307,986,000	3,429	95,259,161,922
2022	3,348	99,528,099,929	94	3,711,396,000	3,442	103,239,495,929
2023 <sup>(2)</sup>	3,339	111,647,914,682	102	4,082,912,000	3,441	115,730,826,682

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents original principal amount; does not reflect any subsequent accretions in value for compound interest bonds (zero coupon securities). The amount shown excludes bonds that have been refunded and released from the Guarantee Program. <sup>(2)</sup> At December 31, 2023 (based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment), there were

\$117,374,697,034 in principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program, representing 3,369 school district issues, aggregating \$113,174,765,034 in principal amount and 105 charter district issues, aggregating \$4,199,932,000 in principal amount. At December 31, 2023 the projected guarantee capacity available was \$26,935,589,587 (based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment).

## Discussion and Analysis Pertaining to Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2023

The following discussion is derived from the Annual Report for the year ended August 31, 2023, including the Message from the Chief Executive Officer of the Fund, the Management's Discussion and Analysis, and other schedules contained therein. Reference is made to the Annual Report, as filed with the MSRB, for the complete Message and MD&A. Investment assets managed by the PSFC Board are referred to throughout this MD&A as the PSF(CORP). The Fund's non-financial real assets are managed by the SLB and these assets are referred to throughout as the PSF(SLB) assets.

At the end of fiscal year 2023, the PSF(CORP) net position was \$52.3 billion. During the year, the PSF(CORP) continued implementing the long-term strategic asset allocation, diversifying the investment mix to strengthen the Fund. The asset allocation is projected to increase returns over the long run while reducing risk and portfolio return volatility. The PSF(CORP) is invested in

global markets and liquid assets experience volatility commensurate with the related indices. The PSF(CORP) is broadly diversified and benefits from the cost structure of its investment program. Changes continue to be researched, crafted, and implemented to make the cost structure more effective and efficient. The PSF(CORP) annual rates of return for the one-year, five-year, and ten- year periods ending August 31, 2023, net of fees, were 6.14%, 6.19%, and 6.78%, respectively (total return takes into consideration the change in the market value of the Fund during the year as well as the interest and dividend income generated by the Fund's investments). See "Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(CORP)" for the PSF(CORP) holdings as of August 31, 2023.

Beginning January 1, 2023, Texas PSF transitioned into the PSF Corporation combining all PSF financial investment assets under the singular management of the PSF Corporation. The new structure of the PSF Corporation updated the strategic asset allocation among public equities, fixed income, and alternative assets, as discussed herein. Alternative assets now include absolute return, private equity, real estate, natural resources, infrastructure, and real return (TIPS and commodities). The inauguration of the PSF Corporation as a discretely presented component unit of the State of Texas for fiscal year 2023 required a change in the basis of accounting to full accrual. For a description of the full accrual basis of accounting and more information about performance, including comparisons to established benchmarks for certain periods, please see the 2023 Annual Report which is included by reference herein.

PSF Returns	Fiscal Yea	r Ended 8-31	-2023 <sup>1</sup>
-------------	------------	--------------	--------------------

	Benchmark	
Portfolio	Return	Return <sup>2</sup>
Total PSF(CORP) Portfolio	6.14	4.38
Domestic Large Cap Equities	16.09	15.94
Domestic Small/Mid Cap Equities	9.31	9.14
International Equities	12.38	11.89
Emerging Market Equity	2.48	1.25
Fixed Income	(1.30)	(1.19)
U.S. Treasuries	(9.21)	(9.69)
Absolute Return	7.59	3.58
Real Estate	(1.96)	(3.13)
Private Equity	4.55	0.20
Real Return	(5.51)	(5.88)
Emerging Market Debt	12.68	11.34
High Yield	7.80	7.19
Emerging Manager Program	33.35	0.97
Natural Resources	5.70	3.67
Infrastructure	14.22	3.67

<sup>1</sup> Time weighted rates of return adjusted for cash flows for the PSF(CORP) investment assets. Does not include SLB managed real estate or real assets. Returns are net of fees. Source: Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2023.

<sup>2</sup>Benchmarks are as set forth in the Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2023.

The SLB is responsible for the investment of money in the Real Estate Special Fund Account (RESFA) of the PSF (also referred to herein as the PSF(SLB)). Pursuant to applicable law, money in the PSF(SLB) may be invested in land, interest in real estate, mineral and royalty interest, and real property holdings. For more information regarding the investments of the PSF(SLB), please see the 2023 Unaudited Annual Financial Report of the Texas General Land Office and Veterans Land Board.

The Fund directly supports the public school system in the State by distributing a predetermined percentage of its asset value to the ASF. In fiscal year 2023, \$2.1 billion was distributed to the ASF, \$345 million of which was distributed by the PSF(CORP) on behalf of the SLB.

#### Other Events and Disclosures

State ethics laws govern the ethics and disclosure requirements for financial advisors and other service providers who advise certain State governmental entities, including the PSF. The SBOE code of ethics provides ethical standards for SBOE members, the Education Commissioner, TEA staff, and persons who provide services to the SBOE relating to the Fund. The PSF Corporation developed its own ethics policy that provides basic ethical principles, guidelines, and standards of conduct relating to the management and investment of the Fund in accordance with the requirements of §43.058 of the Texas Education Code, as amended. The SBOE code of ethics is codified in the Texas Administrative Code at 19 TAC sections 33.4 et seq. and is available on the TEA web site at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf. The PSF Corporation's ethics policy is posted to the PSF Corporation's website at texaspsf.org.

In addition, the SLB and GLO have established processes and controls over the administration of real estate transactions and are subject to provisions of the Texas Natural Resources Code and internal procedures in administering real estate transactions for Fund assets it manages.

As of August 31, 2023, certain lawsuits were pending against the State and/or the GLO, which challenge the Fund's title to certain real property and/or past or future mineral income from that property, and other litigation arising in the normal course of the investment activities of the PSF. Reference is made to the Annual Report, when filed, for a description of such lawsuits that are pending, which may represent contingent liabilities of the Fund.

## PSF Continuing Disclosure Undertaking

The Regulatory Recodification included the codification of the TEA's undertaking pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 (the "TEA Undertaking") pertaining to the PSF and the Guarantee Program. As of March 1, 2023, the TEA Undertaking is codified at 19 TAC 33.8, which relates to the Guarantee Program and is available at available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

Through the codification of the TEA Undertaking and its commitment to guarantee bonds, the TEA has made the following agreement for the benefit of the issuers, holders, and beneficial owners of guaranteed bonds. The TEA (or its successor with respect to the management of the Guarantee Program) is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains an "obligated person," within the meaning of Rule 15c2-12, with respect to guaranteed bonds. Nothing in the TEA Undertaking obligates the TEA to make any filings or disclosures with respect to guaranteed bonds, as the obligations of the TEA under the TEA Undertaking pertain solely to the Guarantee Program. The issuer or an "obligated person" of the guaranteed bonds has assumed the applicable obligation under Rule 15c2-12 to make all disclosures and filings relating directly to guaranteed bonds, and the TEA takes no responsibility with respect to such undertakings. Under the TEA Undertaking, the TEA is obligated to provide annually certain updated financial information and operating data, and timely notice of specified material events, to the MSRB.

The MSRB has established the Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") system, and the TEA is required to file its continuing disclosure information using the EMMA system. Investors may access continuing disclosure information filed with the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org, and the continuing disclosure filings of the TEA with respect to the PSF can be found at https://emma.msrb.org/lssueView/Details/ER355077 or by searching for "Texas Permanent School Fund Bond Guarantee Program" on EMMA.

## Annual Reports

The PSF Corporation, on behalf of the TEA, and the TEA will annually provide certain updated financial information and operating data to the MSRB. The information to be updated includes all quantitative financial information and operating data with respect to the Guarantee Program and the PSF of the general type included in this offering document under the heading "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM." The information also includes the Annual Report. The PSF Corporation will update and provide this information within six months after the end of each fiscal year.

The TEA and the PSF Corporation may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publiclyavailable documents, as permitted by Rule 15c2-12. The updated information includes audited financial statements of, or relating to, the State or the PSF, when and if such audits are commissioned and available. In the event audits are not available by the filing deadline, unaudited financial statements will be provided by such deadline, and audited financial statements will be provided when available. Financial statements of the State will be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as applied to state governments, as such principles may be changed from time to time, or such other accounting principles as the State Auditor is required to employ from time to time pursuant to State law or regulation. The financial statements of the Fund are required to be prepared to conform to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

The Fund is composed of two primary segments: the financial assets (PSF(CORP)) managed by PSF Corporation, and the nonfinancial assets (PSF(SLB)) managed by the SLB. Each of these segments is reported separately und different bases of accounting.

The PSF Corporation classified as a proprietary endowment fund and reported by the State of Texas as a discretely presented component unit and accounted for on an economic resources measurement focus and the full accrual basis of accounting. Measurement focus refers to the definition of the resource flows measured. Under the full accrual basis of accounting, all revenues reported are recognized in the period they are earned or when the PSF Corporation has a right to receive them. Expenses are recognized in the period they are incurred, and the subsequent amortization of any deferred outflows. Additionally, costs related to capital assets are capitalized and subsequently depreciated over the useful life of the assets. Both current and long-term assets and liabilities are presented in the statement of net position.

The SLB manages the Fund's non-financial assets (PSF(SLB)), is classified as a governmental permanent fund and accounted for using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, amounts are recognized as revenues in the period in which they are available to finance expenditures of the current period and are measurable. Amounts are considered measurable if they can be estimated or otherwise determined. Expenditures are recognized in which the related liability is incurred, if measurable.

The State's current fiscal year end is August 31. Accordingly, the TEA and the PSF Corporation must provide updated information by the last day of February in each year, unless the State changes its fiscal year. If the State changes its fiscal year, the TEA will notify the MSRB of the change.

### **Event Notices**

The TEA and the PSF Corporation will also provide timely notices of certain events to the MSRB. Such notices will be provided not more than ten business days after the occurrence of the event. The TEA or the PSF Corporation will provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Guarantee Program: (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) non-payment related

defaults, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the IRS of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB), or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Guarantee Program, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Guarantee Program; (7) modifications to rights of holders of bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (8) bond calls, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws, and tender offers; (9) defeasances; (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (11) rating changes of the Guarantee Program; (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the Guarantee Program (which is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the Guarantee Program in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Guarantee Program, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Guarantee Program); (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Guarantee Program or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (14) the appointment of a successor or additional trustee with respect to the Guarantee Program or the change of name of a trustee, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (15) the incurrence of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, any of which reflect financial difficulties. (Neither the Act nor any other law, regulation or instrument pertaining to the Guarantee Program make any provision with respect to the Guarantee Program for bond calls, debt service reserves, credit enhancement, liquidity enhancement, early redemption, or the appointment of a trustee with respect to the Guarantee Program.) In addition, the TEA or the PSF Corporation will provide timely notice of any failure by the TEA or the PSF Corporation to provide information, data, or financial statements in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports."

## Availability of Information

The TEA and the PSF Corporation have agreed to provide the foregoing information only to the MSRB and to transmit such information electronically to the MSRB in such format and accompanied by such identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB. The information is available from the MSRB to the public without charge at www.emma.msrb.org.

### **Limitations and Amendments**

The TEA and the PSF Corporation have agreed to update information and to provide notices of material events only as described above. The TEA and the PSF Corporation have not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. The TEA and the PSF Corporation make no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell bonds at any future date. The TEA and the PSF Corporation disclaim any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the TEA and the PSF Corporation to comply with its agreement.

The continuing disclosure agreement is made only with respect to the PSF and the Guarantee Program. The issuer of guaranteed bonds or an obligated person with respect to guaranteed bonds may make a continuing disclosure undertaking in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 with respect to its obligations arising under Rule 15c2-12 pertaining to financial information and operating data concerning such entity and events notices relating to such guaranteed bonds. A description of such undertaking, if any, is included elsewhere in this offering document.

This continuing disclosure agreement may be amended by the TEA or the PSF Corporation from time to time to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the TEA or the PSF Corporation, but only if (1) the provisions, as so amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell guaranteed bonds in the primary offering of such bonds in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 since such offering as well as such changed circumstances and (2) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program consent to such amendment or (b) a person that is unaffiliated with the TEA or the PSF Corporation (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that such amendment will not materially impair the interest of the holders and beneficial owners of its continuing disclosure agreement if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provision of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program in the primary offering of such bonds.

### Compliance with Prior Undertakings

Except as stated below, during the last five years, the TEA and the PSF Corporation have not failed to substantially comply with their previous continuing disclosure agreements in accordance with Rule 15c2-12. On April 28, 2022, TEA became aware that it had not timely filed its 2021 Annual Report with EMMA due to an administrative oversight. TEA took corrective action and filed the

2021 Annual Report with EMMA on April 28, 2022, followed by a notice of late filing made with EMMA on April 29, 2022. TEA notes that the 2021 Annual Report was timely filed on the TEA website by the required filing date and that website posting has been incorporated by reference into TEA's Bond Guarantee Program disclosures that are included in school district and charter district offering documents.

## SEC Exemptive Relief

On February 9, 1996, the TEA received a letter from the Chief Counsel of the SEC that pertains to the availability of the "small issuer exemption" set forth in paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 15c2-12. The letter provides that Texas school districts which offer municipal securities that are guaranteed under the Guarantee Program may undertake to comply with the provisions of paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 15c2-12 if their offerings otherwise qualify for such exemption, notwithstanding the guarantee of the school district securities under the Guarantee Program. Among other requirements established by Rule 15c2-12, a school district offering may qualify for the small issuer exemption if, upon issuance of the proposed series of securities, the school district will have no more than \$10 million of outstanding municipal securities.

(this page intentionally left blank)

Financial Advisory Services Provided By:

