# OFFICIAL STATEMENT Dated: August 14, 2023

NEW ISSUE: BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY

In the opinion of Bond Counsel (defined below), interest on the Bonds (defined below) will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under statutes, regulations, published rulings and court decisions existing on the date thereof, subject to the matters described under "TAX MATTERS" herein, including the alternative minimum tax on certain corporations.

# \$43,460,000 HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (A political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Archer and Wichita Counties, Texas) UNLIMITED TAX SCHOOL BUILDING AND REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023

Dated Date: August 1, 2023 Due: February 15, as shown on the inside cover page

The Holliday Independent School District Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2023 (the "Bonds") are being issued pursuant to the Texas Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, including particularly Sections 45.001 and 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, as amended ("Chapter 1207"), an election held in the District on May 6, 2023, and the order ("Bond Order") adopted August 14, 2023 by the Board of Trustees (the "Board") of the Holliday Independent School District (the "District"). The Bonds are payable as to principal and interest from the proceeds of an annual ad valorem tax levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, against all taxable property located within the District. The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the Bonds to be guaranteed under the State of Texas Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (hereinafter defined) which guarantee will automatically become effective when the Attorney General of Texas approves the Bonds. (See "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM").

Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the Dated Date shown above and will be payable initially August 28, 2023 and semiannually on February 15 and August 15 of each year thereafter until stated maturity or prior redemption. The Bonds will be issued in fully registered form in principal denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof within a stated maturity. Principal and interest of the Bonds will be payable by the Paying Agent/Registrar, which initially is BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas (the "Paying Agent/Registrar"), upon presentation and surrender of the Bonds for payment. Interest on the Bonds is payable by check dated as of the interest payment date and mailed by the Paying Agent/Registrar to the registered owners as shown on the records of the Paying Agent/Registrar on the close of business as of the last business day of the month next preceding each interest payment date; provided, however, that the record date for the initial interest payment date is the closing date. (See "REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE – Record Date for Interest").

The District intends to utilize the Book-Entry-Only System of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"). Such Book-Entry-Only System will affect the method and timing of payment and the method of transfer of the Bonds. (See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM").

Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used to (i) designing, constructing, renovating, improving, upgrading, updating, modernizing acquiring, and equipping school facilities, recreational facilities, including a new baseball and softball complex, school athletic facilities, namely an existing stadium, and related infrastructure, (ii) refund a portion of the District's outstanding bonds for debt service savings, and (iii) pay the costs of issuing the Bonds. (See "THE BONDS - Authorization and Purpose" and "Schedule I – Schedule of Refunded Bonds").

The Bonds maturing on and after February 15, 2034 are subject to redemption at the option of the District in whole or in part in principal amounts of \$5,000 or any multiple thereof, on August 15, 2033 or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. In addition, the Term Bonds (hereafter defined) are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption as described herein. (See "THE BONDS - Optional Redemption" and "THE BONDS - Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption").

# **MATURITY SCHEDULE**

(On Inside Cover Page)

The Bonds are offered for delivery when, as and if issued, and received by the Underwriters subject to the approval of legality by the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the approval of certain legal matters by McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., San Antonio, Texas, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Dallas, Texas. The Bonds are expected to be available for initial delivery through the facilities of DTC on or about August 24, 2023.

# \$43,460,000

# HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# (A political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Archer and Wichita Counties, Texas) UNLIMITED TAX SCHOOL BUILDING AND REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023

# **MATURITY SCHEDULE**

BASE CUSIP NO: 435434(1)

# \$25,455,000 Serial Bonds

Maturity				
Date	Principal	Interest	Initial	CUSIP
2/15	<u>Amount</u>	Rate	<u>Yield</u>	Suffix No.(1)
2024	\$845,000	5.00%	3.52%	DW2
2025	925,000	5.00	3.43	DX0
2026	970,000	5.00	3.34	DY8
2027	1,020,000	5.00	3.22	DZ5
2028	1,075,000	5.00	3.17	EA9
2029	1,130,000	5.00	3.17	EB7
2030	1,190,000	5.00	3.15	EC5
2031	1,250,000	5.00	3.16	ED3
2032	1,315,000	5.00	3.17	EE1
2033	1,380,000	5.00	3.20	EF8
2034	1,450,000	5.00	$3.26^{(2)}$	EG6
2035	1,525,000	5.00	$3.35^{(2)}$	EH4
2036	1,605,000	5.00	$3.45^{(2)}$	EJ0
2037	1,685,000	5.00	$3.60^{(2)}$	EK7
2038	1,770,000	5.00	$3.70^{(2)}$	EL5
2039	1,140,000	5.00	$3.77^{(2)}$	EM3
2040	1,200,000	5.00	3.81 <sup>(2)</sup>	EN1
2041	1,260,000	5.00	$3.86^{(2)}$	EP6
2042	1,325,000	5.00	$3.92^{(2)}$	EQ4
2043	1,395,000	5.00	$3.96^{(2)}$	ER2

(Interest to accrue from the Dated Date)

# \$18,005,000 Term Bonds

\$4,100,000	5.000%	Term Bond due February 15, 2048– Price 107.476 (yield 4.08% <sup>(2)</sup> ) CUSIP Suffix No. ESO <sup>(1)</sup>
		Term Bond due February 15, 2048 – Price 94.615 (yield 4.36%) CUSIP Suffix No. EU5 <sup>(1)</sup>
\$9.985.000	4.250%	Term Bond due February 15, 2053 – Price 97.052 (yield 4.43%) CUSIP Suffix No. ET8 <sup>(1)</sup>

(Interest to accrue from the Dated Date)

<sup>(1)</sup> CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP Global Services ("CGS") is managed on behalf of the American Bankers Association by FactSet Research Systems Inc. Copyright(c) 2023 CUSIP Global Services. All rights reserved. CUSIP® data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CGS database. CUSIP® numbers are provided for convenience of reference only. None of the District, the Financial Advisor, the Underwriters or their agents or counsel assume responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

<sup>(2)</sup> Yield calculated based on the assumption that the Bonds denoted and sold at a premium will be redeemed on August 15, 2033, the first optional call date for such Bonds, at a redemption price of par, plus accrued interest to the redemption date

# HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

	Year Initially	Current Term	
<u>Name</u>	<u>Elected</u>	<u>Expires</u>	<u>Occupation</u>
Christy Koski, President	2015	2026	Realtor
David Foster, Vice President	2021	2024	Health Insurance
Cody Coltharp, Secretary	2023	2026	Supervisor TxDot
Blake Jurecek, Member	2015	2024	Municipal Administrator
Eric Morris, Member	2016	2025	Insurance Agent
Dr. Phillip Dowd, Member	2007	2025	Physician
Weldon Turner, Jr, Member	2009	2024	Oilfield Supply Sales

# **APPOINTED OFFICIALS**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Length of</u> <u>Education Service</u>	<u>Length of Service</u> <u>with District</u>
Cody Carroll	Superintendent	31 Years	3 Years
Kim Booher	Assistant Superintendent	29 Years	8 Years
Dustin Scobee	Business Manager	18 Years	18 Years

# **CONSULTANTS AND ADVISORS**

McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., San Antonio, Texas Bond Counsel

SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc., Plano, Texas Financial Advisor

Edgin, Parkman, Fleming & Fleming, PC, Wichita Falls, Texas Certified Public Accountants

For additional information, contact:

Cody Carroll
Superintendent
Holliday Independent School District
751 College Avenue
Holliday, Texas 76366
(940) 586-1281

Doug Whitt / Brian Grubbs SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. 5800 Granite Parkway, Suite 210 Plano, Texas 75024 (214) 765-1469 (214) 279-8683 (Fax)

# **USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, Schedule I and the Appendices hereto, does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer, solicitation or sale.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized to give information or to make any representation other than those contained in this Official Statement, and, if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriters have reviewed the information in the Official Statement pursuant to their responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

The information set forth herein has been obtained from the District and other sources believed to be reliable, but such information is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness and is not to be construed as the promise or quarantee of the Financial Advisor or the Underwriters. This Official Statement contains, in part, estimates and matters of opinion which are not intended as statements of fact, and no representation is made as to the correctness of such estimates and opinions, or that they will be

The information and expressions of opinion contained herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District or other matters described herein. See "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM - PSF Continuing Disclosure Undertaking" and "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" for a description of the Texas Education Agency's ("TEA") and the District's undertakings to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

THE BONDS ARE EXEMPT FROM REGISTRATION WITH THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND CONSEQUENTLY HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED THEREWITH. THE REGISTRATION, QUALIFICATION, OR EXEMPTION OF THE BONDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAW PROVISIONS OF THE JURISDICTIONS IN WHICH THE BONDS HAVE BEEN REGISTERED, QUALIFIED, OR EXEMPTED SHOULD NOT BE REGARDED AS A RECOMMENDATION THEREOF.

IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING, THE UNDERWRITERS MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE THE MARKET PRICE OF THE BONDS AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

NONE OF THE DISTRICT, ITS FINANCIAL ADVISOR, OR THE UNDERWRITERS MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT REGARDING THE DEPOSITORY TRUST COMPANY OR ITS BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM DESCRIBED UNDER "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" OR THE AFFAIRS OF THE TEA DESCRIBED UNDER "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM". AS SUCH INFORMATION WAS PROVIDED BY THE DEPOSITORY TRUST COMPANY AND THE TEA. RESPECTIVELY.

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT CONTAINS "FORWARD-LOOKING" STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 21E OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED. SUCH STATEMENTS MAY INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY CAUSE THE ACTUAL RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS TO BE DIFFERENT FROM THE FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED BY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. INVESTORS ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.

The agreements of the District and others related to the Bonds are contained solely in the contracts described herein. Neither this Official Statement nor any other statement made in connection with the offer or sale of the Bonds is to be construed as constituting an agreement with the purchaser of the Bonds. INVESTORS SHOULD READ THE ENTIRE OFFICIAL STATEMENT, INCLUDING SCHEDULE I AND ALL APPENDICES ATTACHED HERETO, TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ESSENTIAL TO MAKING AN INFORMED INVESTMENT DECISION.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM AS APPLIED TO THE

SELECTED DATA FROM THE OFFICIAL STATEMENT ...... 1

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	DISTRICT1	ı1
THE BONDS2	AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES	2
Authorization and Purpose	TAX RATE LIMITATIONS14	
Refunded Bonds2	THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT 19	5
General Description2	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT	
Optional Redemption2	BENEFITS16	6
Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption	RATINGS 16	
Notice of Redemption and DTC Notices	LEGAL MATTERS16	
Security3	TAX MATTERS 17	7
Permanent School Fund Guarantee	LEGAL INVESTMENTS AND ELIGIBILITY TO SECURE PUBLIC FUNDS IN	
Legality4	TEXAS	
Payment Record4	INVESTMENT POLICIES18	
Amendments4	REGISTRATION AND QUALIFICATION OF BONDS FOR SALE 20	
Defeasance4	CYBERSECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT	20
Sources and Uses of Funds5	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	
REGISTERED OWNERS' REMEDIES	CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION2	
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM5	LITIGATION	
REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE6	VERIFICATION OF MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATIONS 22	
THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM7	FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS22	
STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS	UNDERWRITING22	
CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM8	CONCLUDING STATEMENT	23
Schedule of Refunded Bonds		
Financial Information of the District	Appendiz	ix A
General Information Regarding the District and Its Economy	Appendi	іх В
Form of Legal Opinion of Bond Counsel		
Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022	•••	
The Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program	· ·	

# **SELECTED DATA FROM THE OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

The selected data is subject in all respects to the more complete information and definitions contained or incorporated in this Official Statement. The offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of this entire Official Statement. No person is authorized to detach this page from this Official Statement or to otherwise use it without the entire Official Statement.

The District

The Holliday Independent School District (the "District") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Archer and Wichita Counties, Texas. The District is governed by a seven-member Board of Trustees (the "Board"). Policy-making and supervisory functions are the responsibility of, and are vested in, the Board. The Board delegates administrative responsibilities to the Superintendent of Schools who is the chief administrative officer of the District. Support services are supplied by consultants and advisors.

The Bonds

The District's Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2023 (the "Bonds") are being issued in the principal amount of \$43,460,000 pursuant to the Texas Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, including particularly Sections 45.001 and 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, as amended ("Chapter 1207"), an election held in the District on May 6, 2023, and the order (the "Bond Order") adopted August 14, 2023 by the Board. Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used to (i) designing, constructing, renovating, improving, upgrading, updating, modernizing acquiring, and equipping school facilities, recreational facilities, including a new baseball and softball complex, school athletic facilities, namely an existing stadium, and related infrastructure, (ii) refund a portion of the District's outstanding bonds for debt service savings, and (iii) pay the costs of issuing the Bonds. (See "THE BONDS – Authorization and Purpose" and "Schedule I – Schedule of Refunded Bonds")

Paying Agent/Registrar

The initial Paying Agent/Registrar is BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas. The District intends to use the Book-Entry-Only System of DTC. (See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM.")

Security

The Bonds will constitute direct obligations of the District, payable as to principal and interest from ad valorem taxes levied annually against all taxable property located within the District, without legal limitation as to rate or amount. Payments of principal and interest on the Bonds will be further secured by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of Texas. (See "THE BONDS – Security", "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS", "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" and "APPENDIX E – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM.")

Redemption

The Bonds maturing on and after February 15, 2034 are subject to redemption at the option of the District in whole or in part in principal amounts of \$5,000 or any multiple thereof, on August 15, 2033 or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. In addition, the Term Bonds (hereafter defined) are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption as described herein. (See "THE BONDS – Optional Redemption" and "THE BONDS – Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption").

Permanent School Fund Guarantee

The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the payment of the Bonds to be guaranteed under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program, which guarantee will automatically become effective when the Attorney General of Texas approves the Bonds. (See "APPENDIX E – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM.")

Rating

The Bonds are rated "AAA" by S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") based upon the guaranteed repayment thereof under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (as defined herein) of the Texas Education Agency. The District's underlying, unenhanced rating, including the Bonds, is "A+" by S&P. (See "APPENDIX E – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "RATINGS" herein.)

**Tax Matters** 

In the opinion of Bond Counsel for the District, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under statutes, regulations, published rulings and court decisions existing on the date thereof, subject to the matters described under "TAX MATTERS" herein. (See "TAX MATTERS" and Appendix C – "Form of Legal Opinion of Bond Counsel.")

**Payment Record** 

The District has never defaulted on the payment of its bonded indebtedness.

**Legal Opinion** 

Delivery of the Bonds is subject to the approval by the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the rendering of an opinion as to legality by McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., San Antonio, Texas, Bond Counsel.

**Delivery** 

When issued, anticipated to occur on or about August 24, 2023.

# INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

This Official Statement, including Schedule I and Appendices A, B and D, has been prepared by the Holliday Independent School District (the "District"), a political subdivision of the State of Texas located in Archer and Wichita Counties, Texas, in connection with the offering by the District of its Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2023 (the "Bonds").

All financial and other information presented in this Official Statement has been provided by the District from its records, except for information expressly attributed to other sources. The presentation of information, including tables of receipts from taxes and other sources, is intended to show recent historic information, and is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial position or other affairs of the District. No representation is made that past experience, as is shown by such financial and other information, will necessarily continue or be repeated in the future.

There follows in this Official Statement descriptions of the Bonds and the order adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District (the "Board") on August 14, 2023 authorizing the issuance of the Bonds (the "Bond Order") and certain other information about the District and its finances. All descriptions of documents contained herein are only summaries and are qualified in their entirety by reference to each such document. Copies of such documents may be obtained upon request by writing the Holliday Independent School District, 751 College Avenue, Holliday, Texas 76366 and, during the offering period, from the Financial Advisor, SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc., 5800 Granite Parkway, Suite 210, Plano, Texas 75024, by electronic mail or upon payment of reasonable copying, mailing, and handling charges.

This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information contained herein is subject to change. A copy of this Final Official Statement pertaining to the Bonds will be deposited with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board through its Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) system. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" herein for a description of the District's undertaking to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

#### THE BONDS

# **Authorization and Purpose**

The Bonds are being issued in the principal amount of \$43,460,000 pursuant to the Texas Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas (the "State"), including particularly Section 45.001 and 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, as amended ("Chapter 1207"), an election held in the District on May 6, 2023, and the Bond Order. Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used to (i) designing, constructing, renovating, improving, upgrading, updating, modernizing acquiring, and equipping school facilities, recreational facilities, including a new baseball and softball complex, school athletic facilities, namely an existing stadium, and related infrastructure, (ii) refund a portion of the District's outstanding bonds for debt service savings, and (iii) pay the costs of issuing the Bonds.

#### **Refunded Bonds**

The Bond Order provides that from a portion of the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds to the Underwriters, the District will deposit with BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas, the escrow agent for the Refunded Bonds (the "Escrow Agent"), an amount which will be sufficient to accomplish the discharge and final payment of the Refunded Bonds on September 25, 2023 (the "Redemption Date"). Such funds will be held by the Escrow Agent in an escrow account (the "Escrow Fund") and held in cash uninvested or will be invested in Defeasance Securities authorized by Section 1207.062 Texas Government Code and the bond order authorizing the Refunded Bonds, all pursuant to an Escrow Deposit Letter, dated as of August 14, 2023, between the District and the Escrow Agent (the "Escrow Agreement"). Public Finance Partners LLC will issue its report (the "Report") verifying at the time of delivery of the Bonds to the Underwriters thereof the mathematical accuracy of the schedules that demonstrate the Defeasance Securities will mature and pay interest in such amounts which, together with uninvested funds in the Escrow Fund, will be sufficient to pay, when due, the principal of and interest on the Refunded Bonds. See "VERIFICATION OF MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATIONS". Such maturing principal of and interest on the Escrowed Securities will not be available to pay the Bonds.

By the deposit of cash and/or Defeasance Securities with the Escrow Agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, the District will have effected the defeasance of the Refunded Bonds pursuant to the terms of Chapter 1207 and the bond order authorizing the issuance of the Refunded Bonds. It is the opinion of Bond Counsel that as a result of such deposit, and in reliance on the Certificate, the Refunded Bonds will be outstanding only for the purpose of receiving payments from the cash and/or investments, if any, held for such purpose by the Escrow Agent, and the Refunded Bonds will not be deemed as being outstanding obligations of the District, payable from the sources and secured in the manner provided in the bond order authorizing their issuance or for any other purpose, and the District will have no further responsibility with respect to amounts available in the Escrow Fund for the payment of the Refunded Bonds. Upon defeasance of the Refunded Bonds, the payment of the Refunded Bonds will no longer be guaranteed by the Permanent School Fund of Texas.

#### **General Description**

The Bonds are dated August 1, 2023 (the "Dated Date") and will bear interest from the Dated Date. The Bonds will mature on the dates and in the principal amounts set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. Interest on the Bonds is payable initially on August 28, 2023, and semiannually on each February 15 and August 15 thereafter until stated maturity or prior redemption.

The Bonds will be issued only as fully registered bonds. The Bonds will be issued in the denominations of \$5,000 of principal amount or any integral multiple thereof within a stated maturity. Interest on the Bonds is payable by check mailed on or before each interest payment date by the Paying Agent/Registrar, initially, BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas, to the registered owner at the last known address as it appears on the Paying Agent/Registrar's books on the Record Date (as defined herein) or by such other customary banking arrangement acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar and the registered owner to whom interest is to be paid, provided, however, that such person shall bear all risk and expense of such other arrangements. Principal of the Bonds will be payable only upon presentation of such Bonds at the corporate trust office of the Paying Agent/Registrar at stated maturity or prior redemption. So long as the Bonds are registered in the name of CEDE & CO. or other nominee for The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"), payments of principal and interest of the Bonds will be made as described in "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.

If the date for any payment due on any Bond shall be a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which banking institutions in the city in which the designated office of the Paying Agent/Registrar is located are authorized by law or executive order to close, then the date for such payment shall be the next succeeding day which is not such a day. The payment on such date shall have the same force and effect as if made on the original date payment was due.

#### **Optional Redemption**

The Bonds maturing on and after February 15, 2034 are subject to redemption at the option of the District in whole or in part in principal amounts of \$5,000 or any multiple thereof, on August 15, 2033 or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal

amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. If less than all of the Bonds are to be redeemed, the District shall determine the amounts and maturities thereof to be redeemed and shall direct the Paying Agent/Registrar to select by lot the Bonds, or portions thereof, to be redeemed.

# **Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption**

In addition to the optional redemption provision described above, the Bonds maturing on February 15 in each of the years 2048 (5.00% coupon), 2048 (4.00% coupon) and 2053 (4.25% coupon) (the "Term Bonds") are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption prior to their stated maturity, and will be redeemed by the District, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof plus interest accrued thereon to the redemption date, on the dates and in the principal amounts shown in the following schedule:

Term Bonds		Terr	n Bonds	Term Bonds		
February 1	<u>5, 2048 (5.00%)</u>	February 15	<u>5, 2048 (4.00%)</u>	February 1	<u>5, 2053 (4.25%)</u>	
Date		Date		Date		
<u>(2/15)</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>(2/15)</u>	<u>Amount</u>	(2/15)	<u>Amount</u>	
2044	\$800,000	2044	\$665,000	2049	\$1,830,000	
2045	810,000	2045	720,000	2050	1,910,000	
2046	820,000	2046	780,000	2051	1,995,000	
2047	830,000	2047	845,000	2052	2,080,000	
2048*	840.000	2048*	910.000	2053*	2.170.000	

<sup>\*</sup>Stated Maturity

Approximately forty-five (45) days prior to each mandatory redemption date for any Term Bond, the Paying Agent/Registrar shall randomly select by lot or other customary method the numbers of the Term Bonds within the applicable Stated Maturity to be redeemed on the next following February 15 from moneys set aside for that purpose in the Interest and Sinking Fund (as defined in the Bond Order). Any Term Bonds not selected for prior redemption shall be paid on the date of their Stated Maturity.

The principal amount of Term Bonds of a stated maturity required to be redeemed on any mandatory redemption date pursuant to the operation of the mandatory sinking fund redemption provisions shall be reduced, at the option of the Issuer, by the principal amount of any Term Bonds of the same maturity which, at least forty-five (45) days prior to a mandatory redemption date (1) shall have been acquired by the Issuer at a price not exceeding the principal amount of such Term Bonds plus accrued interest to the date of purchase thereof, and delivered to the Paying Agent/Registrar for cancellation, (2) shall have been purchased and canceled by the Paying Agent/Registrar at the request of the Issuer at a price not exceeding the principal amount of such Term Bonds plus accrued interest to the date of purchase, or (3) shall have been redeemed pursuant to the optional redemption provisions and not theretofore credited against a mandatory redemption requirement.

#### Notice of Redemption and DTC Notices

Not less than 30 days prior to a redemption date for the Bonds, the District shall cause a notice of redemption to be sent by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to each registered owner of a Bond to be redeemed, in whole or in part, at the address of the registered owner appearing on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar at the close of business on the business day next preceding the date of mailing such notice. ANY NOTICE OF REDEMPTION SO MAILED SHALL BE CONCLUSIVELY PRESUMED TO HAVE BEEN DULY GIVEN IRRESPECTIVE OF WHETHER RECEIVED BY THE BONDHOLDER, AND, SUBJECT TO PROVISION FOR PAYMENT OF THE REDEMPTION PRICE HAVING BEEN MADE AND THE SATISFACTION OF ANY OTHER CONDITION SPECIFIED IN THE NOTICE, INTEREST ON THE REDEMED BONDS SHALL CEASE TO ACCRUE FROM AND AFTER SUCH REDEMPTION DATE NOTWITHSTANDING THAT A BOND HAS NOT BEEN PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT.

The Paying Agent/Registrar and the District, so long as a Book-Entry-Only System is used for the Bonds, will send any notice of redemption, notice of proposed amendment to the Bond Order or other notices with respect to the Bonds only to DTC. Any failure by DTC to advise any DTC participant, or of any DTC participant or indirect participant to notify the beneficial owner, shall not affect the validity of the redemption of the Bonds called for redemption or any other action premised on any such notice. Redemption of portions of the Bonds by the District will reduce the outstanding principal amount of such Bonds held by DTC. In such event, DTC may implement, through its Book-Entry-Only System, a redemption of such Bonds held for the account of DTC participants in accordance with its rules or other agreements with DTC participants and then DTC participants and indirect participants may implement a redemption of such Bonds from the beneficial owners. Any such selection of Bonds to be redeemed will not be governed by the Order and will not be conducted by the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Neither the District nor the Paying Agent/Registrar will have any responsibility to DTC participants, indirect participants or the persons for whom DTC participants act as nominees, with respect to the payments on the Bonds or the providing of notice to DTC participants, indirect participants, or beneficial owners of the selection of portions of the Bonds for redemption. See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.

# Security

The Bonds are direct obligations of the District and are payable as to both principal and interest from ad valorem taxes levied annually on all taxable property within the District, without legal limitation as to rate or amount. The District has received conditional approval from the Texas Education Agency for the payment of the Bonds to be guaranteed under the State of Texas Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (hereinafter defined), which guarantee will automatically become effective when the Attorney General of Texas approves the Bonds. (See "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS", "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM", and "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM").

#### **Permanent School Fund Guarantee**

In connection with the sale of the Bonds, the District has received conditional approval from the Commissioner of Education of the State for the guarantee of the Bonds under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program (Chapter 45, Subchapter C, of the Texas Education Code, as amended). Subject to meeting certain conditions discussed under "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein, the Bonds will be absolutely and unconditionally guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. In the event of a payment default by the District, registered owners will receive all payments due from the corpus of the Permanent School Fund.

In the event the District defeases any of the Bonds, the payment of such defeased Bonds will cease to be guaranteed by the Permanent School Fund Guarantee. See "APPENDIX E – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" and "REGISTERED OWNERS' REMEDIES" herein.

#### Legality

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued, subject to the approval of legality by the Attorney General of the State and McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., San Antonio, Texas, Bond Counsel. (See "LEGAL MATTERS" and "Appendix C – Form of Legal Opinion of Bond Counsel").

# **Payment Record**

The District has never defaulted on the payment of its bonded indebtedness.

#### **Amendments**

In the Bond Order, the District has reserved the right to amend the Bond Order without the consent of any holder for the purpose of amending or supplementing the Bond Order to (i) cure any ambiguity, defect or omission therein that does not materially adversely affect the interests of the holders, (ii) grant additional rights or security for the benefit of the holders, (iii) add events of default as shall not be inconsistent with the provisions of the Bond Order that do not materially adversely affect the interests of the holders, (iv) qualify the Bond Order under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, or corresponding provisions of federal laws from time to time in effect or (v) make such other provisions in regard to matters or questions arising under the Bond Order that are not inconsistent with the provisions thereof and which, in the opinion of Bond Counsel for the District, do not materially adversely affect the interests of the holders.

The Bond Order further provides that the holders of the Bonds aggregating in principal amount of at least 51% of the outstanding Bonds shall have the right from time to time to approve any amendment not described above to the Bond Order if it is deemed necessary or desirable by the District; provided, however, that without the consent of 100% of the holders of the then outstanding Bonds so affected, no amendment may be made for the purpose of: (i) making any change in the maturity of any of the outstanding Bonds; (ii) reducing the rate of interest borne by any of the outstanding Bonds; (iii) reducing the amount of the principal of, or redemption premium, if any, payable on any outstanding Bonds; (iv) modifying the terms of payment of principal or interest or redemption premium, if any, on outstanding Bonds or imposing any condition with respect to such payment; or (v) changing the minimum percentage of the principal amount of the Bonds necessary for consent to such amendment. Reference is made to the Bond Order for further provisions relating to the amendment thereof.

# **Defeasance**

The Bond Order provides for the defeasance of the Bonds when payment of the principal amount of the Bonds plus interest accrued on the Bonds to their due date (whether such due date be by reason of stated maturity, redemption, or otherwise) is provided by irrevocably depositing with a paying agent, or other authorized escrow agent, in trust (1) money in an amount sufficient to make such payment and/or (2) Defeasance Securities, that will mature as to principal and interest in such amounts and at such times to insure the availability, without reinvestment, of sufficient money to make such payment, and all necessary and proper fees, compensation and expenses of the paying agent for the Bonds, and thereafter the District will have no further responsibility with respect to amounts available to such paying agent (or other financial institution permitted by applicable law) for the payment of such defeased bonds, including any insufficiency therein caused by the failure of such paying agent (or other financial institution permitted by applicable law) to receive payment when due on the Defeasance Securities. The District has additionally reserved the right, subject to satisfying the requirements of (1) and (2) above, to substitute other Defeasance Securities originally deposited, to reinvest the uninvested moneys on deposit for such defeasance and to withdraw for the benefit of the District moneys in excess of the amount required for such defeasance. The Bond Order provides that "Defeasance Securities" means any securities and obligations now or hereafter authorized by State law that are eligible to discharge obligations such as the Bonds. Current State law permits defeasance with the following types of securities: (a) direct, noncallable obligations of the United States of America, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America, (b) noncallable obligations of an agency or instrumentality of the United States of America, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the agency or instrumentality and that, on the date the governing body of the District authorizes the defeasance, are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than AAA or its equivalent, (c) noncallable obligations of a state or an agency or a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of a state that on the date the governing body of the District adopts or approves the proceedings authorizing the financial arrangements have been refunded and are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than AAA or its equivalent and (d) any additional securities and obligation hereafter authorized by Texas law as eligible for use to accomplish the discharge of obligations such as the Bonds. There is no assurance that the current law will not be changed in a manner which would permit investments other than those described above to be made with amounts deposited to defease the Bonds. Because the Bond Order does not contractually limit such investments, registered owners will be deemed to have consented to defeasance with such other investments, notwithstanding the fact that such investments may not be of the same investment quality as those currently permitted under State law. There is no assurance that the ratings for U.S. Treasury securities used for defeasance purposes or that for any other Defeasance Security will be maintained at any particular rating category.

Upon such deposit as described above, such Bonds shall no longer be regarded to be outstanding or unpaid. After firm banking and financial arrangements for the discharge and final payment of the Bonds have been made as described above, all rights of the District to initiate proceedings to call the Bonds for redemption or take any other action amending the terms of the Bonds are extinguished; provided, however, the District has the option, to be exercised at the time of the defeasance of the Bonds, to call for redemption at an earlier date those Bonds which have been defeased to their maturity date, if the District (i) in the proceedings providing for the firm banking and financial arrangements, expressly reserves the right to call the Bonds for redemption, (ii) gives notice of the reservation of that right to the owners of the Bonds immediately following the making of the firm banking and financial arrangements, and (iii) directs that notice of the reservation be included in any redemption notices that it authorizes.

Defeasance will automatically cancel the Permanent School Fund Guarantee with respect to those defeased Bonds.

### Sources and Uses of Funds

The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be applied approximately as follows:

So		ro	_	_
50	ш	Г(:		٠.

Par Amount of Bonds	\$	43,460,000.00
Net Premium		2,375,942.85
Accrued Interest on Bonds		131,541.64
Total Sources of Funds	\$ _	45,967,484.49
Uses		
Deposit to Construction Fund	\$	31,300,000.00
Deposit to Escrow Fund		14,069,057.38
Costs of Issuance		217,127.48
Underwriters' Discount		234,000.63
Deposit to Interest and Sinking Fund		147,299.00
Total Uses of Funds	\$ _	45,967,484.49

# **REGISTERED OWNERS' REMEDIES**

The Bond Order establishes specific events of default with respect to the Bonds and provides that if the District defaults in the payment of principal or interest on the Bonds when due, or defaults in the observation or performance of any other covenants, conditions, or obligations set forth in the Bond Order, and the continuation thereof for a period of 60 days after notice of default is given by the District by any registered owner, the registered owners may seek a writ of mandamus to compel District officials to carry out their legally imposed duties with respect to the Bonds, if there is no other available remedy at law to compel performance of the Bonds or the Bond Order covenants and the District's obligations are not uncertain or disputed. The issuance of a writ of mandamus is controlled by equitable principles and rests with the discretion of the court, but may not be arbitrarily refused. There is no acceleration of maturity of the Bonds in the event of default and, consequently, the remedy of mandamus may have to be relied upon from year to year. The Bond Order does not provide for the appointment of a trustee to represent the interest of the bondholders upon any failure of the District to perform in accordance with the terms of the Bond Order, or upon any other condition and accordingly all legal actions to enforce such remedies would have to be undertaken at the initiative of, and be financed by, the registered owners. The Texas Supreme Court ruled in *Tooke v. City of Mexia*, 197 S.W.3<sup>rd</sup> 325 (Tex. 2006), that a waiver of sovereign immunity in a contractual dispute must be provided for by statute in "clear and unambiguous" language. As a result, bondholders may not be able to bring such a suit against the District for breach of the Bonds or Bond Order covenants. Even if a judgment against the District could be obtained, it could not be enforced by direct levy and execution against the District's property. Further, the registered owners cannot themselves foreclose on property within the District or sell property within the District to enforce the tax lien on taxable property to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds. Furthermore, the District is eligible to seek relief from its creditors under Chapter 9 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code ("Chapter 9"). Although Chapter 9 provides for the recognition of a security interest represented by a specifically pledged source ("Chapter 9"). Although Chapter 9 provides for the recognition of a security interest represented by a specifically pledged source of revenues, the pledge of ad valorem taxes in support of a general obligation of a bankrupt entity is not specifically recognized as a security interest under Chapter 9. Chapter 9 also includes an automatic stay provision that would prohibit, without Bankruptcy Court approval, the prosecution of any other legal action by creditors or bondholders of an entity which has sought protection under Chapter 9. Therefore, should the District avail itself of Chapter 9 protection from creditors, the ability to enforce would be subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court (which could require that the action be heard in Bankruptcy Court instead of other federal or state court); and the Bankruptcy Code provides for broad discretionary powers of a Bankruptcy Court in administering any proceeding brought before it. See "APPENDIX E - THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein for a description of the procedures to be followed for payment of the Bonds by the Permanent School Fund in the event the District fails to make a payment on the Bonds when due. The opinion of Bond Counsel will note that all opinions relative to the enforceability of the Bond Order and the Bonds are qualified with respect to the customary rights of debtors relative to their creditors, by general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion and by governmental relative to their creditors, by general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion and by governmental immunity.

# **BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM**

This section describes how ownership of the Bonds is to be transferred and how the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds are to be paid to and credited by DTC while the Bonds are registered in its nominee name. The information in this section concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been provided by DTC for use in disclosure documents such as this Official Statement. The District, the Financial Advisor and the Underwriters believe the source of such information to be reliable, but take no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The District and the Underwriters cannot and do not give any assurance that (1) DTC will distribute payments of debt service on the Bonds, or redemption notices or other notices to DTC Participants, (2) DTC Participants or others will distribute debt service payments paid to DTC or its nominee (as the registered owner of the Bonds), or redemption notices or other notices to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or (3) DTC will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current rules applicable to DTC are on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the current procedures of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a S&P Global Ratings rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers. Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Bonds, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Bond documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within a maturity are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such maturity to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

All payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Paying Agent/Registrar, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. All payments, with respect to the Bonds, to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) are the responsibility of the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry-only transfers through DTC (or a successor Securities depository). In that event, Bond certificates will be printed and delivered to bond holders.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District, the Financial Advisor and the Underwriters believe to be reliable, but none of the District, the Financial Advisor or the Underwriters take any responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

#### Use of Certain Terms in Other Sections of this Official Statement

In reading this Official Statement it should be understood that while the Bonds are in the Book-Entry-Only System, references in other sections of this Official Statement to registered owners should be read to include the person for which the Direct or Indirect Participant acquires an interest in the Bonds, but (i) all rights of ownership must be exercised through DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System, and (ii) except as described above, notices that are to be given to registered owners under the Bond Order will be given only to DTC.

# REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE

#### Paying Agent/Registrar

The initial Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds is BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas. In the Bond Order, the District covenants to maintain and provide a Paying Agent/Registrar until the Bonds are duly paid.

# Successor Paying Agent/Registrar

Provision is made in the Bond Order for replacing the Paying Agent/Registrar. If the District replaces the Paying Agent/Registrar, such Paying Agent/Registrar shall, promptly upon the appointment of a successor, deliver the Paying Agent/Registrar's records to the successor Paying Agent/Registrar, and the successor Paying Agent/Registrar shall act in the same capacity as the previous Paying Agent/Registrar. Any successor Paying Agent/Registrar selected by the District shall be a commercial bank, trust company, financial institution or other entity duly qualified and legally authorized to serve and perform the duties of the Paying Agent/Registrar

for the Bonds. Upon any change in the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds, the District has agreed to promptly cause a written notice thereof to be sent to each registered owner of the Bonds by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, which notice shall also give the address of the new Paying Agent/Registrar.

#### **Initial Registration**

Definitive Bonds will be initially registered and delivered only to CEDE & CO., the nominee of DTC pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein.

# **Future Registration**

In the event the Book-Entry-Only System is discontinued, the Bonds will be printed and delivered to the beneficial owners thereof and thereafter may be transferred, registered and assigned on the registration books only upon presentation and surrender of the Bonds to the Paying Agent/Registrar, and such registration and transfer shall be without expense or service charge to the registered owner, except for any tax or other governmental charges required to be paid with respect to such registration and transfer. A Bond may be assigned by the execution of an assignment form on the Bonds or by other instrument of transfer and assignment acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar. A new Bond or Bonds will be delivered by the Paying Agent/Registrar in lieu of the Bond or Bonds being transferred or exchanged at the corporate trust office of the Paying Agent/Registrar, or sent by United States mail, first class postage prepaid to the new registered owner. To the extent possible, new Bonds issued in an exchange or transfer of Bonds will be delivered to the registered owner or assignee of the registered owner in not more than three (3) business days after the receipt of the Bonds to be canceled in the exchange or transfer and the written instrument of transfer or request for exchange duly executed by the registered owner or his duly authorized agent, in form satisfactory to the Paying Agent/Registrar. New Bonds registered and delivered in an exchange or transfer shall be in authorized denominations and for a like aggregate principal amount as the Bonds surrendered for exchange or transfer.

#### **Record Date For Interest Payment**

The "Record Date" for determining the party to whom the interest payable on a Bond on any interest payment date means the close of business on the last business day of the next preceding month; provided, however, that the Record Date for the initial interest payment date is the closing date. In the event of a non-payment of interest on a scheduled payment date, and for 30 days thereafter, a new record date for such interest payment (a "Special Record Date") will be established by the Paying Agent/Registrar, if and when funds for the payment of such interest have been received from the District. Notice of the Special Record Date and of the scheduled payment date of the past due interest (the "Special Payment Date", which shall be 15 days after the Special Record Date) shall be sent at least five business days prior to the Special Record Date by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to the address of each registered owner of a Bond appearing on the books of the Paying Agent/Registrar at the close of business on the last business day next preceding the date of mailing of such notice.

# **Limitation on Transfer of Bonds**

The Paying Agent/Registrar shall not be required to make any such transfer, conversion or exchange (i) during the period commencing with the close of business on any Record Date and ending with the opening of business on the next following principal or interest payment date or (ii) with respect to any Bond or any portion thereof called for redemption prior to maturity, within 45 days prior to its redemption date.

#### Replacement Bonds

If any Bond is mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost, a new Bond in the same principal amount as the Bond so mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost will be issued. In the case of a mutilated Bond, such new Bond will be delivered only upon surrender and cancellation of such mutilated Bond. In the case of any Bond issued in lieu of and substitution for a Bond which has been destroyed, stolen or lost, such new Bond will be delivered only (a) upon filing with the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar a certificate to the effect that such Bond has been destroyed, stolen or lost and proof of the ownership thereof, and (b) upon furnishing the District and the Paying Agent/Registrar with indemnity satisfactory to them. The person requesting the authentication and delivery of a new Bond must pay such expenses as the Paying Agent/Registrar may incur in connection therewith.

# THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

Subject to satisfying certain conditions, the payment of the Bonds will be guaranteed by the corpus of the Permanent School Fund of the State of Texas. In the event of default, registered owners will receive all payments due on the Bonds from the Permanent School Fund, and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Reserve would be the first source to pay debt service if a charter school was unable to make such payment. See "Appendix E – THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" for pertinent information regarding the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program. The disclosure regarding the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program in Appendix E is incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes.

# STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS

# Litigation Relating to the Texas Public School Finance System

On seven occasions in the last thirty years, the Texas Supreme Court (the "Court") has issued decisions assessing the constitutionality of the Texas public school finance system (the "Finance System"). The litigation has primarily focused on whether the Finance System, as amended by the "Texas Legislature" from time to time (i) met the requirements of article VII, section 1 of the Texas Constitution, which requires the Texas Legislature to "establish and make suitable provision for the support and maintenance of an efficient system of public free schools," or (ii) imposed a statewide ad valorem tax in violation of article VIII, section 1-e of the Texas Constitution because the statutory limit on property taxes levied by school districts for maintenance and operation purposes had allegedly denied school districts meaningful discretion in setting their tax rates. In response to the Court's previous decisions, the Texas Legislature enacted multiple laws that made substantive changes in the way the Finance System is funded in efforts to address the prior decisions declaring the Finance System unconstitutional.

On May 13, 2016, the Court issued its opinion in the most recent school finance litigation, *Morath v. The Texas Taxpayer & Student Fairness Coal.*, 490 S.W.3d 826 (Tex. 2016) ("*Morath*"). The plaintiffs and intervenors in the case had alleged that the Finance System, as modified by the Legislature in part in response to prior decisions of the Court, violated article VII, section 1 and article VIII, section 1-e of the Texas Constitution. In its opinion, the Court held that "[d]espite the imperfections of the current school funding regime, it meets minimum constitutional requirements." The Court also noted that:

Lawmakers decide if laws pass, and judges decide if those laws pass muster. But our lenient standard of review in this policy-laden area counsels modesty. The judicial role is not to second-guess whether our system is optimal, but whether it is constitutional. Our Byzantine school funding "system" is undeniably imperfect, with immense room for improvement. But it satisfies minimum constitutional requirements.

# Possible Effects of Changes in Law on District Bonds

The Court's decision in *Morath* upheld the constitutionality of the Finance System but noted that the Finance System was "undeniably imperfect". While not compelled by the *Morath* decision to reform the Finance System, the Legislature could enact future changes to the Finance System. Any such changes could benefit or be a detriment to the District. If the Legislature enacts future changes to the Finance System. Any such changes could benefit to the District. If the Legislature enacts future changes to, or fails adequately to fund the Finance System, or if changes in circumstances otherwise provide grounds for a challenge, the Finance System could be challenged again in the future. In its 1995 opinion in Edgewood Independent School District v. Meno, 917 S.W.2d 717 (Tex. 1995), the Court stated that any future determination of unconstitutionality "would not, however, affect the district's authority to levy the taxes necessary to retire previously issued bonds, but would instead require the Legislature to cure the system's unconstitutionality in a way that is consistent with the Contract Clauses of the U.S. and Texas Constitutions" (collectively, the "Contract Clauses"), which prohibit the enactment of laws that impair prior obligations of contracts.

Although, as a matter of law, the Bonds, upon issuance and delivery, will be entitled to the protections afforded previously existing contractual obligations under the Contract Clauses, the District can make no representations or predictions concerning the effect of future legislation, or any litigation that may be associated with such legislation, on the District's financial condition, revenues or operations. While the enactment of future legislation to address school funding in Texas could adversely affect the financial condition, revenues or operations of the District, the District does not anticipate that the security for payment of the Bonds, specifically, the District's obligation to levy an unlimited debt service tax and any Permanent School Fund guarantee of the Bonds would be adversely affected by any such legislation. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM".

# **CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM**

#### Overview

The following language constitutes only a summary of the public school finance system as it is currently structured. For a more complete description of school finance and fiscal management in the State, reference is made to Chapters 43 through 49 of the Texas Education Code, as amended.

Local funding is derived from collections of ad valorem taxes levied on property located within each school district's boundaries. School districts are authorized to levy two types of property taxes: a maintenance and operations ("M&O") tax to pay current expenses and an interest and sinking fund ("l&S") tax to pay debt service on bonds. School districts may not increase their M&O tax rate for the purpose of creating a surplus to pay debt service on bonds. Prior to 2006, school districts were authorized to levy their M&O tax at a voter-approved rate, generally up to \$1.50 per \$100 of taxable value. Since 2006, the State Legislature has enacted various legislation that has compressed the voter-approved M&O tax rate, as described below. Current law also requires school districts to demonstrate their ability to pay debt service on outstanding bonded indebtedness through the levy of an I&S tax at a rate not to exceed \$0.50 per \$100 of taxable value at the time bonds are issued. Once bonds are issued, however, school districts generally may levy an I&S tax sufficient to pay debt service on such bonds unlimited as to rate or amount (see "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – I&S Tax Rate Limitations" herein). Because property values vary widely among school districts, the amount of local funding generated by school districts with the same I&S tax rate and M&O tax rate is also subject to wide variation; however, the public school finance funding formulas are designed to generally equalize local funding generated by a school district's M&O tax rate.

Prior to the 2019 Legislative Session, a school district's maximum M&O tax rate for a given tax year was determined by multiplying that school district's 2005 M&O tax rate levy by an amount equal to a compression percentage set by legislative appropriation or, in the absence of legislative appropriation, by the Commissioner of Education (the "Commissioner"). This compression percentage was historically set at 66.67%, effectively setting the maximum compressed M&O tax rate for most school districts at \$1.00 per \$100 of taxable value, since most school districts in the State had a voted maximum M&O tax rate of \$1.50 per \$100 of taxable value (though certain school districts located in Harris County had special M&O tax rate authorizations allowing a higher M&O tax rate). School districts were permitted, however, to generate additional local funds by raising their M&O tax rate up to \$0.04 above the compressed tax rate or, with voter-approval at a valid election in the school district, up to \$0.17 above the compressed tax rate (for most school districts, this equated to an M&O tax rate between \$1.04 and \$1.17 per \$100 of taxable value). School districts received additional State funds in proportion to such taxing effort.

# 2021 Regular and Special Legislative Sessions

The Texas Legislature meets in regular session in odd-numbered years, for 140 days. The 87th Texas Legislature convened on January 12, 2021 and concluded on May 31, 2021 ("87th Regular Session"). During the 87th Regular Session, the Legislature did not make significant changes to the school finance system, State funding of school districts, nor ad valorem taxation procedures affecting school districts.

When the regular Legislature is not in session, the Governor of Texas may call one or more special sessions, at the Governor's direction, each lasting no more than 30 days, and for which the Governor sets the agenda. Following the conclusion of the 87th Regular Session, the Texas Governor called three special sessions of the Legislature. No significant changes were made to the Texas school finance system or property tax systems during the First and Second Special Sessions. Senate Joint Resolution 2, passed during the Third Special Session, proposed a constitutional amendment increasing the mandatory homestead exemption for school districts from \$25,000 to \$40,000, which was approved by voters at an election held May 7, 2022. The amendment to the Constitution became effective beginning January 1, 2022. As a result of the increased exemption, additional changes to the education finance system were implemented, including "hold harmless" allotments, to provide funding to school districts who have less revenue (including revenues specifically for debt service and maintenance and operations) due to the implementation of the increased homestead exemption. At this time, the District cannot ascertain the financial impact, if any, the change in homestead exemption will have on the District's finances in the future.

# 2023 Regular and Any Special Legislative Sessions

On January 10, 2023, the 88th Texas Legislature convened in general session that adjourned on May 29, 2023. Immediately after the conclusion of the regular session, the Texas Governor called a first special session to address property tax relief from school district taxation and border security; the first special session began on May 29, 2023 and ended on June 27, 2023. At the conclusion of the first special session, the Governor called a second special session that began on June 27, 2023 and adjourned on July 13, 2023.

The charge for the second special session included the following: (i) "Legislation to cut property-tax rates solely by reducing the school district maximum compressed tax rate in order to provide lasting property-tax relief for Texas taxpayers;" (ii) "Legislation to put Texas on a pathway to eliminate school district maintenance and operations property taxes;" (iii) "Legislation relating to providing property tax relief through the public school finance system, exemptions, limitations on appraisals and taxes and property tax administration;" and (iv) "Legislation relating to the amount of the total revenue exemption from the franchise tax and the exclusion of certain taxable entities from the requirement to file a franchise tax report."

During the second special session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 2 ("SB 2"), which includes provisions that reduce the maximum M&O tax compression rate by \$0.107, increase the school district mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000, and places further limitations on increases in appraised values on certain classes of properties. The provision increasing the residential homestead exemption to \$100,000 will be submitted to voters of the State on November 7, 2023. SB 2 also makes provision for State aid funding to pay for the loss in school district I&S tax revenue (i.e. "hold harmless") for debt that (i) is issued prior to September 1, 2023, or (ii) is approved by voters but not yet issued as of September 1, 2023.

SB 2 was signed into law by the Governor on July 22, 2023.

The District can make no representations or predictions regarding any actions the Legislature has taken or may take concerning the substance or the effect of any legislation passed in a previous session or a future session of the Legislature.

# **Local Funding for School Districts**

A school district's M&O tax rate is composed of two distinct parts: the "Tier One Tax Rate", which is the local M&O tax rate required for a school district to receive any part of the basic level of State funding (referred to herein as "Tier One") under the Foundation School Program, as further described below, and the "Enrichment Tax Rate", which is any local M&O tax effort in excess of its Tier One Tax Rate. Formulas for the State Compression Percentage and Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (each as described below) are designed to compress M&O tax rates in response to year-over-year increases in property values across the State and within a school district, respectively. The discussion in this subcaption "Local Funding For School Districts" is generally intended to describe funding provisions applicable to all school districts; however, there are distinctions in the funding formulas for school districts that generate local M&O tax revenues in excess of the school districts' funding entitlements, as further discussed under the subcaption CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – Local Revenue Level In Excess of Entitlement" herein.

#### State Compression Percentage

The State Compression Percentage is a statutorily-defined percentage of the rate of \$1.00 per \$100 that is used to determine a school district's Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (described below). The State Compression Percentage is the lesser of three alternative calculations: (1) 93% or a lower percentage set by appropriation for a school year; (2) a percentage determined by formula if the estimated total taxable property value of the State (as submitted annually to the State Legislature by the State Comptroller) has increased by at least 2.5% over the prior year; and (3) the prior year State Compression Percentage. For any year, the maximum State Compression Percentage is 93%. For the State fiscal year ending in 2023, the State Compression Percentage is set at 89.41%.

# Maximum Compressed Tax Rate

The Maximum Compressed Tax Rate (the "MCR") is the tax rate per \$100 of valuation of taxable property at which a school district must levy its Tier One Tax Rate (the MCR) is the tax rate per \$ 100 of valuation of taxable property at which a school district must levy its Tier One Tax Rate to receive the full amount of the Tier One funding to which the school district is entitled. The MCR is equal to the lesser of three alternative calculations: (1) the school district's prior year MCR; (2) a percentage determined by formula if the school district experienced a year-over-year increase in property value of at least 2.5%; or (3) the product of the State Compression Percentage for the current year multiplied by \$1.00. However, each year the TEA shall evaluate the MCR for each school district in the State, and for any given year, if a school district's MCR is calculated to be less than 90% of any other school district's MCR for the current year, then the school district's MCR is instead equal to the school district's prior year MCR, until TEA determines that the difference between the school district's MCR and any other school district's MCR is not more than 10%. These determines that the difference between the school district's MCR and any other school district's MCR is not more than 10%. These compression formulas are intended to more closely equalize local generation of Tier One funding among districts with disparate tax bases and generally reduce the Tier One Tax Rates of school districts as property values increase. During the 2021 Legislative Session, a provision of the general appropriations act reduced the MCR for the 2022-2023 school year. It established \$0.8941 as the maximum rate and \$0.8046 as the floor.

### Tier One Tax Rate

A school district's Tier One Tax Rate is defined as a school district's M&O tax rate levied that does not exceed the school district's MCR.

#### Enrichment Tax Rate

The Enrichment Tax Rate is the number of cents a school district levies for M&O in excess of the Tier One Tax Rate, up to an additional \$0.17. The Enrichment Tax Rate is divided into two components: (i) "Golden Pennies" which are the first \$0.08 of tax effort in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate; and (ii) "Copper Pennies" which are the next \$0.09 in excess of a school district's Tier One Tax Rate plus Golden Pennies.

School districts may levy an Enrichment Tax Rate at a level of their choice, subject to the limitations described under "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate"; however to levy any of the Enrichment Tax Rate in a given year, a school district must levy a Tier One Tax Rate equal to the school district's MCR. Additionally, a school district's levy of Copper Pennies is subject to compression if the guaranteed yield (i.e., the guaranteed level of local tax revenue and State aid generated for each cent of tax effort) of Copper Pennies is increased from one year to the next (see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts – Tier Two").

# **State Funding for School Districts**

State funding for school districts is provided through the two-tiered Foundation School Program, which guarantees certain levels of funding for school districts in the State. School districts are entitled to a legislatively appropriated guaranteed yield on their Tier One Tax Rate and Enrichment Tax Rate. When a school district's Tier One Tax Rate and Enrichment Tax Rate generate tax revenues at a level below the respective entitlement, the State will provide "Tier One" funding or "Tier Two" funding, respectively, to fund the difference between the school district's entitlements and the actual M&O revenues generated by the school district's respective M&O tax rates.

The first level of funding, Tier One, is the basic level of funding guaranteed to all school districts based on a school district's Tier One Tax Rate. Tier One funding may then be "enriched" with Tier Two funding. Tier Two provides a guaranteed entitlement for each cent of a school district's Enrichment Tax Rate, allowing a school district to increase or decrease its Enrichment Tax Rate to supplement Tier One funding at a level of the school district's own choice. While Tier One funding may be used for the payment of debt service (except for school districts subject to the recapture provisions of Chapter 49 of the Texas Education Code, as discussed herein), and in some instances is required to be used for that purpose (see "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – I&S Tax Rate Limitations"), Tier Two funding may not be used for the payment of debt service or capital outlay.

The current public school finance system also provides an Existing Debt Allotment ("EDA") to subsidize debt service on eligible outstanding school district bonds, an Instructional Facilities Allotment ("IFA") to subsidize debt service on newly issued bonds, and a New Instructional Facilities Allotment ("NIFA") to subsidize operational expenses associated with the opening of a new 9

instructional facility. IFA primarily addresses the debt service needs of property-poor school districts. For the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium, the State Legislature appropriated funds in the amount of \$1,007,300,000 for the EDA, IFA, and NIFA.

Tier One and Tier Two allotments represent the State's share of the cost of M&O expenses of school districts, with local M&O taxes representing the school district's local share. EDA and IFA allotments supplement a school district's local I&S taxes levied for debt service on eligible bonds issued to construct, acquire and improve facilities, provided that a school district qualifies for such funding and that the State Legislature makes sufficient appropriations to fund the allotments for a State fiscal biennium. Tier One and Tier Two allotments and existing EDA and IFA allotments are generally required to be funded each year by the State Legislature.

# Tier One

Tier One funding is the basic level of funding guaranteed to a school district, consisting of a State-appropriated baseline level of funding (the "Basic Allotment") for each student in "Average Daily Attendance" (being generally calculated as the sum of student attendance for each State-mandated day of instruction divided by the number of State-mandated days of instruction, defined herein as "ADA"). The Basic Allotment is revised downward if a school district's Tier One Tax Rate is less than the State-determined threshold. The Basic Allotment is supplemented by additional State funds, allotted based upon the unique school district characteristics and demographics of students in ADA, to make up most of a school district's Tier One entitlement under the Foundation School Program.

The Basic Allotment for a school district with a Tier One Tax Rate equal to the school district's MCR, is \$6,160 (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) for each student in ADA and is revised downward for a school district with a Tier One Tax Rate lower than the school district's MCR. The Basic Allotment is then supplemented for all school districts by various weights to account for differences among school districts and their student populations. Such additional allotments include, but are not limited to, increased funds for students in ADA who: (i) attend a qualified special education program, (ii) are diagnosed with dyslexia or a related disorder, (iii) are economically disadvantaged, or (iv) have limited English language proficiency. Additional allotments to mitigate differences among school districts include, but are not limited to: (i) a transportation allotment for mileage associated with transporting students who reside two miles or more from their home campus, (ii) a fast growth allotment (for school districts in the top 25% of enrollment growth relative to other school districts), (iii) a college, career and military readiness allotment to further Texas' goal of increasing the number of students who attain a post-secondary education or workforce credential, and (iv) a teacher incentive allotment to increase teacher compensation retention in disadvantaged or rural school districts. A school district's total Tier One funding, divided by \$6,160, is a school district's measure of students in "Weighted Average Daily Attendance" ("WADA"), which serves to calculate Tier Two funding.

For the 2022-2023 school year, the fast growth allotment weight is 0.48 for districts in the top 40% of school districts for growth, 0.33 for districts in the middle 30% of school districts for growth and 0.18 for districts in the bottom 30% of school districts for growth. The fast growth allotment is limited to \$310 million for the 2022-2023 school year and \$315 million for the 2023-2024 school year.

# Tier Two

Tier Two supplements Tier One funding and provides two levels of enrichment with different guaranteed yields (i.e., Golden Pennies and Copper Pennies) depending on the school district's Enrichment Tax Rate. Golden Pennies generate a guaranteed yield equal to the greater of (i) the local revenue per student in WADA per cent of tax effort available to a school district at the ninety-sixth (96th) percentile of wealth per student in WADA, or (ii) the Basic Allotment (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) multiplied by 0.016. For the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium, school districts are guaranteed a yield of \$98.56 per student in WADA for each Golden Penny levied. Copper Pennies generate a guaranteed yield per student in WADA equal to the school district's Basic Allotment (or a greater amount as may be provided by appropriation) multiplied by 0.008. For the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium, school districts are guaranteed a yield of \$49.28 per student in WADA for each Copper Penny levied. For any school year in which the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA exceeds the guaranteed yield of Copper Pennies per student in WADA than was available to the school district for the preceding year.

# Existing Debt Allotment, Instruction Facilities Allotment, and New Instructional Facilities Allotment

The Foundation School Program also includes facilities funding components consisting of the IFA and the EDA, subject to legislative appropriation each State fiscal biennium. To the extent funded for a biennium, these programs assist school districts in funding facilities by, generally, equalizing a school district's I&S tax effort. The IFA guarantees each awarded school district a specified amount per student (the "IFA Yield") in State and local funds for each cent of I&S tax levied to pay the principal of and interest on eligible bonds issued to construct, acquire, renovate or improve instructional facilities. The IFA Yield has been \$35 since this program first began in 1997. New awards of IFA are only available if appropriated funds are allocated for such purpose by the State Legislature. To receive an IFA award, in years where new IFA awards are available, a school district must apply to the Commissioner in accordance with rules adopted by the TEA before issuing the bonds to be paid with IFA State assistance. The total amount of debt service assistance over a biennium for which a school district may be awarded is limited to the lesser of (1) the actual debt service payments made by the school district in the biennium in which the bonds are issued; or (2) the greater of (a) \$100,000 or (b) \$250 multiplied by the number of students in ADA. The IFA is also available for lease-purchase agreements and refunding bonds meeting certain prescribed conditions. Once a school district receives an IFA award for bonds, it is entitled to continue receiving State assistance for such bonds without reapplying to the Commissioner. The guaranteed level of State and local funds per student per cent of local tax effort applicable to the bonds may not be reduced below the level provided for the year in which the bonds were issued. For the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium, the State Legislature did not appropriate funds for new IFA awards; however, awards previously granted in years the State Legislature did appropriate funds for ne

State financial assistance is provided for certain existing eligible debt issued by school districts through the EDA program. The EDA guaranteed yield (the "EDA Yield") is the lesser of (i) \$40 per student in ADA or a greater amount for any year provided by appropriation; or (ii) the amount that would result in a total additional EDA of \$60 million more than the EDA to which school districts would have been entitled to if the EDA Yield were \$35. The portion of a school district's local debt service rate that qualifies for EDA assistance is limited to the first \$0.29 of its I&S tax rate (or a greater amount for any year provided by appropriation by the State Legislature). In general, a school district's bonds are eligible for EDA assistance if (i) the school district made payments on the bonds during the final fiscal year of the preceding State fiscal biennium, or (ii) the school district levied taxes to pay the principal of and interest on the bonds for that fiscal year. Each biennium, access to EDA funding is determined by the debt service taxes collected in the final year of the preceding biennium. A school district may not receive EDA funding for the principal and interest on a series of otherwise eligible bonds for which the school district receives IFA funding.

Since future-year IFA awards were not funded by the State Legislature for the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium and debt service assistance on school district bonds that are not yet eligible for EDA is not available, debt service payments during the 2022-2023

10

State fiscal biennium on new bonds issued by school districts in the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium to construct, acquire and improve facilities must be funded solely from local I&S taxes.

A school district may also qualify for a NIFA allotment, which provides assistance to school districts for operational expenses associated with opening new instructional facilities. In the 87<sup>th</sup> Regular Session, the State Legislature appropriated funds in the amount of \$70,000,000 for each fiscal year of the 2022-2023 State fiscal biennium for NIFA allotments.

# Tax Rate and Funding Equity

The Commissioner may proportionally reduce the amount of funding a school district receives under the Foundation School Program and the ADA calculation if the school district operates on a calendar that provides less than the State-mandated minimum instruction time in a school year. The Commissioner may also adjust a school district's ADA as it relates to State funding where disaster, flood, extreme weather or other calamity has a significant effect on a school district's attendance.

Furthermore, "property-wealthy" school districts that received additional State funds under the public school finance system prior to the enactment of the 2019 Legislation are entitled to an equalized wealth transition grant on an annual basis through the 2023-2024 school year in an amount equal to the amount of additional revenue such school district would have received under former Texas Education Code Sections 41.002(e) through (g), as those sections existed on January 1, 2019. This grant is phased out through the 2023-2024 school year as follows: (1) 20% reduction for the 2020-2021 school year, (2) 40% reduction for the 2021-2022 school year, (3) 60% reduction for the 2022-2023 school year, and (4) 80% reduction for the 2023-2024 school year. Additionally, school districts (through the fiscal year ending in 2025) and open-enrollment charter schools (through the fiscal year ending in 2024) are entitled to receive an allotment in the form of a formula transition grant meant to ensure a smooth transition into the funding formulas enacted by the 86th State Legislature. Furthermore, beginning with the 2021-2022 school year, if the total amount of allotments to which school districts and open enrollment charter schools are entitled for a year school under the formula transition grant exceeds \$400 million, the Commissioner shall proportionately reduce each district's or school's allotment. The reduction in the amount to which a district or school is entitled may not result in an amount that is less than zero.

#### Local Revenue Level in Excess of Entitlement

A school district that has sufficient property wealth per student in ADA to generate local revenues on the school district's Tier One Tax Rate and Copper Pennies in excess of the school district's respective funding entitlements (a "Chapter 49 school district"), is subject to the local revenue reduction provisions contained in Chapter 49 of Texas Education Code, as amended ("Chapter 49"). Additionally, in years in which the amount of State funds appropriated specifically excludes the amount necessary to provide the guaranteed yield for Golden Pennies, local revenues generated on a school district's Golden Pennies in excess of the school district's respective funding entitlement are subject to the local revenue reduction provisions of Chapter 49. To reduce local revenue, Chapter 49 school districts are generally subject to a process known as "recapture", which requires a Chapter 49 school district to exercise certain options to remit local M&O tax revenues collected in excess of the Chapter 49 school district's funding entitlements to the State (for redistribution to other school districts) or otherwise expending the respective M&O tax revenues for the benefit of students in school districts that are not Chapter 49 school districts, as described in the subcaption "Options for Local Revenue Levels in Excess of Entitlement". Chapter 49 school districts receive their allocable share of funds distributed from the constitutionally-prescribed Available School Fund, but are generally not eligible to receive State aid under the Foundation School Program, although they may continue to receive State funds for certain competitive grants and certain programs that remain outside the Foundation School Program.

Recapture is measured by the "local revenue level" (being the M&O tax revenues generated in a school district) in excess of the entitlements appropriated by the State Legislature each fiscal biennium. Therefore, school districts are now guaranteed that recapture will not reduce revenue below their statutory entitlement.

# Options for Local Revenue Levels in Excess of Entitlement

Under Chapter 49, a school district has six options to reduce local revenues to a level that does not exceed the school district's respective entitlements: (1) a school district may consolidate by agreement with one or more school districts to form a consolidated school district; all property and debt of the consolidating school districts vest in the consolidated school district; (2) a school district may detach property from its territory for annexation by a property-poor school district; (3) a school district may purchase attendance credits from the State; (4) a school district may contract to educate nonresident students from a property-poor school district by sending money directly to one or more property-poor school districts; (5) a school district may execute an agreement to provide students of one or more other school districts with career and technology education through a program designated as an area program for career and technology education; or (6) a school district may consolidate by agreement with one or more school districts to form a consolidated taxing school district solely to levy and distribute either M&O taxes or both M&O taxes and I&S taxes. A Chapter 49 school district may also exercise any combination of these remedies. Options (3), (4) and (6) require prior approval by the Chapter 49 school district's voters.

Furthermore, a school district may not adopt a tax rate until its effective local revenue level is at or below the level that would produce its guaranteed entitlement under the Foundation School Program. If a school district fails to exercise a permitted option, the Commissioner must reduce the school district's local revenue level to the level that would produce the school district's guaranteed entitlement, by detaching certain types of property from the school district and annexing the property to a property-poor school district or, if necessary, consolidate the school district with a property-poor school district. Provisions governing detachment and annexation of taxable property by the Commissioner do not provide for assumption of any of the transferring school district's existing debt.

# CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT

For the 2023-2024 school year, the District was not designated as an "excess local revenue" Chapter 49 school district by TEA. Accordingly, the District has not been required to exercise one of the wealth equalization options permitted under applicable State law. As a district with local revenue less than the maximum permitted level, the District may benefit in the future by agreeing to accept taxable property or funding assistance from or agreeing to consolidate with, a property-rich district to enable such district to reduce its wealth per student to the permitted level.

A district's "excess local revenues" must be tested for each future school year and, if it exceeds the maximum permitted level, the District must reduce its wealth per student by the exercise of one of the permitted wealth equalization options. Accordingly, if the District's wealth per student should exceed the maximum permitted value in future school years, it will be required to exercise one or more of the permitted wealth equalization options. If the District were to consolidate (or consolidate its tax base for all purposes) with a property-poor district, the outstanding debt of each district could become payable from the consolidated district's combined property tax base, and the District's ratio of taxable property to debt could become diluted. If the District were to detach property voluntarily, a portion of its outstanding debt (including the Bonds) could be assumed by the district to which the property is annexed, in which case timely payment of the Bonds could become dependent in part on the financial performance of an annexing district.

For a detailed discussion of State funding for school districts see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts."

#### **AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES**

The following is a summary of certain provisions of State law as it relates to ad valorem taxation and is not intended to be complete. Reference is made to Title I of the Texas Tax Code, as amended (the "Property Tax Code"), for identification of property subject to ad valorem taxation, property exempt or which may be exempted from ad valorem taxation if claimed, the appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes, and the procedures and limitations applicable to the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes.

#### Valuation of Taxable Property

The Property Tax Code provides for countywide appraisal and equalization of taxable property values and establishes in each county of the State an appraisal district and an appraisal review board (the "Appraisal Review Board") responsible for appraising property for all taxing units within the county. The appraisal of property within the District is the responsibility of the Archer County Appraisal District and Wichita County Appraisal District (collectively, the "Appraisal District"). Except as generally described below, the Appraisal District is required to appraise all property within the Appraisal District on the basis of 100% of its market value and is prohibited from applying any assessment ratios. In determining market value of property, the Appraisal District is required to consider the cost method of appraisal, the income method of appraisal and the market data comparison method of appraisal, and use the method the chief appraiser of the Appraisal District considers most appropriate. The Property Tax Code requires appraisal districts to reappraise all property in its jurisdiction at least once every three (3) years. A taxing unit may require annual review at its own expense, and is entitled to challenge the determination of appraised value of property within the taxing unit by petition filed with the Appraisal Review Board.

State law requires the appraised value of an owner's principal residence ("homestead" or "homesteads") to be based solely on the property's value as a homestead, regardless of whether residential use is considered to be the highest and best use of the property. State law further limits the appraised value of a homestead to the lesser of (1) the market value of the property or (2) 110% of the appraised value of the property for the preceding tax year plus the market value of all new improvements to the property.

State law provides that eligible owners of both agricultural land and open-space land, including open-space land devoted to farm or ranch purposes or open-space land devoted to timber production, may elect to have such property appraised for property taxation on the basis of its productive capacity. The same land may not be qualified as both agricultural and open-space land.

On July 13, 2023, during the Second Special Session, the Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill 2, which, among other things, includes provisions that prohibit an appraisal district from increasing the appraised value of real property during the 2024 tax year on non-homestead properties (the "Subjected Property") whose appraised values are not more than \$5 million dollars (the "Maximum Property Value") to an amount not to exceed the lesser of: (1) the market value of the subjected property for the most recent tax year that the market value was determined by the appraisal office or (2) the sum of: (a) 20 percent of the appraised value of the subjected property for the preceding tax year; and (c) the market value of all new improvements to the subjected property (collectively, the "Appraisal Cap"). After the 2024 tax year, through December 31, 2026, the Appraisal Cap may be increased or decreased by the product of the preceding state fiscal year's increase or decrease in the consumer price index, as applicable, to the Maximum Property Value. The Appraisal Cap takes effect on January 1, 2024, if the constitutional amendment proposed by House Joint Resolution 2 during the Second Special Session is approved by the voters on November 7, 2023.

The appraisal values set by the Appraisal District are subject to review and change by the Appraisal Review Board. The appraisal rolls, as approved by the Appraisal Review Board, are used by taxing units, such as the District, in establishing their tax rolls and tax rates (see "AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES – District and Taxpayer Remedies").

# **State Mandated Homestead Exemptions**

State law grants, with respect to each school district in the State, (1) a \$40,000 exemption (as described below) of the appraised value of all homesteads, (2) a \$10,000 exemption of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older and the disabled, and (3) various exemptions for disabled veterans and their families, surviving spouses of members of the armed services killed in action and surviving spouses of first responders killed or fatally wounded in the line of duty. On November 2, 2021, the Texas Constitution was amended to provide that the surviving spouse of an individual who received a limitation on the school district property taxes on the person's residence homestead on the basis of disability continued to receive that limitation while the property remained the spouse's residence homestead if the spouse was at least 55 years old. See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation attributable to state-mandated homestead exemptions. Senate Joint Resolution 2, passed during the Third Special Session of the 87th Texas Legislature and approved by voters on May 7, 2022, authorized a constitutional amendment increasing the mandatory homestead exemption for school districts from \$25,000 to \$40,000 beginning January 1, 2022. Senate Bill 1, which was also passed during the Third Special Session of the 87th Texas Legislature makes provisions for additional state aid to hold school districts harmless for tax revenue losses resulting from the increased homestead exemption.

Additional legislation concerning the required homestead exemption was passed in the 2nd Special Session of the 88th Texas Legislature. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – 2023 Regular and Any Special Legislative Sessions" herein.

# **Local Option Homestead Exemptions**

The governing body of a taxing unit, including a city, county, school district, or special district, at its option may grant: (1) an exemption of up to 20% of the appraised value of all homesteads (but not less than \$5,000) and (2) an additional exemption of at least \$3,000 of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older and the disabled. Each taxing unit decides if it will offer the local option homestead exemptions and at what percentage or dollar amount, as applicable. The exemption described in (2), above, may also be created, increased, decreased or repealed at an election called by the governing body of a taxing unit upon presentment of a petition for such creation, increase, decrease, or repeal of at least 20% of the number of qualified voters who voted in the preceding election of the taxing unit. See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation, if any, attributable to local option homestead exemptions.

#### State Mandated Freeze on School District Taxes

Except for increases attributable to certain improvements, a school district is prohibited from increasing the total ad valorem tax on the homestead of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older or of disabled persons above the amount of tax imposed in the year such homestead qualified for such exemption. This freeze is transferable to a different homestead if a qualifying taxpayer moves and, under certain circumstances, is also transferable to the surviving spouse of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older, but not the disabled. Additionally, at an election held on May 7, 2022, the voters in the State approved a constitutional amendment (SJR2) which requires a recalculation of the school district tax limitations (i.e. the tax ceiling) on residence homesteads for persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older or disabled persons to reflect the reductions in MCR (as defined

12

herein) for 2019 and subsequent tax years. Senate Bill 1, which was also passed during the Third Special Session of the 87th Texas Legislature made provisions based on the outcome of the constitutional amendment election for additional State aid to hold school districts harmless for tax revenue losses resulting from these recalculations.

See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation attributable to the freeze on taxes for the elderly and disabled.

#### **Personal Property**

Tangible personal property (furniture, machinery, supplies, inventories, etc.) used in the "production of income" is taxed based on the property's market value. Taxable personal property includes income-producing equipment and inventory. Intangibles such as goodwill, accounts receivable, and proprietary processes are not taxable. Tangible personal property not held or used for production of income, such as household goods, automobiles or light trucks, and boats, is exempt from ad valorem taxation unless the governing body of a taxing unit elects to tax such property.

# Freeport and Goods-in-Transit Exemptions

Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded outside the State, and are detained in the State for 175 days or less for the purpose of assembly, storage, manufacturing, processing or fabrication ("Freeport Property") are exempt from ad valorem taxation unless a taxing unit took official action to tax Freeport Property before April 1, 1990 and has not subsequently taken official action to exempt Freeport Property. Decisions to continue taxing Freeport Property may be reversed in the future; decisions to exempt Freeport Property are not subject to reversal.

Certain goods, that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded to another location within or without the State, stored in a location that is not owned by the owner of the goods and are transported to another location within or without the State within 175 days ("Goods-in-Transit"), are generally exempt from ad valorem taxation; however, the Property Tax Code permits a taxing unit, on a local option basis, to tax Goods-in-Transit if the taxing unit takes official action, after conducting a public hearing, before January 1 of the first tax year in which the taxing unit proposes to tax Goods-in-Transit. Goods-in-Transit and Freeport Property do not include oil, natural gas or petroleum products, and Goods-in-Transit does not include aircraft or special inventories such as manufactured housing inventory, or a dealer's motor vehicle, boat, or heavy equipment inventory.

A taxpayer may receive only one of the Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions for items of personal property. See "Appendix A – Financial Information of the District – Assessed Valuation" for the reduction in taxable valuation, if any, attributable to Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions.

#### Other Exempt Property

Other major categories of exempt property include property owned by the State or its political subdivisions if used for public purposes, property exempt by federal law, property used for pollution control, farm products owned by producers, property of nonprofit corporations used for scientific research or educational activities benefitting a college or university, designated historic sites, solar and wind-powered energy devices, and certain classes of intangible personal property.

#### Temporary Exemption for Qualified Property Damaged by a Disaster

The Property Tax Code entitles the owner of certain qualified (i) tangible personal property used for the production of income, (ii) improvements to real property, and (iii) manufactured homes located in an area declared by the governor to be a disaster area following a disaster and is at least 15 percent damaged by the disaster, as determined by the chief appraiser, to an exemption from taxation of a portion of the appraised value of the property. The amount of the exemption ranges from 15 percent to 100 percent based upon the damage assessment rating assigned by the chief appraiser. For tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2022, the governing body of the taxing unit is not required to take any action in order for the taxpayer to be eligible for the exemption. If a taxpayer qualifies for the exemption after the beginning of the tax year, the amount of the exemption is prorated based on the number of days left in the tax year following the day on which the governor declares the area to be a disaster area. For more information on the exemption, reference is made to Section 11.35 of the Tax Code, as amended.

#### **Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones**

A city or county, by petition of the landowners or by action of its governing body, may create one or more tax increment reinvestment zones ("TIRZ") within its boundaries. At the time of the creation of the TIRZ, a "base value" for the real property in the TIRZ is established and the difference between any increase in the assessed valuation of taxable real property in the TIRZ in excess of the base value is known as the "tax increment". During the existence of the TIRZ, all or a portion of the taxes levied against the tax increment by a city or county, and all other overlapping taxing units that elected to participate, are restricted to paying only planned project and financing costs within the TIRZ and are not available for the payment of other obligations of such taxing units.

Until September 1, 1999, school districts were able to reduce the value of taxable property reported to the State to reflect any taxable value lost due to TIRZ participation by the school district. The ability of the school district to deduct the taxable value of the tax increment that it contributed prevented the school district from being negatively affected in terms of state school funding. However, due to a change in law, local M&O tax rate revenue contributed to a TIRZ created on or after May 31, 1999 will count toward a school district's Tier One entitlement (reducing Tier One State funds for eligible school districts) and will not be considered in calculating any school district's Tier Two entitlement (see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts").

# **Tax Limitation Agreements**

The Texas Economic Development Act (Chapter 313, Texas Tax Code, as amended), allowed school districts to grant limitations on appraised property values to certain corporations and limited liability companies to encourage economic development within the school district. Generally, during the last eight (8) years of the ten-year term of a tax limitation agreement, a school district could only levy and collect M&O taxes on the agreed-to limited appraised property value. For the purposes of calculating its Tier One are Two entitlements, the portion of a school district's property that was not fully taxable was excluded from the school district's taxable property values. Therefore, a school district was not subject to a reduction in Tier One or Tier Two State funds as a result of lost M&O tax revenues due to entering into a tax limitation agreement (see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM – State Funding for School Districts"). The 87th Texas Legislature did not vote to extend this program, which expired by its terms on December 31, 2022.

In the 88th Legislative Session, House Bill 5 ("HB 5" or "The Texas Jobs, Energy, Technology, and Innovation Act") was adopted to create an economic development program, subject to state oversight, which would attract jobs and investment to Texas through school district property tax abatement agreements with businesses. The effective date of HB 5 is January 1, 2024 and the District is currently monitoring the State's implementation of this new economic development program

For a discussion of how the various exemptions described above are applied by the District, see "THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT" herein.

# **District and Taxpayer Remedies**

Under certain circumstances, taxpayers and taxing units, including the District, may appeal the determinations of the Appraisal District by timely initiating a protest with the Appraisal Review Board. Additionally, taxing units such as the District may bring suit against the Appraisal District to compel compliance with the Property Tax Code.

Owners of certain property with a taxable value in excess of the current year "minimum eligibility amount", as determined by the State Comptroller, and situated in a county with a population of one million or more, may protest the determinations of an appraisal district directly to a three-member special panel of the appraisal review board, appointed by the chairman of the appraisal review board, consisting of highly qualified professionals in the field of property tax appraisal. The minimum eligibility amount is set \$57.2 million for the 2023 tax year and is adjusted annually by the State Comptroller to reflect the inflation rate.

The Property Tax Code sets forth notice and hearing procedures for certain tax rate increases by the District and provides for taxpayer referenda that could result in the repeal of certain tax increases (see "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate"). The Property Tax Code also establishes a procedure for providing notice to property owners of reappraisals reflecting increased property value, appraisals which are higher than renditions, and appraisals of property not previously on an appraisal roll.

#### Levy and Collection of Taxes

The District is responsible for the collection of its taxes, unless it elects to transfer such functions to another governmental entity. Taxes are due October 1, or when billed, whichever comes later, and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year. A delinquent tax incurs a penalty of six percent (6%) of the amount of the tax for the first calendar month it is delinquent, plus one percent (1%) for each additional month or portion of a month the tax remains unpaid prior to July 1 of the year in which it becomes percent (1%) for each additional month or portion of a month the tax remains unpaid prior to July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent. If the tax is not paid by July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent, the tax incurs a total penalty of twelve percent (12%) regardless of the number of months the tax has been delinquent and incurs an additional penalty of up to twenty percent (20%) if imposed by the District. The delinquent tax also accrues interest at a rate of one percent (1%) for each month or portion of a month it remains unpaid. The Property Tax Code also makes provision for the split payment of taxes, discounts for early payment and the postponement of the delinquency date of taxes for certain taxpayers. Furthermore, the District may provide, on a local option basis, for the split payment, partial payment, and discounts for early payment of taxes under certain circumstances. The Property Tax Code permits taxpayers owning homes or certain businesses located in a disaster area and damaged as a direct result of the declared disaster to pay taxes imposed in the year following the disaster in four equal installments without penalty or interest, commencing on February 1 and ending on August 1. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES – Temporary Exemption for Qualified Property Damaged by a Disaster" for further information related to a discussion of the applicability of this section of the Property Tax Code. Property Tax Code.

#### District's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinguencies

Taxes levied by the District are a personal obligation of the owner of the property. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all state and local taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property. The lien exists in favor of each taxing unit, including the District, having power to tax the property. The District's tax lien is on a parity with tax liens of such other taxing units. A tax lien on real property takes priority over the claim of most creditors and other holders of liens on the property encumbered by the tax lien, whether or not the debt or lien existed before the attachment of the tax lien; however, whether a lien of the United States is on a parity with or takes priority over a tax lien of the District is determined by applicable federal law. Personal property, under certain circumstances, is subject to seizure and sale for the payment of delinquent taxes, penalty, and interest.

At any time after taxes on property become delinquent, the District may file suit to foreclose the lien securing payment of the tax, to enforce personal liability for the tax, or both. In filing a suit to foreclose a tax lien on real property, the District must join other taxing units that have claims for delinquent taxes against all or part of the same property.

Collection of delinquent taxes may be adversely affected by the amount of taxes owed to other taxing units, adverse market conditions, taxpayer redemption rights, or bankruptcy proceedings which restrain the collection of a taxpayer's debt.

Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of actions by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases, post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

# TAX RATE LIMITATIONS

# **M&O Tax Rate Limitations**

The District is authorized to levy an M&O tax rate pursuant to the approval of the voters of the District at an election held on June 30, 1962, pursuant to Article 2784e-1, Texas Revised Civil Statutes Annotated, as amended.

The maximum maintenance tax rate per \$100 of taxable value that may be adopted by an independent school district is the sum of \$0.17 and the school district's MCR. The District's MCR is, generally, inversely proportional to the change in taxable property values both within the District and the State, and is subject to recalculation annually. For any year, the highest possible MCR for an independent school district is \$0.93.

Furthermore, a school district cannot annually increase its tax rate in excess of the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate without submitting such tax rate to an election and a majority of the voters voting at such election approving the adopted rate. See "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS – Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate" herein.

# **I&S Tax Rate Limitations**

A school district is also authorized to issue bonds and levy taxes for payment of bonds subject to voter approval of one or more propositions submitted to the voters under Section 45.003(b)(1), Texas Education Code, as amended, which provides a tax unlimited as to rate or amount for the support of school district bonded indebtedness (see "THE BONDS - Security").

Section 45.0031 of the Texas Education Code, as amended, requires a school district to demonstrate to the Texas Attorney General that it has the prospective ability to pay its maximum annual debt service on a proposed issue of bonds and all previously issued bonds, other than bonds approved by voters of a school district at an election held on or before April 1, 1991 and issued before September 1, 1992 (or debt issued to refund such bonds, collectively, "exempt bonds"), from a tax levied at a rate of \$0.50 per \$100 of assessed valuation before bonds may be issued (the "50-cent Test"). In demonstrating the ability to pay debt service at a rate of \$0.50, a school district may take into account EDA and IFA allotments to the school district, which effectively reduces the school district's local share of debt service and may also take into account Tier One funds allotted. school district. If a school district exercises this option, it may not adopt an I&S tax until it has credited to the school district's 14

I&S fund an amount equal to all State allotments provided solely for payment of debt service and any Tier One funds needed to demonstrate compliance with the threshold tax rate test and which is received or to be received in that year. Additionally, a school district may demonstrate its ability to comply with the 50-cent Test by applying the \$0.50 tax rate to an amount equal to 90% of projected future taxable value of property in the school district, as certified by a registered professional appraiser, anticipated for the earlier of the tax year five (5) years after the current tax year or the tax year in which the final payment for the bonds is due. However, if a school district uses projected future taxable values to meet the 50-cent Test and subsequently imposes a tax at a rate greater than \$0.50 per \$100 of valuation to pay for bonds subject to the test, then for subsequent bond issues, the Texas Attorney General must find that the school district has the projected ability to pay principal and interest on the proposed bonds and all previously issued bonds subject to the 50-cent Test from a tax rate of \$0.45 per \$100 of valuation. Once the prospective ability to pay such tax has been shown and the bonds are issued, a school district may levy an unlimited tax to pay debt service. Refunding bonds issued pursuant to Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, are not subject to the 50-cent Test; however, taxes levied to pay debt service on such bonds (other than bonds issued to refund exempt bonds) are included in maximum annual debt service for calculation of the 50-cent Test when applied to subsequent bond issues that are subject to the 50-cent Test. The Bonds are issued in part as "new money bonds"; this portion of the Bonds is, therefore, subject to the \$0.50 threshold tax rate test. The District has not used State assistance other than EDA or IFA allotment funding or projected property values to satisfy this threshold test.

# **Public Hearing and Voter-Approval Tax Rate**

A school district's total tax rate is the combination of the M&O tax rate and the I&S tax rate. Generally, the highest rate at which a school district may levy taxes for any given year without holding an election to approve the tax rate is the "Voter-Approval Tax Rate", as described below.

A school district is required to adopt its annual tax rate before the later of September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after the date the certified appraisal roll is received by the taxing unit, except that a tax rate that exceeds the Voter-Approval Tax Rate must be adopted not later than the seventy-first (71st) day before the next occurring November uniform election date. A school district's failure to adopt a tax rate equal to or less than the Voter-Approval Tax Rate by September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll, will result in the tax rate for such school district for the tax year to be the lower of the "nonew-revenue tax rate" calculated for that tax year or the tax rate adopted by the school district for the preceding tax year. A school district's failure to adopt a tax rate in excess of the Voter-Approval Tax Rate on or prior to the seventy-first (71st) day before the next occurring November uniform election date, will result in the school district adopting a tax rate equal to or less than its Voter-Approval Tax Rate by the later of September 30 or the sixtieth (60th) day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll. "No-new-revenue tax rate" means the rate that will produce the prior year's total tax levy from the current year's total taxable values, adjusted such that lost values are not included in the calculation of the prior year's taxable values and new values are not included in the current year's taxable values.

The Voter-Approval Tax Rate for a school district is the sum of (i) the school district's MCR; (ii) the greater of (a) the school district's Enrichment Tax Rate for the preceding year, less any amount by which the school district is required to reduce its current year Enrichment Tax Rate pursuant to Section 48.202(f), Education Code, as amended, or (b) the rate of \$0.05 per \$100 of taxable value; and (iii) the school district's current I&S tax rate. A school district's M&O tax rate may not exceed the rate equal to the sum of (i) \$0.17 and (ii) the school district's MCR (see "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" herein, for more information regarding the State Compression Percentage, MCR, and the Enrichment Tax Rate).

The governing body of a school district generally cannot adopt a tax rate exceeding the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate without approval by a majority of the voters approving the higher rate at an election to be held on the next uniform election date. Further, subject to certain exceptions for areas declared disaster areas, State law requires the board of trustees of a school district to conduct an efficiency audit before seeking voter approval to adopt a tax rate exceeding the Voter-Approval Tax Rate and sets certain parameters for conducting and disclosing the results of such efficiency audit. An election is not required for a tax increase to address increased expenditures resulting from certain natural disasters in the year following the year in which such disaster occurs; however, the amount by which the increased tax rate exceeds the school district's Voter-Approval Tax Rate for such year may not be considered by the school district in the calculation of its subsequent Voter-Approval Tax Rate.

The calculation of the Voter-Approval Tax Rate does not limit or impact the District's ability to set an I&S tax rate in each year sufficient to pay debt service on all of the District's tax-supported debt obligations, including the Bonds.

Before adopting its annual tax rate, a public meeting must be held for the purpose of adopting a budget for the succeeding year. A notice of public meeting to discuss the school district's budget and proposed tax rate must be published in the time, format and manner prescribed in Section 44.004 of the Texas Education Code. Section 44.004(e) of the Texas Education Code provides that a person who owns taxable property in a school district is entitled to an injunction restraining the collection of taxes by the school district if the school district has not complied with such notice requirements or the language and format requirements of such notice as set forth in Section 44.004(b), (c), (c-1), (c-2), and (d), and, if applicable, subsection (i), and if such failure to comply was not in good faith. Section 44.004(e) further provides the action to enjoin the collection of taxes must be filed before the date the school district delivers substantially all of its tax bills. A school district that elects to adopt a tax rate before the adoption of a budget for the fiscal year that begins in the current tax year may adopt a tax rate for the current tax year before receipt of the certified appraisal roll, so long as the chief appraiser of the appraisal district in which the school district participates has certified to the assessor for the school district an estimate of the taxable value of property in the school district. If a school district adopts its tax rate prior to the adoption of its budget, both the no-new-revenue tax rate and the Voter-Approval Tax Rate of the school district shall be calculated based on the school district's certified estimate of taxable value. A school district that adopts a tax rate before adopting its budget must hold a public hearing on the proposed tax rate followed by another public hearing on the proposed budget rather than holding a single hearing on the two items.

A school district must annually calculate and prominently post on its internet website, and submit to the county tax assessor-collector for each county in which all or part of the school district is located its Voter-Approval Tax Rate in accordance with forms prescribed by the State Comptroller.

# THE PROPERTY TAX CODE AS APPLIED TO THE DISTRICT

The Appraisal District has the responsibility for appraising property in the District as well as other taxing units in Archer and Wichita Counties, Texas (together, the "County"). The Appraisal District is governed by a board of directors appointed by members of the governing bodies of various political subdivisions within the County.

Property within the District is assessed as of January 1 of each year, taxes become due October 1 of the same year and become delinquent on February 1 of the following year.

15

The District does not tax personal property not used in the production of income, such as personal automobiles.

The District does collect an additional 15% penalty to defray attorney costs in the collection of delinquent taxes over and above the penalty automatically assessed under the Tax Code.

The District's taxes are collected by the Archer and Wichita Counties Appraisal District.

The District does not allow split payments of taxes on homesteads and does not give discounts for early payment of taxes.

The District does not participate in a tax increment financing zone. The District does not grant tax abatements.

The District does not grant the additional local option exemption of up to 20% of the market value of residence homesteads.

The District exempts freeport property from taxation. The District has not taken action to continue to tax goods-in-transit.

# EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The District's employees participate in a retirement plan (the "Plan") with the State of Texas. The Plan is administered by the Teacher Retirement System of Texas ("TRS"). State contributions are made to cover costs of the TRS retirement plan up to certain statutory limits. The District is obligated for a portion of TRS costs relating to employee salaries that exceed the statutory limit. Aside from the District's contribution to TRS, the District has no pension fund expenditures or liabilities. For fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District made a contribution to TRS on a portion of their employee's salaries that exceeded the statutory minimum. For a discussion of the TRS retirement plan, see "Defined Benefit Pension Plan" to the audited financial statements of the District that are attached hereto as Appendix D (the "Financial Statements").

In addition to its participation in the System, the District contributes to the Texas Public School Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (the "TRS-Care Retired Plan"), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit post-employment health care plan. The TRS-Care Retired Plan provides health care coverage for certain persons (and their dependents) who retired under the Teacher Retirement System of Texas. Contribution requirements are not actuarially determined but are legally established each biennium by the Texas Legislature. For more detailed information concerning the District's funding policy and contributions in connection with the TRS-Care Retired Plan, see Note I -"Defined Other Post-Employment Benefit Plans" to the Financial Statements attached hereto as Appendix D.

As a result of its participation in the Plan and the TRS-Care Retired Plan and having no other post-retirement benefit plans, the District has no obligations for other post-employment benefits within the meaning of Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement 45.

Formal collective bargaining agreements relating directly to wages and other conditions of employment are prohibited by State law, as are strikes by teachers. There are various local, state and national organized employee groups who engage in efforts to better terms and conditions of employment of school employees. Some districts have adopted a policy to consult with employer groups with respect to certain terms and conditions of employment. Some examples of these groups are the Texas State Teachers Association, the Texas Classroom Teachers Association of Texas Professional Educators and the National Education Association.

In June 2012, Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68 (Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions) was issued to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments regarding pensions. GASB Statement No. 68 requires reporting entities, such as the District, to recognize their proportionate share of the net pension liability and operating statement activity related to changes in collective pension liability. This means that reporting entities, such as the District, that contribute to the TRS pension plan will report a liability on the face of their government-wide financial statements. Such reporting began with the District's fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. See "APPENDIX A — Financial information of the District — Change in Net Assets". GASB Statement No. 68 applies only to pension benefits and does not apply to Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) or TRS-Care related liabilities.

#### **RATINGS**

The Bonds are rated "AAA" by S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") based upon the guaranteed repayment thereof under the Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program of the Texas Education Agency (see "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM" herein). The District's unenhanced, underlying rating, including the Bonds, is "A+" by S&P.

An explanation of the significance of such rating may be obtained from S&P. The rating of the Bonds by S&P reflect only the view of said company at the time the rating is given, and the District makes no representations as to the appropriateness of the rating. There is no assurance that the rating will continue for any given period of time, or that the rating will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by S&P, if, in the judgment of S&P, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of the rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

The above rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold the Bonds, and such ratings may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by S&P. Any downward revision or withdrawal of the rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

#### **LEGAL MATTERS**

The delivery of the Bonds is subject to the approval of the Attorney General of Texas, who will deliver its opinion, to the effect that the Bonds are valid and legally binding obligations of the District payable from the proceeds of an annual ad valorem tax levied, without legal limit as to rate or amount, upon all taxable property in the District, and based upon examination of such transcript of proceedings, the approval of certain legal matters by Bond Counsel, which will deliver its opinion to the effect that the Bonds are valid and legally binding obligations of the District and, subject to the qualifications set forth herein under "TAX MATTERS," the interest on the Bonds is excludable from the gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under existing statutes, published rulings, regulations, and court decisions. The form of Bond Counsel's opinion is attached hereto as Appendix C. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their counsel, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Dallas, Texas. The legal fee to be paid to counsel to the Underwriters for services rendered in connection with the issuance of the Bonds is contingent upon the sale of the delivery of the Bonds.

Though it represents the Financial Advisor and the Underwriters from time to time in matters unrelated to the issuance of the Bonds, Bond Counsel has been engaged by and only represents the District in the issuance of the Bonds. McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P. also advises the TEA in connection with its disclosure obligations under the federal securities laws, but such firm has not passed upon any TEA disclosures contained in this Official Statement. Except as noted below, Bond Counsel was not requested to participate, and did not take part in the preparation of this Official Statement, and such firm has not assumed any responsibility with respect thereto or undertaken independently to verify any of the information contained herein except that in its capacity as Bond Counsel, such firm has reviewed the information appearing under the captions or subcaptions "THE BONDS"

16

(except under the subcaptions "Permanent School Fund Guarantee", "Payment Record", "Sources and Uses of Funds", and the third paragraph under "Notice of Redemption and DTC Notices", as to which no opinion is expressed), "REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE", "STATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS", "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM", "TAX1 RATE LIMITATIONS – M&O Tax Rate Limitations" (first paragraph only), "LEGAL MATTERS" (except for the last two sentences of the first paragraph thereof, as to which no opinion is expressed), "TAX MATTERS", "LEGAL INVESTMENTS AND ELIGIBILITY TO SECURE PUBLIC FUNDS IN TEXAS", "REGISTRATION AND QUALIFICATION OF BONDS FOR SALE" and "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" (except under the subcaption "Compliance with Prior Undertakings," as to which no opinion is expressed) and such firm is of the opinion that the information relating to the Bonds and the Bond Order contained under such captions is a fair and accurate summary of the information purported to be shown and that the information and descriptions contained under such captions relating to the provisions of applicable state and federal laws are correct as to matters of law.

The various legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds express the professional judgment of the attorneys rendering the opinions as to the legal issues explicitly addressed therein. In rendering a legal opinion, the attorney does not become an insurer or guarantor of the expression of professional judgment, of the transaction opined upon, or of the future performance of the parties to the transaction. Nor does the rendering of an opinion guarantee the outcome of any legal dispute that may arise out of the transaction.

# **TAX MATTERS**

#### Opinion

On the date of initial delivery of the Bonds, McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., Bond Counsel to the District, will render its opinion that, in accordance with statutes, regulations, published rulings and court decisions existing on the date thereof ("Existing Law"), (1) interest on the Bonds for federal income tax purposes will be excludable from the "gross income" of the holders thereof and (2) the Bonds will not be treated as "specified private activity bonds" the interest on which would be included as an alternative minimum tax preference item under section 57(a)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"). Except as stated above, Bond Counsel to the District will express no opinion as to any other federal, state or local tax consequences of the purchase, ownership or disposition of the Bonds. See Appendix C - Form of Legal Opinion of Bond Counsel.

In rendering its opinion, Bond Counsel will rely upon (a) the District's federal tax certificate and the Report relating to the refunding of the Refunded Bonds, (b) covenants of the District with respect to arbitrage and the use of the proceeds of the Bonds and the Refunded Bonds and the property financed or refinanced therewith, and (c) the certificate with respect to arbitrage by the Commissioner of Education regarding the allocation and investment of certain investments in the Permanent School Fund. Failure by the District to observe the aforementioned representations or covenants could cause the interest on the Bonds to become taxable retroactively to the date of issuance.

The Code and the regulations promulgated thereunder contain a number of requirements that must be satisfied subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds in order for interest on the Bonds to be, and to remain, excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Failure to comply with such requirements may cause interest on the Bonds to be included in gross income retroactively to the date of issuance of the Bonds. The opinion of Bond Counsel to the District is conditioned on compliance by the District with the covenants and the requirements described in the preceding paragraph, and Bond Counsel to the District has not been retained to monitor compliance with these requirements subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds.

Bond Counsel's opinion represents its legal judgment based upon its review of Existing Law and the reliance on the aforementioned information, representations and covenants. Bond Counsel's opinion is not a guarantee of a result. The Existing Law is subject to change by the Congress and to subsequent judicial and administrative interpretation by the courts and the Department of the Treasury. There can be no assurance that such Existing Law or the interpretation thereof will not be changed in a manner which would adversely affect the tax treatment of the purchase, ownership or disposition of the Bonds.

A ruling was not sought from the Internal Revenue Service by the District with respect to the Bonds or the facilities financed or refinanced with the proceeds of the Bonds or the Refunded Bonds. Bond Counsel's opinion represents its legal judgment based upon its review of Existing Law and the representations of the District that it deems relevant to render such opinion and is not a guarantee of a result. No assurances can be given as to whether the Internal Revenue Service will commence an audit of the Bonds, or as to whether the Internal Revenue Service would agree with the opinion of Bond Counsel. If an audit is commenced, under current procedures the Internal Revenue Service is likely to treat the District as the taxpayer and the Bondholders may have no right to participate in such procedure. No additional interest will be paid upon any determination of taxability.

#### Federal Income Tax Accounting Treatment of Original Issue Discount

The initial public offering price to be paid for one or more maturities of the Bonds may be less than the principal amount thereof or one or more periods for the payment of interest on the Bonds may not be equal to the accrual period or be in excess of one year (the "Original Issue Discount Bonds"). In such event, the difference between (i) the "stated redemption price at maturity" of each Original Issue Discount Bond, and (ii) the initial offering price to the public of such Original Issue Discount Bond would constitute original issue discount. The "stated redemption price at maturity" means the sum of all payments to be made on the Bonds less the amount of all periodic interest payments. Periodic interest payments are payments which are made during equal accrual periods (or during any unequal period if it is the initial or final period) and which are made during accrual periods which do not exceed one year.

Under Existing Law, any owner who has purchased such Original Issue Discount Bond in the initial public offering is entitled to exclude from gross income (as defined in section 61 of the Code) an amount of income with respect to such Original Issue Discount Bond equal to that portion of the amount of such original issue discount allocable to the accrual period. For a discussion of certain collateral federal tax consequences, see the discussion set forth below.

In the event of the redemption, sale or other taxable disposition of such Original Issue Discount Bond prior to stated maturity, however, the amount realized by such owner in excess of the basis of such Original Issue Discount Bond in the hands of such owner (adjusted upward by the portion of the original issue discount allocable to the period for which such Original Issue Discount Bond was held by such initial owner) is includable in gross income.

Under Existing Law, the original issue discount on each Original Issue Discount Bond is accrued daily to the stated maturity thereof (in amounts calculated as described below for each six-month period ending on the date before the semiannual anniversary dates of the date of the Bonds and ratably within each such six-month period) and the accrued amount is added to an initial owner's basis for such Original Issue Discount Bond for purposes of determining the amount of gain or loss recognized by such owner upon the redemption, sale or other disposition thereof. The amount to be added to basis for each accrual period is equal to (a) the sum of the issue price and the amount of original issue discount accrued in prior periods multiplied by the yield to stated maturity (determined on the basis of compounding at the close of each accrual period and properly adjusted for the length of the accrual period) less (b) the amounts payable as current interest during such accrual period on such Original Issue Discount Bond.

The federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, redemption, sale or other disposition of Original Issue Discount Bonds which are not purchased in the initial offering at the initial offering price may be determined according to rules which differ from those described above. All owners of Original Issue Discount Bonds should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the

17

determination for federal, state and local income tax purposes of the treatment of interest accrued upon redemption, sale or other disposition of such Original Issue Discount Bonds and with respect to the federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, redemption, sale or other disposition of such Original Issue Discount Bonds.

#### **Collateral Federal Income Tax Consequences**

The following discussion is a summary of certain collateral federal income tax consequences resulting from the purchase, ownership or disposition of the Bonds. This discussion is based on Existing Law, which is subject to change or modification, retroactively.

The following discussion is applicable to investors, other than those who are subject to special provisions of the Code, such as financial institutions, property and casualty insurance companies, life insurance companies, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, individuals allowed an earned income credit, certain S corporations with Subchapter C earnings and profits, foreign corporations subject to the branch profits tax, taxpayers qualifying for the health insurance premium assistance credit, and taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase tax-exempt obligations.

THE DISCUSSION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY NOT BE EXHAUSTIVE. INVESTORS, INCLUDING THOSE WHO ARE SUBJECT TO SPECIAL PROVISIONS OF THE CODE, SHOULD CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISORS AS TO THE TAX TREATMENT WHICH MAY BE ANTICIPATED TO RESULT FROM THE PURCHASE, OWNERSHIP AND DISPOSITION OF TAX-EXEMPT OBLIGATIONS BEFORE DETERMINING WHETHER TO PURCHASE THE BONDS.

Under section 6012 of the Code, holders of tax-exempt obligations, such as the Bonds, may be required to disclose interest received or accrued during each taxable year on their returns of federal income taxation.

Interest on the Bonds may be includable in certain corporations' "adjusted financial statement income" determined under section 56A of the Code to calculate the alternative minimum tax imposed by section 55 of the Code.

Section 1276 of the Code provides for ordinary income tax treatment of gain recognized upon the disposition of a tax-exempt obligation, such as the Bonds, if such obligation was acquired at a "market discount" and if the fixed maturity of such obligation is equal to, or exceeds, one year from the date of issue. Such treatment applies to "market discount Bonds" to the extent such gain does not exceed the accrued market discount of such Bonds; although for this purpose, a de minimis amount of market discount is ignored. A "market discount bond" is one which is acquired by the holder at a purchase price which is less than the stated redemption price at maturity or, in the case of a bond issued at an original issue discount, the "revised issue price" (i.e., the issue price plus accrued original issue discount). The "accrued market discount" is the amount which bears the same ratio to the market discount as the number of days during which the holder holds the obligation bears to the number of days between the acquisition date and the final maturity date.

#### State, Local and Foreign Taxes

Investors should consult their own tax advisors concerning the tax implications of the purchase, ownership or disposition of the Bonds under applicable state or local laws. Foreign investors should also consult their own tax advisors regarding the tax consequences unique to investors who are not United States persons.

#### Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Subject to certain exceptions, information reports describing interest income, including original issue discount, with respect to the Bonds will be sent to each registered holder and to the IRS. Payments of interest and principal may be subject to backup withholding under section 3406 of the Code if a recipient of the payments fails to furnish to the payor such owner's social security number or other taxpayer identification number ("TIN"), furnishes an incorrect TIN, or otherwise fails to establish an exemption from the backup withholding tax. Any amounts so withheld would be allowed as a credit against the recipient's federal income tax. Special rules apply to partnerships, estates and trusts, and in certain circumstances, and in respect of foreign investors, certifications as to foreign status and other matters may be required to be provided by partners and beneficiaries thereof.

# **Future and Proposed Legislation**

Tax legislation, administrative actions taken by tax authorities, or court decisions, whether at the Federal or state level, may adversely affect the tax-exempt status of interest on the Bonds under federal or state law and could affect the market price or marketability of the Bonds. Any such proposal could limit the value of certain deductions and exclusions, including the exclusion for tax-exempt interest. The likelihood of any such proposal being enacted cannot be predicted. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors regarding the foregoing matters.

# LEGAL INVESTMENTS AND ELIGIBILITY TO SECURE PUBLIC FUNDS IN TEXAS

Section 1201.041 of the Public Securities Procedures Act (Chapter 1201, Texas Government Code, as amended) provides that the Bonds are negotiable instruments, investment securities governed by Chapter 8, Texas Business and Commerce Code, and are legal and authorized investments for insurance companies, fiduciaries, and trustees, and for the sinking funds of municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State of Texas. With respect to investment in the Bonds by municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State of Texas, the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code, as amended (the "PFIA"), requires that the Bonds be assigned a rating of not less than "A" or its equivalent as to investment quality by a national rating agency. See "RATINGS" herein. In addition, various provisions of the Texas Finance Code provide that, subject to a prudent investor standard, the Bonds are legal investments for state banks, savings banks, trust companies with at least \$1 million of capital, and savings and loan associations. The Bonds are eligible to secure deposits of any public funds of the State, its agencies, and its political subdivisions, and are legal security for those deposits to the extent of their market value.

The District has made no investigation of other laws, rules, regulations or investment criteria which might apply to such institutions or entities or which might limit the suitability of the Bonds for any of the foregoing purposes or limit the authority of such institutions or entities to purchase or invest in the Bonds for such purposes. The District has made no review of laws in other states to determine whether the Bonds are legal investments for various institutions in those states.

#### **INVESTMENT POLICIES**

# Investments

The District invests its funds in investments authorized by Texas law in accordance with investment policies approved by the Board of the District. Both State law and the District's investment policies are subject to change.

#### Legal Investments

Available District funds are invested as authorized by State law and in accordance with investment policies approved by the Board of Trustees. Both State law and the District's investment policies are subject to change. Under Texas law, the District is

authorized to invest in: (1) obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, including the Federal Home Loan Banks; (2) direct obligations of the State or its agencies and instrumentalities; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States; (4) other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by, or backed by the full faith and credit of, the State or the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities, including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States; (5) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than A or its equivalent; (6) bonds issued, assumed, or guaranteed by the State of Israel; (7) interest-bearing banking deposits that are guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (the "NCUSIF") or their respective successors; (8) interest-bearing banking deposits, other than those described in clause (7), that (i) are invested through a broker or institution with a main office or branch office in this state and selected by the District in compliance with the Public Funds Investment Act (Chapter 2256, Government Code) as amended (the "PFIA"), (ii) the broker or institution arranges for the deposit of the funds in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the District's account, (iii) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of the banking deposits is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States, and (iv) the District appoints as its custodian of the banking deposits, in compliance with the PFIA, the institution in clause (8)(i) above, a bank, or a broker-dealer; (9) certificates of deposit and share certificates meeting the requirements of the PFIA (i) that are issued by an institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State and are guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or the NCUSIF, or their respective successors, or are secured as to principal by obligations described in clauses (1) through (8), above, or secured in accordance with Chapter 2257, Texas Government Code, or in any other manner and amount provided by law for District deposits, or (ii) where (a) the funds are invested by the District through a broker or institution that has a main office or branch office in the State and selected by the District in compliance with the PFIA, (b) the broker or institution arranges for the deposit of the funds in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the District, (c) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (d) the District appoints, in compliance with the PFIA, the institution in clause (9)(ii)(a) above, a bank, or broker-dealer as custodian for the District with respect to the certificates of deposit; (10) fully collateralized repurchase agreements that have a defined termination date, are secured by a combination of cash and obligations described by clause (1) above, clause (12) below, or, if applicable, which are pledged to the District, held in the District's name, and deposited at thè time the investment is made with the District or with a third party selected and approved by the District, and are placed through a primary government securities dealer, as defined by the Federal Reserve, or a financial institution doing business in the State; (11) certain bankers' acceptances with a stated maturity of 270 days or less, if the short-term obligations of the accepting bank, or of the holding company of which the bank is the largest subsidiary, are rated not less than "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by at least one nationally recognized credit rating agency; (12) commercial paper with a stated maturity of 365 days or less that is rated at least "A-1" or "P-1" or an equivalent by either (i) two nationally recognized credit rating agencies, or (ii) one nationally recognized credit rating agency if the commercial paper is fully secured by an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a United States or state bank; (13) no-load money market mutual funds registered with and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and complies with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 2a-7; (14) no-load mutual funds that are registered and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission that have a weighted maturity of less than two years and either (i) have a duration of one year or more and are invested exclusively in obligations approved in this paragraph, or (ii) have a duration of less than one year and the investment portfolio is limited to investment grade securities, excluding asset backed securities; (15) guaranteed investment contracts that have a defined termination date and are secured by obligations described in clause (1), excluding obligations which the District is explicitly prohibited from investing in, and in an amount at least equal to the amount of bond proceeds invested under such contract; and (16) securities lending programs if (i) the securities loaned under the program are 100% collateralized, including accrued income, (ii) a loan made under the program allows for termination at any time, (iii) a loan made under the program is either secured by (a) obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) above, (b) irrevocable letters of credit issued by a state or national bank that is continuously rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm at not less than "A" or its equivalent, or (c) cash invested in obligations described in clauses (1) through (8) above, clauses (12) through (14) above, or an authorized investment pool, (iv) the terms of a loan made under the program require that the securities being held as collateral be pledged to the District, held in the District's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with the District or with a third party designated by the District, (v) a loan made under the program is placed through either a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State, and (vi) the agreement to lend securities has a term of one year or less.

The District may invest in such obligations directly or through government investment pools that invest solely in such obligations provided that the pools are rated no lower than "AAA" or "AAAm" or an equivalent by at least one nationally recognized rating service.

The District is specifically prohibited from investing in: (1) obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal; (2) obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security and bears no interest; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations that have a stated final maturity of greater than 10 years; and (4) collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which is determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in a market index.

Under State law, the District may contract with an investment management firm registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. Section 80b-1 et seq.) or with the State Securities Board to provide for the investment and management of its public funds or other funds under its control for a term of up to two years, but the District retains ultimate responsibility as fiduciary of its assets. In order to renew or extend such a contract, the District must do so by order, ordinance or resolution. The District has not contracted with, and has no present intention of contracting with, any such investment management firm or the Texas Securities Board to provide such services.

# **Investment Policies**

Under State law, the District is required to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity; that address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management; and that includes a list of authorized investments for District funds, maximum allowable stated maturity of any individual investment owned by the District and the maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed for pooled fund groups. All District funds must be invested consistent with a formally adopted "Investment Strategy Statement" that specifically addresses each fund's investment. Each Investment Strategy Statement will describe its objectives concerning: (1) suitability of investment type, (2) preservation and safety of principal, (3) liquidity, (4) marketability of each investment, (5) diversification of the portfolio, and (6) yield.

State law also requires that District investments must be made "with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person's own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived". At

least quarterly the investment officers of the District shall submit an investment report detailing: (1) the investment position of the District, (2) that all investment officers jointly prepared and signed the report, (3) the beginning market value, any additions and changes to market value and the ending value of each pooled fund group, (4) the book value and market value of each separately listed asset at the beginning and end of the reporting period, (5) the maturity date of each separately invested asset, (6) the account or fund or pooled fund group for which each individual investment was acquired, and (7) the compliance of the investment portfolio as it relates to: (a) adopted investment strategy statements and (b) State law. No person may invest District funds without express written authority from the Board.

#### **Additional Provisions**

Under State law, the District is additionally required to: (1) annually review its adopted policies and strategies, (2) adopt a rule, order, ordinance or resolution stating that it has reviewed its investment policy and investment strategies and records any changes made to either its investment policy or investment strategy in the respective rule, order, ordinance or resolution, (3) require any investment officers with personal business relationships or relatives with firms seeking to sell securities to the entity to disclose the relationship and file a statement with the Texas Ethics Commission and the Board; (4) require the qualified representative of firms offering to engage in an investment transaction with the District to: (a) receive and review the District's investment policy, (b) acknowledge that reasonable controls and procedures have been implemented to preclude investment transactions conducted between the District and the business organization that are not authorized by the District's investment policy (except to the extent that this authorization is dependent on an analysis of the makeup of the District's entire portfolio or requires an interpretation of subjective investment standards), and (c) deliver a written statement in a form acceptable to the District and the business organization attesting to these requirements; (5) perform an annual audit of the management controls on investments and adherence to the District's investment policy; (6) provide specific investment training for the Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and investment officers; (7) restrict reverse repurchase agreements to not more than 90 days and restrict the investment of reverse repurchase agreement funds to no greater than the term of the reverse purchase agreement; (8) restrict the investment in no-load mutual funds in the aggregate to no more than 15% of the District's monthly average fund balance, excluding bond proceeds and reserves and other funds held for debt service; (9) require local government investment pools to c

#### **Current Investments**

As of May 31, 2023, the District had approximately \$3,051,558 (unaudited) invested in First Public Investment Pool (a government investment pool that generally has the characteristics of a money-market mutual fund) and approximately \$1,355,010 (unaudited) at a local bank. The market value of such investments (as determined by the District by reference to published quotations, dealer bids, and comparable information) is approximately 100% of the book value. No funds of the District are invested in derivative securities, i.e., securities whose rate of return is determined by reference to some other instrument, index, or commodity.

#### REGISTRATION AND QUALIFICATION OF BONDS FOR SALE

No registration statement relating to the Bonds has been filed with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon the exemption provided thereunder by Section 3(a)(2). The Bonds have not been approved or disapproved by the SEC, nor has the SEC passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the Official Statement. The Bonds have not been registered or qualified under the Securities Act of Texas in reliance upon various exemptions contained therein; nor have the Bonds been registered or qualified under the securities acts of any other jurisdiction. The District assumes no responsibility for registration or qualification of the Bonds under the securities laws of any jurisdiction in which the Bonds may be sold, assigned, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise transferred. This disclaimer of responsibility for registration or qualification for sale or other disposition of the Bonds shall not be construed as an interpretation of any kind with regard to the availability of any exemption from securities registration or qualification provisions.

It is the obligation of the Underwriters to register or qualify the sale of the Bonds under the securities laws of any jurisdiction which so requires. The District agrees to cooperate, at the Underwriters' written request and sole expense, in registering or qualifying the Bonds or in obtaining an exemption from registration or qualification in any state where such action is necessary; provided, however, that the District shall not be required to qualify as a foreign corporation or to execute a general or special consent to service of process in any jurisdiction.

# CYBERSECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT

The District's operations are increasingly dependent on information technologies and services, which are exposed to cybersecurity risks and cyber incidents or attacks. While the District continually assesses and monitors its cybersecurity risks, the District has been (and may be in the future) subject to cyber-attacks from time to time. In response to such assessments and monitoring, the District takes actions it deems appropriate in response to cybersecurity risks, including, but not limited to, implementing cybersecurity training programs, obtaining technology improvements to mitigate cybersecurity risks, and taking other similar measures. To date, the District has not been the victim of any cyber-attack that has had a material adverse effect on its operations or financial condition. However, no assurance can be given that the District will fully prevent or successfully remediate the operational and/or financial impact of any cybersecurity incursions or incidents arising from events wholly or partially beyond the District's control, including electrical telecommunications outages, natural disasters or cyber-attacks initiated by criminal activities of individuals or organizations. Any such occurrence could materially and adversely affect the District's operations and/or financial condition.

#### **FINANCIAL ADVISOR**

SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. is employed as Financial Advisor to the District to assist in the issuance of the Bonds. In this capacity, the Financial Advisor has compiled certain data relating to the Bonds that is contained in this Official Statement. The Financial Advisor has not independently verified any of the data contained herein or conducted a detailed investigation of the affairs of the District to determine the accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement. Because of its limited participation, the Financial Advisor assumes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of the information contained herein. The fee of the Financial Advisor for services with respect to the Bonds is contingent upon the issuance and sale of the Bonds. In the normal course of business, the Financial Advisor may from time to time sell investment securities to the District for the investment of bond proceeds or other funds of the District upon the request of the District.

The Financial Advisor has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Financial Advisor has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to the District and, as applicable, to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Financial Advisor does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

# CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

In the Bond Order, the District has made the following agreement for the benefit of the holders and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds. The District is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains obligated to advance funds to pay the Bonds. Under the agreement, the District will be obligated to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually, and timely notice of specified events, to the MSRB. For a description of the continuing disclosure obligations of the TEA, see "APPENDIX E-THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM." The information provided to the MSRB will be available to the public free of charge via the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) system at www.emma.msrb.org.

# **Annual Reports**

The District will provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually to the MSRB. The information to be updated includes financial information and operating data with respect to the District of the general type included in this Official Statement in Appendix A (such information being the "Annual Operating Report"). The District will additionally provide financial statements of the District (the "Financial Statements"), that will be (i) prepared in accordance with the accounting principles described in Appendix D or such other accounting principles as the District may be required to employ from time to time pursuant to State law or regulation and shall be in substantially the form included in Appendix D and (ii) audited, if the District commissions an audit of such Financial Statements and the audit is completed within the period during which they must be provided. The District will update and provide the Annual Operating Report within six months after the end of each fiscal year and the Financial Statements within 12 months of the end of each fiscal year, in each case beginning with the fiscal year ending in and after 2023. The District may provide the Financial Statements earlier, including at the time it provides its Annual Operating Report, but if the audit of such Financial Statements is not complete within 12 months after any such fiscal year end, then the District shall file unaudited Financial Statements within such 12-month period and audited Financial Statements for the applicable fiscal year, when and if the audit report on such Financial Statements becomes available.

The District may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly available documents, as permitted by Rule 15c2-12.

The District's current fiscal year end is June 30. Accordingly, the Annual Operating Report must be provided by the last day of December in each year, and the Financial Statements must be provided by June 30 of each year, unless the District changes its fiscal year. If the District changes its fiscal year, it will notify the MSRB of the change.

#### **Notice of Certain Events**

The District will also provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds to the MSRB in a timely manner (but not in excess of ten business days after the occurrence of the event): (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) non-payment related defaults, if material; (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB), or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Bonds; (7) modifications to rights of holders of the Bonds, if material; (8) Bond calls, if material, and tender offers; (9) defeasances; (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds, if material; (11) rating changes; (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the District, which shall occur as described below; (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the District or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into of a District or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into of a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; (14) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material; (15) incurrence of a financial obligation of the District, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the District, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the District, any of which reflect financial difficulties. In addition, the District will provide timely notice of any failure by the District to provide annual financial information in accordance with their agreement described above under "Annual Reports". In the Bond Order, the District will adopt policies and procedures to ensure timely compliance of its continuing disclosure undertakings. Neither the Bonds nor the Bond Order make any provision for a bond trustee, debt service reserves, credit enhancement (except for the Permanent School Fund guarantee), or liquidity enhancement. The District will provide each notice described in this paragraph to the MSRB.

For these purposes, any event described in clause (12) of the immediately preceding paragraph is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the District in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the court of the proceeding under the theory of the proceeding under t by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the District. For the purposes of the above described event notices (15) and (16), the term "financial obligation" means a (i) debt obligation, (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation, or (iii) a guarantee of (i) or (ii); provided however, that a "financial obligation" shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement (as defined in Rule 15c2-12) has been provided to the MSRB consistent with Rule 15c2-12

# **Availability of Information**

All information and documentation filing required to be made by the District in accordance with its undertaking made for the Bonds will be filed with the MSRB in electronic format in accordance with MSRB guidelines. Access to such filings will be provided, without charge to the general public, by the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org.

# **Limitations and Amendments**

The District has agreed to update information and to provide notices of certain events only as described above. The District has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that has been provided except as described above. The District makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Bonds at any future date. The District disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the District to comply with its agreement.

The District may amend its continuing disclosure agreement to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the District, if, but only if, (1) the

agreement, as so amended, would have permitted underwriters to purchase or sell Bonds in the initial primary offering in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 to the date of such amendment, as well as such changed circumstances, and (2) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Bonds consent or (b) any qualified person unaffiliated with the District (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that the amendment will not materially impair the interests of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The District may also amend or repeal the provisions of this continuing disclosure agreement if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provisions of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling Bonds in the primary offering of the Bonds. If the District amends its agreement, it has agreed to include with the financial information and operating data next provided, in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports" an explanation, in narrative form, of the reasons for the amendment and of the impact of any change in the type of information and operating data so provided.

#### **Compliance with Prior Undertakings**

During the past five years, to the best of its knowledge, the District has complied in all material respects with all continuing disclosure agreements made by it in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 except on December 30, 2022, the District filed a Voluntary Notice stating its annual financial audit as described in its Continuing Disclosure Undertaking was not available. On January 20, 2023, the District filed a draft copy of its annual financial audit and on January 30, 2023, the District filed a final copy of its annual financial audit. The District has instituted procedures to ensure timely filing of all required information.

#### LITIGATION

In the opinion of District officials, the District is not a party to any litigation or other proceeding pending or to their knowledge threatened, in any court, agency or other administrative body (either state or federal) which, if decided adversely to the District, would have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the District.

At the time of the initial delivery of the Bonds, the District will provide the Underwriters with a certificate to the effect that except as disclosed in the Official Statement, no litigation of any nature has been filed or is then pending challenging the issuance of the Bonds or that affects the payment and security of the Bonds or in any other manner questioning the issuance, sale or delivery of the Bonds.

# **VERIFICATION OF MATHEMATICAL COMPUTATIONS**

Public Finance Partners LLC will deliver to the District, on or before the settlement date of the Bonds, its Report indicating that it has verified the mathematical accuracy of (a) the mathematical computations of the adequacy of the cash and the maturing principal of and interest on the Defeasance Securities, to pay, when due, the maturing principal of, interest on and related call premium requirements, if any, of the Refunded Bonds and (b) the mathematical computations of yield used by Bond Counsel to support its opinion that interest on the Bonds will be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

Public Finance Partners LLC relied on the accuracy, completeness and reliability of all information provided to it by, and on all decisions and approvals of, the District. In addition, Public Finance Partners LLC has relied on any information provided to it by the District's retained advisors, consultants or legal counsel.

# FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The statements contained in this Official Statement, and in any other information provided by the District, that are not purely historical, are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the District's expectations, hopes, intentions, or strategies regarding the future. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. All forward looking statements included in this Official Statement are based on information available to the District on the date hereof, and the District assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. It is important to note that the District's actual results could differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements herein are necessarily based on various assumptions and estimates and are inherently subject to various risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties relating to the possible invalidity of the underlying assumptions and estimates and possible changes or developments in social, economic, business, industry, market, legal and regulatory circumstances and conditions and actions taken or omitted to be taken by third parties, including customers, suppliers, business partners and competitors, and legislative, judicial and other governmental authorities and officials. Assumptions related to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive, and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of the District. Any of such assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement would prove to be accurate.

#### **UNDERWRITING**

The Underwriters have agreed, subject to certain customary conditions, to purchase the Bonds at a price equal to the initial offering prices to the public, as shown on the inside cover page hereof, less an Underwriters' discount of \$234,000.63. The Underwriters' obligations are subject to certain conditions precedent, and the Underwriters will be obligated to purchase all of the Bonds, if any Bonds are purchased. The Bonds may be offered and sold to certain dealers and others at prices lower than such public offering prices, and such public prices may be changed, from time to time, by the Underwriters.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriters have reviewed the information in this Official Statement pursuant to their respective responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

The Underwriters and their respective affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. Certain of the Underwriters and their respective affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various investment banking services for the District for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses.

In the ordinary course of their various business activities, the Underwriters and their respective affiliates may make or hold a broad array of investments and actively trade debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (which may include bank loans and/or credit default swaps) for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions in such securities and instruments. Such investment and securities activities may involve securities and instruments of the District.

22

# **CONCLUDING STATEMENT**

No person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the District. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy in any state in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer of solicitation.

The information set forth herein has been obtained from the District's records, audited financial statements and other sources which the District considers to be reliable. There is no guarantee that any of the assumptions or estimates contained herein will ever be realized. All of the summaries of the statutes, documents and the Bond Order contained in this Official Statement are made subject to all of the provisions of such statutes, documents, and the Bond Order. These summaries do not purport to be complete statements of such provisions and reference is made to such summarized documents for further information. Reference is made to official documents in all respects.

References to web site addresses presented herein are for informational purposes only and may be in the form of a hyperlink solely for the reader's convenience. Unless specified otherwise, such web sites and the information or links contained therein are not incorporated into, and are not part of, this Official Statement for purposes of, and as that term is defined in Rule 15c2-12.

The Bond Order authorized the issuance of the Bonds and approved the form and content of this Official Statement and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto and authorized its further use in the re-offering of the Bonds by the Underwriter. The Board has approved the Official Statement for distribution in accordance with the provisions of the SEC Rule 15c2-12.

	/s/ Christy Koski
	President, Board of Trustees
ATTEST:	
/s/ Cody Coltharp	
Secretary, Board of Trustees	



# HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Schedule I - Schedule of Refunded Bonds

# **Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2013**

Maturities Being Redeemed	Original CUSIP	 Principal Amount Outstanding	Interest Rate	 Principal Amount Being Refunded	Call Date	Am	ncipal nount funded
2/15/2024		\$ 230,000.00	4.000%	\$ 230,000.00 (1)	September 25, 2023		_
2/15/2025	435434CE3	240,000.00	4.000%	240,000.00 (1)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2026		245,000.00	4.000%	245,000.00 (2)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2027	435434CG8	255,000.00	4.000%	255,000.00 <sup>(2)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2028		265,000.00	4.000%	265,000.00 <sup>(3)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2029	435434CJ2	280,000.00	4.000%	280,000.00 (3)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2030		290,000.00	4.000%	290,000.00 (4)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2031	435434CL7	300,000.00	4.000%	300,000.00 (4)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2032		310,000.00	4.000%	310,000.00 (5)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2033	435434CN3	745,000.00	4.000%	745,000.00 <sup>(5)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2034		775,000.00	4.250%	775,000.00 <sup>(6)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2035		810,000.00	4.250%	810,000.00 <sup>(6)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2036		845,000.00	4.250%	845,000.00 <sup>(6)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2037		880,000.00	4.250%	880,000.00 <sup>(6)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2038	435434CT0	920,000.00	4.250%	920,000.00 (6)	September 25, 2023		-
		\$ 7,390,000.00		\$ 7,390,000.00		\$	-

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$470,000 that matures February 15, 2025.

# Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 2014

Maturities Being Redeemed	Original CUSIP	(	Principal Amount Outstanding	Interest Rate	 Principal Amount Being Refunded	Call Date	Aı	incipal nount efunded
2/15/2024	435434DD4	\$	475,000.00	3.000%	\$ 475,000.00	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2025	435434DE2		490,000.00	3.500%	490,000.00	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2026	435434DF9		515,000.00	4.000%	515,000.00	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2027	435434DG7		535,000.00	4.000%	535,000.00	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2028	435434DH5		560,000.00	4.000%	560,000.00	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2029	435434DJ1		575,000.00	4.000%	575,000.00	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2030			600,000.00	3.600%	600,000.00 (1)	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2031			625,000.00	3.600%	625,000.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2032			650,000.00	3.600%	650,000.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2033			250,000.00	3.600%	250,000.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2034	435434DP7		260,000.00	3.600%	260,000.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2035			270,000.00	4.000%	270,000.00 <sup>(2)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2036	435434DR3		280,000.00	4.000%	280,000.00 <sup>(2)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2037			295,000.00	4.500%	295,000.00 <sup>(3)</sup>	September 25, 2023		-
2/15/2038	435434DT9		305,000.00	4.500%	305,000.00 (3)	September 25, 2023		-
		\$	6,685,000.00		\$ 6,685,000.00	•	\$	-

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$2,385,000 that matures February 15, 2034.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$500,000 that matures February 15, 2027.

<sup>(3)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$545,000 that matures February 15, 2029.

<sup>(4)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$590,000 that matures February 15, 2031.

<sup>(5)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$1,055,000 that matures February 15, 2033.

<sup>(6)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$4,230,000 that matures February 15, 2038.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$550,000 that matures February 15, 2036.

<sup>(3)</sup> Represents a mandatory sinking fund redemption of the term bond outstanding in the principal amount of \$600,000 that matures February 15, 2038.



# APPENDIX A FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF THE DISTRICT



# HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### Financial Information

# ASSESSED VALUATION (1)

2022/23 Total Valuation	 	\$ 875,671,941
Less Exemptions & Deductions (2):		
State Homestead Exemption	\$ 56,157,640	
State Over-65 Exemption	5,496,060	
Disabled Exemption	10,530,410	
Veterans Exemption	1,047,642	
Surviving Spouse Disabled Veteran Exemption	735,975	
Pollution Control Exemption	3,977,950	
Productivity Loss	270,554,902	
Solar / Wind Exemption	146,634	
Homestead Cap Loss	36,015,310	
	\$ 384,662,523	
2022/23 Net Taxable Valuation	 	\$ 491,009,418
2023/24 Certified Net Taxable Valuation (3)	 	\$ 501,729,955

#### VOTED GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT

Unlimited Tax Bonds Outstanding		\$ 14,075,000
Less: The Refunded Bonds		(14,075,000)
Plus: The Bonds		43,460,000
Total Unlimited Tax Bonds		 43,460,000
Less: Interest & Sinking Fund Balance (As of June 30, 2023) (1)		(1,765,000)
Net General Obligation Debt		\$ 41,695,000
Ratio of Net G.O. Debt to Net Taxable Valuation (2)	8.31%	
2023 Population Estimate (3)	4,750	
Per Capita Net Taxable Valuation	\$105,627	
Per Capita Net G.O. Debt	\$8 778	

# PROPERTY TAX RATES AND COLLECTIONS

	Net					
	Taxable				ons <sup>(6)</sup>	_
Fiscal Year	 Valuation	Tax Rate	Current (7)		Total (7)	_
2006/07	\$ 202,544,604 (1)	\$ 1.5900 <sup>(8)</sup>	97.86%		99.45%	
2007/08	217,526,303 (1)	1.1800 <sup>(8)</sup>	97.77%		101.22%	
2008/09	246,088,790 (1)	1.1800	97.81%		99.50%	
2009/10	247,425,342 (1)	1.1800	98.25%		100.26%	
2010/11	259,639,425 <sup>(1)</sup>	1.1800	98.07%		99.84%	
2011/12	272,963,369 <sup>(1)</sup>	1.1700	98.35%		100.25%	
2012/13	311,685,261 <sup>(1)</sup>	1.1700	98.48%		100.73%	
2013/14	327,981,472 <sup>(1)</sup>	1.4800	97.75%		100.10%	
2014/15	342,597,982 <sup>(1)</sup>	1.4800	97.33%		99.54%	
2015/16	325,009,452 (1) (2	1.4800	97.33%		99.95%	
2016/17	295,041,505 (1)(2	1.4800	97.67%		100.38%	
2017/18	309,111,924 (1) (2)	1.4800	97.86%		100.52%	
2018/19	313,867,814 (1) (2)	1.4800	97.72%		100.07%	
2019/20	380,635,429 (1) (2	1.3783 <sup>(9)</sup>	97.64%		99.57%	
2020/21	412,107,036 (1) (2)	1.3278	98.51%		100.84%	
2021/22	438,784,943 (1) (2)	1.2953	98.51%		100.05%	
2022/23	491,009,418 (1) (3)	1.2529	97.74%	(10)	98.94%	(10)
2023/24	501,729,955 (4) (5	)				

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - Property Tax Division. The passage of a Texas Constitutional Amendment on May 7, 2022 election increased the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$40,000. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROCEDURES — Residential Homestead Exemptions" in this Official Statement.
(2) Excludes the values on which property taxes are frozen for persons 65 years of age or older and disabled taxpayers which totaled \$13,732,939 in 2022/23.
(3) Source: Archer and Wichita County Appraisal Districts Certified Values as of July 2023. During the second special session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 2 ("SB 2"), which includes provisions that reduce the maximum M&O tax compression rate by \$0.107, increase the school district mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000, and places further limitations on increases in appraised values on certain classes of properties. The provision increasing the residential homestead exemption to \$100,000 will be submitted to voters of the State on November 7, 2023. SB 2 also makes provision for State aid funding to pay for the loss in school district I&S tax revenue (i.e. "hold harmless") for debt that (i) is issued prior to September 1, 2023, or (ii) is approved by voters but not yet issued as of September 1, 2023.

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Holliday ISD Estimate.
(2) The ratio of Net Obligations to Net Taxable Valuation above does not include a portion of the District's outstanding debt service that is payable from any debt subsidies that may be provided by the State of Texas. The District does not to expect to receive state funding assistance for voted bond debt service for its unlimited tax debt service for the 2022/23 fiscal year. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement, "DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS" in this Appendix and see the "Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022" in Appendix D for more information relative to the District's obligations.
(3) Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas.

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - Property Tax Division.
(2) The passage of a Texas Constitutional Amendment on November 3, 2015 increased the homestead exemption from \$15,000 to \$25,000.
(3) The passage of a Texas Constitutional Amendment on May 7, 2022 increased the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$40,000.
(4) Source: Archer and Wichita County Appraisal Districts Certified Values as of July 2023.
(5) During the second special session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 2 ("SB 2"), which includes provisions that reduce the maximum M&O tax compression rate by \$0.107, increase the school district mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000, and places further limitations on increases in appraised values on certain classes of properties. The provision increasing the residential homestead exemption to \$100,000 will be submitted to voters of the State on November 7, 2023. SB 2 also makes provision for State aid funding to pay for the loss in school district I&S tax revenue (i.e. "hold harmless") for debt that (i) is issued prior to September 1, 2023, or (ii) is approved by voters but not yet issued as of September 1, 2023.
(6) Source: Holliday ISD Audited Financial Statements.
(7) Excludes penalties and interest.
(8) The decline in the District's Maintenance & Operation Tax from the 2006/07 fiscal year to the 2007/08 fiscal year is a function of House Bill 1 adopted by the Texas Legislature in May 2006. See "CSATE AND LOCAL FUNDING OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN TEXAS" and "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in the Official Statement.
(9) The decline in the District's Maintenance & Operation Tax from the 2018/19 fiscal year to the 2019/20 fiscal year is a function of House Bill 3 adopted by the Texas Legislature in June 2019. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM"-Local Funding for School Districts" in this Official Statement.

<sup>(10)</sup> Source: Holliday ISD Estimate

	2018/19	2019/20 (2)	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
Maintenance & Operations Debt Service	\$1.1700 \$0.3100	\$1.0683 \$0.3100	\$1.0178 \$0.3100	\$0.9853 \$0.3100	\$0.9429 \$0.3100
Total Tax Rate	\$1.4800	\$1.3783	\$1.3278	\$1.2953	\$1.2529

# **VALUATION AND FUNDED DEBT HISTORY**

Fiscal	Net	Bond Debt	Ratio
Year	Taxable Valuation	Outstanding (1)	Debt to A.V. (2)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
2006/07	\$ 202,544,604	\$ 6,110,000	3.02%
2007/08	217,526,303	5,970,000	2.74%
2008/09	246,088,790	5,820,000	2.37%
2009/10	247,425,342	5,665,000	2.29%
2010/11	259,639,425	5,505,000	2.12%
2011/12	272,963,369	5,340,000	1.96%
2012/13	311,685,261	14,665,000	4.71%
2013/14	327,981,472	19,475,000	5.94%
2014/15	342,597,982	18,980,000	5.54%
2015/16	325,009,452	17,785,000	5.47%
2016/17	295,041,505	17,415,000	5.90%
2017/18	309,111,924	17,035,000	5.51%
2018/19	313,867,814	16,645,000	5.30%
2019/20	380,635,429	16,070,000	4.22%
2020/21	412,107,036	15,425,000	3.74%
2021/22	438,784,943	14,760,000	3.36%
2022/23	491,009,418	43,460,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	8.85%
2023/24	501,729,955 <sup>(3)</sup>	42,615,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	8.49%

# **ESTIMATED OVERLAPPING DEBT STATEMENT**

Taxing Body		Amount	Percent Overlapping	 Amount Overlapping
Archer County City of Lakeside City Wichita County	\$	1,475,000 2,185,000 59,218,366	48.14% 100.00% 0.59%	\$ 710,065 2,185,000 349,388
Total Overlapping Debt <sup>(1)</sup>				\$ 3,244,453
Holliday Independent School District (2)				 41,695,000
Total Direct & Overlapping Debt (2)				\$ 44,939,453
Ratio of Net Direct & Overlapping Debt to Net Taxa Per Capita Direct & Overlapping Debt	able Va	luation	8.96% \$9,461	

<sup>(1)</sup> Equals gross debt less self-supporting debt.

Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas. The District has not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of such information (except for the amounts relating to the District), and no person should rely upon such information as being accurate or complete.

<sup>(1)</sup> On September 8, 2018, the District successfully held a tax ratification election.
(2) The decline in the District's Maintenance & Operations Tax from the 2018/19 fiscal year to the 2019/20 fiscal year is a function of House Bill 3 adopted by the Texas Legislature

<sup>(1)</sup> The Bonds are illustrated on the State of Texas fiscal year end of August 31st, although the District's fiscal year ends June 30th.

(2) See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement, "DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS" in this Appendix and see the "Audited Financial Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022" in Appendix D for more information.

(3) Source: Archer and Wichita County Appraisal Districts Certified Values as of July 2023. During the second special session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 2 ("SB 2"), which includes provisions that reduce the maximum M&O tax compression rate by \$0.107, increase the school district mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000, and places further limitations on increases in appraised values on certain classes of properties. The provision increasing the residential homestead exemption to \$100,000 will be submitted to voters of the State on November 7, 2023. SB 2 also makes provision for State aid funding to pay for the loss in school district I&S tax revenue (i.e. "hold harmless") for debt that (i) is issued right to Sentember 1, 2023. debt that (i) is issued prior to September 1, 2023, or (ii) is approved by voters but not yet issued as of September 1, 2023.

(4) Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds.

# 2022/23 Top Ten Taxpayers

Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business	T	axable Value	% of Net Valuation
Oncor Electric Delivery Co.	Electric Utility	\$	41,334,680	8.42%
Sunrise II Pipeline LLC	Pipeline		28,789,360	5.86%
Texas Express Pipeline	Pipeline		5,606,380	1.14%
Atmos Energy/Mid-Tex Pipeline	Pipeline		3,473,300	0.71%
Plains Pipeline	Pipeline		2,582,230	0.53%
Holli-Tex Supply Co.	Drilling Services		1,644,860	0.33%
Wichita Tank Mfg. Inc.	Industrial Manufacturing		1,330,890	0.27%
Travis M. & Elizabeth Clarke	Residential		1,320,790	0.27%
ASPS Holdings LLC	Pipeline		1,320,580	0.27%
Phillip E. & Angela Dowd	Residential		1,283,309	0.26%
		\$	88,686,379	18.06%

#### 2021/22 Top Ten Taxpayers

Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business	T:	axable Value	% of Net Valuation
Oncor Electric Delivery Co.	Electric Utility	\$	39,686,790	9.04%
Sunrise II Pipeline LLC	Pipeline		33,470,100	7.63%
Texas Express Pipeline	Pipeline		4,920,500	1.12%
Atmos Energy/Mid-Tex Pipeline	Pipeline		2,934,480	0.67%
Plains Pipeline	Pipeline		2,919,860	0.67%
Wichita Tank Mfg. Inc.	Industrial Manufacturing		1,347,400	0.31%
Travis M. & Elizabeth Clarke	Residential		1,212,210	0.28%
Phillip E. & Angela Dowd	Residential		1,153,929	0.26%
Henry & Margaret Green	Residential		1,132,250	0.26%
Kyle & Presley Johnson	Residential		1,063,420	0.24%
		\$	89.840.939	20.47%

# 2020/21 Top Ten Taxpayers

0/ of Not

Name of Tayrayay	Type of Dusiness	т.	avabla Valua	% of Net	
Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business		axable Value	Valuation	
Sunrise II Pipeline LLC	Pipeline	\$	40,439,500	9.81%	
Oncor Electric Delivery Co.	Electric Utility		37,294,250	9.05%	
Texas Express Pipeline	Pipeline		4,502,960	1.09%	
Plains Pipeline	Pipeline		2,786,090	0.68%	
Texas Energy Operating Services LC	Drilling Services		1,679,324	0.41%	
Holli-Tex Supply Co.	Drilling Services		1,529,910	0.37%	
Wichita Tank Mfg. Inc.	Industrial Manufacturing		1,348,300	0.33%	
Henry & Margaret Green	Residential		1,155,060	0.28%	
Phillip E. & Angela Dowd	Residential		1,023,996	0.25%	
Raymond S. & Karen Kimbell	Residential		978,490	0.24%	
		\$	92,737,880	22.50%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - Property Tax Division.

Note: As shown in the table above, the total combined top ten taxpayers in the District currently account for over 18% of the District's tax base. In addition, the top taxpayer in the District currently accounts for over 8% of the District's tax base, thereby creating a concentration risk for the District. The valuation of power utilities within the State, as determined by respective appraisal districts, have been subject to litigation related to the taxable value of such property; private power generation facilities are also subject to transfer and sole ownership by another entity, including to local governments whose property is exempt from ad valorem taxation.

Any adverse developments related to the pipeline industry (which is subject to fluctuation and volatility), affecting certain of these taxpayers' ability to continue to conduct business at their respective locations within the District's boundaries may result in significantly less local tax revenue, thereby severely affecting the District's finances and its ability to repay its outstanding indebtedness.

Accordingly, the District makes no representation regarding the continued valuation of any of the property listed in the above table or the generation of future tax revenues therefrom. If any major taxpayer (or a combination of taxpayers) were to default in the payment of taxes due to economic conditions resulting difficulty, the ability of the District to timely pay debt service on the Bonds will be dependent on its ability to enforce and liquidate its tax lien (which, in the event of bankruptcy, certain laws may preclude until the automatic stay is lifted). Such process is time-consuming and can only occur annually; in the alternative, the District may sell tax anticipation notes until such amounts could be collected, if ever.

			% of			% of			% of
Category		2022/23	Total		2021/22	<u>Total</u>		2020/21	<u>Total</u>
Real, Residential, Single-Family	\$	384,366,369	43.89%	\$	306,093,462	41.57%	\$	273,532,386	39.03%
Real, Residential, Multi-Family	Ψ	2,327,290	0.27%	Ψ	1,948,070	0.26%	Ψ	1,233,520	0.18%
Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts		8,355,008	0.95%		7,411,473	1.01%		8,964,579	1.28%
Real, Qualified Land & Improvements		285,060,018	32.55%		253,503,436	34.43%		252,416,706	36.02%
Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements		56,486,204	6.45%		42,671,655	5.80%		32,692,592	4.66%
Real, Commercial & Industrial		10,274,667	1.17%		9,015,296	1.22%		7,789,164	1.11%
Oil & Gas		22,585,350	2.58%		13,739,590	1.87%		19,302,860	2.75%
Utilities		90,310,379	10.31%		88,417,579	12.01%		90,539,742	12.92%
Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial		14,474,106	1.65%		12,217,023	1.66%		13,065,605	1.86%
Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other		1,419,950	0.16%		1,309,197	0.18%		1,256,686	0.18%
Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory		12,600	0.00%		14,000	0.00%		42,975	0.01%
Total Appraised Value	\$	875,671,941	100.00%	\$	736,340,781	100.00%	\$	700,836,815	100.00%
Less:									
Homestead Cap Adjustment	\$	36,015,310		\$	7,709,590		\$	2,851,617	
Productivity Loss	•	270,554,902		•	239,023,626		•	237,427,573	
Exemptions		78,092,311	(2)		50,822,622	(3)		48,450,589	(3)
Total Exemptions/Deductions (4)	\$	384,662,523		\$	297,555,838		\$	288,729,779	
Not Touchle Accessed Voluntian	ф.	404 000 440		œ.	420 704 042		œ.	440 407 000	
Net Taxable Assessed Valuation	\$	491,009,418		<u>\$</u>	438,784,943		\$	412,107,036	
			% of			% of			% of
<u>Category</u>		<u>2019/20</u>	% of <u>Total</u>		<u>2018/19</u>	% of <u>Total</u>		<u>2017/18</u>	% of <u>Total</u>
Category  Real, Residential, Single-Family	\$	<b>2019/20</b> 265,039,099		\$	<b>2018/19</b> 240,324,287		\$	2017/18 236,714,048	
	\$		<u>Total</u>	\$		<u>Total</u>	\$		<u>Total</u>
Real, Residential, Single-Family	\$	265,039,099	<u>Total</u> 39.65%	\$	240,324,287	<u>Total</u> 41.70%	\$	236,714,048	<b>Total</b> 41.69%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family	\$	265,039,099 736,540	Total 39.65% 0.11%	\$	240,324,287 753,720	Total 41.70% 0.13%	\$	236,714,048 800,250	Total 41.69% 0.14%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919	Total 39.65% 0.11% 0.97%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641	39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406	Total 39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475	Total  39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554	41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760	Total  39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 3.24%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856	Total  39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 3.24% 5.37%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474	Total  39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 3.24% 5.37% 2.19%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474 1,154,151	39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78% 0.17%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644 1,102,405	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 3.24% 5.37% 2.19% 0.19%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349 522,968	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59% 0.09%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory	_	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474 1,154,151 664,355	39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78% 0.17% 0.10%		240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644 1,102,405 403,725	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 5.37% 2.19% 0.19%		236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349 522,968 537,515	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59% 0.09%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory  Total Appraised Value  Less:	_	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474 1,154,151 664,355	39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78% 0.17% 0.10%		240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644 1,102,405 403,725	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 5.37% 2.19% 0.19%		236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349 522,968 537,515	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59% 0.09%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory  Total Appraised Value	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474 1,154,151 664,355 668,498,676	39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78% 0.17% 0.10%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644 1,102,405 403,725 576,315,362	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 5.37% 2.19% 0.19%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349 522,968 537,515	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59% 0.09%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory  Total Appraised Value  Less: Homestead Cap Adjustment	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474 1,154,151 664,355 668,498,676	39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78% 0.17% 0.10%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644 1,102,405 403,725 576,315,362	Total 41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 5.37% 2.19% 0.19%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349 522,968 537,515 567,741,998	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59% 0.09%
Real, Residential, Single-Family Real, Residential, Multi-Family Real, Vacant Lots/Tracts Real, Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Non-Qualified Land & Improvements Real, Commercial & Industrial Oil & Gas Utilities Tangible Personal, Commercial & Industrial Tangible Personal, Mobile Homes & Other Tangible Personal, Residential Inventory  Total Appraised Value  Less: Homestead Cap Adjustment Productivity Loss	\$	265,039,099 736,540 6,473,919 251,974,641 28,598,406 7,792,475 22,809,760 71,333,856 11,921,474 1,154,151 664,355 668,498,676 3,812,613 237,608,045	Total  39.65% 0.11% 0.97% 37.69% 4.28% 1.17% 3.41% 10.67% 1.78% 0.17% 0.10%	\$	240,324,287 753,720 5,417,617 231,336,923 26,967,629 7,746,716 18,680,870 30,972,826 12,608,644 1,102,405 403,725 576,315,362	Total  41.70% 0.13% 0.94% 40.14% 4.68% 1.34% 5.37% 2.19% 0.19% 0.07%	\$	236,714,048 800,250 3,698,664 229,288,208 25,447,165 7,759,554 17,035,530 31,261,747 14,676,349 522,968 537,515 567,741,998	Total 41.69% 0.14% 0.65% 40.39% 4.48% 1.37% 3.00% 5.51% 2.59% 0.09% 100.00%

Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - Property Tax Division.
 The passage of a Texas Constitutional Amendment on May 7, 2022 increased the homestead exemption from \$25,000 to \$40,000.
 The passage of a Texas Constitutional Amendment on November 3, 2015 increased the homestead exemption from \$15,000 to \$25,000.
 Excludes values on which property taxes are frozen for persons 65 years of age or older and disabled taxpayers.

Fiscal Year	Outstanding	Less:	Plus: The		Bonds Unpaid	Percent of Principal
Ending 8/31	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	Total	At Year End	Retired
Lituing 0/01	Donus	Donus	Donus	Total	At I cai Ella	Retired
2023	\$ 685,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 685,000.00	\$ 43,460,000.00	1.55%
2024	705,000.00	705,000.00	845,000.00	845,000.00	42,615,000.00	3.47%
2025	730,000.00	730,000.00	925,000.00	925,000.00	41,690,000.00	5.56%
2026	760,000.00	760,000.00	970,000.00	970,000.00	40,720,000.00	7.76%
2027	790,000.00	790,000.00	1,020,000.00	1,020,000.00	39,700,000.00	10.07%
2028	825,000.00	825,000.00	1,075,000.00	1,075,000.00	38,625,000.00	12.50%
2029	855,000.00	855,000.00	1,130,000.00	1,130,000.00	37,495,000.00	15.06%
2030	890,000.00	890,000.00	1,190,000.00	1,190,000.00	36,305,000.00	17.76%
2031	925,000.00	925,000.00	1,250,000.00	1,250,000.00	35,055,000.00	20.59%
2032	960,000.00	960,000.00	1,315,000.00	1,315,000.00	33,740,000.00	23.57%
2033	995,000.00	995,000.00	1,380,000.00	1,380,000.00	32,360,000.00	26.70%
2034	1,035,000.00	1,035,000.00	1,450,000.00	1,450,000.00	30,910,000.00	29.98%
2035	1,080,000.00	1,080,000.00	1,525,000.00	1,525,000.00	29,385,000.00	33.44%
2036	1,125,000.00	1,125,000.00	1,605,000.00	1,605,000.00	27,780,000.00	37.07%
2037	1,175,000.00	1,175,000.00	1,685,000.00	1,685,000.00	26,095,000.00	40.89%
2038	1,225,000.00	1,225,000.00	1,770,000.00	1,770,000.00	24,325,000.00	44.90%
2039	-	-	1,140,000.00	1,140,000.00	23,185,000.00	47.48%
2040	-	-	1,200,000.00	1,200,000.00	21,985,000.00	50.20%
2041	-	-	1,260,000.00	1,260,000.00	20,725,000.00	53.05%
2042	-	-	1,325,000.00	1,325,000.00	19,400,000.00	56.05%
2043	-	-	1,395,000.00	1,395,000.00	18,005,000.00	59.21%
2044	-	-	1,465,000.00	1,465,000.00	16,540,000.00	62.53%
2045	-	-	1,530,000.00	1,530,000.00	15,010,000.00	66.00%
2046	-	-	1,600,000.00	1,600,000.00	13,410,000.00	69.62%
2047	-	-	1,675,000.00	1,675,000.00	11,735,000.00	73.42%
2048	-	-	1,750,000.00	1,750,000.00	9,985,000.00	77.38%
2049	-	-	1,830,000.00	1,830,000.00	8,155,000.00	81.53%
2050	-	-	1,910,000.00	1,910,000.00	6,245,000.00	85.85%
2051	-	-	1,995,000.00	1,995,000.00	4,250,000.00	90.37%
2052	-	-	2,080,000.00	2,080,000.00	2,170,000.00	95.08%
2053	 	 	 2,170,000.00	 2,170,000.00	-	100.00%
Total	\$ 14,760,000.00	\$ 14,075,000.00	\$ 43,460,000.00	\$ 44,145,000.00		

<sup>(1)</sup> Debt service for the Bonds is illustrated on the basis of the State's fiscal year end of August 31st, although the District's fiscal year ends on June 30th.

Fiscal Year	Outstanding	Less: Refunded		Plus: The Bonds <sup>(2)</sup>		Combined
Ending 8/31	Debt Service	Debt Service (2)	Principal	Interest	Total	Total (2)(3)
			•			
2023	\$ 1,255,110.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 154,418.44	\$ 154,418.44	\$ 1,409,528.44
2024	1,253,110.00	1,253,110.00	845,000.00	1,963,437.89	2,808,437.89	2,808,437.89
2025	1,253,010.00	1,253,010.00	925,000.00	1,993,537.50	2,918,537.50	2,918,537.50
2026	1,254,435.00	1,254,435.00	970,000.00	1,946,162.50	2,916,162.50	2,916,162.50
2027	1,253,435.00	1,253,435.00	1,020,000.00	1,896,412.50	2,916,412.50	2,916,412.50
2028	1,256,135.00	1,256,135.00	1,075,000.00	1,844,037.50	2,919,037.50	2,919,037.50
2029	1,252,535.00	1,252,535.00	1,130,000.00	1,788,912.50	2,918,912.50	2,918,912.50
2030	1,253,835.00	1,253,835.00	1,190,000.00	1,730,912.50	2,920,912.50	2,920,912.50
2031	1,254,985.00	1,254,985.00	1,250,000.00	1,669,912.50	2,919,912.50	2,919,912.50
2032	1,254,835.00	1,254,835.00	1,315,000.00	1,605,787.50	2,920,787.50	2,920,787.50
2033	1,252,535.00	1,252,535.00	1,380,000.00	1,538,412.50	2,918,412.50	2,918,412.50
2034	1,251,986.25	1,251,986.25	1,450,000.00	1,467,662.50	2,917,662.50	2,917,662.50
2035	1,253,225.00	1,253,225.00	1,525,000.00	1,393,287.50	2,918,287.50	2,918,287.50
2036	1,252,056.25	1,252,056.25	1,605,000.00	1,315,037.50	2,920,037.50	2,920,037.50
2037	1,253,162.50	1,253,162.50	1,685,000.00	1,232,787.50	2,917,787.50	2,917,787.50
2038	1,251,412.50	1,251,412.50	1,770,000.00	1,146,412.50	2,916,412.50	2,916,412.50
2039	-	-	1,140,000.00	1,073,662.50	2,213,662.50	2,213,662.50
2040	-	-	1,200,000.00	1,015,162.50	2,215,162.50	2,215,162.50
2041	-	-	1,260,000.00	953,662.50	2,213,662.50	2,213,662.50
2042	-	-	1,325,000.00	889,037.50	2,214,037.50	2,214,037.50
2043	-	-	1,395,000.00	821,037.50	2,216,037.50	2,216,037.50
2044	-	-	1,465,000.00	752,862.50	2,217,862.50	2,217,862.50
2045	-	-	1,530,000.00	684,912.50	2,214,912.50	2,214,912.50
2046	-	-	1,600,000.00	614,162.50	2,214,162.50	2,214,162.50
2047	-	-	1,675,000.00	540,412.50	2,215,412.50	2,215,412.50
2048	-	-	1,750,000.00	463,562.50	2,213,562.50	2,213,562.50
2049	-	-	1,830,000.00	385,475.00	2,215,475.00	2,215,475.00
2050	-	-	1,910,000.00	306,000.00	2,216,000.00	2,216,000.00
2051	-	-	1,995,000.00	223,018.75	2,218,018.75	2,218,018.75
2052	-	-	2,080,000.00	136,425.00	2,216,425.00	2,216,425.00
2053			2,170,000.00	46,112.50	2,216,112.50	2,216,112.50
	\$ 20,055,802.50	\$ 18,800,692.50	\$ 43,460,000.00	\$ 33,592,637.58	\$ 77,052,637.58	\$ 78,307,747.58

(1) Debt service for the Bonds is illustrated on the basis of the State's fiscal year end of August 31st, although the District's fiscal year ends on June 30th.

(1) Debt service for the Bonds is illustrated on the basis of the State's fiscal year end of August 31st, although the District's fiscal year ends on June 30th.

(2) Includes accrued interest in the amount of \$131,541.64.

(3) Based on its wealth per student, the District does not expect to receive Instructional Allotment nor Existing Debt Allotment state financial assistance for the fiscal year 2022/23. The amount of state financial assistance for debt service, if any, may differ substantially each year depending on a variety of factors, including the amount, if any, appropriated for that purpose by the state legislature and a school district's wealth per student. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official Statement.

#### TAX ADEQUACY WITH RESPECT TO THE DISTRICT'S BONDS

Projected Maximum Debt Service Requirement (1)	\$ 2,920,912.50
Projected State Financial Assistance for Hold Harmless of Increased Homestead Exemption (2)	81,500.00
Projected Net Debt Service Requirement	\$ 2,839,412.50
\$0.57747 Tax Rate @ 98% Collections Produces <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 2,839,412.50
2023/24 Certified Net Taxable Valuation <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 501,729,955

(1) Includes the Bonds and excludes the Refunded Bonds.

#### AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED BONDS

Following the issuance of the Bonds, the District will have \$9,500,000 (\$9,500,000 in Proposition B) authorized but unissued unlimited ad valorem tax bonds from the May 6, 2023 bond election. The District may incur other financial obligations payable from its collection of taxes and other sources of revenue, including maintenance tax notes payable from its collection of maintenance taxes, public property finance contractual obligations, delinquent tax notes, and leases for various purposes payable from State appropriations and surplus maintenance taxes.

<sup>(2)</sup> The amount of state financial assistance for debt service, if any, may differ substantially each year depending on a variety of factors, including the amount, if any, appropriated for that purpose by the state legislature and a school district's wealth per student. See "CURRENT PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM" in this Official

Statement.
(3) The District may utilize State tier one funds to pass the Altorney General's 50-cent Test with respect to bonds issued for new construction purposes. As a result, the District must credit State assistance payments (including any tier one State funding used to demonstrate the District's ability to pass the \$0.50 bond issuance test) to the District's interest and sinking fund each year in an amount equal to the amount used by the District to demonstrate its ability to comply with the \$0.50 test, and the District may not adopt its annual interest and sinking fund to a return enter the position of the State Funding for Local School District's and "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS."
PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE SYSTEM - State Funding for Local School District's and "TAX RATE LIMITATIONS."
(4) Source: Archer and Wichita County Appraisal Districts Certified Values as of July 2023. During the second special session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill 2 ("SB 2"), which includes provisions that reduce the maximum M&O tax compression rate by \$0.107, increase the school district mandatory homestead exemption from \$40,000 to \$100,000, and places further limitations on increases in appraised values on certain classes of properties. The provision increasing the residential homestead exemption to \$100,000 will be submitted to voters of the State on November 7, 2023. SB 2 also makes provision for State aid funding to pay for the loss in school district 1&S tax revenue (i.e. "hold harmless") for debt that (i) is issued prior to September 1, 2023, or (ii) is approved by voters but not yet issued as of September 1, 2023.

					Fiscal Year Ended June 30						
		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022	
Beginning Fund Balance	\$	1,379,430	\$	2,058,876	\$	3,072,395	\$	3,013,450	\$	1,701,199	
Revenues:											
Local and Intermediate Sources	\$	3,344,769	\$	3,707,520	\$	4,117,004	\$	4,268,648	\$	4,401,398	
State Sources		5,303,410		6,197,221		7,876,782		7,903,237		8,732,324	
Federal Sources & Other		90,888		90,881		106,222		148,293		196,020	
Total Revenues	\$	8,739,067	\$	9,995,622	\$	12,100,008	\$	12,320,178	\$	13,329,742	
Expenditures:											
Instruction	\$	4,620,966	\$	4,939,158	\$	5,975,055	\$	6,234,170	\$	6,324,538	
Instructional Resources & Media Services		112,712		133,363		139,805		144,991		147,937	
Curriculum & Instructional Staff Development		38,719		40,533		48,880		26,430		48,050	
School Leadership		428,927		448,184		501,269		498,651		535,529	
Guidance, Counseling & Evaluation Services		203,995		210,497		259,911		258,544		288,688	
Social Work Services		-		-		30,254		30,000		-	
Health Services		54,957		63,597		75,330		104,260		73,784	
Student (Pupil) Transportation		200,662		277,291		386,674		379,395		381,494	
Food Services		-		-		-		-		8,051	
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		721,471		794,327		828,325		741,816		924,554	
General Administration		311,309		376,247		353,281		356,300		378,738	
Plant Maintenance and Operations		862,808		915,864		975,253		990,728		1,097,804	
Security and Monitoring Services		44,668		64,308		25,577		27,960		36,549	
Data Processing Services		251,493		195,604		216,573		289,738		234,420	
Debt Service - Principal on Long Term Debt		38,588		41,011		41,150		14,309		13,387	
Debt Service - Interest on Long Term Debt		1,568		2,511		2,534		1,349		2,271	
Capital Outlay		50,424		312,744		2,128,802		3,344,650		1,544,582	
Payments to Shared Service Agreements		77,325		77,783		104,358		97,131		91,940	
Other Intergovernmental Charges		87,182		88,311		110,403		119,205		122,535	
Total Expenditures	\$	8,107,774	\$	8,981,333	\$	12,203,434	\$	13,659,627	\$	12,254,851	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues											
over Expenditures	\$	631,293	\$	1,014,289	\$	(103,426)	\$	(1,339,449)	\$	1,074,891	
Other Resources and (Uses):											
Transfer Out	\$	(854)	\$	(768)	\$	(639)	\$	(17,929)	\$	(62)	
Proceeds from Capital Lease		49,007				45,124		45,131			
Total Other Resources (Uses)	\$	48,153	\$	(768)	\$	44,485	\$	27,202	\$	(62)	
Excess (Deficiency) of											
Revenues and Other Sources											
over Expenditures and Other Uses	\$	679,446	\$	1,013,521	\$	(58,941)	\$	(1,312,247)	\$	1,074,829	
Ending Fund Balance (2)	\$	2,058,876	\$	3,072,397	\$	3,013,454	\$	1,701,203	\$	2,776,028	

<sup>(1)</sup> See "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget and Rates" in Appendix D hereto for a discussion of the 2020/21 budget. (2) The District estimates the General Fund balance for fiscal year ending June 30, 2023 was \$3,075,000.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30								
		2018		2019		2020	 2021		2022
Revenues:									
Program Revenues:									
Charges for Services	\$	216,770	\$	236,719	\$	175,921	\$ 161,749	\$	174,850
Operating Grants and Contributions		(585,883)		1,282,252		1,370,587	1,280,856		921,742
Capital Grants and Contributions		86,312		401,673		-	-		-
General Revenues:									
Property Taxes Levied for General Purposes		2,992,201		3,536,657		3,943,926	4,094,841		4,232,649
Property Taxes Levied for Debt Service		1,342,999		883,730		1,084,862	1,194,685		1,271,785
State Aid - Formula Grants		4,908,950		5,797,925		7,368,564	7,352,023		8,166,318
Grants and Contributions Not Restricted		90,888		90,881		106,222	148,293		196,020
Investment Earnings		32,081		71,471		61,596	16,781		14,385
Miscellaneous		270,640		81,222		93,204	61,591		87,814
Total Revenue	\$	9,354,958	\$	12,382,530	\$	14,204,882	\$ 14,310,819	\$	15,065,563
Expenses:									
Instruction	\$	3,658,586	\$	5,918,584	\$	7,227,191	\$ 7,280,276	\$	6,702,329
Instructional Resources & Media Services		91,783		152,157		162,107	162,280		149,822
Curriculum & Staff Development		42,009		44,461		53,543	31,200		87,912
School Leadership		318,317		519,748		588,358	561,390		538,735
Guidance, Counseling & Evaluation Services		159,446		243,722		302,840	290,725		374,766
Social Work Services		_		-		32,615	32,461		_
Health Services		41,351		73,361		87,813	117,399		116,829
Student Transportation		163,405		241,527		284,142	289,358		295,158
Food Service		248,507		298,269		324,886	349,910		383,358
Cocurricular/Extracurricular Activities		742,686		884,305		973,953	879,102		1,039,914
General Administration		275,238		434,377		406,056	400,910		391,874
Plant Maintenance & Operations		752,113		962,418		1,034,555	1,042,700		1,105,220
Security and Monitoring Services		17,266		35,050		28,861	52,827		39,467
Data Processing Services		147,818		217,500		247,756	273,987		239,255
Interest on Long-term Debt		642,763		629,925		594,289	599,178		580,574
Bond Issuance Costs and Fees		1,000		1,000		3,500	3,500		3,500
Payments to Fiscal Agent/Member Districts of SSA		77,325		77,783		104,358	97,131		91,940
Other Intergovernmental Charges		87,182		88,311		110,403	119,205		122,535
Total Expenditures	\$	7,466,795	\$	10,822,498	\$	12,567,226	\$ 12,583,539	\$	12,263,188
Change in Net Assets	\$	1,888,163	\$	1,560,032	\$	1,637,656	\$ 1,727,280	\$	2,802,375
Beginning Net Assets	\$	7,247,998	\$	4,833,631	\$	6,393,663	\$ 8,031,319	\$	9,758,594
Prior Period Adjustment	\$	(4,302,530) (2	<sup>2)</sup> \$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
Ending Net Assets	\$	4,833,631	\$	6,393,663	\$	8,031,319	\$ 9,758,599	\$	12,560,969

#### **APPENDIX B**

GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE DISTRICT AND ITS ECONOMY



#### **General and Economic Information**

The Holliday Independent School District is an oil producing area that includes the Mankins and Reko-Hull-Silk oilfields and a major portion of the Holliday oilfield. Also included within the District is the City of Holliday, located on U.S. Highways 82 and 277. The current estimated population of the District is approximately 4,750.

Source: Texas Municipal Report for Holliday ISD.

#### **Enrollment Statistics**

Year Ending 8/31	<u>Enrollment</u>
2010	871
2011	896
2012	862
2013	877
2014	893
2015	902
2016	946
2017	959
2018	1,007
2019	1,048
2020	1,051
2021	1,069
2022	1,132
2023	1,126

#### **District Staff**

Teachers	86
Teachers' Aides & Secretaries	31
Auxiliary Personnel	20
Administrators	9
Other	6
Total	152

#### **Facilities**

		Current			Year of Addition/
<u>Campus</u>	<u>Grades</u>	Enrollment	<u>Capacity</u>	Year Built	Renovation
Elementary	PK-5	508	584	2006	2020
Middle School	6-8	269	499	1988	2014
High School	9-12	349	638	1977	2014

#### **Principal Employers within the District**

Name of Company Holliday ISD	Number of Employees 152			
	Unemploy	ment Rates		
	May <u>2021</u>	May <u>2022</u>	May <u>2023</u>	
Archer County Wichita County State of Texas Source: Texas Workforce Commission.	4.2% 5.2% 5.7%	3.2% 3.7% 3.6%	3.6% 3.9% 4.1%	



## APPENDIX C FORM OF LEGAL OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL





August 24, 2023

# HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT UNLIMITED TAX SCHOOL BUILDING AND REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2023 DATED AS OF AUGUST 1, 2023 IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$43,460,000

AS BOND COUNSEL FOR THE HOLLIDAY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT (the *District*) in connection with the issuance of the bonds described above (the *Bonds*), we have examined into the legality and validity of the Bonds, which bear interest from the dates specified in the text of the Bonds until maturity or prior redemption at the rates and are payable on the dates as stated in the text of the Bonds, all in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in the text of the Bonds.

**WE HAVE EXAMINED** the applicable and pertinent provisions of the Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas and a transcript of certified proceedings of the District, and other pertinent instruments authorizing and relating to the issuance of the Bonds including (i) the order authorizing the issuance of the Bonds (the *Order*), (ii) the Escrow Deposit Letter, dated as of August 14, 2023, between the District and BOKF, NA, Dallas, Texas, as Escrow Agent (the *Escrow Agreement*), (iii) the certificate of SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc., with respect to the adequacy of certain escrowed funds and securities to accomplish the refunding purposes of the Bonds (the *Sufficiency Certificate*), (iv) the executed Initial Bond numbered T-1, and (v) the District's Federal Tax Certificate of even date herewith.

BASED ON SAID EXAMINATION, IT IS OUR OPINION that the Bonds have been authorized, issued and delivered in accordance with law; that the Bonds constitute valid and legally binding general obligations of the District in accordance with their terms except as the enforceability thereof may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, liquidation and other similar laws now or hereafter enacted relating to creditors' rights generally; that the District has the legal authority to issue the Bonds and to repay the Bonds; and that ad valorem taxes sufficient to provide for the payment of the interest on and principal of the Bonds, as such interest comes due, and as such principal matures, have been levied and ordered to be levied against all taxable property in the District, and have been pledged for such payment, without limit as to rate or amount.

IT IS FURTHER OUR OPINION that the Escrow Agreement has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by the District and constitutes a binding and enforceable agreement in accordance with its terms and that the "Refunded Obligations" (as defined in the Order) being refunded by the Bonds are outstanding under the orders authorizing their respective issuance only for the purpose of receiving the funds provided by, and are secured solely by and payable solely from, the Escrow Agreement and the cash and investments, including the income therefrom, held by the Escrow Agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement. In rendering this opinion, we have relied upon the Sufficiency Certificate concerning the



sufficiency of the cash and investments deposited pursuant to the Escrow Agreement for the purpose of paying the principal of, redemption premium, if any, and interest on the Refunded Obligations.

IT IS FURTHER OUR OPINION, except as discussed below, that the interest on the Bonds is excludable from the gross income of the owners for federal income tax purposes under the statutes, regulations, published rulings and court decisions existing on the date of this opinion. We are further of the opinion that the Bonds are not "specified private activity bonds" and that, accordingly, interest on the Bonds will not be included as an individual alternative minimum tax preference item under section 57(a)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the *Code*). In expressing the aforementioned opinions, we have relied on the Sufficiency Certificate, and assume continuing compliance with certain representations contained in the Federal Tax Certificate of the District and covenants set forth in the order adopted by the District to authorize the issuance of the Bonds, relating to, among other matters, the use of the project and the investment and expenditure of the proceeds and certain other amounts used to pay or to secure the payment of debt service on the Bonds, and the certificate with respect to arbitrage by the Commissioner of Education regarding the allocation and investment of certain investments in the Permanent School Fund, the accuracy of which we have not independently verified. We call your attention to the fact that if such representations are determined to be inaccurate or if the District fails to comply with such covenants, interest on the Bonds may become includable in gross income retroactively to the date of issuance of the Bonds.

**EXCEPT AS STATED ABOVE**, we express no opinion as to any other federal, state or local tax consequences of acquiring, carrying, owning or disposing of the Bonds, including the amount, accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds. Owners of the Bonds should consult their tax advisors regarding the applicability of any collateral tax consequences of owning the Bonds.

WE CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FACT that the interest on tax-exempt obligations, such as the Bonds, may be includable in a corporation's adjusted financial statement income for purposes of determining the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations by section 55 of the Code.

OUR OPINIONS ARE BASED ON EXISTING LAW, which is subject to change. Such opinions are further based on our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions to reflect any facts or circumstances that may thereafter come to our attention or to reflect any changes in any law that may thereafter occur or become effective. Moreover, our opinions are not a guarantee of result and are not binding on the Internal Revenue Service (the Service); rather, such opinions represent our legal judgment based upon our review of existing law and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above that we deem relevant to such opinions. The Service has an ongoing audit program to determine compliance with rules that relate to whether interest on state or local obligations is includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. No assurance can be given whether or not the Service will commence an audit of the Bonds. If an audit is commenced, in accordance with its current published procedures the Service is likely to treat the District as the taxpayer. We observe that the District has covenanted not to take any action, or omit to take any action within its control, that if taken or omitted, respectively, may result in the treatment of interest on the Bonds as includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes.



OUR SOLE ENGAGEMENT in connection with the issuance of the Bonds is as Bond Counsel for the District, and, in that capacity, we have been engaged by the District for the sole purpose of rendering an opinion with respect to the legality and validity of the Bonds and the defeasance of the Refunded Obligations under the Constitution and general laws of the State of Texas, and with respect to the exclusion from gross income of the interest on the Bonds for federal income tax purposes, and for no other reason or purpose. The foregoing opinions represent our legal judgment based upon a review of existing legal authorities that we deem relevant to render such opinions and are not a guarantee of a result. We have not been requested to investigate or verify, and have not independently investigated or verified any records, data, or other material relating to the financial condition or capabilities of the District, or the disclosure thereof in connection with the sale of the Bonds, and have not assumed any responsibility with respect thereto. We express no opinion and make no comment with respect to the marketability of the Bonds and have relied solely on certificates executed by officials of the District as to the current outstanding indebtedness of, and assessed valuation of taxable property within, the District. Our role in connection with the District's Official Statement prepared for use in connection with the sale of the Bonds has been limited as described therein.

Respectfully,



#### APPENDIX D

AUDITED FINANCIAL REPORT FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022



**ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT** 

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

#### ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022


#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTORY SECTION		
Certificate of Board	***************************************	1
FINANCIAL SECTION		
Independent Auditor's Report		2-4
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)		5-13
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:		
Statement of Net Position	A-1	14
Statement of Activities	B-1	15
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds		16-17
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement		
of Net Position	C-1R	18
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -		
Governmental Funds		19-20
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund		
Balances of the Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities		21
Statement of Net Position – Internal Service Fund	D-1	22
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position -		
Internal Service Fund	D-2	23
Statement of Cash Flows – Internal Service Fund	D-3	24
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	E-1	25
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	E-2	26
Notes to the Financial Statements	***************************************	27-49
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:		
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	G-1	50-51
Schedule of District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability –		
Teacher Retirement System of Texas	G-2	52-53
Schedule of District's Contributions – Teacher Retirement System of Texas	G-3	54-55
Schedule of District's Proportionate Share of the Net Other Post-Employment		
Benefit (OPEB) Liability - Teacher Retirement System of Texas	G-4	56-57
Schedule of District's Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) Contributions –		
Teacher Retirement System of Texas		58-59
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information		60

### ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022


#### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u>
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:	
Required Texas Education Agency Schedules	
Schedule of Delinquent Taxes Receivable	61-62
National School Lunch and Breakfast Program – Budgetary Comparison Schedule	63
Debt Service Fund – Budgetary Comparison Schedule	64
Schedule of Required Responses Relative to Compensatory and Bilingual	
Education Programs	65
Internal Control and Compliance	
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other	
Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	66-67
Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over	
Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	68-70
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	71-73
Corrective Action Plan	74
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	75
Federal Awards	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	76
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	77
Schedule of Required Responses to Selected School FIRST Indicators (Unaudited)L-1	78



#### **CERTIFICATE OF BOARD**

Holliday Independent School District Name of School District	<u>Archer</u> County	<u>005-902</u> CoDist. Number
	•	
We, the undersigned, certify that the attack		
were reviewed and (check one) ap	pproved disapproved	for the year ended June 30, 2022, at
a meeting of the Board of Trustees of suc	ch school district on the	day of January, 2023
he Cl		365//
Signature of Board Secretary	Si	gnature of Board President
If the Board of Trustees disapproved of the (attach list as necessary)	ne auditor's report, the reas	son(s) for disapproving it is (are):



1401 HOLLIDAY ST., SUITE 216 P.O. Box 750 WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS 76307-0750 Ph. (940) 766-5550 FAX (940) 766-5778

MICHAEL D. EDGIN, CPA DAVID L. PARKMAN, CPA A. PAUL FLEMING, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Board of Trustees
Holliday Independent School District
P.O. Box 689
Holliday, Texas 76366

Members of the Board of Trustees:

#### Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

#### **Opinions**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Holliday Independent School District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Holliday Independent School District as of June 30, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Basis of Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and Government Auditing Standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to
  fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such
  procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures
  in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
  procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an
  opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is
  expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, budgetary comparison schedule – general fund, and schedules related to the District's participation in the Teacher Retirement System identified as Required Supplementary Information in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying other supplementary information comprised of required Texas Education Agency schedules and schedule of expenditures of federal awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the other supplementary information comprised of required Texas Education Agency schedules and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the Schedule of Required Responses to Selected School FIRST Indicators but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements does not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 23, 2023, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Respectfully submitted,

Edgin, Parkman, Fleming & Fleming, PC

Edgin, Parkner, Flering: Flering, PC

January 23, 2023

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of Holliday Independent School District, we offer readers of the District's Annual Financial Report this narrative overview and analysis of the District's financial performance during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. Please read it in conjunction with the District's financial statements, which follow this section.

#### **FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

- The District's assets and deferred outflows exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows at June 30, 2022 by \$12,560,969 (net position), but the District had a deficit unrestricted net position of \$2,015,651. This deficit is due to the Governmental Accounting Standards Board's (GASB's) mandated inclusion in the financial statements of the District's hypothetical shares of Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) pension-related liabilities and Texas Public School Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care) other post-employment benefit (OPEB) plan-related liabilities. Additional information may be found in Notes H and I in the Notes to the Financial Statements.
- During the year, the District's total net position increased by \$2,802,375. The District's expenses, which totaled \$12,263,188, were less than the District's program revenues of \$1,096,592 and general revenues of \$13,968,971.
- The total cost of the District's programs decreased \$320,351 from last fiscal year.
- The governmental funds reported a fund balance as of June 30, 2022 of \$4,390,680, which is an increase of \$1,210,180 in comparison with the prior year amount.
- As of June 30, 2022, the unassigned fund balance of the General Fund was \$2,741,694, or 22% of the total General Fund expenditures.

#### **OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the basic financial statements. This report also contains required supplementary information and other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements.

#### **Government-Wide Financial Statements**

All of the District's services are reported in the government-wide financial statements, including instruction, student support services, student transportation, general administration, school leadership, and food services. Property taxes and state or federal aid finance most of the activities. Additionally, all capital and any debt financing activities are reported here.

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers a broad overview of the District's finances in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The *statement of net position* presents information on all the District's assets and deferred outflows, and its liabilities and deferred inflows, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time,

increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the District's financial position is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities details how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Therefore, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g. uncollected property taxes).

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

The District uses fund accounting to keep track of specific sources of funding and spending for particular purposes. The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the District's most significant *funds* – not the District as a whole. Some funds are required by state law and/or bond covenants. Other funds may be established by the District to control and manage money for particular purposes or to evidence appropriate use of certain taxes, grants, and other special revenues.

All of the funds of the District can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on short-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the District's short-term financing requirements.

Because the focus on *governmental funds* is narrower than that of government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for *governmental funds* with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the District's short-term financing decisions. Reconciliations are provided for both the governmental fund balance sheet and statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances. These reconciliations facilitate the comparison between *governmental funds* and *governmental activities*.

The District maintained multiple governmental funds in fiscal year 2021-2022. Information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, the ESSER III Fund, and the Debt Service Fund, which are considered to be major funds. Financial data for the other governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation.

The *proprietary fund* is used to account for operations that are financed similar to those in the private sector. This fund provides both long- and short-term financial information. The District maintains only one type of proprietary fund, the *internal service fund*. The *internal service fund* is a device used to accumulate and allocate costs internally among the various functions. The District uses the *internal service fund* to report the activities for its self-funded workers' compensation program.

**Fiduciary funds** are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside of the District. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the District's programs. The District is the trustee, or *fiduciary*, for these funds and is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their

intended purposes. All the District's fiduciary activities are reported in a separate statement of fiduciary assets and liabilities.

#### **Notes to the Basic Financial Statements**

The notes to the basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to obtain a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### Other Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements. Immediately following the required supplementary information is the other supplementary information which includes schedules required by the Texas Education Agency.

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

As noted previously, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. Exhibited below in Table 1 is the District's net position summarized for the *governmental activities* as of June 30, 2022 and 2021.

Table 1 - District's Net Position

	Governmental Activities					
	2022	2021	Change	% Change		
Current and other assets	\$ 6,021,878	\$ 5,046,627	\$ 975,251	19%		
Capital assets, net	28,329,983	27,502,930	827,053	3%		
Total Assets	34,351,861	32,549,557	1,802,304	6%		
Deferred loss on refunding	105,547	116,466	(10,919)	-9%		
Pension-related outflows	814,430	943,608	(129,178)	-14%		
OPEB-related outflows	1,084,328	982,320	102,008	10%		
<b>Total Deferred Outflows</b>	2,004,305	2,042,394	(38,089)	-2%		
Current liabilities	1,724,639	1,928,933	(204,294)	-11%		
Noncurrent liabilities	19,020,857	20,709,517	(1,688,660)	-8%		
Total Liabilities	20,745,496	22,638,450	(1,892,954)	-8%		
Pension-related inflows	1,171,423	278,544	892,879	321%		
OPEB-related inflows	1,878,278	1,916,358_	(38,080)	-2%		
<b>Total Deferred Inflows</b>	3,049,701	2,194,902	854,799	39%		
Net position:						
Invested in capital assets,						
net of related debt	13,214,609	11,694,633	1,519,976	13%		
Restricted	1,362,011	1,231,397	130,614	11%		
Unrestricted	(2,015,651)	(3,167,431)	1,151,780	-36%		
<b>Total Net Position</b>	\$ 12,560,969	\$ 9,758,599	\$ 2,802,370	29%		

Investment in capital assets (e.g. land, buildings, furniture, and equipment) less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding is \$13,214,609. The District uses these capital assets to provide services to students; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the District's investment in capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

A portion of the District's net position, \$1,362,011, represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. This leaves a deficit unrestricted net position of \$2,015,651.

#### **Changes in Net Position**

The District's total revenues, both program and general, were \$15,065,563. Property taxes accounted for 37% of the District's revenues. State aid formula grants provided over 54% of the revenues, and about 6% came from operating grants, while less than 2% relates to charges for services. Exhibited below in Table 2 are the District's revenues for the years ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 for the District's governmental activities.

Table 2 - District's Revenues

	Governme			nment	al Ad		
	2022		Perc	ent		2021	Percent
Charges for services	\$	174,850	1.	2%	\$	161,749	1.1%
Operating grants and contributions		921,742	6.	1%		1,280,856	9.0%
Property taxes		5,504,434	36.	5%		5,289,526	37.0%
State aid formula grants		8,166,318	54.	2%		7,352,023	51.4%
Grants and contributions not restricted		196,020	1.	3%		148,293	1.0%
Investment earnings		14,385	0.	1%		16,781	0.1%
Miscellaneous		87,814	0.	6%		61,591	0.4%
Total Revenues	\$1	15,065,563	100	0%	\$ 1	14,310,819	100.0%

Exhibited below in Table 3 are the District's expenses for the years ended June 30, 2022 and 2021 for the District's *governmental activities*. The total cost of all programs and services was \$12,263,188. Instructional and related costs totaled 56% of these costs, while student support was 18% and nonstudent support was 11%.

Table 3 - District's Expenses

	Governmental Activities						
	2022	Percent	2021	Percent			
Instructional & related	\$ 6,940,063	56.6%	\$ 7,473,756	59.4%			
Leadership	538,735	4.4%	561,390	4.5%			
Student support	2,210,025	18.0%	1,958,955	15.6%			
Administrative support	391,874	3.2%	400,910	3.2%			
Nonstudent support	1,383,942	11.3%	1,369,514	10.9%			
Other	798,549	6.5%	819,014	6.4%			
Total Expenses	\$ 12,263,188	100.0%	\$ 12,583,539	100.0%			

#### **Governmental Activities**

Table 4 presents the various revenue categories and gross costs of each of the District's functional areas for both the current and prior year. Following the table, explanations for significant or unusual fluctuations between the two years are provided.

Table 4 - Changes in Net Position

	Governmental Activities				
	2022	2021	Change	% Change	
Revenues:					
Program revenues:					
Charges for services	\$ 174,850	\$ 161,749	\$ 13,101	8%	
Operating grants and contributions	921,742	1,280,856	(359,114)	-28%	
General revenues:					
Property taxes	5,504,434	5,289,526	214,908	4%	
State aid formula grants	8,166,318	7,352,023	814,295	11%	
Grants and contributions not restricted	196,020	148,293	47,727	32%	
Investment earnings	14,385	16,781	(2,396)	-14%	
Miscellaneous	87,814	61,591	26,223	43%	
Total revenues	15,065,563	14,310,819	754,744	5%	
Expenses:					
Instruction	6,702,329	7,280,276	(577,947)	-8%	
Instructional resources and media services	149,822	162,280	(12,458)	-8%	
Curriculum and staff development	87,912	31,200	56,712	182%	
School leadership	538,735	561,390	(22,655)	-4%	
Guidance, counseling, and evaluation services	374,766	290,725	84,041	29%	
Social work services	=	32,461	(32,461)	-100%	
Health services	116,829	117,399	(570)	0%	
Student transportation	295,158	289,358	5,800	2%	
Food services	383,358	349,910	33,448	10%	
Cocurricular/extracurricular activities	1,039,914	879,102	160,812	18%	
General administration	391,874	400,910	(9,036)	-2%	
Facilities maintenance and operations	1,105,220	1,042,700	62,520	6%	
Security and monitoring	39,467	52,827	(13,360)	-25%	
Data processing services	239,255	273,987	(34,732)	-13%	
Interest on long-term debt	580,574	599,178	(18,604)	-3%	
Bond issuance costs and fees	3,500	3,500		0%	
Payments to shared service arrangements	91,940	97,131	(5,191)	-5%	
Other intergovernmental charges	122,535	119,205	3,330	3%	
Total expenses	12,263,188	12,583,539	(320,351)	-3%	
Increase (decrease) in net position	\$2,802,375	\$1,727,280	\$ 1,075,095	62%	

- Operating grants and contributions decreased \$359,114 mostly due to adjustments related to TRS
  pension-related liabilities, offset by usage of Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief
  (ESSER) grants.
- State aid formula grants increased \$814,295 due to increases in average daily attendance, special education funding, career and technical education funding, and property value audit revenues.
- Instruction decreased \$577,947 due to adjustments related to TRS pension-related liabilities, offset by staff raises and the addition of a new third grade section.
- Guidance, counseling, and evaluation services increased \$84,041 due to the addition of a counselor position at the middle school.
- Cocurricular/extracurricular activities increased \$160,812 mostly due to increases in travel costs resulting from increased cost allocations and state marching band travel.

Table 5 presents the net cost of the District's governmental functions (total cost less fees generated by the activities and intergovernmental aid). The net cost reflects what was funded by local tax dollars, state aid, and other miscellaneous general revenues.

**Table 5 - Net Cost of District Functions** 

	Governmental Activities						
	2022	Percent	2021	Percent			
Instructional & related	\$ 6,587,603	59.0%	\$ 6,706,686	60.2%			
Leadership	542,473	4.9%	522,576	4.7%			
Student support	1,509,273	13.5%	1,452,327	13.0%			
Administrative support	393,384	3.5%	367,726	3.3%			
Nonstudent support	1,387,270	12.4%	1,315,226	11.8%			
Other	746,593	6.7%	776,393	7.0%			
Total Expenses	\$ 11,166,596	100.0%	\$ 11,140,934	100.0%			

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT'S FUNDS

As previously stated, the District uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements and segregation for particular purposes.

#### **Governmental Funds**

The focus of the District's governmental funds is to provide information on short-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the District's financing requirements. In particular, *unassigned fund balance* may serve as a useful measure of the District's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of June 30, 2022, the District's governmental funds reported a combined ending fund balance of \$4,390,680, an increase of \$1,210,180 from the previous year. Table 6 illustrates the fund balances of the governmental funds.

Table 6 - Governmental Funds - Fund Balances
June 30, 2022

	General Fund		Debt Service Fund		Other Funds		Totals	
Nonspendable Fund Balances:	-	runu		unu	-	Tulius	-	TOtals
•	_		_				_	
Prepaid Items	\$	34,334	\$	200	\$	<u>=</u>	\$	34,334
Restricted Fund Balances:								
Fed/State Grant Restrictions				20		64,327		64,327
Retirement of Long-Term Debt			1,4	90,576		-	•	1,490,576
Assigned Fund Balances:								
Campus Activity Funds		=		-		59,749		59,749
Unassigned	2	,741,694		157				2,741,694
Total Fund Balances	\$2	,776,028	\$ 1,4	90,576	\$	124,076	\$ 4	4,390,680

#### General Fund

As of June 30, 2022, the ending fund balance for the General Fund was \$2,776,028, of which \$2,741,694 was unassigned. The unassigned fund balance represents 22% of the total General Fund expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2022. Total fund balance increased \$1,074,829 in the current fiscal year.

General Fund revenues totaled \$13,329,742, an increase of \$1,009,564 from the preceding year. The increase was due to a local revenue increase of \$132,750, a state revenue increase of \$829,087, and a federal revenue increase of \$47,727. The state revenue increase was primarily due to increases in average daily attendance, special education funding, career and technical education funding, and property value audit revenues.

General Fund expenditures totaled \$12,254,851, a decrease of \$1,404,776 from the preceding year. The functional categories that changed the most were as follows:

- Cocurricular/extracurricular activities increased \$182,738 mostly due to increases in travel costs resulting from increased cost allocations and state marching band travel.
- Facilities maintenance and operations increased \$107,076 due to increased costs for payroll and insurance, softball and baseball field renovations, and an air conditioner replacement at the gym.
- Capital outlay decreased \$1,800,068. This decrease is due to the difference in prior year costs for an addition to the elementary school, a new bus barn, a new bus depot, and the addition to and renovation of the band hall and current year costs for renovations to the band hall, the ag sciences building, and the science annex.

#### **ESSER III Fund**

For 2021-22, the ESSER III Fund is a major reportable fund. The ESSER III Fund is funded by the ARP ESSER III grant. The revenues and expenditures of the fund were \$214,523, an increase of \$212,210 from the prior year when grant was awarded. These expenditures included reading academy fees and stipends and payroll costs for summer school, a new middle school counselor position, a new middle school reading teacher position, and retention stipends.

#### **Debt Service Fund**

As of June 30, 2022, the ending fund balance for the Debt Service Fund was \$1,490,576, an increase of \$58,910 from the prior year. Revenues increased \$79,631 from the prior year due to increased tax collections due to an increase in property values.

#### **Other Governmental Funds**

Other governmental funds consist of the various special revenue funds, with the exception of the ESSER III Fund. The total ending fund balance for all special revenues combined was \$124,076, an increase of \$76,441 from the prior year. The National School Breakfast and Lunch Program accounted for \$64,327 of the ending fund balance, while campus activity funds accounted for \$59,749.

Revenues in other governmental funds increased \$204,400 due to increased food service revenue (meals were free to all students all year and reimbursement rates were increased) and the other new ESSER grants.

Expenditures in other governmental funds increased \$117,462 due to increased food service expenditures, the usage of grants to assist teachers and aides with qualified higher education costs, and the usage of a grant to cover payroll for the assistant school nurse position.

#### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

Over the course of the fiscal year, the District revised its budget several times. Final actual expenditures were \$524,598 below the final budget amount of \$12,779,449. The only significant variance was in the area of capital outlay (\$106,134) due to ongoing construction. Resources available were \$545,293 above the final budgeted amount. Variance in local revenues (\$115,259) was mostly due to higher than estimated tax collections, while variance in state program revenues (\$319,014) was primarily due to unbudgeted property value audit revenues, which were significant in the current year. Variance in federal program revenues (\$111,020) was due to an unbudgeted increase in School Health and Related Services (SHARS) revenue.

As noted, the original budget was amended throughout the year. Most budget line items in the original budget were not materially different than in the final adopted budget. However, state revenues increased \$791,931 due to increases in average daily attendance, special education funding, and career and technical education funding. Instruction decreased \$160,000 mostly due to moving payroll costs to the new ESSER grants. Cocurricular/extracurricular activities increased \$113,594 due to donations and travel costs. Facilities maintenance and operations increased \$138,819 due to increased insurance cost, softball and baseball field renovations, new custodial machines, and a gym air conditioner replacement. Capital outlay increased \$549,260 due to new and ongoing construction.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### **Capital Assets**

At June 30, 2022, the District had invested in a broad range of capital assets totaling \$28,329,983, net of accumulated depreciation, including land, buildings, and furniture and equipment. See Table 7 below.

Table 7 - Capital Assets, Net

	2022	2021	Change	% Change
Land	\$ 175,173	\$ 175,173	\$ -	0%
Buildings and improvements	24,831,365	23,720,808	1,110,557	5%
Furniture and equipment	1,064,147	1,095,651	(31,504)	-3%
Furniture and equipment,				
right-to-use assets	62,514	76,106	(13,592)	-18%
Construction in progress	2,196,784	2,435,192	(238,408)	-10%
Totals	\$ 28,329,983	\$ 27,502,930	\$ 827,053	3%

Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, increased \$827,053 or 3% from the previous year. Additional information about the District's capital assets is presented in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### **Long-term Debt**

At June 30, 2022, the District had \$15,220,922 in bonds (including bond premiums) and right-to-use liabilities outstanding as shown in Table 8.

Table 8 - Long-term Debt

	2022	2021	 Change	% Change
General obligation bonds	\$ 14,760,000	\$ 15,425,000	\$ (665,000)	-4%
Premium on sale of bonds	398,363	423,818	(25,455)	-6%
Right-to-use lease liabilities	62,559	75,946	(13,387)	-18%
Totals	\$ 15,220,922	\$ 15,924,764	\$ (703,842)	-4%

The District's bonds presently carry "A+" ratings from Standard & Poor's, Additional information about the District's long-term debt is presented in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### **ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES**

The following indicators were taken into account when adopting the 2022-2023 General Fund budget.

- Estimated net taxable value used for the 2022-2023 budget preparation was up to \$490 million from the \$438 million certified net taxable value the prior year, an increase of 11.8%.
- General Fund spending per student will be approximately \$385 more in the 2022-2023 budget than in the 2021-2022 fiscal year.
- The District's refined average daily attendance used for the 2022-2023 budget preparation was 1,079, the same as the 2021-2022 refined average daily attendance.

Amounts available for appropriation in the General Fund's budget are \$12,675,714, a decrease of 1% from the final 2021-2022 budget of \$12,784,449.

Expenditures in most budget categories are similar to the previous fiscal year, with the total 2022-2023 expenditure budget of \$12,670,714 decreasing 1% below the final 2021-2022 expenditure budget of \$12,779,449.

If these estimates are realized, the General Fund's fund balance would not change by June 30, 2023.

#### CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the District's Business Office.



STATEMENT OF NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2022

Data		1.
Control		Covernmental
Codes		Governmental Activities
	Assets	Activities
1110	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,013,707
1120	Current Investments	273,825
1225	Property taxes receivable, net	104,017
1240	Due from other governments	2,544,908
1290	Other receivables	51,087
1410	Unrealized expenses	34,334
	Capital assets:	34,004
1510	Land	175,173
1520	Buildings and improvements, net	24,831,365
1530	Furniture and equipment, net	1,064,147
1550	Right-to-use leased furniture and equipment, net	62,514
1580	Construction in progress	2,196,784
1000	Total assets	34,351,861
1000	Total accord	34,001,001
	Deferred outflows of resources	
1701	Deferred loss on refunding	105,547
1705	Pension-related outflows	814,430
1706	OPEB-related outflows	1,084,328
1700	Total deferred outflows of resources	2,004,305
		» <del>=</del> »
	Liabilities	
2110	Accounts payable	429,526
2140	Accrued interest	217,708
2150	Payroll deductions & withholdings	1,967
2160	Accrued wages payable	854,195
2180	Due to other governments	100
2200	Accrued expenses	61,246
2300	Unearned revenues	159,897
	Noncurrent liabilities:	
2501	Portion due or payable within one year	723,885
2502	Portion due or payable after one year	14,497,037
2540	Net pension liability	1,099,520
2545	Net OPEB liability	2,700,415
2000	Total liabilities	20,745,496
	Deferred inflows of resources	
2605	Pension-related inflows	1 171 400
2606	OPEB-related inflows	1,171,423
2600	Total deferred inflows of resources	1,878,278
2000	Total deletted littlows of resources	3,049,701
	Net Position	
3200	Net investment in capital assets	13,214,609
	Restricted for:	
3820	State and federal programs	64,327
3850	Debt service	1,297,684
3900	Unrestricted	(2,015,651)
3000	Total net position	\$ 12,560,969
		;=====================================

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

			1		3		4		6
								N	et (Expense)
								R	evenue and
					_	_			Changes in
D-4-				-	Program				Net Position
Data							perating	_	
Control	Functions/Decrees		_		arges for		rants and	G	overnmental
Codes	Functions/Programs		Expenses	-	Services	_ Co	ntributions	-	Activities
44	Governmental activities:	•	0.700.000					_	
11	Instruction	\$	6,702,329	\$	30.1	\$	319,568	\$	(6,382,761)
12	Instructional resources and media services		149,822		35.1		(939)		(150,761)
13	Curriculum and staff development		87,912		355		33,831		(54,081)
23	School leadership		538,735		25		(3,738)		(542,473)
31	Guidance, counseling, & evaluation services		374,766		:50		78,171		(296,595)
33	Health services		116,829		358		39,578		(77,251)
34	Student transportation		295,158		20.		(1,691)		(296,849)
35	Food services		383,358		21,034		412,615		50,291
36	Cocurricular/extracurricular activities		1,039,914		153,816		(2,771)		(888,869)
41	General administration		391,874		100		(1,510)		(393,384)
51	Facilities maintenance and operations		1,105,220		(2)		(3,265)		(1,108,485)
52	Security and monitoring		39,467		520		1,316		(38,151)
53	Data processing services		239,255		5€8		(1,379)		(240,634)
72	Interest on long-term debt		580,574		(4)		51,956		(528,618)
73	Bond issuance costs and fees		3,500		(#)(		(€)		(3,500)
93	Payments to shared service arrangements		91,940		(=);		(€)		(91,940)
99	Other intergovernmental charges		122,535		@0		(#.:		(122,535)
TG	Total governmental activities	\$	12,263,188	\$	174,850	\$	921,742		(11,166,596)
	General revenues:								
MT	Property taxes, levied for general purposes								4,232,649
DT	Property taxes, levied for debt service								1,271,785
SF	State Aid-Formula Grants								8,166,318
GC	Grants and contributions not restricted to spe	ecific	programs						196,020
ΙE	Investment earnings		p.og.ae						14,385
М	Miscellaneous								87,814
TR	Total general revenues								13,968,971
	g								10,000,011
CN	Change in net position								2,802,375
NB	Net position - beginning								9,758,594
NE	Net position - ending							\$	12,560,969

BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2022

			10		
Data					
Control			General	E	SSER III
Codes			Fund		Fund
	Assets	*			
1110	Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,369,346	\$	2
1120	Investments		252,934		-
1225	Property taxes receivable, net		79,200		2
1240	Due from other governments		2,047,663		195,834
1260	Due from other funds		335,958		¥
1290	Other receivables		51,087		9
1410	Unrealized expenditures		34,334		
1000	Total assets	\$	4,170,522	\$	195,834
		-		1	
	Liabilities				
	Current liabilities:				
2110	Accounts payable	\$	399,412	\$	5.5%
2150	Payroll deductions and withholdings		1,967		(40)
2160	Accrued wages payable		790,665		8,757
2170	Due to other funds				187,077
2180	Due to other governments		8.58		300
2200	Accrued expenses		48,250		•
2300	Unearned revenue	-	75,000		
2000	Total liabilities	-	1,315,294		195,834
	Deferred inflows of resources				
	Unavailable property taxes		79,200		220
2600	Total deferred inflows of resources	-	79,200		
2000	Total doloned innews of resources	S-	70,200	-	
	Fund balances				
	Nonspendable fund balances:				
3430	Prepaid items		34,334		(a)
	Restricted fund balances:				
3450	Federal/state funds grant restrictions		=		100
3480	Retirement of long-term debt		*		
	Assigned fund balances:				
3590	Campus activity funds		8		•
3600	Unassigned		2,741,694		5.00
3000	Total fund balances	·	2,776,028		
	Tatal Cabilling of County of Co				
4000	Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances	¢	4 170 E22	æ	105 924
4000	and fund balances	\$	4,170,522	<u>\$</u>	195,834

50 Debt Service Fund	Other Governmental Funds	98 Total Governmental Funds
\$ 1,588,341 20,891 24,817 2,303	\$ 2,354 - - 299,108 -	\$ 2,960,041 273,825 104,017 2,544,908 335,958
=	ŧ	51,087
\$ 1,636,352	\$ 301,462	34,334 \$ 6,304,170
	<b>*</b> 0.004	f 400 400
\$ -	\$ 9,694	\$ 409,106 1,967
<u> </u>	54,773	854,195
35,962	112,919	335,958
100		100
-		48,250
84,897	<u> </u>	159,897
120,959	177,386	1,809,473
24,817		104,017
24,817	=======================================	104,017
-	<b>4</b>	34,334
	64,327	64,327
1,490,576	*	1,490,576
<u> </u>	59,749	59,749
	<u> </u>	2,741,694
1,490,576	124,076	4,390,680
\$ 1,636,352	\$ 301,462	\$ 6,304,170



RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data			
Control Codes			
Codes	Total fund balances - governmental funds (Exhibit C-1)		\$ 4,390,680
	Amounts reported for <i>governmental activities</i> in the Statement of Net Position (Exhibit A are different because:	1)	
	Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore reported in the funds. Capital assets at year-end consist of:	re not	
	Gross capital assets \$	37,969,258	
1	Related accumulated depreciation	9,639,275	28,329,983
	Property taxes receivable are not available to pay for current period expenditures and		
2	therefore are reported as deferred inflows of resources in the funds.		104,017
	Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore		
	not reported as liabilities in the funds. Long-term liabilities at year-end consist of:		
	Right-to-use leases payable	62,559	
	General obligation bonds	14,760,000	
	Premiums on sale of bonds	398,363	
3	Deferred loss on refunding	(105,547)	(15,115,375)
	Interest is accrued on outstanding debt in the government-wide financial statements,		
	whereas in the governmental fund financial statements, interest expenditures are		
4	recorded only when due.		(217,708)
	The District's net pension and OPEB liabilities and related deferred outflows and inflor related to its proportionate share of the Teacher Retirement System pension and OP		
	plans are not due and payable in the current period and are, therefore, not reported		
	governmental funds financial statements. These items consist of:		
	Net pension liability	1,099,520	
	Deferred outflows - pension related items	(814,430)	
	Deferred inflows - pension related items	1,171,423	
	Net OPEB liability	2,700,415	
	Deferred outflows - OPEB related items	(1,084,328)	
5	Deferred inflows - OPEB related items	1,878,278	(4,950,878)
Ū	·	1,010,210	(4,900,010)
	An internal service fund is used by management to charge the costs of worker's		
	compensation claims to the individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal		
6	service fund are included with the governmental activities.		 20,250
19	Total net position - governmental activities (Exhibit A-1)		\$ 12,560,969

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

		10	
Data			
Control		General	ESSER III
Codes	Beveryes	Fund	Fund
5700	Revenues  Local and intermediate sources	¢ 4 404 309	¢.
5700		\$ 4,401,398	\$ -
5800	State program revenues	8,732,324	044.500
5900	Federal program revenues	196,020	214,523
5020	Total revenues	13,329,742_	214,523
	Expenditures		
0011	Instruction	6,324,538	122,652
0012	Instructional resources and media services	147,937	<u> </u>
0013	Curriculum and staff development	48,050	11,729
0023	School leadership	535,529	8
0031	Guidance, counseling, & evaluation services	288,688	80,142
0033	Health services	73,784	
0034	Student transportation	381,494	*
0035	Food services	8,051	¥
0036	Cocurricular/extracurricular activities	924,554	≅
0041	General administration	378,738	2
0051	Facilities maintenance and operations	1,097,804	
0052	Security and monitoring services	36,549	*
0053	Data processing services	234,420	*
0071	Principal on long-term debt	13,387	=
0072	Interest on long-term debt	2,271	2
0073	Bond issuance costs and fees	•	3
0081	Capital outlay	1,544,582	-
0093	Payments to shared service arrangements	91,940	-
0099	Other intergovernmental charges	122,535	=
6030	Total expenditures	12,254,851	214,523
1100	Excess of revenues over expenditures	1,074,891	
	Other Financing Sources (Uses)		
7915	Transfers in	tæ:	-
8911	Transfers out	(62)	
7080	Total other financing sources (uses)	(62)	12/
1200	Net change in fund balance	1,074,829	æ.
0100	Fund balances - beginning	1,701,199	<u>≔0</u>
3000	Fund balances - ending	\$ 2,776,028	\$ -

50				98
Debt		Other		Total
Service	Gov	vernmental	Go	vernmental
Fund		Funds		Funds
\$ 1,275,789	\$	106,110	\$	5,783,297
51,956		34,263		8,818,543
) <u> </u>	9	689,251		1,099,794
1,327,745		829,624		15,701,634
//8.		245,294		6,692,484
5 <del>(1</del>		34.5		147,937
X <del>6</del>		22,117		81,896
· ·				535,529
42		-		368,830
ě		40,006		113,790
		152		381,494
15		371,547		379,598
*		72,809		997,363
₩.		:=31		378,738
<i>≅</i>		20		1,097,804
		1,472		38,021
5		:=0		234,420
665,000		9 <del>#</del> 31		678,387
600,335		( <b>€</b> )		602,606
3,500		2		3,500
=		27		1,544,582
ĕ				91,940
		:5:		122,535
1,268,835		753,245		14,491,454
58,910		76,379		1,210,180
<del>-</del> -		62		62
				(62)
		62		# 4
58,910		76,441		1,210,180
4 404 000		47.00-		0.400.500
1,431,666	-	47,635	-	3,180,500
\$ 1,490,576	\$	124,076	\$	4,390,680

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Amounts reported for <i>governmental activities</i> in the Statement of Activities (Exhibit B-1) are different because:  Capital outlays are reported in governmental funds as expenditures. However, in the Statement	
Capital outlays are reported in governmental funds as expenditures. However, in the Statement	
of Activities, the cost of those assets are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. The net difference between the two is as follows:	
Capital outlay during the year \$ 1,720,388 Depreciation expense for the year 893,335	827,053
Because property tax receivables will not be collected for several months after the District's fiscal year ends, they are not considered 'available' revenues and are deferred in the governmental funds. Deferred inflows increased by this amount this year.	(1,814)
Repayment of long-term debt principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. Current year long-term debt principal payments are summarized as follows:	
General obligation bonds 665,000 Right-to-use leases payable 13,387	678,387
The amortization of bond proceed premiums is only reported in the Statement of Activities	25,455
Interest is accrued on long-term debt in the government-wide financial statements, whereas interest is reported when due in the governmental fund financial statements. Accrued interest decreased by:	7,496
Amortization of deferred losses on refundings is only reported on the government-wide financial statements. Current amortization is:	(10,919)
The District participates in a defined benefit pension plan. Contributions to the plan are expenditures at the fund level when payments are due. At the government-wide level, pension expenses are recognized on an actuarial basis. Payments were more than the actuarial expense in the current year.	46,117
The District participates in an OPEB plan through TRS. Contributions to the plan are expenditures at the fund level when payments are due. At the government-wide level, OPEB expenses are recognized on an actuarial basis. Payments were more than the actuarial expense in the current year.	56,732
An internal service fund is used by management to charge the costs of worker's compensation claims to the individual funds. The net loss of the internal service fund is reported with governmental activities.	(36,312)
	2,802,375

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION INTERNAL SERVICE FUND JUNE 30, 2022

Data Contro Codes	ol S Assets	- ' -	Ac W Com	ernmental ctivities forkers pensation Fund
4440	Current assets:		•	50.000
1110	•	1 <del>5</del>	\$	53,666
	Total current assets			53,666
1000	Total assets	-		53,666
	Liabilities Current liabilities:			
2110	Accounts payable			20,420
2200	Accrued expenses	_		12,996
	Total current liabilities			33,416
2000	Total liabilities			33,416
3900 3000			\$	20,250 20,250

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION - INTERNAL SERVICE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data		Governmental Activities	
			orkers
Control			pensation
Codes			Fund
	Operating revenues:		
5700	Local and intermediate sources	\$	-
5020	Total operating revenues		8
	Operating expenses:		
6200	Professional and contracted services		22,910
6400	Other operating costs		13,402
6030	Total operating expenses		36,312
1300	Change in net position		(36,312)
0100	Net position - beginning		56,562
3300	Net position - ending	\$	20,250

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS INTERNAL SERVICE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	Governmental Activities Workers Compensation Fund	
Cash flows from operating activities: Cash payments for claims Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services Net cash used by operating activities	\$	(17,386) (10,461) (27,847)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(27,847)
Cash and Cash Equivalents - Beginning Cash and Cash Equivalents - Ending	\$	81,513 53,666
Reconciliation of change in net position to net cash used by operating activities:  Change in net position  Adjustments to reconcile change in net position to net cash used by operating activities:  Increase (decrease) in liabilities:	\$	(36,312)
Accounts payable Accrued expenses Total adjustments Net cash used by operating activities	\$	5,524 2,941 8,465 (27,847)

**STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2022** 

		ustodial Funds
	_	Student Activity
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	91,244
Total assets		91,244
Liabilities		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable		568
Total liabilities		568
Net Position		
Restricted for other purposes		90,676
Total net position	\$	90,676

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	Custodial Funds	
	Student Activity	
Additions:		
Student activity additions	\$	126,203
Total additions	-	126,203
Deductions: Student activity deductions Total deductions	:	127,403 127,403
Change in net position		(1,200)
Net position - beginning		91,876
Net position - ending	\$	90,676
	_	55,070

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

### A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The basic financial statements of Holliday Independent School District (District) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) applicable to governmental units in conjunction with the Texas Education Agency's Financial Accountability System Resource Guide (Resource Guide). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

### 1. Reporting Entity

The Board of School Trustees (Board), a seven-member group, has governance responsibilities over all activities related to public elementary and secondary education within the jurisdiction of the District. The Board is elected by the public and has the exclusive power and duty to govern and oversee the management of the public schools of the District. All powers and duties not specifically delegated by statute to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) or to the State Board of Education are reserved for the Board, and the TEA may not substitute its judgment for the lawful exercise of those powers and duties by the Board. The District receives funding from local, state and federal government sources and must comply with the requirements of those funding entities. However, the District is not included in any other governmental reporting entity and there are no component units included within the District's reporting entity.

### 2. Basis of Presentation, Basis of Accounting

### Basis of Presentation

Government-wide Financial Statements: The statement of net position and the statement of activities include the financial activities of the overall government, except for fiduciary activities. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double-counting of internal activities. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange transactions.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function of the District's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. The District does not allocate indirect expenses in the statement of activities. Program revenues include (a) fees, fines, and charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements: The fund financial statements provide information about the District's funds, with separate statements presented for each fund category. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major governmental funds, each displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

Proprietary fund operating revenues, such as charges for services, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the fund. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Nonoperating revenues, such as subsidies and investment earnings, result from nonexchange transactions or ancillary activities.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund: This is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the District except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

ESSER III Fund: The Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund III (ESSER III) fund is to account for revenues and expenditures associated with grant money received in response to the COVID-19

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

pandemic. Specifically, the grant is to address learning loss and the disproportionate impact of the coronavirus on certain student subgroups, identify and provide homeless children and youth with services in light of challenges of the coronavirus, and enable homeless children and youth to attend school and participate fully in school activities.

Debt Service Fund: This fund accounts for ad valorem taxes accumulated from the Interest & Sinking levies and expenditures for the repayment of bond principal, interest, and paying agent fees.

In addition, the District reports the following fund types:

#### Governmental Funds:

Special Revenue Funds: The District accounts for activities funded with restricted or committed revenues in special revenue funds. Most federal and state grant programs are accounted for in these funds.

## Proprietary Funds:

Internal Service Funds: These funds are used to account for revenues and expenses related to services provided to parties inside the District. These funds facilitate distribution of support costs to the users of support services on a cost-reimbursement basis. Because the principal users of the internal services are the District's governmental activities, this fund type is included in the "Governmental Activities" column of the government-wide financial statements.

### Fiduciary Funds:

Custodial Funds: The District accounts for resources held for others in a custodial capacity in a custodial fund. The District's Custodial Fund is the Student Activity Fund.

Fiduciary funds are reported in the fiduciary fund financial statements. However, because their assets are held in a trustee or agent capacity and are therefore not available to support District programs, these funds are not included in the government-wide statements.

### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting

Government-wide, Proprietary, and Fiduciary Fund Financial Statements: These financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus. The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Nonexchange transactions, in which the District gives (or receives) value without directly receiving (or giving) equal value in exchange, include property taxes, grants, entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements: Governmental funds are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when measurable and available. The District considers all revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if the revenues are collected within sixty days after year end. Revenues from local sources consist primarily of property taxes. Property tax revenues and revenues received from the State are recognized under the susceptible-to-accrual concept. Miscellaneous revenues are recorded as revenue when received in cash because they are generally not measurable until actually received. Investment earnings are recorded as earned, since they are both measurable and available. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for principal and interest on general long-term debt, claims and judgments, and compensated absences, which are recognized as expenditures to the

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

extent they have matured. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as expenditures in governmental funds. Proceeds of general long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as other financing sources.

When the District incurs an expenditure or expense for which both restricted and unrestricted resources may be used, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources.

### 3. Financial Statement Amounts

### a. Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, highly liquid investments are considered to be cash equivalents if they have a maturity of three months or less when purchased.

## b. Investment Accounting Policy

The District's general policy is to report money market investments and short-term participating interest-earning investment contracts at amortized cost and to report nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts using a cost-based measure. However, if the fair value of an investment is significantly affected by the impairment of the credit standing of the issuer or by other factors, it is reported at fair value. All other investments are reported at fair value unless a legal contract exists which guarantees a higher value. The term "short-term" refers to investments which have a remaining term of one year or less at time of purchase. The term "nonparticipating" means that the investment's value does not vary with market interest rate charges. Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit are examples of nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts.

## c. Property Taxes

Property taxes are levied by October 1 on the assessed value listed as of the prior January 1 for all real and business personal property in conformity with Subtitle E, Texas Property Tax Code. Taxes are due on receipt of the tax bill and are delinquent if not paid before February 1 of the year following the year in which imposed. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed. Property tax revenues are considered available when they become due or past due and receivable within the current period.

Allowances for uncollectible tax receivables within the General Fund are based upon historical experience in collecting property taxes. Uncollectible personal property taxes are periodically reviewed and written off, but the District is prohibited from writing off real property taxes without specific statutory authority from the Texas Legislature.

At June 30, 2022, net property taxes receivable is calculated as follows:

Gross property taxes receivable \$162,264
Allowance for uncollectible taxes (\_\_58,247)

Net property taxes receivable \$104,017

### d. Inventories and Prepaid Items

The District records purchases of supplies as expenditures, utilizing the purchase method of accounting for inventory in accordance with the Resource Guide.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

### e. Capital Assets

Purchased or constructed capital assets are reported at cost or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of the donation. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets' lives are not capitalized. A capitalization threshold of \$5,000 is used.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Asset ClassEstimated Useful LivesBuildings50 yearsBuilding Improvements10-40 yearsFurniture and Equipment5-20 yearsRight-to-use leased assets3 years

### f. Receivable and Payable Balances

The District believes that sufficient detail of receivable and payable balances is provided in the financial statements to avoid the obscuring of significant components by aggregation. Therefore, no disclosure is provided which disaggregates those balances.

There are no significant receivables, except for delinquent property taxes receivable, which are not scheduled for collection within one year of year end. The District expects to collect approximately \$80,000 of the outstanding delinquent taxes receivable balance during the upcoming year. This is similar to the delinquent tax collections collected during the year ended June 30, 2022.

### g. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

Deferred outflows of resources refers to the disposition of net assets that are applicable to a future reporting period. Deferred outflows of resources has a positive effect on net position, similar to assets. Notwithstanding the similarities, Concepts Statement 5 clearly establishes that deferred outflows of resources are not assets. Specifically for the current period, the deferred loss on refunding and certain pension and other post-employment benefit related items are considered a deferred outflow of resources.

Deferred inflows of resources refers to the acquisition of net assets that are applicable to a future reporting period. Deferred inflows of resources has a negative effect on net position, similar to liabilities. Notwithstanding the similarities, Concepts Statement 5 clearly establishes that deferred inflows of resources are not liabilities. Specifically for the current period, the difference in delinquent taxes receivable and the associated allowance for uncollectible taxes is considered a deferred inflow of resources in the General and Debt Service Funds. On the Statement of Net Position, taxes are fully recognized as revenue but there are deferred inflows related to various pension and other post-employment benefit related items.

#### h... Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

Fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Nonspendable Fund Balance - represents amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form (such as inventory or prepaid insurance) or legally required to remain intact (such as notes receivable or principal of a permanent fund).

Restricted Fund Balance - represents amounts that are constrained by external parties, constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Committed Fund Balance - represents amounts that can only be used for a specific purpose because of a formal action by the District's Board of Trustees. Committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Board of Trustees removes those constraints by taking the same type of formal action. Committed fund balance amounts may be used for other purposes with appropriate due process by the Board of Trustees. Commitments are typically done through adoption and amendment of the budget. Committed fund balance amounts differ from restricted balances in that the constraints on their use do not come from outside parties, constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation.

Assigned Fund Balance - represents amounts which the District intends to use for a specific purpose, but that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. Intent may be stipulated by the Board of Trustees or by an official or body to which the Board of Trustees delegates the authority. Specific amounts that are not restricted or committed in a special revenue, capital projects, debt service or permanent fund are assigned for purposes in accordance with the nature of their fund type or the fund's primary purpose. Assignments within the General Fund conveys that the intended use of those amounts is for a specific purpose that is narrower than the general purposes of the District itself. As of June 30, 2022, the District reports \$59,749 in assigned fund balance which relates to campus activities.

Unassigned Fund Balance - represents amounts which are unconstrained in that they may be spent for any purpose. Only the General Fund reports a positive unassigned fund balance. Other governmental funds might report a negative balance in this classification because of overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed or assigned.

When an expenditure is incurred for a purpose for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds.

### i. Net Position Flow Assumption

Sometimes the District will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g., restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted net position and unrestricted net position in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the District's policy to consider restricted net position to have been depleted before unrestricted net position is applied.

## j. Fund Balance Flow Assumptions

Sometimes the District will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources (the total of committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance). In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance in the governmental fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. It is the District's policy to consider restricted fund balance to have been depleted before using any of the components of unrestricted fund balance. Further, when the components of unrestricted fund balance can be used for the same purpose, committed fund balance is depleted first, followed by assigned fund balance. Unassigned fund balance is applied last.

### k. Interfund Activity

Interfund activity results from loans, services provided, reimbursements or transfers between funds. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures or expenses. Reimbursements occur when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting fund and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers In and Transfers Out are netted and presented as a single "Transfers"

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

line on the government-wide statement of activities. Similarly, interfund receivables and payables are netted and presented as a single "Internal Balances" line on the government-wide statement of net position.

### I. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the use of management's estimates. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

### m. Data Control Codes

Data Control Codes appear in the rows and above the columns of certain financial statements. The TEA requires the display of these codes in the financial statements filed with TEA in order to insure accuracy in building a statewide database for policy development and funding plans.

### n. New Accounting Standard Adopted

For fiscal year 2022, the District implemented GASB Statement No. 87, "Leases". GASB Statement No. 87 increases the usefulness of governments' financial statements by requiring recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. It establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset.

### 4. Pension

The fiduciary net position of the Teacher Retirement System of Texas (TRS) has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. This includes for purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, pension expense, and information about assets, liabilities, and additions to/deductions from TRS' fiduciary net position. Benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

### 5. Other Post-Employment Benefits

The fiduciary net position of the TRS Care Plan has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. This includes for purposes of measuring the net other post-employment benefit (OPEB) liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to other post-employment benefits, OPEB expense, and information about assets, liabilities and additions to/deductions from TRS Care's fiduciary net position. Benefit payments are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. There are no investments as this is a pay-as-you-go plan and all cash is held in a cash account.

## B. Compliance and Accountability

### 1. Finance-Related Legal and Contractual Provisions

The District had no violations of finance-related legal and contractual provisions for the year ended June 30, 2022.

## 2. Deficit Fund Balance or Net Position of Individual Funds

The District does not have any funds with a deficit fund balance or deficit net position.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

### Deposits and Investments

The District's funds are required to be deposited and invested under the terms of a depository contract. The depository bank deposits for safekeeping and trust with the District's agent bank approved pledged securities in an amount sufficient to protect District funds on a day-to-day basis during the period of the contract. The pledge of approved securities is waived only to the extent of the depository bank's dollar amount of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance.

### 1. Cash Deposits:

At June 30, 2022, the carrying amount of the District's deposits (cash, certificates of deposit, and interest-bearing savings accounts included in temporary investments) was \$3,352,325 and the bank balance was \$3,570,538 (including the fiduciary funds). The District's cash deposits at June 30, 2022, and during the year ended June 30, 2022, were entirely covered by FDIC insurance or by pledged collateral held by the District's agent bank in the District's name.

### Investments:

The District is required by Government Code Chapter 2256, The Public Funds Investment Act (Act), to adopt, implement, and publicize an investment policy. That policy must address the following areas: (1) safety of principal and liquidity, (2) portfolio diversification, (3) allowable investments, (4) acceptable risk levels, (5) expected rates of return, (6) maximum allowable stated maturity of portfolio investments, (7) maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed based on the stated maturity date for the portfolio, (8) investment staff quality and capabilities, and (9) bid solicitation preferences for certificates of deposit.

The Act requires an annual audit of investment practices. Audit procedures in this area conducted as a part of the audit of the basic financial statements disclosed that in the areas of investment practices, management reports and establishment of appropriate policies, the District adhered to the requirements of the Act. Additionally, investment practices of the District were in accordance with local policies.

The Act determines the types of investments which are allowable for the District. These include, with certain restrictions, 1) obligations of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. agencies, and the State of Texas, 2) certificates of deposit, 3) certain municipal securities, 4) securities lending program, 5) repurchase agreements, 6) bankers acceptances, 7) mutual funds, 8) investment pools, 9) guaranteed investment contracts, and 10) commercial paper.

The District's investments at June 30, 2022, are shown below.

	Weighted Average	
Investment or Investment Type	Maturity (Months)	<u>Fair Value</u>
Held in governmental funds:		
Lone Star Investment Pool	1	\$ 26,455
FDIC Insured Certificates of Deposit	4	247,370
Total investments		<u>\$273,825</u>

The District categorizes its fair value measurements with the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Investments that are measured at fair value using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) as a practical expedient are not classified in the fair value hierarchy below. In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels in the above fair value hierarchy, fair value measurements in their entirety are categorized based on the lowest level input that is significant to the

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

valuation. The District's assessment of the significance of particular inputs to these fair value measurements requires judgment and considers factors specific to each asset or liability.

The District values its FDIC insured certificate of deposit using Level 2 inputs using bank statements. The Lone Star Investment Pool is valued at net asset value per unit/share.

#### Public Funds Investment Pools

Public funds investment pools in Texas (Pools) are established under the authority of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 79 of the Texas Government Code, and are subject to the provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act (Act), Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code. In addition to other provisions of the Act designed to promote liquidity and safety of principal, the Act requires Pools to: 1) have an advisory board composed of participants in the pool and other persons who do not have a business relationship with the pool and are qualified to advise the pool; 2) maintain a continuous rating of no lower than AAA or AAA-m or an equivalent rating by at least one nationally recognized rating service; and 3) maintain the market value of its underlying investment portfolio within one half of one percent of the value of its shares. The District participates in the following public funds investment pool:

#### Lone Star Investment Pool

The Lone Star Investment Pool (Lone Star) is a local government investment pool organized in conformity with the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code, and operates under the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code. Lone Star is administered by First Public, a subsidiary of the Texas Association of School Boards (TASB), with Standish and American Beacon Advisors managing the investment and reinvestment of Lone Star's assets. State Street Bank provides custody and valuation services to Lone Star. All of the board of trustees' eleven members are Lone Star participants by either being employees or elected officials of a participant. Lone Star has established an advisory board composed of both pool members and non-members. Lone Star is rated AAAm by Standard and Poor's. The District is invested in the Government Overnight Fund of Lone Star which seeks to maintain a net asset value of \$1.00 per unit. Lone Star has three different funds: Government Overnight, Corporate Overnight, and Corporate Overnight Plus all maintain a net asset value of \$1.00 per unit.

### Analysis of Specific Deposit and Investment Risks

GASB Statement No. 40 requires a determination as to whether the District was exposed to the following specific investment risks at year end and if so, the reporting of certain related disclosures:

#### a. Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The ratings of securities by nationally recognized rating agencies are designed to give an indication of credit risk. At year end, the District was not significantly exposed to credit risk.

### b. Custodial Credit Risk

Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the District's name.

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by either the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the District's name.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

At year end, the District was not exposed to custodial credit risk.

### c. Concentration of Credit Risk

This risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. At year end, the District was not exposed to concentration of credit risk.

### d. Interest Rate Risk

This is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. At year end, the District was not exposed to interest rate risk.

### e. Foreign Currency Risk

This is the risk that exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. At year end, the District was not exposed to foreign currency risk.

## D. <u>Interfund Balances and Activities</u>

### 1. Due To and From Other Funds

Due To	<u>Due From</u>		_Amount_	<u>Purpose</u>
General Fund	Other Governmental Funds		\$112,919	Short-term advance
General Fund	ESSER III Fund		187,077	Short-term advance
General Fund	Debt Service Fund		<u>35,962</u>	Short-term advance
		Total	\$335.958	

All amounts are scheduled to be repaid within one year.

## 2. Transfers To and From Other Funds

Transfers to and from other funds for the year ended June 30, 2022 consisted of the following:

Transfers To	<u>Transfers From</u>	_Amount_	<u>Purpose</u>
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund	<u>\$62</u>	Cover deficit operations

## E. Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2022 was as follows:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	Beginning Balances	Increases	Decreases/ Transfers	Ending Balance
Governmental activities:				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 175,173	\$ -	s -	\$ 175,173
Construction in progress	2,435,192	1,523,655	(1,762,063)	2,196,784
Total capital assets not being depreciated	2,610,365	1,523,655	(1,762,063)	2,371,957
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings and improvements	30,493,611	20,927	1,762,063	32,276,601
Furniture and equipment	3,054,639	175,806	≅	3,230,445
Right-to-use leased furniture and equipment	90,255		<del></del>	90,255
Total capital assets being depreciated	33,638,505	196,733	1,762,063	35,597,301
Less accumulated depreciation for:		<del></del>		
Buildings and improvements	6,772,803	672,433	¥	7,445,236
Furniture and equipment	1,958,988	207,310	*	2,166,298
Right-to-use leased furniture and equipment	14,149	13,592	*	27,741
Total accumulated depreciation	8,745,940	893,335		9,639,275
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	24,892,565	( 696,602)	1,762,063	25,958,026
Governmental activities capital assets, net	<u>\$27,502,930</u>	\$ 827,053	\$	\$28,329,983

Depreciation expense was charged to functions as follows:

Governmental activities:	<b>#</b> 500.050
Instruction	\$529,252
Instructional resources and media services	11,724
Curriculum and staff development	6,490
School leadership	42,442
Guidance, counseling, & evaluation services	29,230
Health services	9,018
Student transportation	28,683
Food services	22,771
Cocurricular / extracurricular activities	78,528
General administration	30,016
Facilities maintenance and operations	83,590
Security and monitoring services	3,013
Data processing services	<u> 18,578</u>
Total governmental depreciation	\$893,335

## F. Long-term Obligations

## 1. Long-Term Obligation Activity

Long-term obligations include debt and other long-term liabilities. Changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2022, are as follows:

	BeginningBalance	Increases	Decreases	Ending <u>Balance</u>	Amounts Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities:					
General Obligation Bonds	\$15,425,000	\$	\$665,000	\$14,760,000	\$685,000
Premium on Sale of Bonds	423,818	2	25,455	398,363	2
Right-to-Use Lease Liabilities	75,946		13,387	62,559	38,885
Total governmental activities	\$15,924,76 <u>4</u>	<u>\$</u>	\$703,842	\$15,220,922	\$723,885

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

### Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements on long-term debt at June 30, 2022 are as follows:

	G	overnmental Activitie	S
Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$ 723,885	\$ 582,255	\$ 1,306,140
2024	728,674	560,543	1,289,217
2025	730,000	536,385	1,266,385
2026	760,000	509,635	1,269,635
2027	790,000	479,235	1,269,235
2028-2032	4,455,000	1,902,675	6,357,675
2033-2037	5,410,000	965,020	6,375,020
2038	1,225,000	<u>52,825</u>	1,277,825
Total before premiums	14,822,559	<b>\$5,588,573</b>	\$20,411,132
Premium on sale of bonds	<u>398,363</u>		
Totals	\$15,220,922		

### 3. Details to General Obligation Bonds

### Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2013

The Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds, Series 2013, consists of \$1,980,000 of Current Interest Serial Bonds, \$7,390,000 of Term Bonds, and \$130,000 of Capital Appreciation Bonds (CAB). The interest rate on the Current Interest Serial Bonds that mature between 2014 and 2018 is 2%. The interest rate on the maturity dates from 2019 through 2023 is 3.00%. The Current Interest Serial Bonds require annual principal payments of between \$385,000 and \$185,000. The interest rate on the Term Bonds range from 4.00% to 4.25% for each of the six maturity periods from February 15, 2025 to February 15, 2038. The CAB's have an initial yield to maturity of .97% and matured February 15, 2015 at a value of \$180,000.

Bonds maturing on or after February 15, 2024 are subject to optional redemption, in whole or in part, on August 15, 2023, or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. The Term Bonds are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption. The CAB's are not subject to redemption prior to maturity.

## Unlimited Tax School Building & Refunding Bonds, Series 2014

The Unlimited Tax School Building & Refunding Bonds, Series 2014, consists of \$5,755,000 of Current Interest Serial Bonds, \$3,535,000 of Term Bonds, and \$50,000 of Capital Appreciation Bonds (CAB). The interest rate on the Current Interest Serial Bonds that matures between 2015 and 2018 is 2%. The interest rate on the maturity dates from 2019 through 2024 is 3%. The maturity in 2025 is 3,50% and maturities from 2026 through 2029 have an interest rate of 4.00%. The Current Interest Serial Bonds require annual principal payments of between \$175,000 in 2015 escalating to \$575,000 for the 2029 maturity. The interest rate on the Term Bonds ranges from 3.60% to 4.50% for each of the three maturity periods from February 15, 2034 to February 15, 2038. The CAB's have an initial yield to maturity of 1.85% and matured February 15, 2020 at a value of \$100,000.

Bonds maturing on or after February 15, 2024 are subject to optional redemption by the District, in whole or in part, on August 15, 2023, or any date thereafter, at a price equal to the principal amount, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. The Term Bonds are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption. The CAB's are not subject to redemption prior to maturity.

Debt service requirements on the bonds at June 30, 2022 are as follows:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	General Obligation Bonds		
Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$ 685,000	\$ 580,385	\$ 1,265,385
2024	705,000	559,835	1,264,835
2025	730,000	536,385	1,266,385
2026	760,000	509,635	1,269,635
2027	790,000	479,235	1,269,235
2028-2032	4,455,000	1,902,675	6,357,675
2033-2037	5,410,000	965,020	6,375,020
2038	1,225,000	<u>52,825</u>	1,277,825
Totals	\$14,760,000	<u>\$5,585,995</u>	\$20,345,995

### 4. Right-to-Use Lease Liabilities

The Public Property Finance Act authorizes the District to enter into lease agreements for the purchase of personal property.

In June 2020, the District purchased a 2020 Chevrolet Suburban and financed the balance of \$45,124. The lease calls for two annual payments of \$7,829 with a balloon payment of \$32,927 due June 2023. The lease carries an interest rate of 2.99%.

In July 2020, the District purchased a 2020 Chevrolet Suburban and financed the balance of \$45,131. The lease calls for three annual payments of \$7,829 with a balloon payment of \$24,380 due July 2023. The lease carries an interest rate of 2.99%.

The commitments under the right-to-use lease agreements provide for minimum future lease payments as of June 30, 2022, as follows:

	Right-to-Use Lease Liabilities		
Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$38,885	\$1,870	\$40,755
2024	23,674	708	24,382
Totals	\$62,559	\$2,578	\$65,137

As noted in Note E, the District's gross capital assets under right-to-use lease liabilities were \$90,255 as of June 30, 2022. The accumulated depreciation on these assets was \$27,741, leaving a net book value of \$62,514 as of June 30, 2022.

### G. Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft, damage or destruction of assets, errors and omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. During the year ended June 30, 2022, the District purchased commercial insurance to cover general liabilities and continued in the self-funded pool for workers compensation and unemployment compensation. There were no significant reductions in coverage in the past fiscal year and there were no settlements exceeding insurance coverage for each of the past three fiscal years.

### H. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

### 1. Plan Description

The District participates in a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that has a special funding situation. The plan is administered by the TRS and is established and administered in accordance with the Texas Constitution, Article XVI, Section 67, and Texas Government Code, Title 8, Subtitle C. The pension trust fund is a

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

qualified pension trust under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Texas Legislature establishes benefits and contribution rates within the guidelines of the Texas Constitution. The pension's Board of Trustees does not have the authority to establish or amend benefit terms.

All employees of public, state-supported educational institutions in Texas who are employed for one-half or more of the standard work load and who are not exempted from membership under Texas Government Code, Title 8, Section 822.002 are covered by the system.

### 2. Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the TRS's fiduciary net position is available in a separately-issued Comprehensive Annual Financial Report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained at https://www.trs.texas.gov/Pages/about\_publications.aspx; by writing to TRS at 1000 Red River Street, Austin, Texas 78701-2698; or by calling (512) 542-6592.

### Benefits Provided

TRS provides service and disability retirement, as well as death and survivor benefits, to eligible employees (and their beneficiaries) of public and higher education in Texas. The pension formula is calculated using 2.3 percent (multiplier) times the average of the five highest annual creditable salaries times years of credited service to arrive at the annual standard annuity except for members who are grandfathered, the three highest annual salaries are used. The normal service retirement is at age 65 with 5 years of credited service or when the sum of the member's age and years of credited service equals 80 or more years. Early retirement is at age 55 with 5 years of service credit or earlier than 55 with 30 years of service credit. There are additional provisions for early retirement if the sum of the member's age and years of service credit total at least 80, but the member is less than age 60 or 62 depending on the date of employment, of if the member was grandfathered in under a previous rule. There are no automatic post-employment benefit changes; including automatic cost of living adjustments (COLAs). Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes, including ad hoc COLAs can be granted by the Texas Legislature as noted in the Plan Description above.

Texas Government Code section 821.006 prohibits benefit improvements if, as a result of a particular action, the time required to amortize TRS's unfunded actuarial liabilities would be increased to a period that exceeds 31 years, or, if the amortization period already exceeds 31 years, the period would be increased by such action. Actuarial implications of the funding provided in this manner are determined by the System's actuary.

#### Contributions

Contribution requirements are established or amended pursuant to Article 16, Section 67 of the Texas Constitution, which requires the Texas Legislature to establish a member contribution rate of not less than 6% of the member's annual compensation and a state contribution rate of not less than 6% and not more than 10% of the aggregate annual compensation paid to members of the system during the fiscal year.

Employee contribution rates are set in state statute, Texas Government Code 825.402. The TRS Pension Reform Bill (Senate Bill 12) of the 86th Texas Legislature amended Texas Government Code 825.402 for member contributions and increased employee and employer contribution rates for fiscal years 2020 thru 2025.

## Contribution Rates

	2021	2022_
Members (Employees)	7.70%	8.00%
Non-Employer Contributing Entity (State)	7.50%	7.75%
Employer (District)	7.50%	7.75%

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Employer Contributions	\$182,636	\$216,076
Member Contributions	\$539,622	\$578,857
NECE On-behalf Contributions	\$438,695	\$453,643

Contributors to the Plan include members, employers and the State of Texas as the only non-employer contributing entity. The State is the employer for senior colleges, medical schools, and state agencies including TRS. In each respective role, the State contributes to the Plan in accordance with state statutes and the General Appropriations Act (GAA).

As the non-employer contributing entity for public education and junior colleges, the State of Texas contributes to the retirement system an amount equal to the current employer contribution rate times the aggregate annual compensation of all participating members of the pension trust fund during that fiscal year reduced by the amounts described below which are paid by the employers. Employers (public school, junior college, other entities or the State of Texas as employer for senior universities and medical schools) are required to pay the employer contribution rate in the following instances:

- --- On the portion of the member's salary that exceeds the statutory minimum for members entitled to the statutory minimum under Section 21.402 of the Texas Education Code.
- --- During a new member's first 90 days of employment.
- --- When any part or all of an employee's salary is paid by federal funding sources, a privately sponsored source, from non-educational and general, or local funds.
- --- When the employing district is a public junior college or junior college district, the employer shall contribute to the retirement system an amount equal to 50% of the state contribution rate for certain instructional or administrative employees; and 100% of the state contribution rate for all other employees.

In addition to the employer contributions listed above, there is an additional surcharge an employer is subject to:

- --- All public schools, charter schools, and regional education service centers must contribute 1.6% of the member's salary beginning in fiscal year 2021, gradually increasing to 2% in fiscal year 2025.
- --- When employing a retiree of the Teacher Retirement System, the employer shall pay both the member contribution and the state contribution as an employment after retirement surcharge.

## 5. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability in the August 31, 2020 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Valuation Date	August 31, 2020 rolled forward to August 31, 2021
Actuarial Cost Method	Individual Entry Age Normal
Asset Valuation Method	Fair Value
Single Discount Rate	7.25%
Long-term Expected Investment Rate of Return	7.25%
Municipal Bond Rate as of August 2020	1.95%, source for the rate is the Fixed Income
	Market Data/Yield Curve/Data Municipal Bonds
	with 20 years to maturity that include only federally

with 20 years to maturity that include only federally tax-exempt municipal bonds as reported in Fidelity Index's "20-Year Municipal GO AA Index".

Last Year Ending August 31 in Projection Period 2120 Inflation 2.30%

Salary Increases Including Inflation 3.05% to 9.05%

Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes None

The actuarial methods and assumptions used in the determination of the total pension liability are the same assumptions used in the actuarial valuation as of August 31, 2020.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

### 6. Discount Rate

A single discount rate of 7.25% was used to measure the total pension liability. The single discount rate was based on the expected rate of return on pension plan investments of 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that contributions from active members, employers and the non-employer contributing entity will be made at the rates set by the legislature during the 2019 session. It is assumed that future employer and state contributions will be 8.50% of payroll in fiscal year 2020 gradually increasing to 9.55% of payroll over the next several years. This includes all employer and state contributions for active and rehired retirees.

Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The long-term rate of return on pension plan investments is 7.25%. The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimates ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the System's target asset allocation as of August 31, 2021 are summarized below:

Asset Class*	Target Allocation % **	Long-term Expected Geometric Real Rate of Return ***	Expected Contribution to Long-term Portfolio Returns
Global Equity			
USA	18.0%	3.6%	0.94%
Non-U.S. Developed	13.0%	4.4%	0.83%
Emerging Markets	9.0%	4.6%	0.74%
Private Equity	14.0%	6.3%	1.36%
Stable Value			
Government Bonds	16.0%	-0.2%	0.01%
Absolute Return	0.0%	1.1%	0.00%
Stable Value Hedge Funds	5.0%	2.2%	0.12%
Real Return			
Real Estate	15.0%	4.5%	1.00%
Energy, Natural Resources and Infrastructure	6.0%	4.7%	0.35%
Commodities	0.0%	1.7%	0.00%
Risk Parity			
Risk Parity	8.0%	2.8%	0.28%
Asset Allocation Leverage			
Cash	2.0%	-0.7%	-0.01%
Asset Allocation Leverage	-6.0%	-0.5%	0.03%
Inflation Expectation			2.20%
Volatility Drag ****	-		<u>-0.95%</u>
Expected Return	100.00%		6.90%

Absolute Return includes Credit Sensitive Investments.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Target allocations are based on the FY2021 policy model.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Capital Market Assumptions come from Aon Hewitt (as of 8/31/2021).

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>The volatility drag results from the conversion between arithmetic and geometric mean returns.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30. 2022

### 7. Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following table presents the Net Pension Liability of the plan using the discount rate of 7.25%, and what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.25%) or one percentage point higher (8.25%) than the current rate.

	1%	Discount	1%
	Decrease	Rate	Increase
	6.25%	7.25%	8.25%
District's proportionate share of the			
net pension liability	\$2,402,626	\$1,099,520	\$42,306

### 8. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2021, the District reported a liability of \$1,099,520 for its proportionate share of the TRS's net pension liability. This liability reflects a reduction for the State pension support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability	\$1,099,520
State's proportionate share that is associated with the District	2,613,210
Total	\$3,712,730

The net pension liability was measured as of August 31, 2020 and rolled forward to August 31, 2021 and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the District's contributions to the pension plan relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2020 through August 31, 2021.

At August 31, 2021, the District's proportion of the collective net pension liability was  $0.000043175227\%_{7}$  which was an increase of 0.000002701428% from its proportion measured as of August 31, 2020.

### Changes Since the Prior Actuarial Valuation

There were no changes in assumptions since the prior measurement date.

For the year ended June 30, 2021, the District recognized pension expense of \$10,447 and revenue of \$437,902 for support provided by the State.

At June 30, 2021, the District reported its proportionate share of the TRS's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	Deferred Outflows	Deferred Inflows
Difference between expected and actual actuarial experience	\$ 1,840	\$ 77,407
Changes in actuarial assumptions	388,659	169,422
Difference between projected and actual investment earnings	*	921,934
Changes in proportion and difference between District contributions and proportionate share of contributions	238,596	2,660
Contributions paid to TRS subsequent to the measurement date of the net pension liability	<u> 185,335</u>	· · · ·
Total	\$814,430	<u>\$1,171,423</u>

The \$185,335 of contributions paid after measurement date will be shown as pension expense in 2021. The remaining net amounts of the District's balances of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Measurement	Pension	Balance of
Year Ended	Expense	Deferred Outflows
August 31	Amount	(Deferred Inflows)
2022	(\$ 81,347)	(\$460,981)
2023	( 87,784)	( 373,197)
2024	( 164,564)	( 208,633)
2025	( 231,724)	23,091
2026	19,036	4,055
Thereafter	4,055	ল

## Defined Other Post-Employment Benefit Plans

### Plan Description

The District participates in the Texas Public School Retired Employees Group Insurance Program (TRS-Care). It is a multiple-employer, cost-sharing defined Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) plan that has a special funding situation. The TRS-Care program was established in 1986 by the Texas Legislature.

The TRS Board of Trustees administers the TRS-Care program and the related fund in accordance with Texas Insurance Code Chapter 1575. The Board of Trustees is granted the authority to establish basic and optional group insurance coverage for participants as well as to amend benefit terms as needed under Chapter 1575.052. The Board may adopt rules, plans, procedures, and orders reasonably necessary to administer the program, including minimum benefits and financing standards.

## 2. OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the TRS-Care's fiduciary net position is available in the separately-issued Comprehensive Annual Financial Report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained at https://www.trs.texas.gov/Pages/about\_publications.aspx; by writing to TRS at 1000 Red River Street, Austin, Texas 78701-2698; or by calling (512) 542-6592.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

### 3. Benefits Provided

TRS-Care provides health insurance coverage to retirees from public schools, charter schools, regional education service centers and other educational districts who are members of the TRS pension plan. Optional dependent coverage is available for an additional fee.

Eligible non-Medicare retirees and their dependents may enroll in TRS-Care Standard, a high deductible health plan. Eligible Medicare retirees and their dependents may enroll in the TRS-Care Medicare Advantage medical plan and the TRS-Care Medicare Rx prescription drug plan. To qualify for TRS-Care coverage, a retiree must have at least 10 years of service credit in the TRS pension system. There are no automatic post-employment benefit changes; including automatic COLAs.

The premium rates for retirees are reflected in the following table.

TRS-Care Monthly Premium Rates		
	Medicare	Non-Medicare
Retiree or Surviving Spouse	\$ 135	\$200
Retiree and Spouse	529	689
Retiree or Surviving Spouse and Children	468	408
Retiree and Family	1,020	999

### 4. Contributions

Contribution rates for the TRS-Care plan are established in state statute by the Texas Legislature, and there is no continuing obligation to provide benefits beyond each fiscal year. The TRS-Care plan is currently funded on a payas-you-go basis and is subject to change based on available funding. Funding for TRS-Care is provided by retiree premium contributions and contributions from the state, active employees, and school districts based upon public school district payroll. The TRS Board of Trustees does not have the authority to set or amend contribution rates.

Texas Insurance Code, Section 1575.202 establishes the state's contribution rate which is 1.25% of the employee's salary. Section 1575.203 establishes the active employee's rate which is 0.65% of pay. Section 1575.204 establishes an employer contribution rate of not less than 0.25% or not more than 0.75% of the salary of each active employee of the public or charter school. The actual employer contribution rate is prescribed by the Legislature in the General Appropriations Act. The following table shows contributions to the TRS-Care plan by type of contributor.

### Contribution Rates

<u>2021</u>	2022
0.65%	0.65%
1.25%	1.25%
0.75%	0.75%
1.25%	1.25%
\$54,468	\$59,410
\$45,478	\$47,307
\$85,608	\$86,171
	0.65% 1.25% 0.75% 1.25% \$54,468 \$45,478

In addition to the employer contributions listed above, there is an additional surcharge all TRS employers are subject to (regardless of whether they participate in the TRS Care OPEB program). When hiring a TRS retiree, employers are required to pay TRS Care a monthly surcharge of \$535 per retiree.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

TRS-Care received supplemental appropriations from the State of Texas as the Non-Employer Contributing Entity in the amount of \$5,520,343 million in fiscal year 2021 for consumer protections against medical and health care billing by certain out-of-network providers.

### 5. Actuarial Assumptions

The actuarial valuation was performed as of August 31, 2020. Update procedures were used to roll forward the total OPEB liability to August 31, 2021.

The actuarial valuation of the OPEB plan offered through TRS-Care is similar to the actuarial valuation performed for the pension plan, except that the OPEB valuation is more complex. The demographic assumptions were developed in the experience study performed for TRS for the period ending August 31, 2017. The following assumptions and other inputs used for members of TRS-Care are based on an established pattern of practice and are identical to the assumptions used in the August 31, 2020 TRS pension actuarial valuation that was rolled forward to August 31, 2021:

Rates of Mortality General Inflation
Rates of Retirement Wage Inflation
Rates of Termination Rates of Disability

The active mortality rates were based on 90% of the RP-2014 Employee Mortality Tables for males and females. The post-retirement mortality rates for healthy lives were based on the 2018 TRS Texas Healthy Pensioner Mortality Tables, with full generational projection using the ultimate improvement rates from the mortality projection scale MP-2018.

Additional Actuarial Methods and Assumptions:

Valuation Date August 31, 2020 rolled forward to August 31, 2021

Actuarial Cost Method Individual Entry Age Normal

Inflation 2.30%

Single Discount Rate 1.95% as of August 31, 2021
Aging Factors Based on plan specific experience

Election Rates Normal Retirement: 65% participation rate prior to

age 65 and 40% after age 65. 25% of pre-65 retirees are

assumed to discontinue coverage at age 65.
Third-party administrative expenses related to

Expenses Third-party administrative expenses related to the delivery of health care benefits are included

in the age-adjusted claims costs.

Projected Salary Increases 3.05% to 9.05%, including inflation

Healthcare Trend Rates 8.50% for Medicare retirees and 7.10% for non-

Medicare retirees. The initial trend rates decrease to an ultimate trend rate of 4.25% over a period of 12 years.

Ad hoc post-employment benefit changes None

#### 6. Discount Rate

A single discount rate of 1.95% was used to measure the total OPEB liability. There was a decrease of .38% in the discount rate since the previous year. Because the plan is essentially a "pay-as-you-go" plan, the single discount rate is equal to the prevailing municipal bond rate. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from active members and those of the contributing employers and the non-employer contributing entity are made at the statutorily required rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to not be able to make all future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the municipal bond rate was used for the long-term rate of return and was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

The source of the municipal bond rate is the Fidelity "20-year Municipal GO AA Index" as of August 31, 2021 using the fixed income market data/ yield curve/ data municipal bonds with 20 years to maturity that include only federally tax-exempt municipal bonds.

### 7. Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following schedule shows the impact of the net OPEB liability if the discount rate used was 1% less than and 1% greater than the discount rate that was used (1.95%) in measuring the net OPEB liability.

		Current	
	1%	Discount	1%
	Decrease	Rate	Increase
	0.95%	1.95%	2.95%
District's proportionate share of the			
net OPEB liability	\$3,257,323	\$2,700,415	\$2,262,111

### 8. OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to OPEBs

At June 30, 2020, the District reported a liability of \$2,700,415 for its proportionate share of the TRS's net OPEB liability. This liability reflects a reduction for State OPEB support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, the related State support, and the total portion of the net OPEB liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

District's proportionate share of the collective net OPEB liability	\$2,700,415
State's proportionate share that is associated with the District	3,617,955
Total	\$6,318,370

The net OPEB liability was measured as of August 31, 2020 and rolled forward to August 31, 2021 and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on the District's contributions to OPEB relative to the contributions of all employers to the plan for the period September 1, 2020 through August 31, 2021.

At August 31, 2022, the District's proportion of the collective net OPEB liability was 0.000070005251%, compared to 0.000068843671% as of August 31, 2021.

The following schedule presents the net OPEB liability if a healthcare trend rate that is 1% less than and 1% greater than the assumed healthcare cost trend rate is used:

		Healthcare	
	1%	Cost Trend	1%
	Decrease	Rate	Increase
	6.3% - 8.00%	7.3% - 9.00%	<u>8.3% - 10.00%</u>
District's proportionate share of the			
net OPEB liability	<b>\$2,187,247</b>	\$2,700,415	\$3,388,960

### Changes Since the Prior Actuarial Valuation

The following were changes to the actuarial assumptions or other inputs that affected measurement of the total OPEB liability since the prior measurement period:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

-- The discount rate changed from 2.33% as of August 31, 2020 to 1.95% as of August 31, 2021. This change increased the total OPEB liability.

### Changes of Benefit Terms Since the Prior Measurement Date

There were no changes in benefit terms since the prior measurement date.

The amount of OPEB expense recognized by the District in the reporting period was \$2,680.

At June 30, 2021, the District reported its proportionate share of the TRS's deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to other post-employment benefits from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows	Deferred Inflows
Differences between expected and actual economic experience	\$ 116,266	\$1,307,190
Changes in actuarial assumptions	299,103	571,088
Difference between projected and actual investment earnings	2,932	5
Changes in proportion and difference between District contributions and proportionate share of contributions	615,778	. 8
Contributions paid to TRS subsequent to the measurement date	50,249	
Total	\$1,084,328	<u>\$1,878,278</u>

The \$50,249 of contributions paid after the measurement date will be shown as pension expense in 2022. The net amounts of the District's balances of deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

		Balance of
Year Ended	OPEB Expense	Deferred Outflows
August 31	Amount	(Deferred Inflows)
2023	(\$191,677)	(\$652,524)
2024	( 191,744)	( 460,780)
2025	( 191,727)	( 269,053)
2026	( 120,643)	( 148,410)
2027	( 24,410)	( 123,998)
Thereafter	( 123.998)	C= 0

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized OPEB expense of (\$133,530) and revenue of \$73,272 for support provided by the State.

### 9. Medicare Part D

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, which was effective January 1, 2006 established prescription drug coverage for Medicare beneficiaries known as Medicare Part D. One of the provisions of Medicare Part D allows for TRS-Care to receive retiree drug subsidy payments from the federal government to offset certain prescription drug expenditures for eligible TRS-Care participants. These on-behalf payments have been recognized as equal revenues and expenditures by the District in the amount of \$31,458, \$32,271, and \$20,405 for the years ended June 30, 2022, 2021, and 2020, respectively.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## J. Commitments and Contingencies

## Contingencies

The District participates in grant programs which are governed by various rules and regulations of the grantor agencies. Costs charged to the respective grant programs are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor agencies; therefore, to the extent that the District has not complied with the rules and regulations governing the grants, refunds of any money received may be required and the collectability of any related receivable may be impaired. In the opinion of the District, there are no significant contingent liabilities relating to compliance with the rules and regulations governing the respective grants; therefore, no provision has been recorded in the accompanying basic financial statements for such contingencies.

### 2. Litigation

No reportable litigation was pending against the District as of June 30, 2022.

#### 3. Construction Commitments

During September 2020, the District entered into two contracts (construction manager-at-risk and architect) for the addition to and renovation of the high school band hall. The contracts at June 30, 2022 are summarized in the table below. The total contracts are estimates of the total cost of the project.

	Totals	Incurred	Remaining
	Contracts	to Date	Commitments
M&F Litteken Company	\$3,598,138	\$3,537,152	\$ 60,986
Harper Perkins Architects	<u>311,239</u>	304,220	7,019
Totals	\$3,909,377	<u>\$3,841,372</u>	<u>\$ 68,005</u>

### K. Worker's Compensation Coverage

The District, along with many other Texas school districts, participates in a self-insurance plan for worker's compensation benefits as authorized by Section 504.011 of the Labor Code. Hibbs-Hallmark & Company / Claims Administrative Services acts as the third-party administrator of the West Texas Educational Insurance Association (Pool).

The total charge made by the Internal Service Fund to the other funds is based on rates as determined by the District and will be adjusted over time so that the Internal Service Fund revenues and expenses are approximately equal. These costs are reported as interfund transactions and are treated as operating revenues in the Internal Service Fund and as operating expenditures in the other funds.

Claims are paid by the third-party administrator acting on behalf of the District under the terms of the contractual agreement. Administrative fees are included within the provisions of that agreement. According to the state statute, the District is protected against unanticipated claims and aggregate loss by coverage carried through Midwest Employers Casualty Company, a commercial insurer licensed or eligible to do business in Texas in accordance with the Texas Insurance Code. Coverage was in effect for specific occurrences exceeding \$1 million. The Pool participants are responsible for claims up to this limit. The District has a loss fund maximum of \$35,926 for the year ended June 30, 2022.

As of June 30, 2022, the worker's compensation benefit obligation consisted of \$33,415 in reported unpaid claims and estimated incurred but not reported claims. These amounts represent estimated ultimate costs to settle claims. Net position at year-end is \$20,250. A summary of the changes in the benefit obligation is as follows:

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Balance as of July 1, 2021		\$24,951
Incurred claims/adjustments:		
Provision for insured events for 2021-22	\$14,720	
Provision for insured events for prior years	(_1,319)	
Total incurred claims	* =	13,401
Payments:		
Claims attributable to insured events for 2021-22	1,762	
Claims attributable to insured events for prior years	3,175	
Total payments		4,937
Balance as of June 30, 2022		\$33,415

## L. Unemployment Compensation Coverage

During the year ended June 30, 2022, the District provided unemployment compensation coverage to its employees through participation in the TASB Risk Management Fund (Fund). The Fund was created and is operated under the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code. The Fund's Unemployment Compensation Program is authorized by Section 22.005 of the Texas Education Code and Chapter 172 of the Texas Local Government Code. All members participating in the Fund execute Interlocal Agreements that define the responsibilities of the parties.

The Fund meets its quarterly obligation to the Texas Workforce Commission. Expenses are accrued each month until the quarterly payment has been made. Expenses can be reasonably estimated; therefore, there is no need for specific or aggregate stop loss coverage for unemployment compensation pool members.


The Fund engages the services of an independent auditor to conduct a financial audit after the close of each plan year on August 31st. The audit is accepted by the Fund's Board of Trustees in February of the following year. The Fund's audited financial statements as of August 31, 2021 are available at the TASB offices and have been filed with the Texas Department of Insurance in Austin, Texas.

### M. Shared Services Arrangements

### Special Education Program

The District is a member of a Shared Services Arrangement (SSA) which provides special education services to member districts. The SSA is called the West Wichita County Special Education Co-Op. The cooperative provides special education services for member districts and the member districts provide funds to the fiscal agent.

The District does not account for revenues or expenditures in this program and does not disclose them in these financial statements. The District neither has a joint ownership interest in capital assets purchased by the fiscal agent, nor does the District have a net equity interest in the fiscal agent.



REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data					Variance With Final Budget -
Control		Budgeted	I Amounts		Positive
Codes	=	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)
	Revenues:				
5700	Local and intermediate sources	\$ 4,266,897	\$ 4,286,139	\$ 4,401,398	\$ 115,259
5800	State program revenues	7,621,379	8,413,310	8,732,324	319,014
5900	Federal program revenues	85,000	85,000	196,020	111,020
5020	Total revenues	11,973,276	12,784,449	13,329,742	545,293
	Expenditures:				
	Instruction & instructional related services:				
0011	Instruction	6,500,411	6,340,411	6,324,538	15,873
0012	Instructional resources and media services	148,582	163,582	147,937	15,645
0013	Curriculum and staff development	62,032	62,032	48,050	13,982
	Total instruction and instructional related services	6,711,025	6,566,025	6,520,525	45,500
	Instructional and school leadership:				
0023	School leadership	530,469	559,719	535,529	24,190
	Total instructional and school leadership	530,469	559,719	535,529	24,190
	Support services - student (pupil):				
0031	Guidance, counseling and evaluation services	363,729	369,479	288,688	80,791
0033	Health services	119,569	119,569	73,784	45,785
0034	Student transportation	395,076	420,076	381,494	38,582
0035	Food services	ile:	10,000	8,051	1,949
0036	Cocurricular/extracurricular activities	812,124	925,718	924,554	1,164
	Total support services - student (pupil)	1,690,498	1,844,842	1,676,571	168,271
	Administrative support services:				
0041	General administration	359,638	409,638	378,738	30,900
0011	Total administrative support services	359,638	409,638	378,738	30,900
	rotal autilitistrative support services	000,000	400,000	070,700	
	Support services - nonstudent based:				
0051	Facilities maintenance and operations	1,019,399	1,158,218	1,097,804	60,414
0052	Security and monitoring services	50,795	50,795	36,549	14,246
0053	Data processing services	225,338	265,338	234,420	30,918
	Total support services - nonstudent based	1,295,532	1,474,351	1,368,773	105,578
	Debt service:				
0071	Principal on long-term debt	16,886	16,886	13,387	3,499
0072	Interest on long-term debt	2,272	2,272	2,271	1
	Total debt service	19,158	19,158	15,658	3,500
	Capital outlay:				
0081	Capital outlay	1,101,456	1,650,716	1,544,582	106,134
	Total capital outlay	1,101,456	1,650,716	1,544,582	106,134
	Intergovernmental charges:				
0093	Payments to SSAs	150,000	130,000	91,940	38,060
0099	Other intergovernmental charges	110,500	125,000	122,535	2,465
5000	Total intergovernmental charges	260,500	255,000	214,475	40,525
6030	Total expenditures	11,968,276	12,779,449	12,254,851	524,598

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data Control		Budgeted A	mounts		Variance With Final Budget - Positive
Codes	-	Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)
1100	Excess of revenues over expenditures	5,000	5,000	1,074,891	1,069,891
	Other financing uses:				
8911	Transfers out	(5,000)	(5,000)	(62)	4,938
7080	Total other financing uses	(5,000)	(5,000)	(62)	4,938
1200	Net change in fund balance			1,074,829	1,074,829
0100	Fund balance - beginning	1,701,199	1,701,199	1,701,199	.83
3000	Fund balance - ending	\$ 1,701,199	\$ 1,701,199	\$ 2,776,028	\$ 1,074,829

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY
TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	_	2022	9		2021
District's proportion of the net pension liability	0.0	04317523%	3	0.0	00040474%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	1,099,520		\$	2,167,694
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability associated with the District		2,613,210			5,585,760
Total	\$	3,712,730	#	\$	7,753,454
District's covered employee payroll (Plan year end August 31)		7,011,748		\$	6,806,752
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered employee payroll	_	15.68%			31.85%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	_	88.79%			75.54%

This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, recalculations of prior years are not required, and if prior years are not reported in accordance with the standards of GASB 67/68, they should not be shown here. Therefore, we have shown only years for which the new GASB statements have been implemented.

Note - the column label is the District's fiscal year. The data is derived from the Teacher Retirement System's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for the preceding year.

2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
0.003736071%	0.003570497%	0.003537054%	0.003564872%	0.003775900%	0.001737000%
\$ 1,942,127	\$ 1,965,288	\$ 1,130,959	\$ 1,347,112	\$ 1,334,730	\$ 463,977
4,643,546	4,947,538	2,952,408	3,632,093	3,397,556	2,956,768
\$ 6,585,673	\$ 6,912,826	\$ 4,083,367	\$ 4,979,205	\$ 4,732,286	\$ 3,420,745
\$ 5,572,827	\$ 5,270,927	\$ 5,137,796	\$ 5,090,106	\$ 4,856,934	\$ 4,723,400
34.85%	37.29%	22.01%	26.47%	27.48%	9.82%
75.24%	73.74%	82.17%	78.00%	78.43%	83.25%

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT'S CONTRIBUTIONS TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	2022	2021
Contractually required contribution	\$ 216,076	\$ 182,636
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	(216,076)	(182,636)
Contribution deficiency	\$ -	\$ -
District's covered employee payroll	\$7,279,630	\$7,005,065
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll	2.97%	2.61%

This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, recalculations of prior years are not required, and if prior years are not reported in accordance with the standards of GASB 67/68, they should not be shown here. Therefore, we have shown only years for which the new GASB statements have been implemented.

Note - the contractually required contribution is for the District's indicated fiscal year.

2020 2019		2018	2017	2016	2015		
\$ 158,712	\$ 129,699	\$ 119,577	\$ 115,690	\$ 112,458	\$ 101,526		
(158,712)	(129,699)	(119,577)	(115,690)	(112,458)	(101,526)		
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
\$6,551,150	\$ 5,494,940	\$ 5,288,005	\$ 5,138,857	\$ 5,038,805	\$4,829,707		
2.42%	2.36%	2.26%	2.25%	2.23%	2.10%		

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE
NET OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) LIABILITY
TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	2022	2021
District's proportion of the net OPEB liability	0.007000525%	0.006397833%
District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$ 2,700,415	\$ 2,617,059
State's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability associated with the District	3,617,955	3,516,700
Total	\$ 6,318,370	\$ 6,133,759
District's covered employee payroll (Plan year end August 31)	\$ 7,011,748	\$ 6,806,752
District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as a percentage of its covered employee payroll	38.51%	38.45%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability	6.18%	4.99%

This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, recalculations of prior years are not required, and if prior years are not reported in accordance with the standards of GASB 74/75, they should not be shown here. Therefore, we have shown only years for which the new GASB statements have been implemented.

Note - the column label is the District's fiscal year. The data is derived from the Teacher Retirement System's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for the preceding year.

2020	2019	2018			
0.006094066%	0.005957466%	0.005642804%			
\$ 2,881,957	\$ 2,974,617	\$ 2,453,844			
3,829,478	4,664,530	4,184,187			
\$ 6,711,435	\$ 7,639,147	\$ 6,638,031			
\$ 5,572,827	\$ 5,270,927	\$ 5,137,796			
51.71%	56.43%	47.76%			
2.66%	1.57%	0.91%			

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF DISTRICT'S OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) CONTRIBUTIONS
TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF TEXAS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

	2022	2021
Contractually required contribution	\$ 59,410	\$ 54,468
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	(59,410)	(54,468)
Contribution deficiency	\$	\$ -
District's covered employee payroll	\$7,279,630	\$7,005,065
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll	0.82%	0.78%

This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, recalculations of prior years are not required, and if prior years are not reported in accordance with the standards of GASB 74/75, they should not be shown here. Therefore, we have shown only years for which the new GASB statements have been implemented.

Note - the contractually required contribution is for the District's indicated fiscal year.

2020	2019	2018			
\$ 50,470	\$ 42,741	\$ 29,607			
(50,470)	(42,741)	(29,607)			
\$ -	\$ =				
\$6,551,150	\$ 5,494,940	\$5,288,005			
0.77%	0.78%	0.56%			

NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

#### A. Budget

The official budget was prepared for adoption for all Governmental Fund Types. The budget was prepared in accordance with accounting practices generally accepted in the United States of America. The following procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data:

- 1. Prior to June 20th of the preceding fiscal year, the District prepares a budget for the next succeeding fiscal year. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. A meeting of the Board of Trustees is then called for the purpose of adopting the proposed budget after ten days' public notice of the meeting has been given.
- 3. Prior to the beginning of the fiscal year, the budget is legally enacted through passage of a resolution by the Board of Trustees.

Once a budget is approved, it can be amended at function and fund level only by approval of a majority of the members of the Board. Amendments are presented to the Board at its regular meetings.

Each amendment must have Board approval. Such amendments are made before the fact, are reflected in the official minutes of the Board and are not made after fiscal year end as required by law.

Each amendment is controlled by the budget coordinator at the revenue and expenditure function/object level. Budgeted amounts are as amended by the Board. All budget appropriations lapse at year end.

Encumbrances for goods or purchased services are documented by purchase orders or contracts. Under Texas law, appropriations lapse at June 30, and encumbrances outstanding at that time are to be either cancelled or appropriately provided for in the subsequent year's budget. There were no end-of-year outstanding encumbrances that were provided for in the subsequent year's budget.

#### B. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

1. Change of Benefit Terms

There were no changes of benefit terms that affected measurement of the total pension liability during the measurement period.

2. Changes of Assumptions

There were no changes in assumptions since the prior measurement date.

#### C. OPEB Plan


1. Change of Benefit Terms

There were no changes of benefit terms that affected measurement of the total OPEB liability during the measurement period.

2. Changes of Assumptions

The following were changes to the actuarial assumptions or other inputs that affected measurement of the total OPEB liability since the prior measurement period:

The discount rate changed from 2.33% as of August 31, 2020 to 1.95% as of August 31, 2021. This change increased the total OPEB liability.



SCHEDULE OF DELINQUENT TAXES RECEIVABLE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Fiscal Year	*1	2		3 essed/Appraised
Ending	-	Rates		lue for School
June 30,	Maintenance	Debt Service	:	ax Purposes
2013 and Prior Years	\$ Various	\$ Various	\$	Various
2014	1.0400	0.4400		313,283,311
2015	1.0400	0.4400		327,857,905
2016	1.0400	0.4400		310,209,595
2017	1.0400	0.4400		279,586,598
2018	1.0400	0.4400		291,263,119
2019	1.1700	0.3100		297,048,041
2020	1.0683	0.3100		364,021,693
2021	1.0178	0.3100		396,975,222
2022 (School year under audit)	0.9853	0.3100		423,809,002

1000 Totals

	10		20		31		32		40	1	50	
E	Beginning	С	urrent						Entire	1	Ending	
	Balance	١	∕ear's	Mair	ntenance	Debt	Service	•	Year's	B	Balance	
	7/1/2021	To	tal Levy	Col	lections	Coll	Collections		Adjustments		6/30/2022	
_	00.040	•			0.545	•	0.40		(4.044)		0.4.000	
\$	28,240	\$	5 <b>5</b> 8	\$	2,545	\$	246	\$	(1,211)	\$	24,238	
	5,226		S <b>®</b> X		255		277		: <del>:=</del> :	1	4,694	
	6,201		·		469		412		-		5,320	
	6,248		<b>:=</b> 0		320		143		(1)	1	5,784	
	7,739		=:		1,239		594		(15)	1	5,891	
	5,936		5=3		90		45		(96)	1	5,705	
1	7,459		1 <b>4</b> 3		660		173		(43)	1	6,583	
1	19,669		348		6,854		1,880		(1,038)	1	9,897	
	78,221		141		49,873		13,610		(1,876)	1	12,862	
			5,489,598	4	,138,912	1,	,243,870		(25,526)		81,290	
\$	164,939	\$	5,489,598	\$ 4	,201,217	\$ 1	,261,250	\$	(29,806)	\$	162,264	

NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH AND BREAKFAST PROGRAM BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data Control		Budgete	d Amounts		Variance With Final Budget - Positive	
Codes		Original	Final	Actual	(Negative)	
	Revenues:		·			
5700	Local and intermediate sources	\$ 5,000	\$ 19,000	\$ 21,188	\$ 2,188	
5800	State program revenues	5,000	5,000	2,430	(2,570)	
5900	Federal program revenues	275,000	410,000	412,194	2,194	
5020	Total revenues	285,000	434,000	435,812	1,812	
	Expenditures:					
	Current:					
	Support services - student (pupil):					
0035	Food services	290,000	439,000	371,547	67,453	
	Total support services - student (pupil)	290,000	439,000	371,547	67,453	
6030	Total expenditures	290,000	439,000	371,547	67,453	
1100	Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures	(5,000)	(5,000)	64,265	69,265	
	Other financing sources:					
7915	Transfers in	5,000	5,000	62	(4,938)	
7080	Total other financing sources	5,000	5,000	62	(4,938)	
1200	Net change in fund balance	3	8	64,327	64,327	
0100	Fund balance - beginning					
3000	Fund balance - ending	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,327	\$ 64,327	

DEBT SERVICE FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data Control Codes	Revenues:	Budgeted Original	Amounts Final	Actual	Variance With Final Budget - Positive (Negative)
5700	Local and intermediate sources	\$ 1,272,079	\$ 1,272,079	\$ 1,275,789	\$ 3,710
5800	State program revenues	Ψ 1,272,010	-	51,956	51,956
5020	Total revenues	1,272,079	1,272,079	1,327,745	55,666
	Expenditures: Debt service:				
0071	Principal on long-term debt	665,000	665,000	665,000	120
0072	Interest on long-term debt	600,335	600,335	600,335	
0073	Bond issuance costs and fees	3,500	3,500	3,500	
	Total debt service	1,268,835	1,268,835	1,268,835	m = 3
6030	Total expenditures	1,268,835	1,268,835	1,268,835	
1100	Excess of revenues over expenditures	3,244	3,244	58,910	55,666
1200	Net change in fund balance	3,244	3,244	58,910	55,666
0100	Fund balance - beginning	1,431,666_	1,431,666	1,431,666	1. <b>#</b> :
3000	Fund balance - ending	\$ 1,434,910	\$ 1,434,910	\$ 1,490,576	\$ 55,666

SCHEDULE OF REQUIRED RESPONSES RELATIVE TO COMPENSATORY AND BILINGUAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data		Col	umn 1
Codes	Seation A. Communication Education Decomm	Res	onses
AP1	Section A: Compensatory Education Programs  Did your LEA expend any state compensatory education program state allotment funds during the District's fiscal year?	١	⁄es
AP2	Does the LEA have written policies and procedures for its state compensatory education program?	`	⁄es
AP3	List the state allotment funds received for state compensatory education programs during the District's fiscal year.	\$ 40	07,117
AP4	List the actual direct program expenditures for state compensatory education programs during the LEA's fiscal year, (PICs 24, 26, 28, 29, 30, 34)	\$ 19	90,029
AP5	Section B: Bilingual Education Programs  Did your LEA expend any bilingual education program state allotment funds during the District's fiscal year?	`	⁄es
AP6	Does the LEA have written policies and procedures for its bilingual education program?	`	⁄es
AP7	List the state allotment funds received for bilingual education programs during the District's fiscal year.	\$	2,538
AP8	List the actual direct program expenditures for bilingual education programs during the LEA's fiscal year. (PICs 25, 35)	\$	5,665



1401 HOLLIDAY ST., SUITE 216 • P.O. Box 750 WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS 76307-0750 Ph. (940) 766-5550 • FAX (940) 766-5778

MICHAEL D. EDGIN, CPA DAVID L. PARKMAN, CPA A. PAUL FLEMING, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements

Performed In Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Board of Trustees Holliday Independent School District P.O. Box 689 Holliday, Texas 76366

Members of the Board of Trustees:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Holliday Independent School District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 23, 2023.

#### Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2022-001 that we consider to be a material weakness.

#### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed one instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2022-002.

#### District's Response to Finding

The District's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Edgin, Parkman, Fleming & Fleming, PC

Edgin, Parkner, Flering: Flering, PC

January 23, 2023



1401 HOLLIDAY ST., SUITE 216 P.O. BOX 750 WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS 76307-0750 Ph. (940) 766-5550 FAX (940) 766-5778

MICHAEL D. EDGIN, CPA DAVID L. PARKMAN, CPA A. PAUL FLEMING, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance

Board of Trustees Holliday Independent School District P.O. Box 689 Holliday, Texas 76366

Members of the Board of Trustees:

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Holliday Independent School District's (District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2022. The District's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2022.

#### Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

#### Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the District's federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and
  design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include
  examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance
  requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered
  necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit
  in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and
  report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not
  for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control
  over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

#### Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Edgin, Parkner, Flering: Flering, PC

Edgin, Parkman, Fleming & Fleming, PC

January 23, 2023

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## A. Summary of Auditor's Results

1.	Financial Statements	
	Type of auditor's report issued:	Unmodified
	Internal control over financial reporting:	
	Material weakness(es) identified?	XYesNo
	Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	YesXNone reported
	Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	XYesNo
2.	Federal and State Awards	
	Internal control over major programs:	
	One or more material weaknesses identified?	YesXNo
	One or more significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes <u>X</u> None reported
	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified
	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported under CFR Section 200.516(a)	Yes <u>X</u> No
	Identification of major programs:	
	Education Stabilization Fund: ESSER I CRRSA - ESSER II ARP ESSER III ESSER Supplemental TCLAS ESSER III	84.425D 84.425D 84.425U 84.425U 84.425U
	llar threshold used to distinguish between ype A and Type B federal programs:	<u>\$750,000</u>
Αu	ditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes X No

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONT'D) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

#### B. Financial Statement Findings

Finding 2022-001 - Lack of Timely Bank Reconciliations and Other Accounting Procedures

#### Criteria

Bank reconciliations and other accounting procedures should be completed in a timely manner in order to provide accounts and timely information to management and to avoid possible unauthorized transactions and/or overlooked errors.

#### Condition

The District's bank reconciliations and other accounting procedures, such as journal entries and expenditure reimbursement requests, were not completed in a timely manner.

#### Cause

The District has limited procedures in place to ensure timely accounting procedures.

#### Effect

Untimely accounting procedures can result in misleading information on reports presented to the Board during the year. Also, untimely accounting procedures can result in an increased potential for unauthorized transactions and/or overlooked errors that are not discovered in a reasonable time period.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the District review its accounting processes to determine what steps need to be taken to ensure future bank reconciliations and accounting procedures are performed in a timely manner, including adding staff.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions

The District concurs with the finding and will develop the necessary corrective action plan to timely file audits in future years.

Dustin Scobee, Business Manager, is the person responsible for implementing this plan.

Finding 2022-002 - Noncompliance - Late Filing of Audit Report with Texas Education Agency

#### Criteria

TEA requires all districts to file their annual financial report within 150 days of their year-end.

#### Condition

The District's annual accounting was not completed in time for an audit to be performed to meet the filing deadline.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONT'D) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

#### Cause

The District did not complete its annual accounting until after the filing deadline.

#### **Effect**

The audit could not be completed in time to comply with TEA reporting requirements which could affect its FIRST rating.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the District review its accounting processes to determine what steps need to be taken to ensure future accounting is performed in a timely manner.

#### Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions

The District concurs with the finding and will develop the necessary corrective action plan to timely file audits in future years.

Dustin Scobee, Business Manager, is the person responsible for implementing this plan.

## C. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None

## Holliday Independent School District P.O. Box 689 Holliday, Texas 76366

Cody Carroll Superintendent

940-586-1281 fax 940-586-1492

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

#### Finding 2022-001 - Lack of Timely Bank Reconciliations and Other Accounting Procedures

Holliday Independent School District concurs with the finding. The District will review its accounting processes and implement steps necessary to ensure future bank reconciliations and accounting procedures are performed in a timely manner.

Dustin Scobee, Business Manager, is the person responsible for implementing this plan.

Finding 2022-002 - Noncompliance - Late Filing of Audit Report with Texas Education Agency

Holliday Independent School District concurs with the finding. The District will review its accounting processes and implement steps necessary to ensure future accounting is performed in a timely manner.

Dustin Scobee, Business Manager, is the person responsible for implementing this plan.

#### Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2022

Finding 2021-001 - Noncompliance - Late Filing of Audit Report with Texas Education Agency

#### Condition

The District's annual accounting was not completed in time for an audit to be performed to meet the filing deadline for the June 30, 2021 audit.

#### Current Status

During the current year ending June 30, 2022, the District did not complete the annual accounting in time for the June 30, 2022 audit to be performed to meet the current year filing deadline.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying <u>Number</u>	Expenditures and Indirect Costs	Amount Relating to Pass-Through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Agriculture				
Child Nutrition Cluster:				
Passed Through Texas Department of Agriculture:				
National School Lunch Program (Non-cash)	10,555	005-902	\$ 27,340	\$ =
Emergency Operational Cost Reimbursement Program	10,555	005-902	520	
Total Passed Through Texas Department of Agriculture			27,860	-
December 1 Through Chata December 1 Through 1 Through 1				
Passed Through State Department of Education:	40 552	74400404	0.000	
School Breakfast Program	10.553	71402101	8,838	-
School Breakfast Program	10.553	71402201	44,591	-
National School Lunch Program  National School Lunch Program	10.555 10.555	71302101	51,067	
Total Passed Through State Department of Education	10.555	71302201	279,224	
Total Passed Thiough State Department of Education			383,720	-
Total Child Nutrition Cluster			411,580	
Passad Through Toyas Donartmont of Agriculturo				
Passed Through Texas Department of Agriculture: COVID-19 - Pandemic Electronic Benefit Transfer Administrative Costs	10.649	005-902	614	-
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			412,194	100
U.S. Department of Education  Passed Through State Department of Education:  ESEA Title I Part A - Improving Basic Programs  ESEA Title I Part A - Improving Basic Programs	84.010A 84.010A	21610101005902 22610101005902	4,184 71,403	*
Total Assistance Listing Number 84,010a			75,587	
ESEA Title II Part A - Supporting Effective Instruction	84,367A	22694501005902	18,346	
ESEA Title IV Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment	84.424A	22680101005902	10,000	
LOLA THE TOTAL A - Student Support and Academic Emissionent	04.4247	22000101003902	10,000	
Education Stabilization Fund:				
COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund - ESSER Grant	84.425D	20521001005902	812	120
COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund - CRRSA ESSER II	84.425D	21521001005902	118,807	383
COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund - ARP ESSER III	84.425U	21528001005902	214,523	275
COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund - ESSER SUPPLEMENTAL	84.425U	21528043005902	40,006	727
COVID-19 - Education Stabilization Fund - TCLAS ESSER III	84.425U	21528042005902	13,500	
Total Education Stabilization Fund			387,648	(#:
Total U.S. Department of Education			491,581	
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  Passed Through Texas Health and Human Services Commission;  Medicaid Administrative Claiming Program - MAC	93.778	HHS000537900173	2,521	:
	92			
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			2,521	( <del>-</del>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 906,296	\$ -

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30. 2022

#### A. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) includes the federal grant activity of Holliday Independent School District and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from the amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

### B. Reconciliation of Federal Expenditures per the SEFA to the Basic Financial Statements

Amount of federal revenues per Exhibit C-2	\$1,099,794
Less Medicaid reimbursements not considered to be federal awards	
for inclusion on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	(193,498)
Total expenditures of federal awards per the Schedule of Expenditures	
of Federal Awards	\$ 906,296

#### C. De Minimis Indirect Cost Rate

Entities that receive federal awards for which an indirect cost rate has never been negotiated may elect to charge a de minimis indirect cost rate of ten percent of modified total direct costs. The District did not elect to charge the de minimis rate to any of its federal awards during the year ended June 30, 2022.

SCHEDULE OF REQUIRED RESPONSES TO SELECTED SCHOOL FIRST INDICATORS (UNAUDITED) FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

Data Control Codes		Res	ponse	es_
SF1	Was there an unmodified opinion in the Annual Financial Report on the financial statements as a whole?		Yes	
SF2	Were there any disclosures in the Annual Financial Report and/or other sources of information concerning nonpayment of any terms of any debt agreement at fiscal year-end?		No	
SF3	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?	í	Yes	
SF4	Was the school district issued a warrant hold? Even if the issue surrounding the initial warrant hold was resolved and cleared within 30 days, the school district is considered to have been issued a warrant hold.		No	
SF5	Did the Annual Financial Report disclose any instances of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds?		Yes	
SF6	Was there any disclosure in the Annual Financial Report of material noncompliance for grants, contracts and laws related to local, state, or federal funds?		Yes	
SF7	Did the school district post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and other statutes, laws and rules that were in effect at the school district's fiscal year end?		Yes	
SF8	Did the school board members discuss the school district's property values at a board meeting within 120 days before the school district adopted its budget?		Yes	
SF9	Total accumulated accretion on CABs included in government-wide financial statements at fiscal year-end	\$	:	



# APPENDIX E THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM



#### THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM

This disclosure statement provides information relating to the program (the "Guarantee Program") administered by the Texas Education Agency (the "TEA") with respect to the Texas Permanent School Fund guarantee of tax-supported bonds issued by Texas school districts and the guarantee of revenue bonds issued by or for the benefit of Texas charter districts. The Guarantee Program was authorized by an amendment to the Texas Constitution in 1983 and is governed by Subchapter C of Chapter 45 of the Texas Education Code, as amended (the "Act"). While the Guarantee Program applies to bonds issued by or for both school districts and charter districts, as described below, the Act and the program rules for the two types of districts have some distinctions. For convenience of description and reference, those aspects of the Guarantee Program that are applicable to school district bonds and to charter district bonds are referred to herein as the "School District Bond Guarantee Program" and the "Charter District Bond Guarantee Program." respectively.

Some of the information contained in this Section may include projections or other forward-looking statements regarding future events or the future financial performance of the Texas Permanent School Fund (the "PSF" or the "Fund"). Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any such projections or forward-looking statements.

During the 87th Regular Session of the Texas Legislature (the "87th Regular Session"), which concluded on May 31, 2021, Senate Bill 1232 ("SB 1232" or "the bill") was enacted, and the bill became effective on September 1, 2021. SB 1232 provides for a variety of changes to the operations and management of the Fund, including the creation of the Permanent School Fund Corporation (the "PSF Corporation"), and the delegation of responsibility to manage the portion of the Fund previously under the management supervision of the State Board of Education (the "SBOE") to the PSF Corporation. SB 1232 also requires changes with respect to the management of certain investments previously made at the discretion of the Texas School Land Board (the "SLB"), including limiting the types of investments that may be made by the SLB and mandating the transfer of cash and certain other investment properties from the SLB to the PSF Corporation once the PSF Corporation is created. Certain of the authorizations of SB 1232, including the creation of the PSF Corporation have occurred, and other authorized changes are expected to be implemented in phases through the end of calendar year 2023. See "Management Transition to the PSF Corporation" for a summary of SB 1232 and its expected impact on the management and operations of the Fund.

The regular session of the 88th Texas Legislature (the "Legislature") is scheduled from January 10, 2023 to May 29, 2023. Thereafter, the Texas Governor may call one or more additional special sessions. During this time, the Legislature may enact laws that materially change current law as it relates to the Guarantee Program, the TEA, the SBOE, the Act, the PSF Corporation, and Texas school finance generally. No representation is made regarding any actions the Legislature may take, but the TEA, SBOE, and PSF Corporation intend to monitor proposed legislation for any developments applicable thereto.

#### **History and Purpose**

The PSF supports the State's public school system in two major ways: distributions to the constitutionally established Available School Fund (the "ASF"), as described below, and the guarantee of school district and charter district issued bonds through the Guarantee Program. The PSF was created in 1845 and received its first significant funding with a \$2,000,000 appropriation by the Legislature in 1854 expressly for the benefit of the public schools of Texas, with the sole purpose of assisting in the funding of public education for present and future generations. The Constitution of 1876 described that the PSF would be "permanent," and stipulated that certain lands and all proceeds from the sale of these lands should also constitute the PSF. Additional acts later gave more public domain land and rights to the PSF. In 1953, the U.S. Congress passed the Submerged Lands Act that relinquished to coastal states all rights of the U.S. navigable waters within state boundaries. If the State, by law, had set a larger boundary prior to or at the time of admission to the Union, or if the boundary had been approved by Congress, then the larger boundary applied. After three years of litigation (1957-1960), the U.S. Supreme Court on May 31, 1960, affirmed Texas' historic three marine leagues (10.35 miles) seaward boundary. Texas proved its submerged lands property rights to three leagues into the Gulf of Mexico by citing historic laws and treaties dating back to 1836. All lands lying within that limit belong to the PSF. The proceeds from the sale and the mineral-related rental of these lands, including bonuses, delay rentals and royalty payments, become the corpus of the Fund. Prior to the approval by the voters of the State of an amendment to the constitutional provision under which the Fund is established and administered, which occurred on September 13, 2003 (the "Total Return Constitutional Amendment"), and which is further described below, only the income produced by the PSF could be used to complement taxes in financing public education, which primarily consisted of income from securities, capital gains from securities transactions and royalties from the sale of oil and natural gas. The Total Return Constitutional Amendment provides that interest and dividends produced by Fund investments will be additional revenue to the PSF.

On November 8, 1983, the voters of the State approved a constitutional amendment that provides for the guarantee by the PSF of bonds issued by school districts. On approval by the State Commissioner of Education (the "Education Commissioner"), bonds properly issued by a school district are fully guaranteed by the PSF. See "The School District Bond Guarantee Program."

In 2011, legislation was enacted that established the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program as a new component of the Guarantee Program. That legislation authorized the use of the PSF to guarantee revenue bonds issued by or for the benefit of certain openenrollment charter schools that are designated as "charter districts" by the Education Commissioner. On approval by the Education Commissioner, bonds properly issued by a charter district participating in the Guarantee Program are fully guaranteed by the PSF. The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program became effective on March 3, 2014. See "The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program."

State law also permits charter schools to be chartered and operated by school districts and other political subdivisions, but bond financing of facilities for school district-operated charter schools is subject to the School District Bond Guarantee Program, not the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program.

While the School District Bond Guarantee Program and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program relate to different types of bonds issued for different types of Texas public schools, and have different program regulations and requirements, a bond guaranteed under either part of the Guarantee Program has the same effect with respect to the guarantee obligation of the Fund thereto, and all guaranteed bonds are aggregated for purposes of determining the capacity of the Guarantee Program (see "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program"). The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program as enacted by State law has not been reviewed by any court, nor has the Texas Attorney General (the "Attorney General") been requested to issue an opinion, with respect to its constitutional validity.

Audited financial information for the PSF is provided annually through the PSF Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (the "Annual Report"), which is filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board ("MSRB"). The SLB's land and real assets investment operations, which are part of the PSF as described below, are also included in the annual financial report of the Texas General Land Office (the "GLO") that is included in the comprehensive annual report of the State of Texas. The Annual Report includes the Message of the Executive Administrator of the Fund (the "Message") and the Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A"). The Annual Report for the year ended August 31, 2022, as filed with the MSRB in accordance with the PSF undertaking and agreement made in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 ("Rule 15c2-12") of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), as described below, is hereby incorporated by reference into this disclosure. Information included herein for the year ended August 31, 2022 is derived from the audited financial statements of the PSF, which are included in the Annual Report as it is filed and posted. Reference is made to the Annual Report for the complete Message and MD&A for the year ended August 31, 2022 and for a description of the financial results of the PSF for the year ended August 31, 2022, the most recent year for which audited financial information regarding the Fund is available. The 2022 Annual Report speaks only as of its date and the TEA has not obligated itself to update the 2022 Annual Report or any other Annual Report. The TEA posts (i) each Annual Report, which includes statistical data regarding the Fund as of the close of each fiscal year, (ii) the most recent disclosure for the Guarantee Program, (iii) the PSF Corporation's Investment Policy Statement (the "IPS"), and (iv) monthly updates with respect to the capacity of the Guarantee Program (collectively, the "Web Site Materials") on the TEA web site at http://tea.texas.gov/Finance and Grants/Permanent School Fund/ and with the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org. Such monthly updates regarding the Guarantee Program are also incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes. In addition to the Web Site Materials, the Fund is required to make quarterly filings with the SEC under Section 13(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such filings, which consist of a list of the Fund's holdings of securities specified in Section 13(f), including exchange-traded (e.g., NYSE) or NASDAQ-quoted stocks, equity options and warrants, shares of closed-end investment companies and certain convertible debt securities, is available from the SEC at www.sec.gov/edgar. A list of the Fund's eguity and fixed income holdings as of August 31 of each year is posted to the TEA web site and filed with the MSRB. Such list excludes holdings in the Fund's securities lending program. Such list, as filed, is incorporated herein and made a part hereof for all purposes. See "Management Transition to the PSF Corporation" for a discussion of the PSF Corporation audit. At its November 2022 quarterly board meeting, the SBOE considered new regulations for the administration of the Bond Guarantee Program. Two readings and a publication period are required for modifications to the Texas Administrative Code, and such process (the "Regulatory Recodification") was completed in February 2023, with the new regulations becoming effective March 1, 2023. The Regulatory Recodification was taken as an acknowledgment of the new role and powers that are delegated to the PSF Corporation. Among other regulations affecting the Fund that were restructured include the Statement of Investment Objectives, Policies and Guidelines of the Texas Permanent School Fund, which is codified at 19 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 33 (recodified in part and replaced in part by the IPS).

#### Management and Administration of the Fund

The following discussion describes some legal and management aspects of the structure of the Fund prior to full implementation of SB 1232. SB 1232 is being implemented in phases. See "Management Transition to the PSF Corporation" for summaries of certain laws applicable to the Fund pursuant to the Texas Constitution and SB 1232 as well as certain prior actions and the ongoing changes in the management structure of the Fund.

The Texas Constitution and applicable statutes delegate to the SBOE the authority and responsibility for investment of the PSF's financial assets, but SB 1232 authorized the SBOE to delegate management of the Fund to the Corporation, which, as noted above, has been done. The SBOE consists of 15 members who are elected by territorial districts in the State to four year terms of office.

The Texas Constitution provides that the Fund shall be managed though the exercise of the judgment and care under the circumstances then prevailing which persons of ordinary prudence, discretion and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs, not in regard to speculation, but in regard to the permanent disposition of their funds, considering the probable income therefrom as well as the probable safety of their capital (the "Prudent Person Standard").

In accordance with the Texas Constitution, the SBOE views the PSF as a perpetual endowment, and the Fund is managed as an endowment fund with a long-term investment horizon. Under the total-return investment objective, the IPS provides that the PSF shall be managed consistently with respect to the following: support for public free schools in Texas, real growth in Fund asset values, protection of Fund capital, and the provision of sustained income distributions to current and future generations of Texas school children. As described below, the Total Return Constitutional Amendment restricts the annual pay-out from the Fund to both (i) 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property, on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium, and (ii) the total-return on all investment assets of the Fund over a rolling ten-year period.

By law, the Education Commissioner is appointed by the Governor, with Senate confirmation, and assists the SBOE, but the Education Commissioner can neither be hired nor dismissed by the SBOE. The PSF Corporation has also engaged outside counsel to advise it as to its duties with respect to the Fund, including specific actions regarding the investment of the PSF to ensure compliance with fiduciary standards, and to provide transactional advice in connection with the investment of Fund assets in non-traditional investments. TEA's General Counsel provides legal advice to the SBOE but will not provide legal advice directly to the PSF Corporation.

The Total Return Constitutional Amendment shifted administrative costs of the Fund from the ASF to the PSF, providing that expenses of managing the PSF are to be paid "by appropriation" from the PSF. In January 2005, the Attorney General issued a legal opinion, Op. Tex. Att'y Gen. No. GA-0293 (2005), stating that the Total Return Constitutional Amendment does not require the SBOE to pay from such appropriated PSF funds the indirect management costs deducted from the assets of a mutual fund or other investment company in which PSF funds have been invested.

The Act requires that the Education Commissioner prepare, and the SBOE approve, an annual status report on the Guarantee Program (which is included in the Annual Report). The State Auditor audits the financial statements of the PSF, which are separate from other financial statements of the State.

Prior to the enactment of SB 1232, Texas law assigned to the SLB control of the Fund's land and mineral rights and authority to invest in certain real assets. Administrative duties related to these assets have in the past resided with the GLO, which is under the guidance of the elected commissioner of the GLO (the "Land Commissioner").

In 2019, the Texas Legislature enacted legislation that created a "permanent school fund liquid account" (the "Liquid Account") in the PSF for the purpose of the SBOE receiving, administering, and investing funds transferred from the SLB on a quarterly basis that are not then invested by the SLB or needed within the forthcoming quarter. On January 1, 2023, management of the Liquid Account transferred from the SBOE to the PSF Corporation. The bill grants the PSF Corporation authority and discretion to abolish the Liquid Account when its purpose has been resolved and transfer any remaining balance to the Fund.

### **Management Transition to the PSF Corporation**

In accordance with SB 1232, at its November 2021 board meeting, the SBOE approved the articles of formation of the PSF Corporation. The articles were filed on December 1, 2021, thus effecting the creation of the PSF Corporation. SB 1232 authorizes the SBOE to delegate investment authority over the PSF and the Charter District Reserve Fund to the PSF Corporation. The bill also provides that the PSF Corporation, the SBOE and TEA must coordinate to determine the PSF Corporation's role in the operation and management of the Guarantee Program to ensure the proper and efficient operation of the program.

The description of SB 1232 that follows summarizes some key provisions of the bill. The full text of the bill can be found at https://capitol.texas.gov/BillLookup/Text.aspx?LegSess=87R&Bill=SB1232. SB 1232 provides for various transition dates relating to implementation of the bill, with the latest dates generally occurring in calendar year 2023. As noted above, on January 1, 2023 the investment management responsibilities for the Fund transferred to the PSF Corporation and the merger of Fund assets previously managed by the SLB with those previously managed by the SBOE.

As allowed by SB 1232, the PSF Corporation has been created as a special-purpose governmental corporation and instrumentality of the State which is entitled to sovereign immunity. The PSF Corporation is governed by a nine-member board of directors (the "PSFC Board"), which consists of five members of the SBOE, the Land Commissioner, and three appointed members who have substantial background and expertise in investments and asset management; with one of the appointees being appointed by the Land Commissioner and the other two appointed by the Governor (one of which is currently vacant) with confirmation by the Senate.

At the inaugural meeting of the PSFC Board in January 2022, the PSFC Board appointed the Executive Administrator of the Fund as the interim chief executive officer of the PSF Corporation and in April 2022 the Executive Administrator of the Fund was confirmed as the chief executive officer of the PSF Corporation. In July 2023, the PSFC Board appointed an Acting chief executive officer to perform those duties while the PSFC Board conducts a search to hire a permanent replacement for the chief executive officer who retired at the end of March. The PSFC Board adopted bylaws governing how it will manage its affairs and conduct business. The chief executive officer reports to the PSFC Board. Any amendments to the PSF Corporation's articles of formation and bylaws will be adopted by the PSFC Board but are subject to approval by the SBOE. At its March 2023 meeting, the PSFC Board approved its securities lending policy.

Notwithstanding the management transition for the Fund from the SBOE to the PSF Corporation, the provisions of the Texas Constitution that formerly applied to the SBOE's management will continue to provide a framework for the management of the Fund. In particular, the Prudent Person Standard is applicable to the PSF Corporation, and the Total Return Constitutional Amendment will govern distributions from the PSF to the ASF by the SBOE. A separate constitutional provision allowing distributions from the PSF to the ASF that is currently used by the SLB was also granted to the PSF Corporation. When determining any amount to distribute, the PSF Corporation may consider distributions made by the SBOE. In addition, the Fund will continue to be managed as a perpetual endowment for the benefit of citizens of the State.

The SLB's investments in real estate investment funds and real asset investment funds will transfer to the PSF Corporation. Beginning December 31, 2022, the SLB is no longer authorized to make investments into funds; however, the SLB will still be able to invest in land, mineral and royalty interests, and individual real estate holdings; the SLB will also be required to send PSF mineral revenue to

the PSF Corporation for investment, subject to designation via the appropriations process to cover GLO expenses of managing the minerals

Not less than once each year, the PSFC Board must submit an audit report to the Legislative Budget Board ("LBB") regarding the operations of the PSF Corporation. The PSF Corporation may contract with a certified public accountant or the State Auditor to conduct an independent audit of the operations of the PSF Corporation, but such authorization does not affect the State Auditor's authority to conduct an audit of the PSF Corporation in accordance with other State laws. The first audit of the PSF Corporation will be conducted following the close of the 2022-2023 fiscal year on August 31, 2023.

As required by State law, during the 87<sup>th</sup> Regular Session the LBB issued a fiscal note on SB 1232. The fiscal note stated that uncertainty exists regarding the nature of future returns and the effect of the bill on distributions from all components of the PSF to the ASF, such that the financial impact of the bill could not be determined during the legislative session. However, the fiscal note stated that TEA and the GLO projected that the changes effected by the bill will have a positive fiscal impact in terms of growth of the Fund and future Fund distributions. No assurances can be given as to future investment results for the Fund.

With respect to the 2024-2025 State biennium, and for subsequent biennia, the PSF Corporation is required to submit a legislative appropriations request ("LAR") to the LBB and the Office of the Governor that details a request for appropriation of funds to enable the PSF Corporation to carry out its responsibilities for the investment management of the Fund. The requested funding, budget structure, and riders are sufficient to fully support all operations of the PSF Corporation in state fiscal years 2024 and 2025. As described therein, the LAR is designed to provide the PSF Corporation with the ability to operate as a stand-alone state entity in the State budget while retaining the flexibility to fulfill its fiduciary duty and provide oversight and transparency to the Legislature and Governor.

#### The Total Return Constitutional Amendment

The Total Return Constitutional Amendment approved a fundamental change in the way that distributions are made to the ASF from the PSF. Prior to the adoption of the Total Return Constitutional Amendment, all interest and dividend income produced by Fund investments flowed into the ASF, where they were distributed to local school districts and open-enrollment charter schools based on average daily attendance, any net gains from investments of the Fund were reflected in the value of the PSF, and costs of administering the PSF were allocated to the ASF. The Total Return Constitutional Amendment requires that PSF distributions to the ASF be determined using a "total-return-based" formula instead of the "current-income-based" formula, which was used from 1964 to the end of the 2003 fiscal year. The Total Return Constitutional Amendment provides that the total amount distributed from the Fund to the ASF: (1) in each year of a State fiscal biennium must be an amount that is not more than 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property (the "Distribution Rate"), on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium, in accordance with the rate adopted by: (a) a vote of two-thirds of the total membership of the SBOE, taken before the Regular Session of the Legislature convenes or (b) the Legislature by general law or appropriation, if the SBOE does not adopt a rate as provided by clause (a); and (2) over the ten-year period consisting of the current State fiscal year and the nine preceding State fiscal years may not exceed the total return on all investment assets of the Fund over the same ten-year period (the "Ten Year Total Return"). In April 2009, the Attorney General issued a legal opinion, Op. Tex. Att'y Gen. No. GA-0707 (2009) ("GA-0707"), with regard to certain matters pertaining to the Distribution Rate and the determination of the Ten Year Total Return. In GA-0707 the Attorney General opined, among other advice, that (i) the Ten Year Total Return should be calculated on an annual basis, (ii) a contingency plan adopted by the SBOE, to permit monthly transfers equal in aggregate to the annual Distribution Rate to be halted and subsequently made up if such transfers temporarily exceed the Ten Year Total Return, is not prohibited by State law, provided that such contingency plan applies only within a fiscal year time basis, not on a biennium basis, and (iii) that the amount distributed from the Fund in a fiscal year may not exceed 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund or the Ten Year Total Return. In accordance with GA-0707, in the event that the Ten Year Total Return is exceeded during a fiscal year, transfers to the ASF will be halted. However, if the Ten Year Total Return subsequently increases during that biennium, transfers may be resumed, if the SBOE has provided for that contingency, and made in full during the remaining period of the biennium, subject to the limit of 6% in any one fiscal year. Any shortfall in the transfer that results from such events from one biennium may not be paid over to the ASF in a subsequent biennium as the SBOE would make a separate payout determination for that subsequent biennium.

In determining the Distribution Rate, the SBOE has adopted the goal of maximizing the amount distributed from the Fund in a manner designed to preserve "intergenerational equity." The definition of intergenerational equity that the SBOE has generally followed is the maintenance of purchasing power to ensure that endowment spending keeps pace with inflation, with the ultimate goal being to ensure that current and future generations are given equal levels of purchasing power in real terms. In making this determination, the SBOE takes into account various considerations, and relies upon PSF Corporation and TEA staff and external investment consultants, which undertake analysis for long-term projection periods that includes certain assumptions. Among the assumptions used in the analysis are a projected rate of growth of student enrollment State-wide, the projected contributions and expenses of the Fund, projected returns in the capital markets and a projected inflation rate.

On November 8, 2011, a referendum was held in the State at which voters of the State approved amendments that effected an increase to the base amount used in calculating the Distribution Rate from the Fund to the ASF and authorized the SLB to make direct transfers to the ASF, as described below.

The November 8, 2011, referendum included an increase to the base used to calculate the Distribution Rate by adding to the calculation base certain discretionary real assets and cash in the Fund that is managed by entities other than the SBOE (at present, by the SLB). The value of those assets was already included in the value of the Fund for purposes of the Guarantee Program, but

prior to the amendment had not been included in the calculation base for purposes of making transfers from the Fund to the ASF. While the amendment provided for an increase in the base for the calculation of approximately \$2 billion, no new resources were provided for deposit to the Fund. As described under "The Total Return Constitutional Amendment" the SBOE is prevented from approving a Distribution Rate or making a pay out from the Fund if the amount distributed would exceed 6% of the average of the market value of the Fund, excluding real property in the Fund, but including discretionary real asset investments on the last day of each of the sixteen State fiscal quarters preceding the Regular Session of the Legislature that begins before that State fiscal biennium or if such pay out would exceed the Ten Year Total Return.

The constitutional amendments approved on November 8, 2011, also provided authority to the GLO or another entity (described in statute as the SLB) that has responsibility for the management of revenues derived from land or other properties of the PSF to determine whether to transfer an amount each year to the ASF from the revenue derived during the current year from such land or properties. Prior to November 2019, the amount authorized to be transferred to the ASF from the GLO or SLB was limited to \$300 million per year. On November 5, 2019, a constitutional amendment was approved by State voters that increased the maximum transfer to the ASF to \$600 million each year from the revenue derived during that year from the PSF from the GLO, the SBOE or another entity to the extent such entity has the responsibility for the management of revenues derived from such land or other properties. Any amount transferred to the ASF pursuant to this constitutional provision is excluded from the 6% Distribution Rate limitation applicable to SBOE transfers.

The following table shows amounts distributed to the ASF from the portions of the Fund administered by the SBOE (the "PSF(SBOE)") and the SLB (the "PSF(SLB)").

#### Annual Distributions to the Available School Fund<sup>1</sup>

Fiscal Year Ending	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>
PSF(SBOE) Distribution	\$1,021	\$839	\$839	\$1,056	\$1,056	\$1,236	\$1,236	\$1,102	\$1,102	\$1,731
PSF(SLB) Distribution	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300	\$600	\$600 <sup>2</sup>	\$415
Per Student Distribution	\$281	\$175	\$173	\$215	\$212	\$247	\$306	\$347	\$341	\$432

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In millions of dollars. Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

In November 2022, the SBOE approved a \$3.1 billion distribution to the ASF for State fiscal biennium 2024-2025. In making its determination of the 2024-2025 Distribution Rate, the SBOE took into account the announced planned distribution to the ASF by the SLB of \$1.2 billion for the biennium.

Efforts to achieve the intergenerational equity objective, as described above, result in changes in the Distribution Rate for each biennial period. The following table sets forth the Distribution Rates announced by the SBOE in the fall of each even numbered year to be applicable for the following biennium.

State Fiscal Biennium	2008-09	<u> 2010-11</u>	<u>2012-13</u>	<u> 2014-15</u>	<u> 2016-17</u>	<u> 2018-19</u>	2020-21	2022-23	2024-25
SBOE Distribution Rate <sup>1</sup>	3.5%	2.5%	4.2%	3.3%	3.5%	3.7%	2.974%	4.18%	$3.32\%^{2}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes only distributions made to the ASF by the SBOE; see the immediately preceding table for amounts of direct SLB distributions to the ASF. In addition, the SLB approved transfers of \$600 million per year directly to the ASF for fiscal biennium 2024-25.

## **Asset Allocation of Fund Portfolios**

With respect to the management of the Fund's financial assets portfolio, the single most significant change made to date as a result of the Total Return Constitutional Amendment has been new asset allocation policies adopted from time to time by the SBOE. The SBOE historically reviewed the asset allocations during its summer meeting in even-numbered years. The first asset allocation policy adopted by the SBOE following the Total Return Constitutional Amendment was in February 2004, and the policy was reviewed and modified or reaffirmed in the summers of each even-numbered year, most recently in June 2022. The IPS (effective January 1, 2023) provides for minimum and maximum ranges among the components of each of the asset classifications: equities, fixed income, and alternatives. The alternatives category includes absolute return, private equity, real estate, emerging manager program, real return, natural resources, and infrastructure components. Alternative asset classes diversify the managed assets of the PSF and are not as correlated to traditional asset classes, which is intended to increase investment returns over the long run while reducing risk and return

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In September 2020, the SBOE approved a special, one-time transfer of \$300 million from the portion of the PSF managed by the SBOE to the portion of the PSF managed by the SLB, which amount is to be transferred to the ASF by the SLB in fiscal year 2021. In approving the special transfer, the SBOE determined that the transfer was in the best interest of the PSF due to the historic nature of the public health and economic circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on the school children of Texas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The distribution rate approved by the SBOE for fiscal biennium 2024-25 was based on a number of assumptions, including a mid- to long-term expected return rate for the Fund of 6.35% and a rate of inflation measured by the consumer price index of 2.70% according to the policy adopted by the SBOE in June 2022.

volatility of the portfolio. Given the greater weighting in the overall portfolio of traditional investments, it is expected that the Fund will reflect the general performance returns of the markets in which the Fund is invested.

Prior to the effective date of the IPS, the most recent asset allocation of the PSF(SBOE), was approved by the SBOE in June 2022, and is set forth below, along with the asset allocations of the PSF(SLB) and Liquid Account that were effective June 2022.

#### **PSF 2022 Strategic Asset Allocations**

	PSF(SBOE)	PSF(SLB)	Liquid Account
<b>Equity Total</b>	55%	0%	77%
Public Equity Total	37%	0%	77%
Large Cap US Equity	14%	0%	38%
Small/Mid Cap US Equity	6%	0%	10%
International Equities	14%	0%	29%
Emerging Markets Equity	3%	0%	0%
Private Equity	18%	0%	0%
Fixed Income Total	22%	0%	21%
Core Bonds	12%	0%	16%
Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans)	4%	0%	0%
Emerging Markets Debt	3%	0%	0%
Treasuries	3%	0%	0%
TIPS	0%	0%	5%
Short Duration	0%	0%	0%
Alternative Investments Total	22%	100%	0%
Absolute	7%	0%	0%
Return			
Real Estate	11%	33%	0%
Real Return	4%	0%	0%
Energy	0%	31%	0%
Infrastructure	0%	36%	0%
Emerging Manager Program	1%	0%	0%
Cook	00/	00/	20/
Cash	0%	0%	2%

# PSF Corporation 2023 Strategic Asset Allocation

Effective January 1, 2023, the IPS includes a combined asset allocation for all Fund assets (consisting of assets transferred for management to the PSF Corporation from the SBOE, the SLB and the Liquid Account). The IPS provides that the Fund's investment objectives are as follows:

Generate distributions for the benefit of public schools in Texas;

- Maintain the purchasing power of the Fund, after spending and inflation, in order to maintain intergenerational equity with respect to distributions from the Fund;
- Provide a maximum level of return consistent with prudent risk levels, while maintaining sufficient liquidity needed to support Fund obligations; and
- Maintain a AAA credit rating, as assigned by a nationally recognized securities rating organization.

The table below sets forth the asset allocation of the Fund beginning January 1, 2023.

Asset Class	Strategic Asset Allocation	Range	
Equities			
Large Cap US Equity	15%	+/- 3.0%	
Small/Mid-Cap US Equity	6%	+/- 1.0%	
Non-US Developed Equity	10%	+/- 3.0%	
Emerging Market Equity	6%	+/- 1.0%	
Total Equity	37%		
Fixed Income			
Core Bonds	11%	+/- 2.0%	
Non-Core Bonds (High Yield & Bank Loans)	3%	+/- 3.0%	
Emerging Market Debt (Local Currency)	2%	+/- 2.0%	
U.S. Treasuries	2%	+/- 2.0%	
Total Fixed Income	18%		
Cash Equivalents	0%		
Alternatives			
Absolute Return	6%	+/- 1.0%	
Private Equity	15%	+/- 4.0%	
Real Estate	12%	+/- 4.0%	
Emerging Manager Program (Private Equity/Real Estate)	1%	+/- 1.0%	
Real Return (Commodities & U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS))	4%	+/- 1.5%	
Private Real Assets – Natural Resources	3%	+/- 2.0%	
Private Real Assets - Infrastructure	4%	+/- 2.0%	
Total Alternatives	45%		

For a variety of reasons, each change in asset allocation for the Fund has been implemented in phases, and that approach is likely to be carried forward when and if the asset allocation policy is again modified.

The table below sets forth the comparative investments of the PSF(SBOE) for the years ending August 31, 2021 and 2022, as set forth in the PSF Annual Reports for those years. As of January 1, 2023, the assets of the PSF(SBOE) and the PSF (SLB) were generally combined for investment management and accounting purposes.

## Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(SBOE)1

Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2022 and 2021					
ASSET CLASS EQUITY	August 31, <u>2022</u>	August 31, <u>2021</u>	Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent <u>Change</u>	

Domestic Small Cap	\$ 2,358.4	\$ 2,597.3	\$ (238.9)	-9.2%
Domestic Large Cap	<u>4,730.4</u>	6,218.7	(1,488.3)	<u>-23.9%</u>
Total Domestic Equity	7,088.8	8,816.0	(1,727.2)	-19.6%
International Equity	5,972.5	8,062.1	(2,089.6)	<u>-25.9%</u>
TOTAL EQUITY	13,061.3	16,878.1	(3,816.8)	-22.6%
FIXED INCOME				
Domestic Fixed Income	4,563.3	4,853.1	(289.8)	-6.0%
U.S. Treasuries	1,140.2	1,243.3	(103.1)	-8.3%
High Yield Bonds	1,142.5	-	<u>1,142.5</u>	N/A
Emerging Market Debt	<u>1,142.5</u>	2,683.7	(1,492.8)	<u>-55.6%</u>
TOTAL FIXED INCOME	8,036.9	8,780.1	(743.2)	-8.5%
ALTERNATIVE INVESTMEN	NTS			
Absolute Return	2,932.3	3,546.0	(613.7)	-17.3%
Real Estate	4,365.7	3,706.0	659.7	17.8%
Private Equity Emerging Manager	7,933.1	7,724.6	208.5	2.7%
Program	29.9	-	29.9	N/A
Real Return	<u>1,412.0</u>	<u>1,675.5</u>	<u>(263.5)</u>	<u>-15.7%</u>
TOT ALT INVESTMENTS	16,673.0	16,652.1	20.9	0.1%
UNALLOCATED CASH	<u>196.5</u>	<u>262.9</u>	<u>(66.4)</u>	<u>-25.3%</u>
TOTAL PSF(SBOE) INVESTMENTS	\$ 37,967.7	\$ 42,573.2	\$ (4,605.5)	-10.8%

The table below sets forth the investments of the Liquid Account for the year ended August 31, 2022.

# Liquid Account Fair Value at August 31, 20221

Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2022 and 2021

ASSET CLASS	August 31, <u>2022</u>	August 31, <u>2021</u>	Amount of Increase (Decrease)	Percent <u>Change</u>
Equity Domestic Small/Mid Cap	\$ 500.0	\$228.3	\$271.7	119.0%
•	·	•	1,093.1	188.9%
Domestic Large Cap	<u>1,671.7</u>	<u>578.6</u>		
Total Domestic Equity	2,171.7	806.9	1,364.8	169.1%
International Equity	<u>1,225.5</u>	<u>392.6</u>	<u>832.9</u>	212.1%
TOTAL EQUITY	3,397.2	1,199.5	2,197.7	183.2%
Fixed Income				
Short-Term Fixed Income	797.4	1,074.8	(277.4)	-25.8%
Core Bonds	506.8	413.1	93.7	22.7%
TIPS	208.2	<u>213.9</u>	<u>(5.7)</u>	-2.7%
TOTAL FIXED INCOME	1,512.4	1,701.8	(189.4)	-11.1%
Unallocated Cash	<u>35.2</u>	<u>1,420.5</u>	(1,385.3)	-97.5%
Total Liquid Account Investments	\$4,944.8	\$4,321.8	\$623.0	14.4%

1 In millions of dollars. Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

¹ The investments shown in the table above at August 31, 2022 do not fully reflect the changes made to the PSF Strategic Asset Allocation in June 2022, as those changes were still being phased in at the end of the fiscal year.

The table below sets forth the comparative investments of the PSF(SLB) for the years ending August 31, 2022 and 2021.

#### Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(SLB)

#### Fair Value (in millions) August 31, 2022 and 2021

	As of 8-31-22	As of 8-31-21	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
Asset Class			-	
Discretionary Real Assets Investments				
Externally Managed				
Real Assets Investment Funds <sup>1</sup>				
Energy/Minerals	\$2,718.6	\$1,707.5	\$1,011.1	59.2%
Infrastructure	1,622.7	1,652.3	(29.6)	-1.8%
Real Estate	<u>1,921.2</u>	<u>1,276.8</u>	<u>644.4</u>	50.5%
Internally Managed Direct				
Real Estate Investments	271.5	223.9	47.6	21.3%
Total Discretionary				
Real Assets Investments	6,534.0	4,860.5	1,673.5	34.4%
Dom. Equity Rec'd as In-Kind Distribution	-	1.7	(1.7)	-100.0%
	400.0			= 00/
Sovereign and Other Lands	428.3	405.4	22.9	5.6%
NO. III.	F 000 0	0.700.4	0.004.0	400 70/
Mineral Interests	5,622.2	2,720.4	2,901.8	106.7%
O h t Ot - t - T 2	4.057.5	200.0	550.0	70.00/
Cash at State Treasury <sup>2</sup>	<u>1,257.5</u>	<u>699.2</u>	<u>558.3</u>	79.8%
Total DCC(CLD)				
Total PSF(SLB)	¢12.042.0	¢0.607.0	¢E 1E1 0	EO 20/
Investments	\$13,842.0	\$8,687.2	\$5,154.8	59.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The fair values of externally managed real assets investment funds, separate accounts, and co-investment vehicles are estimated using the most recent valuations available, adjusted for subsequent contributions and withdrawals.

The asset allocation of the Fund's financial assets portfolio is subject to change by the PSF Corporation from time to time based upon a number of factors, including recommendations to the PSF Corporation made by internal investment staff and external consultants. Fund performance may also be affected by factors other than asset allocation, including, without limitation, the general performance of the securities markets and other capital markets in the United States and abroad, which may be affected by different levels of economic activity; decisions of political officeholders; significant adverse weather events; development of hostilities in and among nations; cybersecurity threats and events; changes in international trade policies or practices; application of the Prudent Person Standard, which may eliminate certain investment opportunities for the Fund; management fees paid to external managers and embedded management fees for some fund investments; and, PSF operational limitations impacted by Texas law or legislative appropriation. The Guarantee Program could also be impacted by changes in State or federal law or regulations or the implementation of new accounting standards.

#### The School District Bond Guarantee Program

The School District Bond Guarantee Program requires an application be made by a school district to the Education Commissioner for a guarantee of its bonds. If the conditions for the School District Bond Guarantee Program are satisfied, the guarantee becomes effective upon approval of the bonds by the Attorney General and remains in effect until the guaranteed bonds are paid or defeased, by a refunding or otherwise.

In the event of default, holders of guaranteed school district bonds will receive all payments due from the corpus of the PSF. Following a determination that a school district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on any guaranteed bond, the Act requires the school district to notify the Education Commissioner not later than the fifth day before the stated maturity date of such bond or interest payment. Immediately following receipt of such notice, the Education Commissioner must cause to be transferred from the appropriate account in the PSF to the Paying Agent/Registrar an amount necessary to pay the maturing or matured principal and interest. Upon receipt of funds for payment of such principal or interest, the Paying Agent/Registrar must pay the amount due and forward the canceled bond or evidence of payment of the interest to the State Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "Comptroller"). The Education Commissioner will instruct the Comptroller to withhold the amount paid, plus interest, from the first State money payable to the school district. The amount withheld pursuant to this funding "intercept" feature will be deposited to the credit of the PSF. The Comptroller must hold such canceled bond or evidence of payment of the interest on behalf of the PSF. Following full reimbursement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cash at State Treasury represents amounts that have been deposited in the State Treasury and temporarily invested in short-term investments until called for investment by the external real assets investment funds, separate accounts, and co-investment vehicles to which PSF(SLB) has made capital commitments. Prior to September 1, 2019, PSF(SLB) was required by statute to deposit cash designated by the SLB for investment in real assets in the State Treasury until it is drawn for investment. After September 1, 2019, that cash was moved to the Liquid Account to be invested by the SBOE.

of such payment by the school district to the PSF with interest, the Comptroller will cancel the bond or evidence of payment of the interest and forward it to the school district. The Act permits the Education Commissioner to order a school district to set a tax rate sufficient to reimburse the PSF for any payments made with respect to guaranteed bonds, and also sufficient to pay future payments on guaranteed bonds, and provides certain enforcement mechanisms to the Education Commissioner, including the appointment of a board of managers or annexation of a defaulting school district to another school district.

If a school district fails to pay principal or interest on a bond as it is stated to mature, other amounts not due and payable are not accelerated and do not become due and payable by virtue of the district's default. The School District Bond Guarantee Program does not apply to the payment of principal and interest upon redemption of bonds, except upon mandatory sinking fund redemption, and does not apply to the obligation, if any, of a school district to pay a redemption premium on its guaranteed bonds. The guarantee applies to all matured interest on guaranteed school district bonds, whether the bonds were issued with a fixed or variable interest rate and whether the interest rate changes as a result of an interest reset provision or other bond order provision requiring an interest rate change. The guarantee does not extend to any obligation of a school district under any agreement with a third party relating to guaranteed bonds that is defined or described in State law as a "bond enhancement agreement" or a "credit agreement," unless the right to payment of such third party is directly as a result of such third party being a bondholder.

In the event that two or more payments are made from the PSF on behalf of a district, the Education Commissioner shall request the Attorney General to institute legal action to compel the district and its officers, agents and employees to comply with the duties required of them by law in respect to the payment of guaranteed bonds.

Generally, the regulations that govern the School District Bond Guarantee Program (the "SDBGP Rules") limit guarantees to certain types of notes and bonds, including, with respect to refunding bonds issued by school districts, a requirement that the bonds produce debt service savings, and that bonds issued for capital facilities of school districts must have been voted as unlimited tax debt of the issuing district. The Guarantee Program Rules include certain accreditation criteria for districts applying for a guarantee of their bonds, and limit guarantees to districts that have less than the amount of annual debt service per average daily attendance that represents the 90th percentile of annual debt service per average daily attendance for all school districts, but such limitation will not apply to school districts that have enrollment growth of at least 25% over the previous five school years. As noted, above, in connection with the Regulatory Recodification, the SDBGP Rules are now codified in the Texas Administrative Code at 19 TAC section 33.6 and are available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

#### The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program became effective March 3, 2014. The SBOE published final regulations in the Texas Register that provide for the administration of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program (the "CDBGP Rules"). As noted, above, in connection with the Regulatory Recodification, the CDBGP Rules are now codified at 19 TAC section 33.7 and are available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program has been authorized through the enactment of amendments to the Act, which provide that a charter holder may make application to the Education Commissioner for designation as a "charter district" and for a guarantee by the PSF under the Act of bonds issued on behalf of a charter district by a non-profit corporation. If the conditions for the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program are satisfied, the guarantee becomes effective upon approval of the bonds by the Attorney General and remains in effect until the guaranteed bonds are paid or defeased, by a refunding or otherwise.

As of July 2023 (the most recent date for which data is available), the percentage of students enrolled in open-enrollment charter schools (excluding charter schools authorized by school districts) to the total State scholastic census was approximately 7.36%. At July 31, 2023, there were 184 active open-enrollment charter schools in the State and there were 1,103 charter school campuses authorized under such charters, though as of such date, 208 of such campuses are not currently serving students for various reasons; therefore, there are 895 charter school campuses actively serving students in Texas. Section 12.101, Texas Education Code, limits the number of charters that the Education Commissioner may grant to a total number of 305 charters. While legislation limits the number of charters that may be granted, it does not limit the number of campuses that may operate under a particular charter. For information regarding the capacity of the Guarantee Program, see "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program." The Act provides that the Education Commissioner may not approve the guarantee of refunding or refinanced bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program in a total amount that exceeds one-half of the total amount available for the guarantee of charter district bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program.

In accordance with the Act, the Education Commissioner may not approve charter district bonds for guarantee if such guarantees will result in lower bond ratings for public school district bonds that are guaranteed under the School District Bond Guarantee Program. To be eligible for a guarantee, the Act provides that a charter district's bonds must be approved by the Attorney General, have an unenhanced investment grade rating from a nationally recognized investment rating firm, and satisfy a limited investigation conducted by the TEA.

The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program does not apply to the payment of principal and interest upon redemption of bonds, except upon mandatory sinking fund redemption, and does not apply to the obligation, if any, of a charter district to pay a redemption premium on its guaranteed bonds. The guarantee applies to all matured interest on guaranteed charter district bonds, whether the bonds were issued with a fixed or variable interest rate and whether the interest rate changes as a result of an interest reset provision or other bond resolution provision requiring an interest rate change. The guarantee does not extend to any obligation of a charter district under any agreement with a third party relating to guaranteed bonds that is defined or described in State law as a "bond

enhancement agreement" or a "credit agreement," unless the right to payment of such third party is directly as a result of such third party being a bondholder.

In the event of default, holders of guaranteed charter district bonds will receive all payments due from the corpus of the PSF. Following a determination that a charter district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on any guaranteed bond, the Act requires a charter district to notify the Education Commissioner not later than the fifth day before the stated maturity date of such bond or interest payment and provides that immediately following receipt of notice that a charter district will be or is unable to pay maturing or matured principal or interest on a guaranteed bond, the Education Commissioner is required to instruct the Comptroller to transfer from the Charter District Reserve Fund to the district's paying agent an amount necessary to pay the maturing or matured principal or interest. If money in the Charter District Reserve Fund is insufficient to pay the amount due on a bond for which a notice of default has been received, the Education Commissioner is required to instruct the Comptroller to transfer from the PSF to the district's paying agent the amount necessary to pay the balance of the unpaid maturing or matured principal or interest. If a total of two or more payments are made under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program on charter district bonds and the Education Commissioner determines that the charter district is acting in bad faith under the program, the Education Commissioner may request the Attorney General to institute appropriate legal action to compel the charter district and its officers, agents, and employees to comply with the duties required of them by law in regard to the guaranteed bonds. As is the case with the School District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act provides a funding "intercept" feature that obligates the Education Commissioner to instruct the Comptroller to withhold the amount paid with respect to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, plus interest, from the first State money payable to a charter district that fails to make a guaranteed payment on its bonds. The amount withheld will be deposited, first, to the credit of the PSF, and then to restore any amount drawn from the Charter District Reserve Fund as a result of the nonpayment.

The CDBGP Rules provide that the PSF may be used to guarantee bonds issued for the acquisition, construction, repair, or renovation of an educational facility for an open-enrollment charter holder and equipping real property of an open-enrollment charter school and/or to refinance promissory notes executed by an open-enrollment charter school, each in an amount in excess of \$500,000 the proceeds of which loans were used for a purpose described above (so-called new money bonds) or for refinancing bonds previously issued for the charter school that were approved by the attorney general (so-called refunding bonds). Refunding bonds may not be guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program if they do not result in a present value savings to the charter holder.

The CDBGP Rules provide that an open-enrollment charter holder applying for charter district designation and a guarantee of its bonds under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program satisfy various provisions of the regulations, including the following: It must (i) have operated at least one open-enrollment charter school with enrolled students in the State for at least three years; (ii) agree that the bonded indebtedness for which the guarantee is sought will be undertaken as an obligation of all entities under common control of the open-enrollment charter holder, and that all such entities will be liable for the obligation if the open-enrollment charter holder defaults on the bonded indebtedness, provided, however, that an entity that does not operate a charter school in Texas is subject to this provision only to the extent it has received state funds from the open-enrollment charter holder; (iii) have had completed for the past three years an audit for each such year that included unqualified or unmodified audit opinions; and (iv) have received an investment grade credit rating within the last year. Upon receipt of an application for guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Education Commissioner is required to conduct an investigation into the financial status of the applicant charter district and of the accreditation status of all open-enrollment charter schools operated under the charter, within the scope set forth in the CDBGP Rules. Such financial investigation must establish that an applying charter district has a historical debt service coverage ratio, based on annual debt service, of at least 1.1 for the most recently completed fiscal year, and a projected debt service coverage ratio, based on projected revenues and expenses and maximum annual debt service, of at least 1.2. The failure of an openenrollment charter holder to comply with the Act or the applicable regulations, including by making any material misrepresentations in the charter holder's application for charter district designation or guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, constitutes a material violation of the open-enrollment charter holder's charter.

From time to time, TEA has limited new guarantees under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program to conform to capacity limits specified by the Act. Legislation enacted during the Legislature's 2017 regular session modified the manner of calculating the capacity of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program (the "CDBGP Capacity"), which further increased the amount of the CDBGP Capacity. The CDBGP Capacity is made available from the capacity of the Guarantee Program but is not reserved exclusively for the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. See "Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program" and "2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program." Other factors that could increase the CDBGP Capacity include Fund investment performance, future increases in the Guarantee Program multiplier, changes in State law that govern the calculation of the CDBGP Capacity, as described below, changes in State or federal law or regulations related to the Guarantee Program limit, growth in the relative percentage of students enrolled in open-enrollment charter schools to the total State scholastic census, legislative and administrative changes in funding for charter districts, changes in level of school district or charter district participation in the Guarantee Program, or a combination of such circumstances.

# **Capacity Limits for the Guarantee Program**

The capacity of the Fund to guarantee bonds under the Guarantee Program is limited to the lesser of that imposed by State law (the "State Capacity Limit") and that imposed by regulations and a notice issued by the IRS (the "IRS Limit", with the limit in effect at any given time being the "Capacity Limit"). From 2005 through 2009, the Guarantee Program twice reached capacity under the IRS Limit, and in each instance the Guarantee Program was closed to new bond guarantee applications until relief was obtained from the IRS. The most recent closure of the Guarantee Program commenced in March 2009 and the Guarantee Program reopened in February 2010 after the IRS updated regulations relating to the PSF and similar funds.

Prior to 2007, various legislation was enacted modifying the calculation of the State Capacity limit; however, in 2007, Senate Bill 389 ("SB 389") was enacted, providing for increases in the capacity of the Guarantee Program, and specifically providing that the SBOE may by rule increase the capacity of the Guarantee Program from two and one-half times the cost value of the PSF to an amount not to exceed five times the cost value of the PSF, provided that the increased limit does not violate federal law and regulations and does not prevent bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program from receiving the highest available credit rating, as determined by the SBOE. SB 389 further provided that the SBOE shall at least annually consider whether to change the capacity of the Guarantee Program. Additionally, on May 21, 2010, the SBOE modified the SDBGP Rules, and increased the State Capacity Limit to an amount equal to three times the cost value of the PSF. Such modified regulations, including the revised capacity rule, became effective on July 1, 2010. The SDBGP Rules provide that the Education Commissioner will estimate the available capacity of the PSF each month and may increase or reduce the State Capacity Limit multiplier to prudently manage fund capacity and maintain the AAA credit rating of the Guarantee Program but also provide that any changes to the multiplier made by the Education Commissioner are to be ratified or rejected by the SBOE at the next meeting following the change. See "Valuation of the PSF and Guaranteed Bonds" below.

Since September 2015, the SBOE has periodically voted to change the capacity multiplier as shown in the following table.

Changes in SBOE-determined multiplier for State Ca	pacity Limit
<u>Date</u>	Multiplier
Prior to May 2010	2.50
May 2010	3.00
September 2015	3.25
February 2017	3.50
September 2017	3.75
February 2018 (current)	3.50

Since December 16, 2009, the IRS Limit was a static limit set at 500% of the total cost value of the assets held by the PSF as of December 16, 2009; however, on May 10, 2023, the IRS released Notice 2023-39 (the "IRS Notice"), stating that the IRS would issue regulations amending the existing regulations to amend the calculation of the IRS limit to 500% of the total cost value of assets held by the PSF as of the date of sale of new bonds. In accordance with the IRS Notice, the amount of any new bonds to be guaranteed by the PSF, together with the then outstanding amount of bonds previously guaranteed by the PSF, must not exceed the IRS Limit on the sale date of the new bonds to be guaranteed. The IRS Notice further provided that the IRS Notice may be relied upon for bonds sold on or after May 10, 2023, and before the effective date of future regulations or other public administrative guidance affecting funds like the PSF.

The IRS Notice changes the IRS Limit from a static limit to a dynamic limit for the Guarantee Program based upon the cost value of Fund assets, multiplied by five. As of June 30, 2023 the cost value of the Guarantee Program was \$43,704,948,910 (unaudited), thereby producing an IRS Limit of \$218,344,585,245 in principal amount of guaranteed bonds outstanding.

As of June 30, 2023, the estimated State Capacity Limit is \$152,967,321,185, which is lower than the IRS Limit, making the State Capacity Limit the current Capacity Limit for the Fund.

Since July 1991, when the SBOE amended the Guarantee Program Rules to broaden the range of bonds that are eligible for guarantee under the Guarantee Program to encompass most Texas school district bonds, the principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program has increased sharply. In addition, in recent years a number of factors have caused an increase in the amount of bonds issued by school districts in the State. See the table "Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds" below. Effective March 1, 2023, the Act, as amended through the Regulatory Recodification, provides that the SBOE may establish a percentage of the Capacity Limit to be reserved from use in guaranteeing bonds (the "Capacity Reserve"). The SDBGP Rules provide for a maximum Capacity Reserve for the overall Guarantee Program of 5% and provide that the amount of the Capacity Reserve may be increased or decreased by a majority vote of the SBOE based on changes in the cost value, asset allocation, and risk in the portfolio, or may be increased or decreased by the Education Commissioner as necessary to prudently manage fund capacity and preserve the AAA credit The Guarantee Program (subject to ratification or rejection by the SBOE at the next meeting for which an item can be posted). The CDBGP Rules provide for an additional reserve of CDBGP Capacity determined by calculating an equal percentage as established by the SBOE for the Capacity Reserve, applied to the CDBGP Capacity. Effective March 1, 2023, the Capacity Reserve is 0.25%. The Capacity Reserve is noted in the monthly updates with respect to the capacity of the Guarantee Program on the TEA web site at http://tea.texas.gov/Finance and Grants/Permanent School Fund/, which are also filed with the MSRB.

Based upon historical performance of the Fund, the legal restrictions relating to the amount of bonds that may be guaranteed has generally resulted in a lower ratio of guaranteed bonds to available assets as compared to many other types of credit enhancements that may be available for Texas school district bonds and charter district bonds. However, the ratio of Fund assets to guaranteed bonds and the growth of the Fund in general could be adversely affected by a number of factors, including Fund investment performance, investment objectives of the Fund, an increase in bond issues by school districts in the State or legal restrictions on the Fund, changes in State laws that implement funding decisions for school districts and charter districts, which could adversely affect the credit quality of those districts, the implementation of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, or significant changes in distributions to the ASF. The issuance of the IRS Notice and the Final IRS Regulations resulted in a substantial increase in the amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program.

With the change in the Capacity Reserve from 5% to 0.25%, effective March 1, 2023, as discussed above, and the change in the IRS Limit making the State Capacity Limit the current Capacity Limit, the net Guarantee Program capacity as of June 30, 2023 is \$152,556,827,260. No representation is made as to how the capacity will remain available, and the capacity of the Guarantee Program

is subject to change due to a number of factors, including changes in bond issuance volume throughout the State and some bonds receiving guarantee approvals may not close. If the amount of guaranteed bonds approaches the State Capacity Limit, the SBOE or Education Commissioner may increase the State Capacity Limit multiplier as discussed above.

### 2017 Legislative Changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program

The CDBGP Capacity is established by the Act. During the 85th Texas Legislature, which concluded on May 29, 2017, Senate Bill 1480 ("SB 1480") was enacted. SB 1480 amended the Act to modify how the CDBGP Capacity is established effective as of September 1, 2017 and made other substantive changes to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. Prior to the enactment of SB 1480, the CDBGP Capacity was calculated as the Capacity Limit less the amount of outstanding bond guarantees under the Guarantee Program multiplied by the percentage of charter district scholastic population relative to the total public school scholastic population. SB 1480 amended the CDBGP Capacity calculation so that the Capacity Limit is multiplied by the percentage of charter district scholastic population relative to the total public school scholastic population relative to the total public school scholastic population prior to the subtraction of the outstanding bond guarantees, thereby increasing the CDBGP Capacity.

The percentage of the charter district scholastic population to the overall public school scholastic population has grown from 3.53% in September 2012 to 7.36% in March 2023. TEA is unable to predict how the ratio of charter district students to the total State scholastic population will change over time.

In addition to modifying the manner of determining the CDBGP Capacity, SB 1480 provided that the Education Commissioner's investigation of a charter district application for guarantee may include an evaluation of whether the charter district bond security documents provide a security interest in real property pledged as collateral for the bond and the repayment obligation under the proposed guarantee. The Education Commissioner may decline to approve the application if the Education Commissioner determines that sufficient security is not provided. The Act and the CDBGP Rules also require the Education Commissioner to make an investigation of the accreditation status and financial status for a charter district applying for a bond guarantee.

Since the initial authorization of the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, the Act has established a bond guarantee reserve fund in the State treasury (the "Charter District Reserve Fund"). Formerly, the Act provided that each charter district that has a bond guaranteed must annually remit to the Education Commissioner, for deposit in the Charter District Reserve Fund, an amount equal to 10% of the savings to the charter district that is a result of the lower interest rate on its bonds due to the guarantee by the PSF. SB 1480 modified the Act insofar as it pertains to the Charter District Reserve Fund. Effective September 1, 2017, the Act provides that a charter district that has a bond guaranteed must remit to the Education Commissioner, for deposit in the Charter District Reserve Fund, an amount equal to 20% of the savings to the charter district that is a result of the lower interest rate on the bond due to the guarantee by the PSF. The amount due shall be paid on receipt by the charter district of the bond proceeds. However, the deposit requirement will not apply if the balance of the Charter District Reserve Fund is at least equal to 3.00% of the total amount of outstanding guaranteed bonds issued by charter districts. At June 30, 2023, the Charter District Reserve Fund contained \$90,293,027, which represented approximately 2.23% of the guaranteed charter district bonds. The Reserve Fund is held and invested as a noncommingled fund under the administration of the PSF Corporation staff.

#### **Charter District Risk Factors**

Open-enrollment charter schools in the State may not charge tuition and, unlike school districts, charter districts have no taxing power. Funding for charter district operations is largely from amounts appropriated by the Legislature. Additionally, the amount of State payments a charter district receives is based on a variety of factors, including the enrollment at the schools operated by a charter district, and may be affected by the State's economic performance and other budgetary considerations and various political considerations.

Other than credit support for charter district bonds that is provided to qualifying charter districts by the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, State funding for charter district facilities construction is limited to a program established by the Legislature in 2017, which provides \$60 million per year for eligible charter districts with an acceptable performance rating for a variety of funding purposes, including for lease or purchase payments for instructional facilities. Since State funding for charter facilities is limited, charter schools generally issue revenue bonds to fund facility construction and acquisition, or fund facilities from cash flows of the school. Some charter districts have issued non-guaranteed debt in addition to debt guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, and such non-guaranteed debt is likely to be secured by a deed of trust covering all or part of the charter district's facilities. In March 2017, the TEA began requiring charter districts to provide the TEA with a lien against charter district property as a condition to receiving a guarantee under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, charter district bonds issued and guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program prior to the implementation of the new requirement did not have the benefit of a security interest in real property, although other existing debts of such charter districts that are not guaranteed under the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program may be secured by real property that could be foreclosed on in the event of a bond default.

As a general rule, the operation of a charter school involves fewer State requirements and regulations for charter holders as compared to other public schools, but the maintenance of a State-granted charter is dependent upon on-going compliance with State law and regulations, which are monitored by TEA. TEA has a broad range of enforcement and remedial actions that it can take as corrective measures, and such actions may include the loss of the State charter, the appointment of a new board of directors to govern a charter district, the assignment of operations to another charter operator, or, as a last resort, the dissolution of an open-enrollment charter school. Charter holders are governed by a private board of directors, as compared to the elected boards of trustees that govern school districts.

As described above, the Act includes a funding "intercept" function that applies to both the School District Bond Guarantee Program and the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. However, school districts are viewed as the "educator of last resort" for students residing in the geographical territory of the district, which makes it unlikely that State funding for those school districts would be discontinued, although the TEA can require the dissolution and merger into another school district if necessary to ensure sound education and financial management of a school district. That is not the case with a charter district, however, and open-enrollment charter schools in the State have been dissolved by TEA from time to time. If a charter district that has bonds outstanding that are guaranteed by the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program should be dissolved, debt service on guaranteed bonds of the district would continue to be paid to bondholders in accordance with the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program, but there would be no funding available for reimbursement of the PSF by the Comptroller for such payments. As described under "The Charter District Bond Guarantee Program," the Act established the Charter District Reserve Fund, to serve as a reimbursement resource for the PSF.

#### Infectious Disease Outbreak

Since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020, TEA and TEA investment management for the PSF have continued to operate and function pursuant to the TEA continuity of operations plan developed as mandated in accordance with Texas Labor Code Section 412.054. That plan was designed to ensure performance of the Agency's essential missions and functions under such threats and conditions in the event of, among other emergencies, a pandemic event.

Circumstances regarding the COVID-19 pandemic continue to evolve; for additional information on these events in the State, reference is made to the website of the Governor, https://gov.texas.gov/, and, with respect to public school events, the website of TEA, https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/safe-and-healthy-schools/coronavirus-covid-19-support-and-guidance.

TEA cannot predict whether any school or charter district may experience short- or longer-term cash flow emergencies as a direct or indirect effect of COVID-19 that would require a payment from the PSF to be made to a paying agent for a guaranteed bond. However, through the end of February 2023, no school district or charter district had failed to perform with respect to making required payments on their guaranteed bonds. Information regarding the respective financial operations of the issuer of bonds guaranteed, or to be guaranteed, by the PSF is provided by such issuers in their respective bond offering documents and the TEA takes no responsibility for the respective information, as it is provided by the respective issuers.

#### Ratings of Bonds Guaranteed Under the Guarantee Program

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., S&P Global Ratings and Fitch Ratings, Inc. rate bonds guaranteed by the PSF "Aaa," "AAA" and "AAA," respectively. Not all districts apply for multiple ratings on their bonds, however. See the applicable rating section within the Official Statement to which this is attached for information regarding a district's underlying rating and the enhanced rating applied to a given series of bonds.

#### Valuation of the PSF and Guaranteed Bonds

## **Permanent School Fund Valuations**

Fiscal Year Ended 8/31	Book Value <sup>(1)</sup>	Market Value <sup>(1)</sup>
2018	\$ 33,860,358,647	\$ 44,074,197,940
2019	35,288,344,219	46,464,447,981
2020	36,642,000,738	46,764,059,745
2021	38,699,895,545	55,582,252,097
2022(2)	42,511,350,050	56,754,515,757

<sup>(1)</sup> SLB managed assets are included in the market value and book value of the Fund. In determining the market value of the PSF from time to time during a fiscal year, the current, unaudited values for PSF investment portfolios and cash held by the SLB are used. With respect to SLB managed assets shown in the table above, market values of land and mineral interests, internally managed real estate, investments in externally managed real estate funds and cash are based upon information reported to the PSF Corporation by the SLB. The SLB reports that information to the PSF Corporation on a quarterly basis. The valuation of such assets at any point in time is dependent upon a variety of factors, including economic conditions in the State and nation in general, and the values of these assets, and, in particular, the valuation of mineral holdings administered by the SLB, can be volatile and subject to material changes from period to period.

<sup>(2)</sup> At August 31, 2022, mineral assets, sovereign and other lands and discretionary internal investments, investments with external managers, and cash managed by the SLB had book values of approximately \$13.4 million, \$180.6 million, \$5,433.0 million, and

\$1,257.5 million, respectively, and market values of approximately \$5,622.2 million, \$699.8 million, \$6,262.5 million, and \$1,257.52 million, respectively.

Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds					
At 8/31 Principal Amount <sup>(1)</sup>					
2018	\$79,080,901,069				
2019	84,397,900,203				
2020	90,336,680,245				
2021	95 259 161 922				

103,239,495,929(2)

#### Permanent School Fund Guaranteed Bonds by Category<sup>(1)</sup>

	School District E	<u>Bonds</u>	Charter Dist	trict Bonds	Totals	
Fiscal Year Ended						
<u>8/31</u>	No. of	Principal	No. of	Principal Amount	No. of	Principal
	<u>Issues</u>	Amount (\$)	<u>Issues</u>	<u>(\$)</u>	<u>Issues</u>	Amount (\$)
2018	3,249	77,647,966,069	44	1,432,935,000	3,293	79,080,901,069
2019	3,297	82,537,755,203	49	1,860,145,000	3,346	84,397,900,203
2020	3,296	87,800,478,245	64	2,536,202,000	3,360	90,336,680,245
2021	3,346	91,951,175,922	83	3,307,986,000	3,429	95,259,161,922
2022(2)	3,348	99,528,099,929	94	3,711,396,000	3,442	103,239,495,929

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents original principal amount; does not reflect any subsequent accretions in value for compound interest bonds (zero coupon securities). The amount shown excludes bonds that have been refunded and released from the Guarantee Program.

### Discussion and Analysis Pertaining to Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2022

2022

The following discussion is derived from the Annual Report for the year ended August 31, 2022, including the Message of the Executive Administrator of the Fund and the Management's Discussion and Analysis contained therein. Reference is made to the Annual Report, as filed with the MSRB, for the complete Message and MD&A. Investment assets managed by the fifteen member SBOE are referred to throughout this MD&A as the PSF(SBOE) and, with respect to the Liquid Account, Liquid(SBOE) assets. As of August 31, 2022, the Fund's land, mineral rights and certain real assets are managed by the five-member SLB and these assets are referred to throughout as the PSF(SLB) assets. The current PSF(SBOE) asset allocation policy includes an allocation for real estate investments, and as such investments are made, and become a part of the PSF(SBOE) investment portfolio, those investments will be managed by the SBOE and not the SLB.

At the end of fiscal 2022, the Fund balance was \$56.8 billion, an increase of \$1.2 billion from the prior year. This increase is primarily due to overall net increases in value of the various asset classes in which the Fund is invested. During the year, the SBOE continued implementing the long-term strategic asset allocation, diversifying the PSF(SBOE) to strengthen the Fund. The asset allocation is projected to increase returns over the long run while reducing risk and portfolio return volatility. The PSF(SBOE) annual rates of return for the one-year, five-year, and ten-year periods ending August 31, 2022, net of fees, were -6.80%, 6.54% and 7.33%, respectively, and the Liquid(SBOE) annual rate of return for the one-year and three-year periods ending August 31, 2022, net of fees, was -10.24% and -1.23% (total return takes into consideration the change in the market value of the Fund during the year as well as the interest and dividend income generated by the Fund's investments). In addition, the SLB continued its shift into externally managed real asset investment funds, and the one-year, five-year, and ten-year annualized total returns for the PSF(SLB) externally managed real assets, net of fees and including cash, were 32.29%, 8.42%, and 7.40%, respectively.

The Fund is invested in global markets and experiences volatility commensurate with the related indices. The Fund is broadly

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents original principal amount; does not reflect any subsequent accretions in value for compound interest bonds (zero coupon securities). The amount shown excludes bonds that have been refunded and released from the Guarantee Program. The TEA does not maintain records of the accreted value of capital appreciation bonds that are guaranteed under the Guarantee Program.

<sup>(2)</sup> At August 31, 2022 (the most recent date for which such data is available), the TEA expected that the principal and interest to be paid by school districts and charter districts over the remaining life of the bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program was \$156,825,227,335, of which \$53,585,731,406 represents interest to be paid. As shown in the table above, at August 31, 2022, there were \$103,239,495,929 in principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program. Using the State Capacity Limit of \$152,556,827,260 (the State Capacity Limit is currently the Capacity Limit), net of the Capacity Reserve, as of June 30, 2023, 7.36% of the Guarantee Program's capacity was available to the Charter District Bond Guarantee Program. As of June 30, 2023, the amount of outstanding bond guarantees represented 70.06% of the Capacity Limit (which is currently the State Capacity Limit). June 30, 2023 values are based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> At June 30, 2023 (based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment), there were\$107,163,538,633 in principal amount of bonds guaranteed under the Guarantee Program, representing 3,424 school district issues, aggregating \$103,112,917,633 in principal amount and 107 charter district issues, aggregating \$4,050,621,000 in principal amount. At June 30, 2023 the projected guarantee capacity available was \$30,224,526,888 (based on unaudited data, which is subject to adjustment).

diversified and benefits from the cost structure of its investment program. Changes continue to be researched, crafted and implemented to make the cost structure more effective and efficient. See "Comparative Investment Schedule - PSF(SBOE)" for the PSF(SBOE) holdings as of August 31, 2022.

As of August 31, 2022, the SBOE has approved, and the Fund made capital commitments to, externally managed real estate investment funds in a total amount of \$7.3 billion and capital commitments to private equity limited partnerships for a total of \$10.1 billion. Unfunded commitments at August 31, 2022, totaled \$2.4 billion in real estate investments and \$2.9 billion in private equity investments.

PSF Returns	Fiscal Ye	ear Ended	8-31-2022 <sup>1</sup>
-------------	-----------	-----------	------------------------

Portfolio Total PSF(SBOE) Portfolio	<u>Return</u> (6.80)%	Benchmark <u>Return²</u> (6.37)%
Domestic Large Cap Equities(SBOE) Domestic Small/Mid Cap Equities(SBOE) International Equities(SBOE) Emerging Market Equity(SBOE)	(11.08) (10.96) (19.72) (22.85)	(11.23) (10.90) (19.52) (21.80)
Fixed Income(SBOE) Treasuries Absolute Return(SBOE) Real Estate(SBOE) Private Equity(SBOE) Real Return(SBOE) Emerging Market Debt(SBOE) Liquid Large Cap Equity(SBOE) Liquid Small Cap Equity(SBOE) Liquid International Equity(SBOE) Liquid Short-Term Fixed Income(SBOE) Liquid TIPS(SBOE) Liquid Transition Cash Reserves(SBOE) Liquid Combined(SBOE) PSF(SLB)	(12.16) (22.82) (0.55) 23.31 3.17 2.98 (17.95) (10.39) (10.63) (19.34) (4.27) (11.30) (5.78) 1.65 (10.24) (32.29)	(11.52) (22.64) (5.66) 20.56 8.43 3.09 (19.43) (11.23) (10.90) (19.52) (4.01) (11.52) (5.98) 0.38 (10.88) N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Time weighted rates of return adjusted for cash flows for the PSF(SBOE) investment assets. Does not include GLO managed real estate or real assets. Returns are net of fees. Source: PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

The PSF(SLB) portfolio is generally characterized by three broad categories: (1) discretionary real assets investments, (2) sovereign and other lands, and (3) mineral interests. Discretionary real assets investments consist of externally managed real estate, infrastructure, and energy/minerals investment funds; internally managed direct real estate investments, and cash. Sovereign and other lands consist primarily of the lands set aside to the PSF when it was created. Mineral interests consist of all of the minerals that are associated with PSF lands. The investment focus of PSF(SLB) discretionary real assets investments has shifted from internally managed direct real estate investments to externally managed real assets investment funds. The PSF(SLB) makes investments in certain limited partnerships that legally commit it to possible future capital contributions. At August 31, 2022, the remaining commitments totaled approximately \$1.94 billion.

For fiscal year 2022, total revenues, inclusive of unrealized gains and losses and net of security lending rebates and fees, totaled \$3.5 billion, a decrease of \$7.3 billion from fiscal year 2021 earnings of \$10.8 billion. The total change in the fair value of the Fund investments is consistent with the change in value of the markets in which those investments were made. In fiscal year 2022, revenues earned by the Fund included gains realized on the sale of land and real estate owned by the Fund; lease payments, bonuses and royalty income received from oil, gas and mineral leases; lease payments from commercial real estate; surface lease and easement revenues; revenues from the resale of natural and liquid gas supplies; dividends, interest, and securities lending revenues; the net change in the fair value of the investment portfolio and externally managed real assets investment funds; and other miscellaneous fees and income.

Expenditures are paid from the Fund before distributions are made under the total return formula. Such expenditures include the costs incurred by the SLB to manage the land endowment, as well as operational costs of the Fund, including external management fees paid from appropriated funds. Total operating expenditures, net of security lending rebates and fees, increased 3.0% for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2022. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in PSF(SLB) quantities of purchased gas for resale in the State Energy Management Program, which is administered by the SLB as part of the Fund, as well as increases in operational costs.

The Fund directly supports the public school system in the State by distributing a predetermined percentage of its asset value to the ASF. For fiscal years 2021 and 2022, the distribution from the SBOE to the ASF totaled \$1.1 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Distributions from the SLB to the ASF for fiscal years 2021 and 2022 totaled \$600 and \$415 million, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Benchmarks are as set forth in the PSF Annual Report for year ended August 31, 2022.

At the end of the 2022 fiscal year, PSF assets guaranteed \$103.2 billion in bonds issued by 898 local school districts and charter districts, the latter of which entered into the Guarantee Program during the 2014 fiscal year. Since its inception in 1983, the Fund has guaranteed 8,554 school district and charter district bond issues totaling \$239.7 billion in principal amount. During the 2022 fiscal year, the number of outstanding issues guaranteed under the Guarantee Program totaled 3,442. The dollar amount of guaranteed school and charter bond issues outstanding increased by \$7.98 billion or 8.4%. The State Capacity Limit increased by \$13.3 billion, or 9.8%, during fiscal year 2022 due to continued growth in the cost basis of the Fund used to calculate that Program capacity limit. The effective capacity of the Guarantee Program did not increase during fiscal year 2022 as the IRS Limit was reached in a prior fiscal year, and it is the lower of the two capacity limits for the Guarantee Program.

## Other Events and Disclosures

The State Investment Ethics Code governs the ethics and disclosure requirements for financial advisors and other service providers who advise certain State governmental entities, including the PSF. The SBOE code of ethics provides ethical standards for SBOE members, the Education Commissioner, TEA staff, and persons who provide services to the SBOE relating to the Fund. As part of the Regulatory Recodification, the PSF Corporation developed its own ethics policy as required by SB 1232, which provides basic ethical principles, guidelines, and standards of conduct relating to the management and investment of the Fund in accordance with the requirements of §43.058 of the Texas Education Code, as amended. The SBOE code of ethics is codified in the Texas Administrative Code at 19 TAC sections 33.4 et seq. and is available on the TEA web site at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf. The PSF Corporation's ethics policy is posted to the PSF Corporation's website at texaspsf.org.

In addition, the SLB and GLO have established processes and controls over the administration of real estate transactions and are subject to provisions of the Texas Natural Resources Code and internal procedures in administering real estate transactions for Fund assets it manages.

As of August 31, 2022, certain lawsuits were pending against the State and/or the GLO, which challenge the Fund's title to certain real property and/or past or future mineral income from that property, and other litigation arising in the normal course of the investment activities of the PSF. Reference is made to the Annual Report, when filed, for a description of such lawsuits that are pending, which may represent contingent liabilities of the Fund.

#### **PSF Continuing Disclosure Undertaking**

The Regulatory Recodification included the codification of the TEA's undertaking pursuant to Rule 15c2-12 (the "TEA Undertaking") pertaining to the PSF and the Guarantee Program. As of March 1, 2023, the TEA Undertaking is codified at 19 TAC 33.8, which relates to the Guarantee Program and is available at available at https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/ch033a.pdf.

Through the codification of the TEA Undertaking and its commitment to guarantee bonds, the TEA has made the following agreement for the benefit of the issuers, holders and beneficial owners of guaranteed bonds. The TEA (or its successor with respect to the management of the Guarantee Program) is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains an "obligated person," within the meaning of Rule 15c2-12, with respect to guaranteed bonds. Nothing in the TEA Undertaking obligates the TEA to make any filings or disclosures with respect to guaranteed bonds, as the obligations of the TEA under the TEA Undertaking pertain solely to the Guarantee Program. The issuer or an "obligated person" of the guaranteed bonds has assumed the applicable obligation under Rule 15c2-12 to make all disclosures and filings relating directly to guaranteed bonds, and the TEA takes no responsibility with respect to such undertakings. Under the TEA Undertaking, the TEA will be obligated to provide annually certain updated financial information and operating data, and timely notice of specified material events, to the MSRB.

The MSRB has established the Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") system, and the TEA is required to file its continuing disclosure information using the EMMA system. Investors may access continuing disclosure information filed with the MSRB at www.emma.msrb.org, and the continuing disclosure filings of the TEA with respect to the PSF can be found at https://emma.msrb.org/lssueView/Details/ER355077 or by searching for "Texas Permanent School Fund Bond Guarantee Program" on EMMA.

# **Annual Reports**

The TEA will annually provide certain updated financial information and operating data to the MSRB. The information to be updated includes all quantitative financial information and operating data with respect to the Guarantee Program and the PSF of the general type included in this offering document under the heading "THE PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND GUARANTEE PROGRAM." The information also includes the Annual Report. The TEA will update and provide this information within six months after the end of each fiscal year.

The TEA may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly-available documents, as permitted by Rule 15c2-12. The updated information includes audited financial statements of, or relating to, the State or the PSF, when and if such audits are commissioned and available. Financial statements of the State will be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as applied to state governments, as such principles may be changed from time to time, or such other accounting principles as the State Auditor is required to employ from time to time pursuant to State law or regulation. The financial statements of the Fund are required to be prepared to conform to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

The Fund is reported by the State of Texas as a permanent fund and accounted for on a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Measurement focus refers to the definition of the resource flows measured. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, all revenues reported are recognized based on the criteria of availability and measurability. Assets are defined as available if they are in the form of cash or can be converted into cash within 60 days to be usable for payment of current liabilities. Amounts are defined as measurable if they can be estimated or otherwise determined. Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

The State's current fiscal year end is August 31. Accordingly, the TEA must provide updated information by the last day of February in each year, unless the State changes its fiscal year. If the State changes its fiscal year, the TEA will notify the MSRB of the change.

#### **Event Notices**

The TEA will also provide timely notices of certain events to the MSRB. Such notices will be provided not more than ten business days after the occurrence of the event. The TEA will provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Guarantee Program: (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) non-payment related defaults, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the IRS of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB), or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Guarantee Program, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Guarantee Program; (7) modifications to rights of holders of bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (8) bond calls, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws, and tender offers; (9) defeasances; (10) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (11) rating changes of the Guarantee Program; (12) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the Guarantee Program (which is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the Guarantee Program in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Guarantee Program, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Guarantee Program); (13) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Guarantee Program or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (14) the appointment of a successor or additional trustee with respect to the Guarantee Program or the change of name of a trustee, if such event is material within the meaning of the federal securities laws; (15) the incurrence of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a financial obligation of the Guarantee Program, any of which reflect financial difficulties. (Neither the Act nor any other law, regulation or instrument pertaining to the Guarantee Program make any provision with respect to the Guarantee Program for bond calls, debt service reserves, credit enhancement, liquidity enhancement, early redemption or the appointment of a trustee with respect to the Guarantee Program.) In addition, the TEA will provide timely notice of any failure by the TEA to provide information, data, or financial statements in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports."

## **Availability of Information**

The TEA has agreed to provide the foregoing information only to the MSRB and to transmit such information electronically to the MSRB in such format and accompanied by such identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB. The information is available from the MSRB to the public without charge at www.emma.msrb.org.

# **Limitations and Amendments**

The TEA has agreed to update information and to provide notices of material events only as described above. The TEA has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. The TEA makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Bonds at any future date. The TEA disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the TEA to comply with its agreement.

The continuing disclosure agreement of the TEA is made only with respect to the PSF and the Guarantee Program. The issuer of guaranteed bonds or an obligated person with respect to guaranteed bonds may make a continuing disclosure undertaking in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 with respect to its obligations arising under Rule 15c2-12 pertaining to financial information and operating data concerning such entity and events notices relating to such guaranteed bonds. A description of such undertaking, if any, is included elsewhere in this offering document.

This continuing disclosure agreement may be amended by the TEA from time to time to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the TEA, but

only if (1) the provisions, as so amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell guaranteed bonds in the primary offering of such bonds in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 since such offering as well as such changed circumstances and (2) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program consent to such amendment or (b) a person that is unaffiliated with the TEA (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that such amendment will not materially impair the interest of the holders and beneficial owners of the bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program. The TEA may also amend or repeal the provisions of its continuing disclosure agreement if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provision of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling bonds guaranteed by the Guarantee Program in the primary offering of such bonds.

#### **Compliance with Prior Undertakings**

Except as stated below, during the last five years, the TEA has not failed to substantially comply with its previous continuing disclosure agreements in accordance with Rule 15c2-12. On April 28, 2022 TEA became aware that it had not timely filed its 2021 Annual Report with EMMA due to an administrative oversight. TEA took corrective action and filed the 2021 Annual Report with EMMA on April 28, 2022, followed by a notice of late filing made with EMMA on April 29, 2022. TEA notes that the 2021 Annual Report was timely filed on the TEA website by the required filing date and that website posting has been incorporated by reference into TEA's Bond Guarantee Program disclosures that are included in school district and charter district offering documents.

### **SEC Exemptive Relief**

On February 9, 1996, the TEA received a letter from the Chief Counsel of the SEC that pertains to the availability of the "small issuer exemption" set forth in paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 15c2-12. The letter provides that Texas school districts which offer municipal securities that are guaranteed under the Guarantee Program may undertake to comply with the provisions of paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 15c2-12 if their offerings otherwise qualify for such exemption, notwithstanding the guarantee of the school district securities under the Guarantee Program. Among other requirements established by Rule 15c2-12, a school district offering may qualify for the small issuer exemption if, upon issuance of the proposed series of securities, the school district will have no more than \$10 million of outstanding municipal securities.



# Financial Advisory Services Provided By:

