

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT
December 14, 2021

NEW ISSUE - Book-Entry-Only



RATINGS: Fitch - Applied For
Moody's - Applied For
S&P - Applied For
(See "OTHER PERTINENT
INFORMATION - Bond Ratings" herein)

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing law, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax. See "TAX MATTERS" for a discussion of the opinion of Bond Counsel.

\$15,710,000*
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
PASS-THROUGH REVENUE AND
LIMITED TAX BONDS, SERIES 2021
(STATE HIGHWAY 211 PROJECT)

Dated Date: December 15, 2021

Due: June 15, as shown on pages -ii-

Bexar County, Texas (the "County") is issuing its \$15,710,000* Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2021 (State Highway 211 Project) (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are being issued pursuant to the laws of the State of Texas (the "State"), including Chapters 1479 and 1371, as amended, Texas Government Code ("Chapter 1479" and "Chapter 1371," respectively) and an order (the "Order") adopted by the Commissioners Court on December 7, 2021. In the Order, and as permitted by the provisions of Chapters 1479 and 1371, the County delegated to certain County representatives the authority to execute a pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate") evidencing final terms of sale relating to the Bonds.

The Bonds constitute direct obligations of the County, payable from the levy and collection of a direct and continuing ad valorem tax, within the limits prescribed by law, on all taxable property within the County, and are additionally payable from and equally and ratably secured by a lien on and pledge of the Pledged Revenues (as defined herein), primarily being (i) payments received by the County (the "Pass-Through Revenues") pursuant to a pass-through agreement effective as of January 13, 2010, as amended by Amendment #1 effective as of May 7, 2020, (as amended, collectively the "Pass-Through Agreement") between the County and the Texas Department of Transportation ("TxDOT"), an agency of the State charged with administering state and federal funds for highway construction and maintenance, relating to the SH211 Project (described herein). A copy of the Pass-Through Agreement, as amended, is attached hereto as Appendix E and incorporated by reference for all purposes. In the Order, the County retains the right to issue Prior Lien Bonds, Additional Revenue Obligations, and Additional Parity Obligations (each as defined in the Order) without limitation as to principal amount but subject to any terms, conditions, or restrictions as may be applicable thereto under law or otherwise secured by Pledged Revenues. (See "THE BONDS - Authority for Issuance," "THE BONDS - Security for Payment" and "AD VALOREM TAX INFORMATION - Tax Rate and Funded Debt Limitations" herein.)

Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the Delivery Date (as defined below) and will be payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing June 15, 2022, until stated maturity or prior redemption, and will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months. The Bonds are issuable only in fully registered form in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof and initially registered solely in the name Cede & Co., as registered owner and nominee for The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC") acting as securities depository for the Bonds, until DTC resigns or is discharged. The Bonds initially will be available to purchasers in book-entry form only. So long as Cede & Co. is the registered owner of the Bonds, as nominee for DTC, the Paying Agent/Registrar, initially, BOKF, NA, Austin, Texas, will pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds to Cede & Co., which will, in turn, remit such amounts to DTC participants for subsequent disbursement to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. (See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.)

Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used (i) for paying the County's obligations arising under the Pass-Through Agreement with respect to constructing the SH211 Project and (ii) to pay for the costs of issuing the Bonds. (See "SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS" herein.)

Concurrently with the issuance of the Bonds, the County is issuing its \$7,975,000* Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, its \$33,620,000* Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, and its \$287,310,000* Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021 (collectively, the "Refunding Bonds") for the purpose of refunding certain of its ad valorem tax-secured obligations (collectively, the "Refunded Obligations") to realize debt service savings, and its \$97,135,000* Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2021 (the "Certificates of Obligation"). This Official Statement describes only the Pass-Through Bonds and not the Refunding Bonds or the Certificates of Obligation, and investors must review the County's disclosure documents relating, respectively, to the Refunding Bonds and to the Certificates of Obligation in their entirety prior to making an investment decision with respect thereto. (See "INTRODUCTION - Additional Debt Issuances".)

SEE MATURITY SCHEDULE, PRINCIPAL AMOUNTS, INTEREST RATES, INITIAL YIELDS
CUSIP NUMBERS AND REDEMPTION PROVISIONS FOR THE BONDS ON PAGE -ii-

The Bonds are offered for delivery, when, as and if issued and received by the initial purchasers thereof named below (collectively the "Underwriters") and subject to the approving opinion of the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the approval of certain legal matters by Bracewell LLP, San Antonio, Texas, Bond Counsel. See "APPENDIX D - Form of Opinion of Bond Counsel" herein. Certain legal matters also will be passed upon for the Underwriters by their co-counsel McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., San Antonio, Texas, and Kassahn & Ortiz, P.C., San Antonio, Texas. The Bonds are expected to be available for initial delivery through the services of DTC on or about December 30, 2021 (the "Delivery Date").

Estrada Hinojosa
Huntington Capital Markets

HilltopSecurities
MorganStanley

Frost Bank
UBS

* Preliminary, subject to change.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment without notice. These securities may not be sold, nor may offers to buy them be accepted, prior to the time the Official Statement is delivered in final form. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of, these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration, qualification or filing under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction.

MATURITY SCHEDULE

**BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
CUSIP NO. PREFIX: 088281⁽¹⁾**

**\$15,710,000*
PASS-THROUGH REVENUE AND
LIMITED TAX BONDS, SERIES 2021
(STATE HIGHWAY 211 PROJECT)**

Stated Maturity 6/15	Principal Amount (\$)	Interest Rate (%)	Initial Yield (%)	CUSIP No. Suffix ⁽¹⁾
2024	905,000			
2025	905,000			
2026	905,000			
2027	905,000			
2028	905,000			
2029	905,000			
2030	905,000			
2031	905,000			
2032	905,000			
2033	905,000			
2034	905,000			
2035	905,000			
2036	905,000			
2037	905,000			
2038	905,000			
2039	905,000			
2040	905,000			
2041	325,000			

(Interest to accrue from the Delivery Date)

The County reserves the right to redeem the Bonds maturing on and after June 15, 2027 in whole or in part, in the principal amount of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof, on June 15, 2026 or any date thereafter, at the redemption price of par plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. In addition, the Underwriters may elect to group two or more consecutive maturities of Bonds into one or more "term bonds" and such term bonds will be subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Order authorizing the Bonds, which provisions will be disclosed in the final Official Statement. See "THE BONDS - Redemption Provisions of the Bonds" herein.

* Preliminary, subject to change.

⁽¹⁾ CUSIP numbers are included solely for the convenience of owners of the Bonds. CUSIP is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services, managed by Global Market Intelligence on behalf of The American Bankers Association. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CUSIP Services. None of the County, the Co-Financial Advisors, nor the Underwriters is responsible for the selection or correctness of the CUSIP numbers set forth herein.

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

COMMISSIONERS COURT

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Length of Service</u>	<u>Term Expires</u>	<u>Occupation</u>
Nelson W. Wolff	County Judge	20 years	2022	Businessman/Attorney
Rebecca Clay-Flores	Commissioner, Precinct One	1 year	2024	Public Official
Justin Rodriguez	Commissioner, Precinct Two	2 years	2022	Attorney
Trish DeBerry	Commissioner, Precinct Three	1 year	2024	Businesswoman
Tommy Calvert	Commissioner, Precinct Four	6 years	2022	Businessman

COUNTY OFFICIALS

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Years Served</u>
Albert Uresti	County Tax Assessor/Collector	8
Mary Angie Garcia	District Clerk	2
Joe Gonzales	Criminal District Attorney	2
Lucy Adame-Clark	County Clerk	2
Javier Salazar	Sheriff	4

APPOINTED OFFICIALS

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Years Served</u>
David L. Smith	County Manager	10
Leo S. Caldera CIA, CGAP	County Auditor	2
Mary Quinones	Purchasing Agent	1

CONSULTANTS AND ADVISORS

SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. San Antonio, Texas	Co-Financial Advisors
RBC Capital Markets, LLC San Antonio, Texas	Co-Financial Advisors
Bracewell LLP San Antonio, Texas	Bond Counsel
Garza/Gonzalez & Associates San Antonio, Texas	Certified Public Accountants

For additional information regarding the County, please contact:

Mr. David L. Smith
County Manager
Bexar County
101 W. Nueva, Suite 901
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(210) 335-2405 - Telephone
(210) 335-2683 - Facsimile

Mr. Duane L. Westerman
Mr. Nick Westerman
Co-Financial Advisors
SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc.
81020 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 630
San Antonio, Texas 78209
(210) 832-9760 - Telephone
(210) 832-9794 - Facsimile
dwesterman@samcocapital.com
nwesterman@samcocapital.com

Mr. Leo Calderon, CIA, CGAP
County Auditor
Bexar County
101 W. Nueva, Suite 800
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(210) 335-2434 - Telephone
(210) 335-2996 - Facsimile

Mr. Richard Acosta
Mr. Robert Traylor
Co-Financial Advisors
RBC Capital Markets, LLC
303 Pearl Parkway, Suite 220
San Antonio, Texas 78215
(210) 805-1117 - Telephone
(210) 805-1119 - Facsimile
richard.acosta@rbccm.com
robert.d.traylor@rbccm.com

(The remainder of this page has been left blank intentionally.)

USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT

For purposes of compliance with Rule 15c2-12 of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, as amended ("Rule 15c2-12"), and in effect on the date of this Preliminary Official Statement, this document constitutes an "official statement" of the County with respect to the Bonds that has been "deemed final" by the County as of its date except for the omission of no more than the information permitted by Rule 15c2-12.

This Official Statement, which includes the cover page, and the Appendices hereto, does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer, solicitation or sale.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized to give information or to make any representation other than those contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon.

The information set forth herein has been obtained from the County and other sources believed to be reliable, but such information is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness and is not to be construed as the promise or guarantee of the Co-Financial Advisors or the Underwriters. This Official Statement contains, in part, estimates and matters of opinion which are not intended as statements of fact, and no representation is made as to the correctness of such estimates and opinions, or that they will be realized.

The information and expressions of opinion contained herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the County or other matters described. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" for a description of the County's undertaking to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriters have reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with their responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

RBC Capital Markets, LLC (RBCCM) and SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. (SAMCO) are employed as Co-Financial Advisors to Bexar County in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. The Co-Financial Advisor's fee for services rendered with respect to the sale of the Bonds is contingent upon the issuance and delivery of the Bonds. The Co-Financial Advisors are not obligated to undertake, and have not undertaken to make, an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or fairness of the information in this Official Statement.

THE BONDS ARE EXEMPT FROM REGISTRATION WITH THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND CONSEQUENTLY HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED THEREWITH. THE REGISTRATION, QUALIFICATION, OR EXEMPTION OF THE BONDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE SECURITIES LAW PROVISIONS OF THE JURISDICTIONS IN WHICH THE BONDS HAVE BEEN REGISTERED, QUALIFIED, OR EXEMPTED SHOULD NOT BE REGARDED AS A RECOMMENDATION THEREOF.

IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING, THE UNDERWRITERS MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE THE MARKET PRICE OF THE ISSUE AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

NONE OF THE COUNTY, THE CO-FINANCIAL ADVISORS, NOR THE UNDERWRITERS MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT REGARDING THE DEPOSITORY TRUST COMPANY AND ITS BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM OR THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("TxDOT"), AS SUCH INFORMATION HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY DTC AND TxDOT, RESPECTIVELY.

The agreements of the County and others related to the Bonds are contained solely in the contracts described herein. Neither this Official Statement nor any other statement made in connection with the offer or sale of the Bonds is to be construed as constituting an agreement with the purchasers of the Bonds. INVESTORS SHOULD READ THE ENTIRE OFFICIAL STATEMENT, INCLUDING ALL APPENDICES ATTACHED HERETO, TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ESSENTIAL TO MAKING AN INFORMED INVESTMENT DECISION.

References to web site addresses presented herein are for informational purposes only and may be in the form of a hyperlink solely for the reader's convenience. Unless specified otherwise, such web sites and the information or links contained therein are not incorporated into, and are not part of, this preliminary official statement for purposes of, and as that term is defined in, Rule 15c2-12.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i	Freeport and Goods-in-Transit Exemption	12
MATURITY SCHEDULE	ii	Other Exempt Property	12
COMMISSIONERS COURT	iv	Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones	12
COUNTY OFFICIALS	iv	Tax Abatement Agreements	13
APPOINTED OFFICIALS	iv	County and Taxpayer Remedies	13
CONSULTANTS AND ADVISORS	iv	Levy and Collection of Taxes	13
USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT	vi	Public Hearing and Maintenance and	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii	Operations Tax Rate Limitations	14
INTRODUCTION	1	Debt Tax Rate Limitations	15
INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAK – COVID 19	1	The County’s Rights in the Event of	
General	1	Tax Delinquencies	15
Conclusion	3	County’s Application of Property Tax Code	16
PLAN OF FINANCING		INVESTMENT POLICIES	
Purpose	3	Investments	16
The Pass-Through Toll Program	3	Legal Investments	16
The Pass-Through Toll Agreement	3	Investment Policies	17
The Westside 211 PID Financing Agreement	4	Additional Provisions	17
THE BONDS		Current Investments	18
Authority for Issuance	4	LEGAL MATTERS	18
General Description	4	NO-LITIGATION	19
Security for Payment	5	TAX MATTERS	
Additional Obligations	5	Tax Exemption	19
Pledged Revenues	5	Additional Federal Income Tax Considerations	20
Perfection of Interest in Security	5	Tax Legislative Changes	21
The Revenue Fund; Flow of Funds	5	CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION	
Payment Record	6	General	21
Legality	6	Annual Reports	21
Delivery	6	Material Events Notice	21
Current and Future Issues	6	Availability of Information from MSRB	22
Redemption Provisions of the Bonds	6	Limitations and Amendments	22
Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption Provisions	6	Compliance with Prior Undertakings	22
Selection of Bonds for Redemption	6	TXDOT CONTINUING	
Notice of Redemption	6	DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING	
Discharge	7	Annual Reports	23
Amendments	7	Availability of Information	23
Defaults and Remedies	7	Limitations and Amendments	23
SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS	8	Compliance with Prior Undertakings	24
REGISTRATION, TRANSFER, AND EXCHANGE		OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION	
Paying Agent Registrar	8	Authenticity of Financial Data	
Successor Paying Agent/Registrar		and Other Information	24
Record Date	8	Registration and Qualification	
Special Record Date for Interest Payment	9	of Bonds for Sale	25
Registration, Transferability and Exchange	9	Legal Investments and Eligibility to	
Limitation on Transferability of Bonds		Secure Public Funds in Texas	25
Called for Redemption	9	Bond Ratings	25
Replacement Bonds	9	Financial Advisor	25
BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM	9	Underwriting	25
AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION		Financial Statements	26
Valuation of Taxable Property	11	Use of Information in the Official Statement	26
State Mandated Homestead Exemptions	11	Forward-Looking Statements	
Local Option Homestead Exemptions	11	and Investor Considerations	26
Local Option Freeze for the Elderly and Disabled	12	Certification of the Official Statement	26
Personal Property	12	Authorization of the Official Statement	27
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS		APPENDIX A	
GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS		APPENDIX B	
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT		APPENDIX C	
FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL		APPENDIX D	
TXDOT PASS-THROUGH AGREEMENT		APPENDIX E	
INFORMATION REGARDING TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		APPENDIX F	

The cover page, subsequent pages hereof, and appendices attached hereto, are part of this Official Statement.

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT

RELATING TO

\$15,710,000*
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
PASS-THROUGH REVENUE AND
LIMITED TAX BONDS, SERIES 2021
(STATE HIGHWAY 211 PROJECT)

INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement of Bexar County, Texas (the "County") is provided to furnish certain information in connection with the sale of the County's \$15,710,000* Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2021 (State Highway 211 Project) (the "Bonds").

This Official Statement contains descriptions of the Bonds, the Pass-Through Agreement (defined herein), and certain other information about the County and its finances. All descriptions of documents contained herein are only summaries and are qualified in their entirety by reference to each such document. Copies of such documents may be obtained upon request from the County at 101 W. Nueva, Suite 901, San Antonio, Texas 78205 and, during the offering period, from the County's Financial Advisor SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc., 1020 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 640, San Antonio, Texas 78209, and RBC Capital Markets, LLC, 303 Pearl Parkway, Suite 220 San Antonio, Texas 78215, by electronic mail or upon payment of reasonable copying, mailing, and handling charges.

This Official Statement speaks only as to its date, and the information contained herein is subject to change. A copy of the final Official Statement pertaining to the Bonds will be filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board through its Electronic Municipal Markets Access ("EMMA") system. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" herein for a description of the County's undertaking to provide certain information on a continuing basis. Capitalized terms used, but not defined, herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Order (defined below).

Additional Debt Issuance

Concurrently with the issuance of the Bonds, the County is issuing its \$7,975,000* Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, its \$33,620,000* Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, and its \$287,310,000* Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021 (collectively, the "Refunding Bonds") for the purpose of refunding certain of its ad valorem tax-secured obligations (respectively, the "Unlimited Tax Refunded Bonds", the "Flood Control Tax Refunded Bonds" and the "Limited Tax Refunded Bonds" and collectively, the "Refunded Obligations") to realize debt service savings, and its \$97,135,000* Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2021 (the "Certificates") for the purpose of financing certain County projects including construction of County public improvements and acquisition of equipment. This Official Statement describes only the Bonds and not the Refunding Bonds nor the Certificates, and investors must review the County's disclosure documents relating, respectively, to the Refunding Bonds and the Certificates in their entirety prior to making an investment decision with respect thereto.

INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAK – COVID-19

General

The outbreak of COVID-19, a respiratory disease caused by a new strain of coronavirus, has been characterized as a pandemic (the "Pandemic") by the World Health Organization and is currently affecting many parts of the world, including the United States and the State of Texas (the "State"). On January 31, 2020, the Secretary of the United States Health and Human Services Department declared a public health emergency for the United States. On March 12, 2020, the first case of travel-related COVID-19 was confirmed in the County. On March 13, 2020, the President of the United States declared the outbreak of COVID-19 in the United States a national emergency. On the same day, (i) the Governor of Texas (the "Governor") declared a state of disaster for all counties in the State of Texas (the "State") and the County Judge issued the first declaration of a public health emergency in the County because of the effects of COVID-19.

Since then, while COVID-19 has negatively affected commerce, travel and business locally and globally, and negatively affected economic growth worldwide and within the U.S., the State and the County, the County has been engaged in responding to protect the health and safety of the community while continuing to provide essential governmental services in a safe manner. Highlighted below are some of the major initiatives the County engaged in to counter the impacts of the Pandemic.

* Preliminary, subject to change.

Most of the resources being used in response to the Pandemic are the federal CARES Act (Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act), the State of Texas' Help America Vote Act (HAVA) CARES Act funds, and the County general fund. The amounts for the activities/programs listed below represent total expected expenditures, both spent in FY 2020 and to be incurred in FY 2021.

- Workforce Initiative (Alamo Workforce): This program is budgeted at \$2.9 million and was established to assist residents of the County who have lost and cannot find employment due to the COVID-19 public emergency. The program will assist the public with acquiring new skills and trades through training and assist with obtaining employment.
- School Districts Matching Tech Grants (BiblioTech Technology Grant): This grant was budgeted at \$3.5 million and was established to cover costs associated with providing and facilitating remote distance learning for County students.
- Lift Fund Small Business Grant: Budgeted at \$12.4 million, this grant was established to assist small businesses, including bars and restaurants in the County, that have suffered losses from the COVID-19 pandemic, to recover, create, and maintain jobs during the public health and economic crisis.
- Suburban Coalition of Cities: Budgeted at \$5.9 million, this program was established to provide certain funding to incorporated cities (within the County) in order to mitigate any financial burden caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and related eligible incurred expenses for governmental functions and services.
- Indoor Air Quality: This COVID-19 Public Safety Health response project was budgeted at \$2 million and designed to protect the public while using government facilities by establishing temperature screening stations, making building improvements to prevent the spread of COVID-19 by improving the air quality, and enhancing monitoring to comply with the CDC's guidelines, the State of Texas, and local orders.
- Freeman Coliseum/CAB Grounds: Provided funding of \$2.1 million for modifications at the County-owned Freeman Coliseum/CAB Grounds that would provide physical barriers between individuals, facilitate increased screening of employees and patrons, and improve the air quality and sanitation of surfaces.
- Social Service Resiliency: Budgeted at \$4.1 million, this program was established to provide relief for Social Service agencies and local non-profits who are in increased demand and importance for COVID-19 support and provide services for social needs such as child abuse and neglect, domestic violence, special needs and vulnerable populations, substance abuse, senior services, and legal aid services.
- Telework – Budgeted at \$5.5 million, this project created improvements to telework capabilities of public employees to ensure continuity of essential government functions during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- AT&T Center Venue Facilities: This program provides \$3.4 million for modifications at the County-owned AT&T Center that provides physical barriers between individuals, facilitates an increased screening of employees and patrons, and improves the air quality and sanitation of surfaces.
- Temporary Rental Assistance Program (TRAM): This program was budgeted at \$4 million and was created to provide the public, meeting certain criteria, with temporary rental assistance.
- COVID-19 Testing Community Labs: Budgeted at \$2 million, this program provided COVID-19 testing services to “micro populations” such as schools and workplaces throughout the County.
- Center for Health Care Services (CHCS) COVID-19 Expenses: This program was budgeted at \$3.9 million and was created to provide mental health and support services for mentally ill residents during and after the COVID-19 pandemic within the County.
- Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Grant: This grant was budgeted at \$1 million and provided funding for augmented elections' cost due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Following the widespread release and distribution of various COVID-19 vaccines beginning in December 2020 and a decrease in active COVID-19 cases generally in the United States, state governments (including Texas) have started to lift business and social limitations associated with COVID-19. Beginning in March 2021, the Governor issued various executive orders, which, among other things, rescinded and superseded prior executive orders and provide that there are currently no COVID-19 related operating limits for any business or other establishment except possibly in counties with an “area with high hospitalizations,” where a county judge may impose COVID-19 related mitigation strategies. The County currently is not an “area with high hospitalizations.” The Governor retains the right to impose additional restrictions on activities if needed in order to mitigate the effects of COVID-19. Additional information regarding executive orders issued by the Governor is accessible on the website of the Governor at <https://gov.texas.gov/>. Neither the information on, nor accessed through, such website of the Governor is incorporated by reference into this document.

With the easing or removal of governmental restrictions, economic activity has increased. However, there are no assurances that economic activity will continue or increase at the same rate, especially if there are future outbreaks of COVID-19. The COVID-19 pandemic may result in lasting changes in some businesses and social practices, which could affect business activity and City revenues and expenses, including revenues collected and paid from ad valorem taxes. The City cannot predict the long-term economic effect of COVID-19 or the effect of any future outbreak of COVID-19 or a similar virus on the City's operations or financial condition.

Source: County Auditor's Office and CAFR Letter of Transmittal.

Conclusion

The full extent of the ongoing impact of COVID-19 on the County's longer-term operational and financial performance will depend on future developments, many of which are outside of its control, including the effectiveness of the mitigation strategies discussed above, the duration and spread of COVID-19, and future governmental actions, all of which are highly uncertain and cannot be predicted. The County continues to monitor the spread of COVID-19 and is working with local, State and national agencies to address the potential impact of the Pandemic upon the County. While the potential impact of the Pandemic on the County cannot be quantified at this time, the continued outbreak of COVID-19 could have an adverse effect on the County's operations and financial condition.

PLAN OF FINANCING

Purpose

Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used (i) for paying the County's obligations arising under the Pass-Through Agreement (defined herein) with respect to constructing the State Highway 211 Project and (ii) to pay for the costs of issuing the Bonds. (See "SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS" herein.)

The Pass-Through Toll Program

Section 201.103, as amended, Texas Transportation Code (the "Transportation Code"), authorizes the Texas Department of Transportation ("TxDOT"), an agency of the State charged with administering state and federal funds for highway construction and maintenance, to make policies for the location, construction, and maintenance of a comprehensive system of State highways and public roads. Section 222.104 of the Texas Transportation Code authorizes TxDOT to enter into pass-through agreements with a public or private entity for the payment of pass-through tolls as reimbursement for costs associated with the planning, constructing, and operating a toll or non-toll facility on the State highway system. The Texas Transportation Commission (the "Commission") has implemented this provision by enacting rules, which can be found at 43 Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 5, Subchapter E (referred to herein as the "Pass-Through Toll Program").

The Pass-Through Toll Program was established as a means to benefit local areas by accelerating improvements in mobility and safety to the State highway system. In exchange for local entities providing contributions toward a localized transportation project, TxDOT reimburses the local entity by calculation and payment of a "pass-through toll" (a dollar amount that is tied to a measure of actual vehicular usage of a highway). The total amount of reimbursement is based on the local entity contribution to the project, which is generally tied to TxDOT's estimated cost for constructing the subject facility. The schedule of pass-through toll payments is based on TxDOT's traffic projections for the highway and the number of and frequency of payments are negotiated between TxDOT and the local contracting entity. Unless otherwise authorized by the Commission and incorporated into the agreement between TxDOT and the local entity, TxDOT's liability to the local entity under a pass-through agreement is neither increased nor decreased by actual project costs being over or under budgeted estimates. Though revenues under pass-through agreements may be pledged as security for bonds, such as the County's pledge of revenues under the Pass-Through Agreement (defined below) as additional security for the Bonds, such pass-through agreements do not provide for the payment of, or obligate TxDOT to pay, amounts sufficient to pay debt service on any such bonds. The amount of payments owed by TxDOT will be determined by the terms and conditions of the particular pass-through agreement, without regard to the actual debt service due on any bonds for which such payments serve as additional security.

The Pass-Through Toll Agreement

The pass-through agreement between the County and TxDOT initially effective as of January 13, 2010 and amended by the Amendment # 1 thereto effective as of May 7, 2020 (as amended, the "Pass-Through Agreement") provides for the construction of improvements to two segments of the State highway system, including FM 1957, as Segment 1, and State Highway 211, as Segment 2 (the "SH211 Project") from FM 1957 to FM 471 representing a distance of approximately 7.6 miles. The improvements to this Segment 2 will include expanding it from a two-lane road (one lane each way) to a four-lane road (two lanes each way) and a raised center median. The improvements will enhance regional mobility by providing for an enhanced connection between two western relief routes of SH 211 and Loop 1604.

The Pass-Through Agreement provides that, subject to the terms thereof, TxDOT will reimburse the County for its contributions toward the SH211 Project by annually paying the County an amount equal to \$0.04 for each vehicle mile traveled on the SH211 Project during the previous year (the "Pass-Through Revenues").

The number of vehicle miles traveled on the SH211 Project during a year will be based upon actual data, to the extent such data is available, or TxDOT traffic estimates, which shall be performed in good faith, are conclusive, and are not subject to litigation in any forum. The Pass-Through Agreement provides, however, that annual Pass-Through Revenues paid to the County shall not be less than \$2,780,000 nor more than \$5,560,000. Under no circumstances will the Pass-Through Revenues for Segment 1 and Segment 2 combined exceed \$55,600,000 in total payments under the Pass-Through Agreement.

The first reimbursement payment by TxDOT to the County under the Pass-Through Agreement will be made within 60 days after the first anniversary of the "Substantial Completion" of the SH211 Project and the issuance by TxDOT of a "Letter of Approval for Payment" (the "First Payment date") for either Segment 1 or Segment 2, whichever is completed first, or in the event that the Department does not issue a Letter of Approval for Payment, then within 60 days after expiration of one (1) year after the Substantial Completion and the Department's issuance of a Letter of Acceptance for the Section that is completed first, and thereafter, on or before 60 days after each successive anniversary of the First Payment Date.

The County commenced development activities with respect to Segment 1 in November 2012, and expects project completion to occur on Segment 1 or about July 2019. The County commenced development of Segment 2, the SH211 Project, in January 2021, and expects project completion on the SH211 Project to occur on or about October 2022, and anticipates TxDOT reimbursements on the SH211 Project to commence on or about December 2023.

TxDOT's obligation to pay amounts owed under the terms of pass through toll agreements are currently payable from the State Highway Fund and are subject to appropriation by the Legislature of sufficient funds to discharge the obligations of TxDOT. (See Appendix E and Appendix F.)

The Westside 211 PID Financing Agreement

Pursuant to a Financing Agreement between the County and the Westside 211 Special Improvement District (the "Westside 211 PID"), which was approved on July 13, 2010, the Westside 211 PID has agreed to pay all interest costs, reserve fund deposits, if any, and costs of issuance associated with the Bonds. **However, these revenues are not a pledged revenue source for the Bonds. The Westside 211 PID will pay the financing costs of the Bonds to the extent that the Westside 211 PID develops.**

THE BONDS

Authority for Issuance

The Bonds are being issued by the Commissioners Court of the County (the "Court") pursuant to the laws of the State of Texas (the "State"), including Chapters 1479 and 1371, as amended, Texas Government Code ("Chapter 1479" and "Chapter 1371," respectively) and an order adopted on December 7, 2021 by the Court. In the Order, and as permitted by Chapters 1479 and 1371, the County delegated to certain County representatives the authority to execute a pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate") evidencing the final terms of sale relating to the Bonds.

General Description

The Bonds are dated December 15, 2021 (the "Dated Date") and will be issued in principal denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof. The Bonds bear interest from the Delivery Date (as set forth on the front cover hereof) at the stated interest rates indicated on page -ii- hereof. Interest on the Bonds will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months, payable on June 15, 2022 and each December 15 and June 15 thereafter, until stated maturity or prior redemption.

Interest on the Bonds is payable to the registered owners (the "Owners") appearing on the Security Register (defined below) and such interest shall be paid by the Paying Agent/Registrar (i) by check sent by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to the address of the registered owner recorded in the register or (ii) by such other method, acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar, requested by, and at the risk and expense of, the registered owner. The principal of the Bonds is payable at stated maturity, upon their presentation and surrender to the Paying Agent/Registrar. The Bonds will be issued only in fully registered form in denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof.

Initially the Bonds will be registered and delivered only to Cede & Co., the nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC") pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein. **No physical delivery of the Bonds will be made to the owners thereof.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, as long as the Bonds are held in the Book-Entry-Only System, principal of and interest on the Bonds will be payable by the Paying Agent/Registrar to Cede & Co., which will make distribution of the amounts so paid to the participating members of DTC for subsequent payment to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. (See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein.)

Security for Payment

The Bonds constitute direct obligations of the County payable, both as to principal and interest, from the annual levy and collection of a direct and continuing ad valorem tax, within the limits prescribed by law, on all taxable property within the County, which pledge is derived from the County's \$0.80 tax rate authorized by Article VIII, Section 9 of the Texas Constitution. (See "THE BONDS - Pledged Revenues" and "AD VALOREM TAX INFORMATION" herein.) In addition, the Bonds are additionally secured by a lien on and pledge of the Pledged Revenues (as defined herein). In the Order, the County has retained the right to issue Prior Lien Bonds which would be secured by a first and prior lien on and pledge of the Pledged Revenues.

Additional Obligations

The County has, in the Order, retained the right to issue additional debt obligations secured by and payable from, in whole or in part, all or a portion of the Pledged Revenues (which additional debt obligations can be in the form of Prior Lien Bonds, Additional Revenue Obligations, or Additional Parity Bonds, each as defined in the Order), without limitation as to principal amount, but subject to any terms, conditions, or restrictions as may be applicable thereto under law or otherwise.

Pledged Revenues

The Order defines Pledged Revenues as (i) all revenues, payments, income and receipts of every nature derived or received by the County for the SH 211 Project pursuant to the Pass-Through Agreement, including the interest income from investment or deposit of money in any fund, account or subaccount created by the Order, or maintained by the County in connection therewith and any other revenues later, if any, pledged to the payment of the Bonds or any Additional Parity Obligations (as defined in the Order) or any other permissible obligations of the County or any non-profit corporation created by the County, plus (ii) any additional revenues, income, receipts, or other resources, including, without limitation, any additional revenues derived from any amendment, grants, donations or income received or to be received from the United States Government, or any other public or private source, whether pursuant to an agreement or otherwise, which hereafter are pledged by the County to the payment of the Bonds or any Additional Parity Obligations.

Perfection of Interest in Security

Chapter 1208, Texas Government Code, applies to the issuance of the Bonds and the pledge of the ad valorem taxes and Pledged Revenues granted by the County under the Order, and such pledges are therefore valid, effective, and perfected. If Texas law is amended at anytime while the Bonds are outstanding and unpaid such that any pledge of the ad valorem taxes and the Pledged Revenues granted by the County is to be subject to the filing requirements of Chapter 9, Texas Business & Commerce Code, then in order to preserve to the registered owners of the Bonds the perfection of the security interest in such pledge, the County has agreed to take such measures as it determines are reasonable and necessary under Texas law to comply with the applicable provisions of Chapter 9, Texas Business & Commerce Code and enable a filing to perfect the security interest in such pledge to occur.

The Revenue Fund; Flow of Funds

The County has agreed to create and maintain a Revenue Fund under the Order for the purpose of keeping all revenues received for the SH 211 Project under the Pass-Through Agreement separate and apart from all other funds, accounts and money of the County. Amounts received under the Pass-Through Agreement are deposited, as received, into the Revenue Fund and have been pledged and appropriated to the extent required for the following purposes and in the order of priority shown (such terms being defined in the Order).

First: to the payment of all amounts required to be deposited in the special funds and accounts established for the payment, security, and benefit of any Prior Lien Bonds later issued by the County in accordance with the terms and provisions of any orders authorizing their issuance; provided, however that the County may utilize any money on deposit in the Revenue Fund first to reimburse itself or to pay any costs, fees, or expenses related to the SH211 Project or any future projects;

Second: to the payment of all amounts required to be deposited in the special funds and accounts established for the payment, security, and benefit of any Additional Revenue Obligations later issued by the County in accordance with the terms and provisions of any orders authorizing their issuance; and

Third: to the payment of the amounts required to be deposited in the special funds and accounts created and established for the payment, security, and benefit of the Bonds or any Additional Parity Obligations later issued by the County in accordance with the terms and provisions of the orders authorizing their issuance.

The Order provides that any amounts remaining in the Revenue Fund after satisfying the foregoing payments, or making adequate and sufficient provision for the payment, security, and benefit thereof, may be appropriated and used for any other County purpose currently or hereafter permitted by law.

Payment Record

The County has never defaulted on the payment of its bonded indebtedness.

Legality

The Bonds are subject to the approval of legality by the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the approval of certain legal matters by Bracewell LLP, San Antonio, Texas. The legal opinion of Bond Counsel will accompany the Bonds deposited with DTC or will be printed on the Bonds. The form of the legal opinion of Bond Counsel appears in APPENDIX D attached hereto.

Delivery

When issued; anticipated on or about December 30, 2021.

Current and Future Issues

Concurrently with the issuance of the Bonds, the County is issuing its \$7,975,000* Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, its \$33,620,000* Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, and its \$287,310,000* Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021 (collectively, the "Refunding Bonds") for the purpose of refunding certain of its ad valorem tax-secured obligations (collectively, the "Refunded Obligations") to realize debt service savings, and its \$97,135,000* Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2021 (the "Certificates of Obligation"). This Official Statement describes only the Bonds and not the Refunding Bonds or the Certificates of Obligation, and investors must review the County's disclosure documents relating, respectively, to the Refunding Bonds and to the Certificates of Obligation in their entirety prior to making an investment decision with respect thereto.

Redemption Provisions of the Bonds

The Bonds stated to mature on and after June 15, 2027 are subject to optional redemption, in whole or in part in principal amounts of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof (and if less than all within a stated maturity by lot, selected by the Paying Agent/Registrar), on June 15, 2026 or on any date thereafter, at a price of par plus accrued interest to the date fixed for redemption. In addition, the Underwriters may elect to group two or more consecutive maturities of Bonds into one or more "term bonds" and such term bonds will be subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Order authorizing the Bonds, which provisions will be disclosed in the final Official Statement.

Selection of Bonds for Redemption

The years of maturity of the Bonds called for redemption will be selected by the County. If less than all of the Bonds are redeemed within a stated maturity at any time, the Bonds to be redeemed will be selected by the Paying Agent/Registrar at random and by lot or other customary method in multiples of \$5,000 within any stated maturity.

Notice of Redemption

Not less than thirty (30) days prior to a redemption date for the Bonds the County will cause a notice of redemption to be sent by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to the Owner of the Bonds to be redeemed at the address of the Holders appearing on the registration books of the Security Registrar at the close of business on the business day next preceding the date of mailing such notice.

In the Order, the County reserves the right, in the case of an optional redemption, to give notice of its election or direction to redeem the Bonds conditioned upon the occurrence of subsequent events. Such notice may state (i) that the redemption is conditioned upon the deposit of moneys and/or authorized securities, in an amount equal to the amount necessary to effect the redemption, with the Paying Agent/Registrar, or such other entity as may be authorized by law, no later than the redemption date, or (ii) that the County retains the right to rescind such notice at any time on or prior to the scheduled redemption date if the County delivers a certificate of the County to the Paying Agent/Registrar instructing the Paying Agent/Registrar to rescind the redemption notice, and such redemption notice and redemption will be of no effect if such moneys and/or authorized securities are not so deposited or if the notice is rescinded. The Paying Agent/Registrar will give prompt notice of any such rescission of a conditional notice of redemption to the affected Owners. Any Bond subject to conditional notice of redemption where such redemption has been rescinded will remain Outstanding, and the rescission of such redemption will not constitute an event of default under the Order. Further, in the case of a conditional notice of redemption, the failure of the County to make moneys and/or authorized securities available in part or in whole on or before the redemption date will not constitute an event of default under the Order.

ANY NOTICE SO MAILED WILL BE CONCLUSIVELY PRESUMED TO HAVE BEEN DULY GIVEN, WHETHER OR NOT THE OWNER RECEIVES SUCH NOTICE. NOTICE HAVING BEEN SO GIVEN AND SUBJECT, IN THE CASE OF AN OPTIONAL REDEMPTION, TO ANY RIGHTS OR CONDITIONS RESERVED BY THE COUNTY IN THE NOTICE, THE BONDS CALLED FOR REDEMPTION WILL BECOME DUE AND PAYABLE ON THE SPECIFIED REDEMPTION DATE, AND NOTWITHSTANDING THAT ANY BOND OR PORTION THEREOF HAS NOT BEEN SURRENDERED FOR PAYMENT, INTEREST ON SUCH BOND OR PORTION THEREOF WILL CEASE TO ACCRUE.

The Paying Agent/Registrar and the County, so long as the Book-Entry-Only System of the Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, is used for the Bonds, will send any notice of redemption, notice of proposed amendment to the Order or other notices with respect to the Bonds only to DTC. Any failure by DTC to advise any DTC participant, or of any DTC participant or indirect participant to notify the Owner, will not affect the validity of the redemption of the Bonds called for redemption or any other action Bonds premised on any such notice. Redemption of portions of the Bonds by the County will reduce the outstanding principal amount of the Bonds held by DTC.

Discharge

The Order provides that the County may discharge its obligations to the registered owners of any or all of the Bonds to pay principal, interest and redemption price thereon in any manner now or hereafter permitted by law. Under current State law, such discharge may be accomplished either (i) by depositing with the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas a sum of money equal to the principal of, premium, if any, and all interest to accrue on the Bonds to maturity or redemption, or (ii) by depositing with a trust company, commercial bank or any place of payment (paying agent) for obligations of the County payable from revenues or from ad valorem taxes or both, amounts sufficient to provide for the payment and/or redemption of the Bonds; provided that such deposits may be invested and reinvested only in (a) direct non-callable obligations of the United States of America, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America; (b) non-callable obligations of an agency or instrumentality of the United States, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the agency or instrumentality and that, on the date the governing body of the County adopts or approves the proceedings authorizing the defeasance and/or redemption of the Bonds, are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than "AAA" or its equivalent; and (c) non-callable obligations of a state or an agency or a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of a state that have been refunded and that, on the date the governing body of the County adopts or approves the proceedings authorizing the defeasance and/or redemption of the Bonds, are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than "AAA" or its equivalent. The foregoing obligations may be in book-entry form, and will mature and/or bear interest payable at such times and in such amounts as will be sufficient to provide for the scheduled payment and/or redemption of the Bonds. If any of the Bonds are to be redeemed prior to their respective dates of maturity, provision must have been made for giving notice of redemption as provided in the Order.

Under current State law, upon such deposit as described above, the Bonds will no longer be regarded to be outstanding for any purpose other than the payment thereof. After firm banking and financial arrangements for the discharge and final payment or redemption of the Bonds have been made as described above, all rights of the County to initiate proceedings to call the Bonds for redemption or take any other action amending the terms of the Bonds are extinguished; provided, however, that the right to call the Bonds for redemption is not extinguished if the County: (i) in the proceedings providing for the firm banking and financial arrangements, expressly reserves the right to call the Bonds for redemption; (ii) gives notice of the reservation of that right to the owners of the Bonds immediately following the making of the firm banking and financial arrangements; and (iii) directs that notice of the reservation be included in any redemption notices that it authorizes.

Amendments

The County may amend the Order without the consent of or notice to any Holders in any manner not detrimental to the interests of the Holders, including the curing of any ambiguity, inconsistency, or formal defect or omission therein. In addition, the County may, with the written consent of the Holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds then outstanding, amend, add to, or rescind any of the provisions of the Order; except that, without the consent of all of the Holders of the Bonds then outstanding, no such amendment, addition, or rescission may (1) extend the time or times of payment of the principal of, or any installment of interest on any Bond is due and payable, reduce the principal amount thereof, or the rate of interest thereon, the redemption price therefor, or in any other way modify the terms of payment of the principal of, the redemption price therefor, or interest on the Bonds, (2) give any preference to any Bond over any other Bond, or (3) reduce the percentage of the aggregate principal amount of Bonds required to be held for consent to any amendment, addition, or recession.

Defaults and Remedies

If the County defaults in the payment of principal, interest, or redemption price on the Bonds when due, or if it fails to make payments into any fund or funds created in the Order, or defaults in the observation or performance of any other covenants, conditions, or obligations set forth in the Order, the Owners may seek a writ of mandamus to compel County officials to carry out their legally imposed duties with respect to the Bonds, if there is no other available remedy at law to compel performance of the Bonds or the Order and the County's obligations are not uncertain or disputed. The issuance of a writ of mandamus is controlled by equitable principles, so it rests with the discretion of the court, but may not be arbitrarily refused. There is no acceleration of maturity of the Bonds in the event of default and, consequently, the remedy of mandamus may have to be relied upon from year to year. The Order does not provide for the appointment of a trustee to represent the interest of the owners upon any failure of the County to perform in accordance with the terms of the Order, or upon any other condition and accordingly all legal actions to enforce such remedies would have to be undertaken at the initiative of, and be financed by, the Owners. Texas counties are generally immune from suits for money damages for breach of contracts under the doctrine of sovereign immunity. The Texas Supreme Court ruled in *Tooke v. City of Mexia*,

197 S.W.3d 325 (Tex. 2006) that a waiver of sovereign immunity in a contractual dispute must be provided for by statute in "clear and unambiguous" language. Chapter 1371, which pertains to the issuance of public securities by issuers such as the County, permits the County to waive sovereign immunity in the proceedings authorizing the issuance of the Bonds. Notwithstanding its reliance upon the provisions of Chapter 1371 in connection with the issuance of the Bonds (as further described under the caption "THE BONDS - Authority for Issuance"), the County has not waived the defense of sovereign immunity with respect thereto. Because it is unclear whether the Texas legislature has effectively waived the County's sovereign immunity from a suit for money damages outside of Chapter 1371, registered owners may not be able to bring such a suit against the County for breach of the Bonds or the Order covenants. Even if a judgment against the County could be obtained, it could not be enforced by direct levy and execution against the County's property. Further, the Owners cannot themselves foreclose on property within the County or sell property within the County to enforce the tax lien on taxable property to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds. Furthermore, the County is eligible to seek relief from its creditors under Chapter 9 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code ("Chapter 9"). Although Chapter 9 provides for the recognition of a security interest represented by a specifically pledged source of revenues, the pledge of ad valorem taxes in support of a general obligation of a bankrupt entity is not specifically recognized as a security interest under Chapter 9. Chapter 9 also includes an automatic stay provision that would prohibit, without Bankruptcy Court approval, the prosecution of any other legal action by creditors or bondholders of an entity which has sought protection under Chapter 9. Therefore, should the County avail itself of Chapter 9 protection from creditors, the ability to enforce would be subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court (which could require that the action be heard in Bankruptcy Court instead of other federal or state court); and the Bankruptcy Code provides for broad discretionary powers of a Bankruptcy Court in administering any proceeding brought before it. The opinion of Bond Counsel will note that all opinions relative to the enforceability of the Order and the Bonds are qualified with respect to the customary rights of debtors relative to their creditors and general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion.

SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS

Sources of Funds:

Principal Amount of the Bonds	\$ _____
Original Issue Reoffering Premium	
Accrued Interest	

Total Sources of Funds	\$ _____
-------------------------------	-----------------

Uses of Funds:

Deposit to Construction Fund	\$ _____
Underwriters' Discount	
Costs of Issuance	
Deposit to Bond Fund	

Total Uses of Funds	\$ _____
----------------------------	-----------------

REGISTRATION, TRANSFER, AND EXCHANGE

Paying Agent/Registrar

The initial Paying Agent/Registrar is BOKF, NA dba Bank of Texas, Austin, Texas.

Successor Paying Agent/Registrar

The County covenants that until the Bonds are paid it will at all times maintain and provide a paying agent/registrar. In the Order, the County retains the right to replace the Paying Agent/Registrar. If the Paying Agent/Registrar is replaced by the County, the new Paying Agent/Registrar must accept the previous Paying Agent/Registrar's records and act in the same capacity as the previous Paying Agent/Registrar. Any successor Paying Agent/Registrar selected by the County must be a bank, trust company, financial institution or other entity duly qualified and legally authorized to serve and perform the duties of Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds. Upon any change in the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Bonds, the County will promptly cause a notice thereof to be sent to each Owner of the Bonds by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, which notice shall give the address of the new Paying Agent/Registrar.

Record Date

The date for determining the Owner entitled to receipt of payment of interest on a Bond is the last business day of the month next preceding each interest payment date ("Record Date").

If the date for the payment of the principal of or interest on the Bonds is a Saturday, a Sunday, a legal holiday or a day on which banking institutions in the city where the corporate trust office of the Paying Agent/Registrar is located are authorized by law or executive order to close, then the date for such payment is the next succeeding day which is not such a day and payment on such date will have the same force and effect as if made on the original date payment was due.

Special Record Date for Interest Payment

In the event of a non-payment of interest on a scheduled payment date, and for 30 days thereafter, a new record date for such interest payment (a "Special Record Date") will be established by the Paying Agent/Registrar, if and when funds for the payment of such interest have been received. Notice of the Special Record Date and of the scheduled payment date of the past due interest (which shall be 15 days after the Special Record Date) must be sent at least five (5) business days prior to the Special Record Date by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to the address of each Holder of a Bond appearing on the Security Register at the close of business on the last business day next preceding the date of mailing of such notice.

Registration, Transferability and Exchange

In the event the Book-Entry-Only System is discontinued, printed certificates will be issued to the Holders of the Bonds and thereafter the Bonds may be transferred, registered, and assigned on the Security Register only upon presentation and surrender thereof to the Paying Agent/Registrar, and such registration and transfer will be without expense or service charge to the registered owner, except for any tax or other governmental charges required to be paid with respect to such registration and transfer. A Bond may be assigned by the execution of an assignment form on the Bond or by other instrument of transfer and assignment acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar. A new Bond or Bonds will be delivered by the Paying Agent/Registrar in lieu of the Bonds being transferred or exchanged at the designated office of the Paying Agent/Registrar, or sent by United States registered mail to the new Holder at the Holder's request, risk and expense. New Bonds registered and delivered in an exchange or transfer will be in denominations of \$5,000 for any one stated maturity or any integral multiple thereof and for a like aggregate principal amount and at the same maturity or maturities as the Bond or Bonds surrendered for exchange or transfer. (See "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM" herein for a description of the system to be utilized initially in regard to ownership and transferability of the Bonds.)

Limitation on Transferability of Bonds Called for Redemption

Neither the County nor the Paying Agent/Registrar will be required to issue, transfer or exchange any Bond called for redemption, in whole or in part, within 45 days of the date fixed for redemption; provided, however, that such limitation on transferability will not be applicable to an exchange by the registered Holder of the unredeemed principal balance of a Bond called for redemption in part.

Replacement Bonds

If any Bond is mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost, a new Bond of like kind and in the same amount as the Bond so mutilated, destroyed, stolen or lost will be issued. In the case of a mutilated Bond, such new Bond will be delivered only upon surrender and cancellation of such mutilated Bond. In the case of any Bond issued in lieu of and in substitution for a Bond which has been destroyed, stolen, or lost, such new Bond will be delivered only (a) upon filing with the County and the Paying Agent/Registrar evidence satisfactory to establish to the County and the Paying Agent/Registrar that such Bond has been destroyed, stolen or lost and proof of the ownership thereof, and (b) upon furnishing the County and the Paying Agent/Registrar with Bond or indemnity satisfactory to them. The person requesting the authentication and delivery of a new Bond must comply with such other reasonable regulations as the Paying Agent/Registrar may prescribe and pay such expenses as the Paying Agent/Registrar may incur in connection therewith.

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM

The following information describes how ownership of the Bonds is to be transferred and how the principal of and interest on the Bonds are to be paid to and credited by DTC while the Bonds are registered in its nominee's name. The information in this section concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been provided by DTC for use in disclosure documents such as this Official Statement. The County, the Co-Financial Advisors and the Underwriters believe the source of such information to be reliable, but take no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof.

The County cannot and does not give any assurance that (1) DTC will distribute payments of debt service on the Bonds, or redemption or other notices, to DTC Participants, (2) DTC Participants or others will distribute debt service payments paid to DTC or its nominee (as the Owner of the Bonds), or redemption or other notices, to the Beneficial Owners, or that they will do so on a timely basis, or (3) DTC will serve and act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current rules applicable to DTC are on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the current procedures of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered security certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered

pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments from over 100 countries that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation, and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a Standard & Poor's rating of "AA+." The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com.

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Certificate ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry-only system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Bonds, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Certificate documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Bonds may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Bonds for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Redemption notices will be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the County as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the County or the Paying Agent/Registrar, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC [nor its nominee], the Paying Agent/Registrar, or the County, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the County or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the County or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, security certificates representing the Bonds are required to be printed and delivered.

The County may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, physical security certificates representing the Bonds will be printed and delivered.

Use of Certain Terms in Other Sections of This Official Statement

In reading this Official Statement it should be understood that while the Bonds are in the Book-Entry-Only System, references in other sections of this Official Statement to registered owners should be read to include the person for which the Participant acquires an interest in the Bonds, but (i) all rights of ownership must be exercised through DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System, and (ii) except as described above, notices that are to be given to registered owners under the Order will be given only to DTC.

AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION

The following is a summary of certain provisions of State law as it relates to ad valorem taxation and is not intended to be complete. Prospective investors are encouraged to review Title I of the Texas Tax Code, as amended (the "Property Tax Code"), for identification of property subject to ad valorem taxation, property exempt or which may be exempted from ad valorem taxation if claimed, the appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes, and the procedures and limitations applicable to the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes.

Valuation of Taxable Property

The Property Tax Code provides for countywide appraisal and equalization of taxable property values and establishes in each county of the State an appraisal district and an appraisal review board (the "Appraisal Review Board") responsible for appraising property for all taxing units within the county. The appraisal of property within the County is the responsibility of the Bexar County Appraisal District (the "Appraisal District"). Except as generally described below, the Appraisal District is required to appraise all property within the Appraisal District on the basis of 100% of its market value and is prohibited from applying any assessment ratios. In determining market value of property, the Appraisal District is required to consider the cost method of appraisal, the income method of appraisal and the market data comparison method of appraisal, and use the method the chief appraiser of the Appraisal District considers most appropriate. The Property Tax Code requires appraisal districts to reappraise all property in its jurisdiction at least once every three (3) years. A taxing unit may require annual review at its own expense, and is entitled to challenge the determination of appraised value of property within the taxing unit by petition filed with the Appraisal Review Board.

State law requires the appraised value of an owner's principal residence ("homestead" or "homesteads") to be based solely on the property's value as a homestead, regardless of whether residential use is considered to be the highest and best use of the property. State law further limits the appraised value of a homestead to the lesser of (1) the market value of the property or (2) 110% of the appraised value of the property for the preceding tax year plus the market value of all new improvements to the property.

State law provides that eligible owners of both agricultural land and open-space land, including open-space land devoted to farm or ranch purposes or open-space land devoted to timber production, may elect to have such property appraised for property taxation on the basis of its productive capacity. The same land may not be qualified as both agricultural and open-space land.

The appraisal values set by the Appraisal District are subject to review and change by the Appraisal Review Board. The appraisal rolls, as approved by the Appraisal Review Board, are used by taxing units, such as the County, in establishing their tax rolls and tax rates (see "AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION – County and Taxpayer Remedies").

State Mandated Homestead Exemptions

State law grants, with respect to each taxing unit in the State, various exemptions for disabled veterans and their families, surviving spouses of members of the armed services killed in action and surviving spouses of first responders killed or fatally wounded in the line of duty.

Local Option Homestead Exemptions

The governing body of a taxing unit, including a city, county, school district, or special district, at its option may grant: (1) an exemption of up to 20% of the appraised value of all homesteads (but not less than \$5,000) and (2) an additional exemption of at least \$3,000 of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons sixty-five (65) years of age or older and the disabled. Each taxing unit decides if it will offer the local option homestead exemptions and at what percentage or dollar amount, as applicable. The exemption described in (2), above, may be created, increased, decreased or repealed at an election called by the governing body of a taxing unit upon presentment of a petition for such creation, increase, decrease, or repeal of at least 20% of the number of qualified voters who voted in the preceding election of the taxing unit.

Local Option Freeze for the Elderly and Disabled

The governing body of a county, municipality or junior college district may, at its option, provide for a freeze on the total amount of ad valorem taxes levied on the homesteads of persons 65 years of age or older or of disabled persons above the amount of tax imposed in the year such residence qualified for such exemption. Also, upon voter initiative, an election may be held to determine by majority vote whether to establish such a freeze on ad valorem taxes. Once the freeze is established, the total amount of taxes imposed on such homesteads cannot be increased except for certain improvements, and such freeze cannot be repealed or rescinded.

By order adopted by the Commissioners Court on May 11, 2005, the Commissioners Court implemented this "tax freeze" with the benefits beginning for the 2006 tax year on January 1, 2006. The ad valorem tax limitation on the residence homestead of individuals who are under a disability for purposes of payment of disability insurance benefits under Federal Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance, or its successor, and individuals 65 years of age or older as permitted under the Texas Constitution, Article VIII, 1-b(h) and Property Tax Code, Section 11.261. Adoption of the tax limitation by the Commissioners Court set 2005 as the base year for those individuals who qualify for the stated ad valorem tax limitation and the qualified individuals realized tax freeze benefits beginning January 1, 2006 for tax year 2006. Once established, the County may not repeal or rescind the tax limitation. The County studied the effects of implementing such an ad valorem tax freeze for resident homeowners that qualify as disabled individuals and/or individuals 65 years of age or older and was unable to determine the exact extent to which such a tax freeze would negatively impact the County's future tax revenues. A number of other studies have been undertaken to measure the extent of the impact of a tax freeze and these studies have concluded that such a tax freeze would cause a decrease in the rate of growth of future ad valorem tax revenues to the County.

Personal Property

Tangible personal property (furniture, machinery, supplies, inventories, etc.) used in the "production of income" is taxed based on the property's market value. Taxable personal property includes income-producing equipment and inventory. Intangibles such as goodwill, accounts receivable, and proprietary processes are not taxable. Tangible personal property not held or used for production of income, such as household goods, automobiles or light trucks, and boats, is exempt from ad valorem taxation unless the governing body of a taxing unit elects to tax such property.

Freeport and Goods-In-Transit Exemptions

Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded outside the State, and are detained in the State for 175 days or less for the purpose of assembly, storage, manufacturing, processing or fabrication ("Freeport Property") are exempt from ad valorem taxation unless a taxing unit took official action to tax Freeport Property before April 1, 1990 and has not subsequently taken official action to exempt Freeport Property. Decisions to continue taxing Freeport Property may be reversed in the future; decisions to exempt Freeport Property are not subject to reversal.

Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded to another location within or without the State, stored in a location that is not owned by the owner of the goods and are transported to another location within or without the State within 175 days ("Goods-in-Transit"), are generally exempt from ad valorem taxation; however, the Property Tax Code permits a taxing unit, on a local option basis, to tax Goods-in-Transit if the taxing unit takes official action after conducting a public hearing, before January 1 of the first tax year in which the taxing unit proposes to tax Goods-in-Transit. Goods-in-Transit and Freeport Property do not include oil, natural gas or petroleum products, and Goods-in-Transit does not include aircraft or special inventories such as manufactured housing inventory, or a dealer's motor vehicle, boat, or heavy equipment inventory.

A taxpayer may receive only one of the Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions for items of personal property.

The County has elected to tax freeport goods and goods-in-transit. The County took official action on November 1, 2011 to continue its taxation of goods-in-transit. (See "AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION - County Application of Property Tax Code.")

Other Exempt Property

Other major categories of exempt property include property owned by the State or its political subdivisions if used for public purposes, property exempt by federal law, property used for pollution control, farm products owned by producers, property of nonprofit corporations used for scientific research or educational activities benefitting a college or university, designated historic sites, solar and wind-powered energy devices, and certain classes of intangible personal property.

Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones

A city or county, by petition of the landowners or by action of its governing body, may create one or more tax increment financing zones ("TIRZ") within its boundaries, and other overlapping taxing units may agree to contribute taxes levied against the "Incremental Value" in the TIRZ to finance or pay for project costs, as defined in Chapter 311, Texas Government Code, generally located within the TIRZ. At the time of the creation of the TIRZ, a "base value" for the real

property in the TIRZ is established and the difference between any increase in the assessed valuation of taxable real property in the TIRZ in excess of the base value is known as the “Incremental Value”, and during the existence of the TIRZ, all or a portion of the taxes levied by each participating taxing unit against the Incremental Value in the TIRZ are restricted to paying project and financing costs within the TIRZ and are not available for the payment of other obligations of such taxing units.

Tax Abatement Agreements

Taxing units may also enter into tax abatement agreements to encourage economic development. Under the agreements, a property owner agrees to construct certain improvements on its property. The taxing unit, in turn, agrees not to levy a tax on all or part of the increased value attributable to the improvements until the expiration of the agreement. The abatement agreement could last for a period of up to 10 years.

Since 1985, the County has executed a number of tax abatement agreements to grow and diversify the Regional economy, to attract new industry and commercial enterprises, and to encourage the retention and development of existing businesses. These abatement agreements have resulted in major economic stimulus. Examples of this can be seen in some of the companies utilizing abatements: Toyota Manufacturing Texas (Tacoma production lines), DG Distribution of Texas, Weatherford International, Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, Navistar International, Ernest & Young, Credit Human, and Schlumberger Technology Corporation.

Under the County’s 2018-2020 Tax Abatement Guidelines, 10-year term abatements are focused on the revitalization of areas located within Loop 410 or South of U.S. Highway 90 or I-35 and projects within the South Texas Medical Center area, the boundaries of the San Antonio International Airport, or the Texas Research Park Foundation. Areas focused on for 6-year terms are outside of Loop 410 and also North of U.S. Highway 90 or I-35. Areas not eligible for tax abatement are projects located in whole or in part over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone or new or existing projects that may have a potentially negative impact on military missions. The County does not abate flood control taxes or taxes levied on behalf of the University Health System which is the hospital system established by the Bexar County Hospital District.

County and Taxpayer Remedies

Under certain circumstances, taxpayers and taxing units, including the County, may appeal the determinations of the Appraisal District by timely initiating a protest with the Appraisal Review Board. Additionally, taxing units such as the County may bring suit against the Appraisal District to compel compliance with the Property Tax Code.

Beginning in the 2020 tax year, owners of certain property with a taxable value in excess of the current year “minimum eligibility amount”, as determined by the State Comptroller, and situated in a county with a population of one million or more, may protest the determinations of an appraisal district directly to a three-member special panel of the appraisal review board, appointed by the chairman of the appraisal review board, consisting of highly qualified professionals in the field of property tax appraisal. The minimum eligibility amount is set at \$50 million for the 2020 tax year, and is adjusted annually by the State Comptroller to reflect the inflation rate.

The Property Tax Code sets forth notice and hearing procedures for certain tax rate increases by the County and provides for taxpayer referenda that could result in the repeal of certain tax increases (see “AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION – Public Hearing and Maintenance and Operations Tax Rate Limitations”). The Property Tax Code also establishes a procedure for providing notice to property owners of reappraisals reflecting increased property value, appraisals which are higher than renditions, and appraisals of property not previously on an appraisal roll.

Levy and Collection of Taxes

The County is responsible for the collection of its taxes, unless it elects to transfer such functions to another governmental entity. Taxes are due October 1, or when billed, whichever comes later, and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year. A delinquent tax incurs a penalty of six percent (6%) of the amount of the tax for the first calendar month it is delinquent, plus one percent (1%) for each additional month or portion of a month the tax remains unpaid prior to July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent. If the tax is not paid by July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent, the tax incurs a total penalty of twelve percent (12%) regardless of the number of months the tax has been delinquent and incurs an additional penalty of up to twenty percent (20%) of the delinquent and tax penalty interest calculated, if imposed by the County. The delinquent tax also accrues interest at a rate of one percent (1%) for each month or portion of a month it remains unpaid. The Property Tax Code also makes provision for the split payment of taxes, discounts for early payment and the postponement of the delinquency date of taxes for certain taxpayers. Furthermore, the County may provide, on a local option basis, for the split payment, partial payment, and discounts for early payment of taxes under certain circumstances. The County has elected this option and presently uses outside legal counsel to collect delinquent taxes.

Public Hearing and Maintenance and Operations Tax Rate Limitations

The following terms as used in this section have the meanings provided below:

“adjusted” means lost values are not included in the calculation of the prior year’s taxes and new values are not included in the current year’s taxable values.

“de minimis rate” means the maintenance and operations tax rate that will produce the prior year’s total maintenance and operations tax levy (adjusted) from the current year’s values (adjusted), plus the rate that produces an additional \$500,000 in tax revenue when applied to the current year’s taxable value, plus the debt service tax rate.

“no-new-revenue tax rate” means the combined maintenance and operations tax rate and debt service tax rate that will produce the prior year’s total tax levy (adjusted) from the current year’s total taxable values (adjusted). Certain counties for which certain expenditures for indigent legal defense or certain hospital expenditures exceed the amount for such expenditures for the preceding tax year, may increase their no-new-revenue tax rate proportionately with such expenditures in the manner provided by the Property Tax Code.

“special taxing unit” means a county for which the maintenance and operations tax rate proposed for the current tax year is 2.5 cents or less per \$100 of taxable value.

“unused increment rate” means the cumulative difference between a county’s voter-approval tax rate and its actual tax rate for each of the tax years 2020 through 2022, which may be applied to a county’s tax rate in tax years 2021 through 2023 without impacting the voter-approval tax rate.

“voter-approval tax rate” means the maintenance and operations tax rate that will produce the prior year’s total maintenance and operations tax levy (adjusted) from the current year’s values (adjusted) multiplied by 1.035, plus the debt service tax rate, plus the unused increment rate.

The County’s tax rate consists of two components: (1) a rate for funding of maintenance and operations expenditures in the current year, which may additionally include the Road and Bridge Maintenance Tax and the Farm-to-Market Road and Flood Control Tax, if levied (collectively, the “maintenance and operations tax rate”), and (2) a rate for funding debt service in the current year (the “debt service tax rate”). Under State law, the assessor for the County must submit an appraisal roll showing the total appraised, assessed, and taxable values of all property in the County to the Commissioners Court by August 1 or as soon as practicable thereafter.

A county must annually calculate its voter-approval tax rate and no-new-revenue tax rate (as such terms are defined above) in accordance with forms prescribed by the State Comptroller and provide notice of such rates to each owner of taxable property within the county and the county tax assessor collector. A county must adopt a tax rate before the later of September 30 or the 60th day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll, except that a tax rate that exceeds the voter-approval tax rate must be adopted not later than the 71st day before the next occurring November uniform election date. If a county fails to timely adopt a tax rate, the tax rate is statutorily set as the lower of the no-new-revenue tax rate for the current tax year or the tax rate adopted by the county for the preceding tax year.

As described below, the Property Tax Code provides that if a county adopts a tax rate that exceeds its voter-approval tax rate or, in certain cases, its de minimis rate, an election must be held to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter-approval tax rate.

A county may not adopt a tax rate that exceeds the lower of the voter-approval tax rate or the no-new-revenue tax rate until the county appraisal district has delivered notice to each taxpayer of the estimated total amount of property taxes owed and the county has held a public hearing on the proposed tax increase.

If a county’s adopted tax rate for any tax year exceeds the greater of (i) the voter-approval tax rate or (ii) the de minimis rate, the county must conduct an election on the next occurring November uniform election date to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter-approval tax rate. However, for any tax year during which a county does not qualify as a special taxing unit, if a county’s adopted tax rate is equal to or less than the de minimis rate but greater than both (a) the no-new-revenue tax rate, multiplied by 1.08, plus the debt service tax rate or (b) the county’s voter-approval tax rate, then a valid petition signed by at least three percent of the registered voters in the county would require that an election be held to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter-approval tax rate.

Any county located at least partly within an area declared a disaster area by the Governor of the State or the President of the United States during the current year may calculate its voter-approval tax rate using a 1.08 multiplier, instead of 1.035, until the earlier of (i) the second tax year in which such county’s total taxable appraised value exceeds the taxable appraised value on January 1 of the year the disaster occurred, or (ii) the third tax year after the tax year in which the disaster occurred.

State law provides cities and counties in the State the option of assessing a maximum one-half percent (1/2%) sales and use tax on retail sales of taxable items for the purpose of reducing its ad valorem taxes, if approved by a majority of the voters in a local option election. If the additional sales and use tax for ad valorem tax reduction is approved and levied, the no-new-revenue tax rate and voter-approval tax rate must be reduced by the amount of the estimated sales tax revenues to be generated in the current tax year.

The calculations of the no-new-revenue tax rate and voter-approval tax rate do not limit or impact the County's ability to set a debt service tax rate in each year sufficient to pay debt service on all of the County's tax-supported debt obligations, including the Certificates, the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, and the Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds.

Reference is made to the Property Tax Code for definitive requirements for the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes and the calculation of the various defined tax rates.

Debt Tax Rate Limitations

All taxable property within the County is subject to the assessment, levy and collection by the County of a continuing, direct annual ad valorem tax sufficient to provide for the payment of principal of and interest on all ad valorem tax supported debt, within the limits prescribed by law. Article VIII, Section 9 of the Texas Constitution imposes a limit of \$0.80 per \$100 assessed valuation for all purposes of a county's General Fund, Permanent Improvement Fund, Road and Bridge Fund and Jury Fund, including debt service on bonds or other debt issued against such funds. Administratively, the Attorney General of Texas will not approve limited tax obligations in an amount which produces debt service requirements exceeding that which can be paid from \$0.40 of the foregoing \$0.80 maximum tax rate, as calculated at the time of issuance. The Certificates, the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, and the Bonds are limited tax-supported debt obligations payable from the \$0.80 constitutional tax. (See "OBLIGATIONS OUTSTANDING" in APPENDIX A.)

Article III, Section 52 of the Texas Constitution authorizes the County to levy a direct, continuing ad valorem tax on all taxable property within the County, without limit as to rate or amount to pay the principal of and interest on the County's road bonds if approved by the voters in the County. The principal amount of unlimited tax road bonds issued by the County and outstanding at any point in time, aggregated with outstanding unlimited tax debt of certain road districts located within the County cannot exceed 25% of the assessed valuation of all real property located in the County. The County has previously issued bonds unlimited tax bonds that are payable from this unlimited tax. (See "OBLIGATIONS OUTSTANDING" and "AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED TAX BONDS" in APPENDIX A.)

Article VIII, Section 9 of the Texas Constitution and State statute authorize the County to levy a special Road and Bridge Fund Tax (the "Road and Bridge Maintenance Tax") in an amount not to exceed \$0.15 per \$100 assessed valuation, no part of which may be used for debt service, if approved by the voters.

Article VIII, Section 1-a of the Texas Constitution and State statute permit the County to levy a tax for Farm-to-Market Road and Flood Control purposes (the "Farm-to-Market Road and Flood Control Tax") in an amount not to exceed \$0.30 per \$100 assessed valuation after the mandatory \$3,000 homestead exemption, if approved by the voters. There is no allocation prescribed by statutes between debt service and maintenance. All or part may be used for either purpose. The County held an election on April 17, 1951 which approved the levy of a (i) \$0.15 tax per \$100 valuation for Farm-to-Market and Lateral Roads and (ii) \$0.15 tax per \$100 valuation for flood control purposes (the "Flood Control Tax"). Although the Flood Control Tax is not available to pay debt service on the Bonds, the Flood Control Tax levy provides additional funds for road and flood control purposes that might otherwise be paid from taxes subject to the \$0.80 tax limitation. The Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds are payable from this Flood Control Tax. (See "OBLIGATIONS OUTSTANDING" in APPENDIX A.)

Section 1301.003, Texas Government Code, as amended, limits the amount of limited tax obligations of counties issued pursuant to such authority for those certain purposes as follows:

Courthouse	2% of Taxable Assessed Valuation
Jail	1 1/2% of Taxable Assessed Valuation
Courthouse and Jail	3 1/2% of Taxable Assessed Valuation
Bridge	1 1/2% of Taxable Assessed Valuation

The County's Rights in the Event of Tax Delinquencies

Taxes levied by the County are a personal obligation of the owner of the property as of January 1 of the year for which the tax is imposed. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all State and local taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property. The lien exists in favor of the State and each local taxing unit, including the County, having power to tax the property. Personal property, under certain

circumstances, is subject to seizure and sale for the payment of delinquent taxes. At any time after taxes on property become delinquent, the County may file suit to foreclose the lien securing payment of the tax, to enforce personal liability for the tax, or both. In filing a suit to foreclose a tax lien on real property, the County must join other taxing units that have claims for delinquent taxes against all or part of the same property. Collection of delinquent taxes may be adversely affected by the amount of taxes owed to other taxing units, by the effects of market conditions on the foreclosure sale price, by taxpayer redemption rights (a taxpayer may redeem property within two (2) years after the purchaser's deed issued at the foreclosure sale is filed in the county records) or by bankruptcy proceedings which restrict the collection of taxpayer debts. Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of actions by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases, post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

County Application of Property Tax Code

The County does not grant a local option exemption of the market value of all residence homesteads. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Local Option Homestead Exemptions."

The County does grant a local option exemption of the market value of the residence homestead of persons 65 years of age or older. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Local Option Homestead Exemptions."

The County does grant a local option freeze on taxes for persons 65 years of age or older or disabled persons. "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Local Option Freeze for the Elderly and Disabled."

The County does permit split payments, and discounts are allowed. "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION – Levy and Collection of Taxes."

The County does tax Freeport Property. "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Freeport and Goods-In-Transit Exemptions."

The County has taken action to tax Goods-in-Transit. "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Freeport and Goods-In-Transit Exemptions."

The County does participate in a TIRZ. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones."

The County does offer tax abatements. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Tax Abatement Agreements."

The County currently does not levy a Road and Bridge Maintenance Tax. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Debt Tax Rate Limitations."

The County currently does levy a Farm-to-Market Road and Flood Control Tax. See "AD VALOREM TAX PROPERTY TAXATION - Debt Tax Rate Limitations."

INVESTMENT POLICIES

Investments

The County invests its funds in investments authorized by Texas law in accordance with investment policies approved by the Court. Both State law and the County's investment policies are subject to change.

Legal Investments

Under Texas law, the County is authorized to invest in (1) obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies and instrumentalities, (3) collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States, (4) other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by, or backed by the full faith and credit of the State of Texas or the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities, (5) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than "A" or its equivalent, (6) interest-bearing banking deposits that are guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or its successor or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or its successors, (7) (a) certificates of deposit and share certificates issued by a depository institution that has its main office or branch office in the State of Texas, that are guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or their respective successors, or are secured as to principal by obligations described in clauses (1)

through (5) and clause (14) or in any other manner and amount provided by law for County deposits, or (ii) where (a) the funds are invested by the County through (i) a broker that has its main office or branch office in this state and is selected from a list adopted by the County; (ii) a depository institution that has a main office or branch office in this state and that is selected by the County; (b) the broker or depository institution selected by the County arranges for the deposit of funds in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located; (c) the certificates of deposit are insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (d) the County appoints the depository institution acts as a custodian for the County with respect to the certificates of deposit, an entity described by Section 2257.041(d) Government Code, or a clearing broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and operating pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c3-3 (17 C.F.R., section 240.15c3-3), (8) fully collateralized repurchase agreements that have a defined termination date, are fully secured by obligations described in clause (1) and require the security being purchased by the County to be pledged to the County, held in the County's name and deposited at the time the investment is made with the County or with a third party selected and approved by the County, and are placed through a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State of Texas, (9) bankers' acceptances with the remaining term of 270 days or less from the date of issuance, if the short-term obligations of the accepting bank or its parent are rated at least "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by at least one nationally recognized credit rating agency, (10) commercial paper with the remaining term of 270 days or less from the date of issuance that is rated at least "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by at least (a) two nationally recognized credit rating agencies or (b) one nationally recognized credit rating agency if the paper is fully secured by an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a U.S. or state bank, (11) no-load money market mutual funds registered with and regulated by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission that comply with federal Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 2a-7, (12) no-load mutual fund registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission that: have an average weighted maturity of less than two years; and have a duration of one year or more and are invested exclusively in obligations described in this paragraph or have a duration of less than one year and the investment portfolio is limited to investment grade securities, excluding asset-backed securities, (13) public funds investment pools that have an advisory board which includes participants in the pool and are continuously rated as to investment quality by at least one nationally recognized investment rating firm of not less than "AAA" or its equivalent or no lower than investment grade with a weighted average maturity no greater than 90 days, and (14) bonds issued, assumed or guaranteed by the State of Israel. Texas law also permits the County to invest bond proceeds in a guaranteed investment contract subject to the limitations set forth in Chapter 2256, as amended, Texas Government Code.

A political subdivision such as the County may enter into securities lending programs if (i) the securities loaned under the program are 100% collateralized, a loan made under the program allows for termination at any time and a loan made under the program is either secured by (a) obligations that are described in clauses (1) through (5) and (14) above, (b) irrevocable letters of credit issued by a state or national bank that is continuously rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm at not less than "A" or its equivalent or (c) cash invested in obligations described in clauses (1) through (5) above, clauses (11) through (14) above, or an authorized investment pool; (ii) securities held as collateral under a loan are pledged to the County, held in the County's name and deposited at the time the investment is made with the County or a third party designated by the County; (iii) a loan made under the program through either a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State of Texas; and (iv) the agreement to lend securities has a term of one year or less.

Investment Policies

The County is required by Texas law to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity; that address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management; and that includes a list of authorized investments for County funds, maximum allowable stated maturity of any individual investment owned by the County and the maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed for pooled fund groups. All County funds must be invested consistent with a formally adopted "Investment Strategy Statement" that specifically addresses each fund's investment. Each Investment Strategy Statement will describe its objectives concerning: (1) suitability of investment type, (2) preservation and safety of principal, (3) liquidity, (4) marketability of each investment, (5) diversification of the portfolio, and (6) yield.

The County investments must be made "with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person's own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived". At least quarterly the investment officers of the County must submit an investment report detailing: (1) the investment position of the County, (2) that all investment officers jointly prepared and signed the report, (3) the beginning market value, any additions and changes to market value and the ending value of each pooled fund group, (4) the book value and market value of each separately listed asset at the beginning and end of the reporting period, (5) the maturity date of each separately invested asset, (6) the account or fund or pooled fund group for which each individual investment was acquired, and (7) the compliance of the investment portfolio as it relates to: (a) adopted investment strategy statements and (b) State law. No person may invest County funds without express written authority from the Commissioners Court.

Additional Provisions

The County is additionally required by Texas law to: (1) annually review its adopted policies and strategies; (2) adopt a rule, order, ordinance or resolution stating that it has reviewed its investment policy and investment strategies and records any changes made to either its investment policy or investment strategy in the respective rule, order, ordinance or

resolution; (3) require any investment officers with personal business relationships or relatives with firms seeking to sell securities to the entity to disclose the relationship and file a statement with the Texas Ethics Commission and the Commissioners Court; (4) require the qualified representative of firms offering to engage in an investment transaction with the County to: (a) receive and review the County's investment policy, (b) acknowledge that reasonable controls and procedures have been implemented to preclude investment transactions conducted between the County and the business organization that are not authorized by the County's investment policy (except to the extent that this authorization is dependent on an analysis of the makeup of the County's entire portfolio or requires an interpretation of subjective investment standards), and (c) deliver a written statement in a form acceptable to the County and the business organization attesting to these requirements; (5) perform an annual audit of the management controls on investments and adherence to the County's investment policy; (6) provide specific investment training for the Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and investment officers; (7) restrict reverse repurchase agreements to not more than 90 days and restrict the investment of reverse repurchase agreement funds to no greater than the term of the reverse purchase agreement; (8) restrict the investment in non-money market mutual funds in the aggregate to no more than 15% of the County's monthly average fund balance, excluding bond proceeds and reserves and other funds held for debt service; (9) require local government investment pools to conform to the new disclosure, rating, net asset value, yield calculation, and advisory board requirements; and (10) at least annually review, revise, and adopt a list of qualified brokers that are authorized to engage in investment transactions with the County.

Current Investments*

Type of Investment	Book Balance	Fair Market Value	Percent
Money Market (Sweep Account)	\$ 20,936,812	\$ 20,936,812	1.61%
Money Market (Community Venue Funds)	43,745,526	43,745,526	3.37%
Municipal Commercial Paper	9,999,358	9,999,244	0.77%
U.S. Government Securities	561,155,854	561,392,556	43.26%
Local Government Investment Pools	571,516,732	571,516,732	44.04%
Corporate Commercial Paper	89,982,867	89,990,291	6.94%
Total	<u>\$ 1,297,337,150</u>	<u>\$1,297,581,161</u>	<u>100.00%</u>

Source: *Bexar County Quarterly Investment Report for the quarter ending July 30, 2021.*
 * Unaudited

As of such date, the fair market value of such investments (as determined by the County by reference to published quotations, dealer bids, and comparable information) was approximately 100% of their book balance. No funds of the County are invested in derivative securities, i.e., securities whose rate of return is determined by reference to some other instrument, index, or commodity.

LEGAL MATTERS

The County will furnish the Underwriters with a complete transcript of proceedings incident to the authorization and issuance of the Bonds, including the unqualified approving legal opinions of the Attorney General of the State of Texas to the effect that the Initial Bond is a valid and legally binding obligation of the County, and based upon examination of such transcript of proceedings, the approval of certain legal matters by Bond Counsel, to the effect that the Bonds, issued in compliance with the provisions of the Order, are valid and legally binding obligations of the County and, subject to the qualifications set forth herein under "TAX MATTERS," under existing law the interest on the Bonds will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Though it represents the Co-Financial Advisors and the Underwriters from time to time in matters unrelated to the Bonds, Bond Counsel has been engaged by and only represents the County in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. With respect to this Official Statement, Bond Counsel has not assumed any responsibility with respect hereto or undertaken independently to verify any of the information contained herein, except that, in its capacity as Bond Counsel, such firm has reviewed the information under the captions "THE BONDS" (other than the information under the subcaptions "Payment Record," "Delivery," "Concurrent and Future Issues," and "Defaults and Remedies," as to which no opinion is expressed), "REGISTRATION, TRANSFER AND EXCHANGE," "LEGAL MATTERS" (except for the last two sentences of the first paragraph thereof, as to which no opinion is expressed), "TAX MATTERS," "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" (other than the information under the subcaption "Compliance with Prior Undertakings," as to which no opinion is expressed), and the subcaption "Legal Investments and Eligibility to Secure Public Funds in Texas" under the caption "OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION" in the Official Statement and such firm is of the opinion that the information relating to the Bonds and the legal issues contained under such captions and subcaptions is an accurate and fair description of the laws and legal issues addressed therein and, with respect to the Bonds, such information conforms to the provisions of the Order. The legal fees to be paid Bond Counsel for services rendered in connection with the issuance of Bonds are contingent on the sale and delivery of the Bonds. The legal opinions of Bond Counsel will accompany the Bonds deposited with DTC or will be printed on the definitive Bonds in the event of the discontinuance of the Book-Entry-Only System. Certain matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P., San Antonio, Texas, and Kassahn & Ortiz, P.C., San Antonio, Texas, as co-underwriters'

counsel. The fees of McCall, Parkhurst & Horton L.L.P. and Kassahn & Ortiz, P.C. are contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

The various legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds express the professional judgment of the attorneys rendering the opinions as to the legal issues explicitly addressed therein. In rendering a legal opinion, the attorney does not become an insurer or guarantor of the expression of professional judgment, of the transaction opined upon, or of the future performance of the parties to the transaction. Nor does the rendering of an opinion guarantee the outcome of any legal dispute that may arise out of the transaction.

NO-LITIGATION

On the date of delivery of the Bonds to the Underwriters, the County will execute and deliver to the Underwriters a certificate to the effect that no litigation of any nature has been filed or is pending, as of that date, to restrain or enjoin the issuance or delivery of the Bonds or which would adversely affect the provisions made for their payment or security, or in any manner questioning the validity of the Bonds.

In the opinion of certain officials of the County, the County is not a party to any litigation or other proceedings pending or, to its knowledge, threatened, in any court, agency or other administrative body (either state or federal) which, if decided adversely to the County, would have a material adverse effect on the financial statements of the County.

TAX MATTERS

The following discussion of certain federal income tax considerations is for general information only and is not tax advice. Each prospective purchaser of the Bonds should consult its own tax advisor as to the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of the Bonds.

Tax Exemption

In the opinion of Bracewell LLP, Bond Counsel, under existing law, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax.

The Code imposes a number of requirements that must be satisfied for interest on state or local obligations, such as the Bonds, to be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. These requirements include limitations on the use of bond proceeds and the source of repayment of bonds, limitations on the investment of bond proceeds prior to expenditure, a requirement that excess arbitrage earned on the investment of bond proceeds be paid periodically to the United States and a requirement that the issuer file an information report with the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service"). The County has covenanted in the Order that it will comply with these requirements.

Bond Counsel's opinion will assume continuing compliance with the covenants of the Order pertaining to those sections of the Code that affect the excludability of interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes and, in addition, will rely on representations by the County, the County's Co-Financial Advisors and the Underwriters with respect to matters solely within the knowledge of the County, the County's Co-Financial Advisors and the Underwriters, respectively, which Bond Counsel has not independently verified. If the County fails to comply with the covenants in the Order or if the foregoing representations are determined to be inaccurate or incomplete, interest on the Bonds could become includable in gross income from the date of delivery of the Bonds, regardless of the date on which the event causing such inclusion occurs.

Except as stated above, Bond Counsel will express no opinion as to the amount of interest on the Bonds or any federal, state or local tax consequences resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or acquisition, ownership or disposition of, the Bonds. Certain actions may be taken or omitted subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Order upon the advice or with the approving opinion of Bond Counsel. Bond Counsel will express no opinion with respect to Bond Counsel's ability to render an opinion that such actions, if taken or omitted, will not adversely affect the excludability of interest of the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

Bond Counsel's opinions are based on existing law, which is subject to change. Such opinions are further based on Bond Counsel's knowledge of facts as of the date thereof. Bond Counsel assumes no duty to update or supplement its opinions to reflect any facts or circumstances that may thereafter come to Bond Counsel's attention or to reflect any changes in any law that may thereafter occur or become effective. Moreover, Bond Counsel's opinions are not a guarantee of result and are not binding on the Service; rather, such opinions represent Bond Counsel's legal judgment based upon its review of existing law and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above that it deems relevant to such opinions. The Service has an ongoing audit program to determine compliance with rules that relate to whether interest on state or local obligations is includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. No assurance can be given as to whether or not the Service will commence an audit of the Bonds. If an audit is commenced, in accordance with its current published procedures the Service is likely to treat the County as the taxpayer and the Owners may not have a right to participate in such audit. Public awareness of any future audit of the Bonds could adversely affect the value and liquidity of the Bonds, regardless of the ultimate outcome of the audit.

Additional Federal Income Tax Considerations

Collateral Tax Consequences

Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should be aware that the ownership of tax-exempt obligations may result in collateral federal income tax consequences to financial institutions, life insurance and property and casualty insurance companies, certain S corporations with Subchapter C earnings and profits, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations, low and middle income taxpayers otherwise qualifying for the health insurance premium assistance credit and individuals otherwise qualifying for the earned income tax credit. In addition, certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States may be subject to the “branch profits tax” on their effectively connected earnings and profits, including tax-exempt interest such as interest on the Bonds. These categories of prospective purchasers should consult their own tax advisors as to the applicability of these consequences. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should also be aware that, under the Code, taxpayers are required to report on their returns the amount of tax-exempt interest, such as interest on the Bonds, received or accrued during the year.

Tax Accounting Treatment of Original Issue Premium

The issue price of all or a portion of the Bonds may exceed the stated redemption price payable at maturity of such Bonds. Such Bonds (the “Premium Bonds”) are considered for federal income tax purposes to have “bond premium” equal to the amount of such excess. The basis of a Premium Bond in the hands of an initial owner is reduced by the amount of such excess that is amortized during the period such initial owner holds such Premium Bond in determining gain or loss for federal income tax purposes. This reduction in basis will increase the amount of any gain or decrease the amount of any loss recognized for federal income tax purposes on the sale or other taxable disposition of a Premium Bond by the initial owner. No corresponding deduction is allowed for federal income tax purposes for the reduction in basis resulting from amortizable bond premium. The amount of bond premium on a Premium Bond that is amortizable each year (or shorter period in the event of a sale or disposition of a Premium Bond) is determined using the yield to maturity on the Premium Bond based on the initial offering price of such Premium Bond.

The federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and redemption, sale or other disposition of Premium Bonds that are not purchased in the initial offering at the initial offering price may be determined according to rules that differ from those described above. All owners of Premium Bonds should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the determination for federal, state, and local income tax purposes of amortized bond premium upon the redemption, sale or other disposition of a Premium Bond and with respect to the federal, state, local, and foreign tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, and sale, redemption or other disposition of such Premium Bonds.

Tax Accounting Treatment of Original Issue Discount

The issue price of all or a portion of the Bonds may be less than the stated redemption price payable at maturity of such Bonds (the “OID Bonds”). In such case, the difference between (i) the amount payable at the maturity of each OID Bond, and (ii) the initial offering price to the public of such OID Bond constitutes original issue discount with respect to such OID Bond in the hands of any owner who has purchased such OID Bond in the initial public offering of the Bonds. Generally, such initial owner is entitled to exclude from gross income (as defined in Section 61 of the Code) an amount of income with respect to such OID Bond equal to that portion of the amount of such original issue discount allocable to the period that such OID Bond continues to be owned by such owner. Because original issue discount is treated as interest for federal income tax purposes, the discussions regarding interest on the Bonds under the captions “TAX MATTERS – Tax Exemption” and “TAX MATTERS - Additional Federal Income Tax Considerations – Collateral Tax Consequences” and “- Tax Legislative Changes” generally apply and should be considered in connection with the discussion in this portion of the Official Statement.

In the event of the redemption, sale or other taxable disposition of such OID Bond prior to stated maturity, however, the amount realized by such owner in excess of the basis of such OID Bond in the hands of such owner (adjusted upward by the portion of the original issue discount allocable to the period for which such OID Bond was held by such initial owner) is includable in gross income.

The foregoing discussion assumes that (i) the Underwriters have purchased the Bonds for contemporaneous sale to the public and (ii) all of the OID Bonds have been initially offered, and a substantial amount of each maturity thereof has been sold, to the general public in arm’s-length transactions for a price (and with no other consideration being included) not more than the initial offering prices thereof stated on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. Neither the County nor Bond Counsel has made any investigation or offers any comfort that the OID Bonds will be offered and sold in accordance with such assumptions.

Under existing law, the original issue discount on each OID Bond accrues daily to the stated maturity thereof (in amounts calculated as described below for each six-month period ending on the date before the semiannual anniversary dates of the date of the Bonds and ratably within each such six-month period) and the accrued amount is added to an initial owner’s basis for such OID Bond for purposes of determining the amount of gain or loss recognized by such owner upon the redemption, sale or other disposition thereof. The amount to be added to basis for each accrual period is equal to (i)

the sum of the issue price and the amount of original issue discount accrued in prior periods multiplied by the yield to stated maturity (determined on the basis of compounding at the close of each accrual period and properly adjusted for the length of the accrual period) less (ii) the amounts payable as current interest during such accrual period on such Bond.

The federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, and redemption, sale or other disposition of OID Bonds that are not purchased in the initial offering at the initial offering price may be determined according to rules that differ from those described above. All owners of OID Bonds should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the determination for federal, state, and local income tax purposes of interest accrued upon redemption, sale or other disposition of such OID Bonds and with respect to the federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, redemption, sale or other disposition of such OID Bonds.

Tax Legislative Changes

Current law may change so as to directly or indirectly reduce or eliminate the benefit of the excludability of interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Any proposed legislation, whether or not enacted, could also affect the value and liquidity of the Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult with their own tax advisors with respect to any recently-enacted, proposed, pending or future legislation.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

General

In the Order, the County has made the following agreement for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The County is required to observe this agreement for so long as it remains obligated to advance funds to pay the Bonds. Under this agreement, the County will be obligated to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually, and timely notice of specified material events, to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the "MSRB"). This information will be available to the general public at no charge from the MSRB as described below.

As used in this section, the term "Financial Obligation" means a (i) debt obligation, (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation, or (iii) guarantee of a debt obligation or any such derivative instrument; provided that "financial obligation" shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement (as defined in Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 ("Rule 15c2-12")) has been provided to the MSRB consistent with Rule 15c2-12.

Annual Reports

The County will provide certain updated financial information and operating data to the MSRB annually. The information to be updated includes all quantitative financial information and operating data with respect to the County of the general type included (i) in APPENDIX A, exclusive of the tables reflecting "Consolidated Overlapping Gross Funded Debt Payable from Ad Valorem Taxes", "Tax Adequacy - Limited Tax Debt," "Tax Adequacy - Unlimited Tax Bonds," and "Tax-Adequacy - Flood Control Tax Obligations," and (ii) in APPENDIX C. The County will update and provide this information within six months after the end of each fiscal year ending in or after 2021.

The County may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly available documents, as permitted by Rule 15c2-12. The updated information will include audited financial statements, if the County commissions an audit and it is completed by the required time. If audited financial statements are not available by the required time, the County will provide unaudited financial statements within the required time and will provide audited financial statements when and if they become available. Any such financial statements will be prepared in accordance with the accounting principles described in APPENDIX C or such other accounting principles as the County may be required to employ from time to time pursuant to state law or regulation.

The County's current fiscal year is October 1 to September 30. Accordingly, it must provide updated information by March 31 in each year, unless the County changes its fiscal year. If the County changes its fiscal year, it will notify the MSRB.

Material Event Notices

The County shall provide notice of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds to the MSRB in a timely manner and not more than 10 business days after the occurrence of the event: (i) principal and interest payment delinquencies; (ii) nonpayment related defaults, if material; (iii) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (iv) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (v) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (vi) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Bonds; (vii) modifications to rights of Owners, if material; (viii) bond calls, if material and tender offers; (ix) defeasance; (x) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds, if material; (xi) rating changes; (xii) bankruptcy,

insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the County, which shall occur as described below; (xiii) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the County or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into of a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; (xiv) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material; (xv) incurrence of a Financial Obligation of the County, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a Financial Obligation of the County, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (xvi) default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a Financial Obligation of the County, any of which reflect financial difficulties.

For these purposes, any event described in the immediately preceding clause (xii) considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the County in a proceeding under the United States Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the County, if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets of business of the County.

The County will notify the MSRB, in a timely manner, of any by the County to provide financial information or operating data in accordance with the foregoing provisions by the time required therein.

Availability of Information from MSRB

The County has agreed to provide the foregoing information only to the MSRB. The information will be available free of charge to the general public via the Electronic Municipal Market Access system (“EMMA”) at www.emma.msrb.org.

Limitations and Amendments

The County has agreed to update information and to provide notices of material events only as described above. The County has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. The County makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Bonds at any future date. The County disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek injunctive relief to compel the County to comply with its agreement.

The County may amend its continuing disclosure agreement with respect to the Bonds to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of the County, if (1) the agreement, as amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell the Bonds in the offering described herein in compliance with Rule 15c2-12 and (2) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Bonds consent to the amendment or (b) any person unaffiliated with the County (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that the amendment will not materially impair the interests of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. The County may also repeal or amend these provisions if the United States Securities and Exchange Commission amends or repeals the applicable provisions of Rule 15c2-12 or any court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but in either case only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling Bonds in the primary offering of the Bonds giving effect to (a) such provisions as so amended and (b) any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12. If the County so amends its agreement with respect to the Bonds, it has agreed to include with the next financial information and operating data provided in accordance with its agreement described above under “Annual Reports” an explanation, in narrative form, of the reasons for the amendment and of the impact of any change in the type of information and data provided.

Compliance with Prior Undertakings

Certain notices of defeasance and redemption (collectively, the “Notices”) were not filed in a timely manner in connection with the issuance of (i) Bexar County, Texas Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2020B, (ii) Bexar County, Texas Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2020, (iii) Bexar County, Texas Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (Combined Venue Tax), Taxable Series 2021, and (iv) Bexar County, Texas Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (Motor Vehicle Rental Tax) Taxable Series 2021. On December 2, 2021, the Notices were filed with the MSRB. For additional information relating to the County’s continuing disclosure filing history, see www.emma.msrb.org.

TXDOT CONTINUING DISCLOSURE UNDERTAKING

In connection with the issuance of the Bonds, TxDOT will enter into a Continuing Disclosure Undertaking (the “TxDOT Undertaking”) for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. TxDOT is required to observe the TxDOT

Undertaking for so long as it remains obligated to make payments under the Pass-Through Agreement. Pursuant to the TxDOT Undertaking, TxDOT will be obligated to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually to the MSRB.

Annual Reports

TxDOT will provide certain updated financial information and operating data to the MSRB on an annual basis. The information to be updated includes (i) all quantitative financial information and operating data with respect to TxDOT of the general type included in Tables 1 through 10 contained in the Remarketing Memorandum dated September 14, 2021, relating to the Texas Transportation Commission State Highway Fund First Tier Revenue Bonds, Series 2016-B (the CUSIP No. for the final maturity of which is 88283LKX3), which Tables are incorporated by reference into and made a part of "Appendix F – Information Regarding the Texas Department of Transportation and the State Highway Fund" attached to this Official Statement, and (ii) the unaudited financial statements of TxDOT. TxDOT will update and provide this information within six months after the end of each fiscal year of TxDOT.

Any financial statements to be so provided shall be (i) prepared in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles applicable to governmental entities, or such other accounting principles as TxDOT may be required to employ from time to time pursuant to state law or regulation, and (ii) audited, if TxDOT commissions an audit of such statements and the audit is completed within the period during which they must be provided. However, the TxDOT Undertaking shall not be construed as a commitment by TxDOT to commission any audit of TxDOT's financial statements. In the event that TxDOT does elect to commission an audit of TxDOT's financial statements, TxDOT shall provide audited financial statements for the applicable fiscal year to the MSRB, when and if the audit report on such statements becomes available.

TxDOT's current fiscal year end is August 31. Accordingly, it must provide updated information by February 28 in each year, unless TxDOT changes its fiscal year. If TxDOT changes its fiscal year, it will notify the MSRB of the change.

The financial information and operating data to be provided annually as described above may be set forth in full in one or more documents or may be included by specific reference to any document (including an official statement or other offering document), if it is available to the public on the MSRB's internet web site or filed with the SEC.

TxDOT will notify the MSRB, in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, in a timely manner, of any failure by TxDOT to provide financial information or operating data in accordance with the TxDOT Undertaking and as described in this "Annual Reports" caption by the time required thereby.

Availability of Information

TxDOT has agreed to provide the foregoing information only to the MSRB. This information will be available free of charge from the MSRB via the EMMA system at www.emma.msrb.org.

Limitations and Amendments

TxDOT has agreed to update information only as described above. TxDOT has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. TxDOT makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Bonds at any future date. TxDOT disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although holders of Bonds may seek a writ of mandamus to compel TxDOT to comply with its agreement.

TxDOT may amend its continuing disclosure agreement pursuant to the TxDOT Undertaking from time to time to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status, or type of operations of TxDOT, if (i) the TxDOT Undertaking, as amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell Bonds in the offering described herein in compliance with Rule 15c2-12, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of Rule 15c2-12 to the date of such amendment, as well as such changed circumstances, and (ii) either (a) the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Bonds consent to the amendment or (b) any person unaffiliated with TxDOT (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that the amendment will not materially impair the interests of the holders and beneficial owners of the Bonds. If TxDOT so amends the TxDOT Undertaking, it has agreed to include with the next financial information and operating data provided in accordance with its continuing disclosure undertaking described above under "Annual Reports" an explanation, in narrative form, of the reasons for the amendment and of the impact of any change in the type of financial information and operating data so provided. TxDOT may also amend or repeal the provisions of the TxDOT Undertaking if the SEC amends or repeals the applicable provision of Rule 15c2-12 or a court of final jurisdiction enters judgment that such provisions of Rule 15c2-12 are invalid, but only if and to the extent that the provisions of this sentence would not prevent an Underwriter from lawfully purchasing or selling Bonds in the primary offering of the Bonds.

Compliance with Prior Undertakings

The Texas Transportation Commission (the "Commission") has previously entered into certain continuing disclosure agreements pursuant to the requirements of the Rule, related to bonds or other obligations issued directly by the Commission that are secured by or payable from various sources of funds. In addition, TxDOT has previously entered into certain continuing disclosure agreements (which are referred to herein collectively as the "PT/TE Undertakings") pursuant to the requirements of the Rule, related to bonds or other obligations issued by other entities, the payment of which is supported in whole or in part by payments made by TxDOT to such other entities pursuant to the terms of certain pass-through toll agreements or toll equity loan agreements, as applicable, entered into between such other entities and TxDOT. During the previous five years, TxDOT has not fully complied with certain PT/TE Undertakings as described below (which information below is presented irrespective of materiality).

Pursuant to the terms of certain of the PT/TE Undertakings, after the sale by TxDOT of bonds or other obligations that are secured in whole or in part by the State Highway Fund, TxDOT is obligated to notify the MSRB, in a timely manner, of any final official statement in connection with the offering and sale of such bonds or other obligations. Certain of the PT/TE Undertakings obligate TxDOT to file only such final official statements with the MSRB, and certain of the PT/TE Undertakings obligate TxDOT to file both these final official statements and notice of such official statements with the MSRB. In addition, certain of the PT/TE Undertakings obligate TxDOT to provide identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB with respect to these filings, and certain of the PT/TE Undertakings do not obligate TxDOT to provide such identifying information. TxDOT previously interpreted the foregoing filing obligations under the PT/TE Undertakings as appropriately satisfied upon the timely filing of any such final official statements with the MSRB by the underwriters with respect to the bonds or other obligations that were offered and sold pursuant to such official statements. During the previous five years, the Commission has issued multiple series of bonds that are secured by and payable from certain revenues deposited to the credit of the State Highway Fund (collectively, the "SHF Bonds") and which were offered and sold pursuant to separate, final official statements. TxDOT did not file these final official statements, or separate notices of such official statements, with identifying information as required by certain of the PT/TE Undertakings. The final official statements relating to the SHF Bonds were, however, accessible on EMMA (through the filing thereof by the underwriters with respect to the SHF Bonds), and information regarding the issuance of the SHF Bonds was provided to investors through TxDOT's subsequent filings of annual financial information and operating data as required by the PT/TE Undertakings, though not made, in some instances, in a timely manner in respect of the date the SHF Bonds were offered and sold. TxDOT subsequently made a filing on EMMA in satisfaction of the foregoing filing obligations under the PT/TE Undertakings and has filed a related failure to file notice on EMMA with respect to the bonds for which TxDOT is obligated to provide such notice pursuant to the terms of the respective PT/TE Undertakings.

TxDOT's annual filing of financial information and operating data for Fiscal Year 2016 was not filed in a timely manner for certain bonds as required by the PT/TE Undertakings. TxDOT has filed a failure to file notice on EMMA related to such matters.

The PT/TE Undertakings obligate TxDOT to provide its financial statements on an annual basis. TxDOT filed its audited financial statements for Fiscal Year 2016 on EMMA in a timely manner with respect to the SHF Bonds. TxDOT intended to incorporate by reference the previously filed Fiscal Year 2016 audited financial statements in its annual filing for Fiscal Year 2016 (the "Department 2016 Annual Filing"), which contains updated annual financial information and operating data required by the PT/TE Undertakings. The Department 2016 Annual Filing stated that TxDOT's audited financial statements for Fiscal Year 2016 were previously filed with the MSRB on December 30, 2016 with respect to the outstanding SHF Bonds, and that such financial statements may be accessed using the MSRB's EMMA system at www.emma.msrb.org. The Department 2016 Annual Filing also provided the six-digit CUSIP prefix for the SHF Bonds, for which TxDOT's financial statements had been previously filed on EMMA in a timely manner as described above. Further, the final official statements provided to certain investors contained information (such as the six-digit CUSIP prefix) for how investors may access the annual filings made by TxDOT with respect to the SHF Bonds. Although the Department 2016 Annual Filing did not contain a hyperlink or web address specific to the location of TxDOT's audited financial statements for such period on the MSRB's EMMA system, TxDOT does not believe that the failure to provide such hyperlink or web address constitutes a failure to comply with the PT/TE Undertakings, due to the facts and circumstances described above. TxDOT subsequently submitted a filing on EMMA that provides a hyperlink to the specific location of TxDOT's audited financial statements for Fiscal Year 2016 on the MSRB's EMMA system.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

Authenticity of Financial Data and Other Information

The financial data and other information contained herein have been obtained from the County's records, audited financial statements and other sources that are believed to be reliable. There is no guarantee that any of the assumptions or estimates contained herein will be realized. All of the summaries of the statutes, documents and resolutions contained in this Official Statement are made subject to all of the provisions of such statutes, documents and resolutions. These summaries do not purport to be complete statements of such provisions and reference is made to such documents for further information. Reference is made to original documents in all respects.

Registration and Qualification of Bonds for Sale

The sale of the Bonds has not been registered under the federal Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon the exemption provided thereunder by Section 3(a)(2); and the Bonds have not been qualified under the Securities Act of Texas in reliance upon various exemptions contained therein; nor have the Bonds been qualified under the securities act of any other jurisdiction. The County assumes no responsibility for qualification of the Bonds under the securities laws of any jurisdiction in which the Bonds may be sold, assigned, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise transferred. This disclaimer of responsibility for qualification for sale or other disposition of the Bonds must not be construed as an interpretation of any kind with regard to the availability of any exemption from securities registration provisions.

Legal Investments and Eligibility to Secure Public Funds in Texas

Section 1201.041 of the Public Securities Procedures Act (Chapter 1201, Texas Government Code) provides that the Bonds are negotiable instruments governed by Chapter 8, Texas Business and Commerce Code, and are legal and authorized investments for insurance companies, fiduciaries, and trustees, and for the sinking funds of municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State. With respect to investment in the Bonds by municipalities or other political subdivisions or public agencies of the State, the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code, requires that the Bonds be assigned a rating of at least "A" or its equivalent as to investment quality by a national rating agency (see "OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION - Bond Ratings" herein). In addition, various provisions of the Texas Finance Code, as amended, provide that, subject to a prudent investor standard, the Bonds are legal investments for state banks, savings banks, trust companies with at least \$1 million of capital, and savings and loan associations. The Bonds are eligible to secure deposits of any public funds of the State, its agencies, and its political subdivisions, and are legal security for those deposits to the extent of their market value.

The County has made no investigation of other laws, rules, regulations or investment criteria which might apply to such institutions or entities or which might limit the suitability of the Bonds for any of the foregoing purposes or limit the authority of such institutions or entities to purchase or invest in the Bonds for such purposes. The County has made no review of laws in other states to determine whether the Bonds are legal investments for various institutions in those states.

Bond Ratings

The County has made application to Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") and S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") for contract ratings on the Bonds.

The ratings reflect only the views of Fitch, Moody's and S&P at the time the ratings are given, and the County makes no representations as to the appropriateness thereof. There is no assurance that any rating will continue for any given period of time, or that a rating will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely if, in the judgment of Fitch, Moody's or S&P, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of a rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

Co-Financial Advisors

SAMCO Capital Markets, Inc. and RBC Capital Markets, LLC (the "Co-Financial Advisors") are employed as the Co-Financial Advisors to the County in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. The Co-Financial Advisor's fee for services rendered with respect to the sale of the Bonds is contingent upon the issuance and delivery of the Bonds. The Co-Financial Advisors, in their capacity as Co-Financial Advisors, have relied on the opinion of Bond Counsel and have not verified and do not assume any responsibility for the information, covenants, and representations contained in any of the legal documentation with respect to the federal income tax status of the Bonds.

In the normal course of business, the Co-Financial Advisors may also from time to time sell investment securities to the County for the investment of bond proceeds or other funds of the County upon the request of the County.

The Co-Financial Advisors have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Co-Financial Advisors have reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, their responsibilities to the County and, as applicable, to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Co-Financial Advisors do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

The Co-Financial Advisors are not obligated to undertake, and have not undertaken to make, an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or fairness of the information in this Official Statement.

Underwriting

The Underwriters have agreed, subject to certain conditions, to purchase the Bonds at a price equal to the initial offering prices to the public, as shown on page -ii-, less an underwriting discount of \$_____ plus a net premium of \$_____. The Underwriters' obligations are subject to certain conditions precedent. The Underwriters will be obligated to purchase all of the Bonds if any Bonds are purchased. The Bonds may be offered and sold to certain dealers and others at prices lower than such public offering price, and such public prices may be changed from time to time, by the Underwriters.

The Underwriters have provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriters have reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with their responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriters do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, one of the Underwriters of the Bonds, has entered into a retail distribution arrangement with its affiliate, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. As part of the distribution arrangement, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC may distribute securities to retail investors through the financial network of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. As part of this arrangement, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC may compensate Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC for its underwriting efforts with respect to the Bonds.

UBS Financial Services Inc. ("UBS FSI"), one of the Underwriters of the Bonds, has entered into a distribution and service agreement with its affiliate UBS Securities LLC ("UBS Securities") for the distribution of certain municipal securities offerings, including the Bonds. Pursuant to such agreement, UBS FSI will share a portion of its underwriting compensation with respect to the Bonds with UBS Securities. UBS FSI and UBS Securities are each subsidiaries of UBS Group AG.

Huntington Capital Markets is a trade name under which securities and investment banking products and services of Huntington Bancshares Incorporated and its subsidiaries, including Huntington Securities, Inc. ("HSI"), are marketed. Municipal sales, trading and underwriting services are provided through HSI, which is a broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Financial Statements

Appendix C to this Official Statement contains the County's annual financial report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020. These financial statements have been audited by Garza/Gonzalez & Associates, San Antonio, Texas, independent certified public accountants, as stated in their reports included with such financial statements in Appendix C.

Use of Information in the Official Statement

No person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the County. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or solicitation of an offer to buy in any state in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

Forward-Looking Statements and Investor Considerations

The statements contained in this Official Statement, and in any other information provided by the County, that are not purely historical, are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the County's expectations, hopes, intentions, or strategies regarding the future. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement are based on information available to the County on the date hereof, and the County assumes no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. It is important to note that the County's actual results could differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements herein are necessarily based on various assumptions and estimates and are inherently subject to various risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties relating to the possible invalidity of the underlying assumptions and estimates and possible changes or developments in social, economic, business, industry, market, legal and regulatory circumstances and conditions and actions taken or omitted to be taken by third parties, including customers, suppliers, business partners and competitors, and legislative, judicial and other governmental authorities and officials. Assumptions related to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive, and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of the County. Any of such assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement would prove to be accurate.

In considering the matters set forth in this Official Statement, prospective investors should carefully review all information included herein to identify any investment considerations. Potential investors should be thoroughly familiar with this entire Official Statement and the appendices hereto, and should have accessed whatever additional financial and other information any such investor may deem necessary, prior to making an investment decision with respect to the Bonds.

Certification of the Official Statement

At the time of payment for and delivery of the Bonds, the Underwriters will be furnished a certificate, executed by proper officers, acting in their official capacity, to the effect that to the best of their knowledge and belief: (a) the description and statements of or pertaining to the County contained in its Official Statement, and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto, on the date of such Official Statement, and on the date of the initial delivery of the Bonds, were and are true and

correct in all material respects; (b) insofar as the County and its affairs, including its financial affairs, are concerned, such Official Statement did not and does not contain an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein, in the light of circumstances under which they are made, not misleading; (c) insofar as the description and statements, including financial data, of or pertaining to entities, other than the County, and their activities contained in such Official Statement are concerned, such statements and data have been obtained from sources which the County believes to be reliable and that the County has no reason to believe that they are untrue in any material respect; (d) authorized representatives of the County received and reviewed copies of the Official Statement for the purpose of confirming that the information therein pertaining to the County is accurate and complete; and (e) there has been no material adverse change in the financial condition of the County since September 30, 2020, the date of the last audited financial statements of the County.

Authorization of the Official Statement

No person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this Official Statement, and if given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the County.

This Official Statement has been approved by the Commissioners Court of the County for distribution in accordance with provisions of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission's Rule codified at 17 C.F.R. Section 240.15c-12, as amended.

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

/s/

County Judge

ATTEST:

/s/

County Clerk and Ex-Officio Clerk
of the Commissioners Court

(this page intentionally left blank)

**APPENDIX A
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS**

(this page intentionally left blank)

TAX DEBT

2021 Appraised Valuation of County @ 100%*	\$224,401,007,356
Less Local Exemptions	<u>32,779,727,030</u>
2021 Taxable Assessed Valuation	\$191,621,280,326

Source: Bexar Appraisal District.

* The values shown are only ARB approved valuations. There remain \$8,972,507,063 in valuations that are still under review.

County's Funded Debt Payable from Ad Valorem Taxes ("Tax Debt") (as of 11-30-2021)	
Total Funded Tax Debt Outstanding	\$2,033,600,000*
Ratio Total Funded Tax Debt to 2021 Taxable Assessed Valuation	1.06%

* Preliminary, subject to change. See "OBLIGATIONS OUTSTANDING" below.

2000 U.S. Census Population - 1,392,931; 2010 U.S. Census Population - 1,714,773
 2020 U.S. Census Population Estimate - 2,048,290
 Per Capita 2021 Taxable Assessed Valuation - \$93,551.83
 Per Capita Total General Purpose Funded Debt - \$992.83
 Area - 1,248 Square Miles - 798,720 Acres
 Total General Purpose Funded Debt Per Acre - \$2,546.07

OBLIGATIONS OUTSTANDING

<u>Outstanding Debt By Issues</u>	<u>Amount Outstanding At 12-15-2021*</u>
<u>Limited Tax Debt:</u>	
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013	\$ 4,465,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013A	200,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013B	10,150,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013	2,450,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	24,315,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2014	8,515,000
Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2015A (FM 471 Project)	8,885,000
Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2015B (1604 East Project)	15,060,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	226,910,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016	91,125,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B	13,930,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016A	51,855,000
Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2017 (Potranco Road Project)	39,140,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2017	196,295,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2018	197,035,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2019	76,185,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2019	116,525,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 A	24,935,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2020B	226,185,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2021 (the "Certificates")*	97,135,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021 (the "Limited Tax Refunding Bonds")*	287,310,000
Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2021 (SH 211 Project) (the "Bonds")*	<u>15,710,000</u>
Total Limited Tax Debt	\$1,741,925,000
<u>Unlimited Tax Debt:</u>	
Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013	4,375,000
Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	2,455,000
Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2021 (the "Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds")*	<u>7,975,000</u>
Total Unlimited Tax Debt	14,805,000
<u>Flood Control Tax Debt</u>	
Flood Control Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	15,890,000
Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	96,325,000
Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2017	27,115,000
Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2019	45,310,000
Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2020	58,610,000
Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021 (the "Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds")*	<u>33,620,000</u>
Total Flood Control Tax Debt	276,870,000
Total Outstanding Tax Debt	<u>\$2,033,600,000</u>

* Preliminary subject to change. Excludes the Refunded Obligations.

OTHER DEBT

At an election held on May 10, 2008 (the “2008 Election”), the County’s qualified voters authorized the County to continue its levy and collection of the Venue Taxes (hereafter defined), which the County began collecting on January 1, 2000 as authorized at an election of its qualified voters held on November 2, 1999, and to pledge the revenues from the collection of hotel occupancy taxes and short-term rental motor vehicle taxes (collectively, the “Venue Taxes”) for the repayment of, and as security for, one or more series of bonds to finance various venue projects authorized by Chapter 334, Texas Local Government Code. The Commissioners Court ordered the continuation of its collection of the Venue Taxes on May 27, 2008. On September 30, 2008, the County refunded the Original Venue Bonds, and issued two series of new money venue project bonds to provide construction proceeds for the completion of venue projects approved at the 2008 Election, all of which obligations are secured by and payable from (in whole or in part) the Venue Taxes. Since that time, the County issued nine additional series of bonds. The purpose of these bonds is for financing the costs of Motor Vehicle Rental Tax Venue Projects, to pay the costs of their issuance, and to fund the Tax-Exempt Combined Venue Tax Bonds Reserve. In June 2019 the County refunded its Tax Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (Combined Venue Tax), Series 2008A, its Tax Exempt Venue Project Revenue Bonds (Combined Venue Tax), Series 2008C and Series 2009, and its Tax Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2010 for debt service savings with the Tax Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (Combined Venue Tax), Series 2019. In addition, the County refunded its Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Bonds (Motor Vehicle Rental Tax), Series 2008D, Series 2009, and Series 2010 for debt service savings with the Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds, (Motor Vehicle Rental Tax), Series 2019. These series of bonds that have been issued represent the only outstanding County indebtedness secured by and payable from the Venue Taxes, all of which were issued to provide proceeds for the completion of the projects authorized at the 2008 election. As of July 1, 2020, the County had \$360,455,000 in combined venue project debt outstanding. The County is not legally authorized to issue additional bonds payable from the Venue Taxes without the approval of the voters at an election held for such purpose.

Source: The County's audited financial statements and information provided by the County.

ADDITIONAL DEBT

Concurrently with the issuance of the Bonds, the County is issuing its \$7,975,000* Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, its \$33,620,000* Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021, and its \$287,310,000* Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2021 (collectively, the “Refunding Bonds”) for the purpose of refunding certain of its ad valorem tax-secured obligations (respectively, the “Unlimited Tax Refunded Bonds”, the “Flood Control Tax Refunded Bonds” and the “Limited Tax Refunded Bonds” and collectively, the “Refunded Obligations”) to realize debt service savings, and its \$97,135,000 Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2021 (the “Certificates”). This Official Statement describes only the Bonds and not the Refunding Bonds nor the Certificates, and investors must review the County's disclosure documents relating, respectively, to the Refunding Bonds and the Certificates in their entirety prior to making an investment decision with respect thereto.

** Preliminary, subject to change.*

AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED TAX BONDS

The County has the following authorized but unissued bonds payable from the \$0.80 Constitutional Tax Rate Limitation:

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Date Authorized</u>	<u>Original Amount Authorized</u>	<u>Amount Previously Issued</u>	<u>Amount Being Issued</u>	<u>Unissued Balance</u>
Detention Facilities	11-2-93	\$79,000,000	\$66,999,113	\$0	\$12,000,887
Detention Facilities	11-4-03	47,990,000	8,112,500	0	39,877,500
Parks & Comm. Facilities	11-4-03	5,925,000	975,000	0	4,950,000
Public Safety	11-4-03	4,750,000	312,500	0	4,437,500

The County has not previously held a bond election to authorize debt payable from the Flood Control Tax (hereinafter defined).

The County has no authorized but unissued bonds payable from its unlimited tax for County road projects.

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - LIMITED TAX INDEBTEDNESS*

The following table sets forth the annual debt service requirements on the County's limited tax indebtedness. See "APPENDIX A - SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS - Authorized But Unissued Tax Bonds" herein.

Fiscal Year 30-Sep	Principal	Interest	Total
2022	\$ 35,095,000.00	\$ 58,192,227.15	\$ 93,287,227.15
2023	40,615,000.00	62,508,052.02	103,123,052.02
2024	45,570,000.00	60,677,964.22	106,247,964.22
2025	49,500,000.00	58,589,802.82	108,089,802.82
2026	53,055,000.00	56,302,792.76	109,357,792.76
2027	59,095,000.00	54,089,605.76	113,184,605.76
2028	62,185,000.00	51,555,368.76	113,740,368.76
2029	65,880,000.00	48,869,577.02	114,749,577.02
2030	68,945,000.00	46,087,886.06	115,032,886.06
2031	75,020,000.00	43,885,886.96	118,905,886.96
2032	75,795,000.00	41,565,941.96	117,360,941.96
2033	79,810,000.00	38,992,400.46	118,802,400.46
2034	83,425,000.00	36,025,373.96	119,450,373.96
2035	86,905,000.00	33,078,630.46	119,983,630.46
2036	88,085,000.00	30,158,607.26	118,243,607.26
2037	86,030,000.00	27,182,541.30	113,212,541.30
2038	89,680,000.00	24,110,775.50	113,790,775.50
2039	97,495,000.00	20,984,629.30	118,479,629.30
2040	95,015,000.00	17,612,400.20	112,627,400.20
2041	89,370,000.00	14,360,530.50	103,730,530.50
2042	102,955,000.00	10,895,085.00	113,850,085.00
2043	104,155,000.00	7,417,586.06	111,572,586.06
2044	40,600,000.00	4,039,400.00	44,639,400.00
2045	47,635,000.00	2,484,800.00	50,119,800.00
2046	12,425,000.00	650,900.00	13,075,900.00
2047	7,585,000.00	227,550.00	7,812,550.00
	<u>\$1,741,925,000.00</u>	<u>\$850,546,315.49</u>	<u>\$2,592,471,315.49</u>

* Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Certificates, the Bonds, and the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, and excludes the Limited Tax Refunded Bonds. See table "Obligations Outstanding" herein.

TAX ADEQUACY - LIMITED TAX DEBT

Estimated Proceeds from \$0.0456 Limited Tax Using 2020 Taxable	
Assessed Valuation of \$191,621,280,326 at 97% Collected	\$ 84,757,924
Estimated Other Sources (includes funds from Advanced Transportation District)	<u>22,000,000</u>
Total Estimated Available Funds for 2021/2022 Debt Service	106,757,924
2021/2022 Limited Tax Debt Service Requirement	\$93,287,227*

* Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Certificates, the Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, and the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, and excludes the Limited Tax Refunded Bonds. See table "Obligations Outstanding" herein.

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - UNLIMITED TAX INDEBTEDNESS*

The following table sets forth the annual debt service requirements on the County's unlimited tax indebtedness.

Fiscal Year End 9/30	Principal	Interest	Total Unlimited Tax Debt Debt Service
2022	\$ 2,310,000.00	\$ 477,887.50	\$ 2,787,887.50
2023	2,440,000.00	510,475.00	2,950,475.00
2024	2,100,000.00	388,575.00	2,488,575.00
2025	2,195,000.00	295,375.00	2,490,375.00
2026	2,290,000.00	207,575.00	2,497,575.00
2027	2,375,000.00	115,975.00	2,490,975.00
2028	1,095,000.00	32,850.00	1,127,850.00
	<u>\$14,805,000.00</u>	<u>\$2,028,712.50</u>	<u>\$16,833,712.50</u>

* Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds and excludes the Unlimited Tax Refunded Bonds.

TAX ADEQUACY - UNLIMITED TAX BONDS

Estimated Proceeds from \$0.00155 Unlimited Tax Using 2020 Taxable Assessed Valuation of \$191,621,280,326 at 97% Collected	\$2,881,025
Estimated Other Sources	<u>0</u>
Total Estimated Available Funds for Unlimited Tax Debt Service	2,881,025
2020/2021 Unlimited Tax Debt Service Requirement	\$2,787,888 *

* In practice, the County has not levied a tax for its unlimited tax bonds. The County currently is covering the debt service from other lawfully available funds.

[The remainder of this page has been left blank intentionally.]

DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - FLOOD CONTROL TAX INDEBTEDNESS*

The following table sets forth the annual debt service requirements on the County's flood control tax indebtedness.

Fiscal Year 30-Sep	Principal	Interest	Total
2022	\$ 7,510,000.00	\$ 9,138,418.10	\$ 16,648,418.10
2023	9,475,000.00	9,061,242.20	18,536,242.20
2024	10,940,000.00	8,636,249.26	19,576,249.26
2025	11,440,000.00	8,126,531.86	19,566,531.86
2026	11,990,000.00	7,590,715.06	19,580,715.06
2027	12,350,000.00	7,220,798.56	19,570,798.56
2028	13,750,000.00	6,823,428.06	20,573,428.06
2029	16,100,000.00	6,470,469.26	22,570,469.26
2030	17,350,000.00	5,847,551.86	23,197,551.86
2031	18,020,000.00	5,173,961.66	23,193,961.66
2032	18,565,000.00	4,627,736.26	23,192,736.26
2033	19,140,000.00	4,055,220.46	23,195,220.46
2034	19,690,000.00	3,505,338.60	23,195,338.60
2035	20,425,000.00	2,975,931.00	23,400,931.00
2036	20,820,000.00	2,423,048.00	23,243,048.00
2037	21,695,000.00	1,747,109.90	23,442,109.90
2038	15,295,000.00	1,035,424.70	16,330,424.70
2039	12,315,000.00	492,600.00	12,807,600.00
	<u>\$276,870,000.00</u>	<u>\$94,951,774.80</u>	<u>\$371,821,774.80</u>

* Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds and excludes the Flood Control Tax Refunded Bonds.

TAX ADEQUACY - FLOOD CONTROL TAX OBLIGATIONS

Estimated Proceeds from \$0.00895 Flood Control Tax Using 2021 Taxable Assessed Valuation of \$191,621,280,326 at 97% Collected	\$16,635,601
Estimated Other Sources	<u>20,000</u>
Total Estimated Available Funds for Flood Control Tax Debt Service	\$16,655,601
2021/2022 Flood Control Tax Debt Service Requirement	\$16,648,413

(The remainder of this page has been left blank intentionally.)

AD VALOREM TAX RATIOS

The following table sets forth the ratio of the County's indebtedness outstanding payable from ad valorem taxes to assessed value and indebtedness outstanding per capita:

Fiscal Year Ended 9/30	Assessed Value ^(a)	Net Indebtedness Outstanding ^(b)	Net Indebtedness Outstanding To Assessed Value	Estimated Population	Net Indebtedness Outstanding Per Capita ^(b)
2010	\$98,534,455,781	\$807,814,960	0.82%	1,714,773	471.09
2011	97,339,404,992	778,915,692	0.80%	1,756,153	443.54
2012	97,400,452,480	892,019,727	0.92%	1,785,704	499.53
2013	98,919,272,876	1,394,750,945	1.41%	1,817,610	767.35
2014	104,364,039,107	1,381,946,461	1.32%	1,855,866	744.64
2015	118,155,491,718	1,527,285,000	1.33%	1,897,753	804.79
2016	127,819,594,631	1,586,720,000	1.24%	1,928,680	822.70
2017	140,024,361,173	1,672,900,000	1.19%	1,928,680	867.38
2018	150,933,852,946	1,683,565,000	1.12%	1,958,578	859.59
2019	172,332,699,081	1,846,190,000	1.15%	1,986,049	929.58
2020	182,629,182,936	1,933,060,000	1.06%	2,009,324	964.82
2021	191,621,280,326	2,033,600,000 *	1.06%	2,048,290	992.83

* Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Certificates, the Bonds, and the Refunding Bonds; excludes the Refunded Obligations.

(a) Assessed values are net of exemptions. The basis of assessment is 100% of appraised value.

(b) Fiscal Year Ended 2019; includes the Refunded Obligations, but does not include the Bonds.

AD VALOREM TAX RATES

The following table shows the County's ad valorem tax rates per \$100 of assessed value for each of the tax years 2017 through 2021:

Purpose	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
General Fund	0.237165	\$0.237165	\$0.236250	\$0.236250	\$0.236250
Limited Tax Debt Service	0.040264	0.040264	0.041179	0.041179	0.054979
Equipment Obligations	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Total Limited Tax Rate	0.277429	0.277429	0.277429	0.277429	0.291229
Unlimited Tax Rate ⁽¹⁾	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Sub-Total	0.277429	0.277420	0.277429	0.277429	0.291229
Farm to Market Special Tax	0.010800	0.010800	0.010800	0.010800	0.000000
Flood Control Special Tax ⁽²⁾	0.011868	0.012868	0.012868	0.012868	0.012868
Total Tax Rate	\$0.301097	\$0.301097	\$0.301097	\$0.301097	\$0.304097

⁽¹⁾ The County has historically utilized other lawfully available funds, including the Farm-to-Market and Lateral Road Tax to pay the debt service requirements on the County's unlimited tax road bonds.

⁽²⁾ The County has previously entered into a contract, as amended, with the San Antonio River Authority ("SARA") pursuant to Section 411.003, as amended, Texas Local Government Code, for the accomplishment of plans and programs for flood control and soil conservation, pursuant to which the County agreed to annually assess and levy a portion of the Flood Control Tax at the rates and amounts set forth in the contract sufficient to meet the obligations of the County under the contract with SARA.

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS
(Unaudited)

County Tax Rate - General and Debt

Fiscal Year	Collected Within the Fiscal Year of the Levy			Subsequent Collections	Total Collections to Date		Receivable
	Taxes Levied For Fiscal Year	Amount	Percent of Levy	Taxes from Prior Year Levy	Amount	Percent Of Current Levy	Outstanding Taxes From Prior Years ⁽¹⁾
2012	\$283,055,152	\$278,676,422	98.5%	\$3,753,06	\$282,429,482	99.8%	\$13,760,990
2013	288,449,751	284,572,346	98.7%	2,786,061	287,358,407	99.6%	13,399,256
2014	303,649,918	300,176,637	98.9%	1,968,888	302,145,524	99.5%	12,891,611
2015	312,332,006	309,128,544	99.0%	1,791,035	310,919,580	99.5%	12,486,407
2016	367,074,411	363,253,615	99.0%	1,704,746	364,958,361	99.4%	12,777,394
2017	396,044,482	391,792,920	98.9%	(423,749)	391,369,171	99.8%	13,542,280
2018	420,791,069	416,023,201	98.9%	492,053	416,515,254	99.0%	14,748,317
2019	428,204,105	423,133,579	98.8%	491,205	423,624,784	98.9%	15,124,852
2020	456,088,481	450,226,878	98.7%	679,541	450,906,419	98.9%	16,644,946
2021	480,211,458	474,374,569	98.8%	-	474,374,569	98.8%	17,504,435

Source: Bexar County Tax Assessor-Collector TC-168 Reports.

⁽¹⁾ Outstanding taxes from prior years consists of all delinquent taxes from tax year 2013 - 1991 for County, and tax year 2013 - 1991 for flood.

County Tax Rate - Flood and Debt

Fiscal Year	Collected Within the Fiscal Year of the Levy			Subsequent Collections	Total Collections to Date		Receivable
	Taxes Levied For Fiscal Year	Amount	Percent of Levy	Taxes from Prior Year Levy	Amount	Percent of Total	Outstanding Taxes from Prior Years ⁽¹⁾
2012	\$29,461,328	\$29,005,583	98.5%	\$392,670	\$29,398,253	99.8%	\$1,265,205
2013	30,143,855	29,736,667	98.6%	290,569	30,027,237	99.6%	1,256,623
2014	31,892,713	31,526,569	98.9%	212,290	31,738,858	99.5%	1,216,575
2015	34,212,269	33,856,775	99.0%	201,832	34,058,608	99.6%	1,203,514
2016	21,854,015	21,614,187	98.9%	83,504	21,697,691	99.3%	1,086,536
2017	22,157,732	21,904,585	98.9%	(15,028)	21,889,557	98.8%	1,077,136
2018	19,515,953	19,275,734	98.8%	37,515	19,313,249	99.0%	1,089,329
2019	36,976,424	36,526,802	98.8%	45,402	36,572,204	98.9%	1,256,186
2020	39,423,270	38,908,868	98.7%	63,885	38,972,753	98.9%	1,417,068
2021	41,583,730	41,066,407	98.8%	-	41,066,407	98.8%	1,510,340

Source: Bexar County Tax Assessor-Collector TC-168 Reports.

⁽¹⁾ Outstanding taxes from prior years consists of all delinquent taxes from tax year 2013 - 1991 for County, and tax year 2013 - 1991 for flood.

TAXPAYERS BY CLASSIFICATION

Property Valuations by Category

Classification	2021		2020		2019	
	Assessed Valuation	Percent Of Total	Assessed Valuation	Percent Of Total	Assessed Valuation	Percent Of Total
Real Estate:						
Single Family Residential	\$125,418,421,914	55.89%	\$115,164,716,009	54.08%	\$106,687,961,2888	53.90%
Multi-Family Residential	19,135,359,966	8.53%	18,478,311,720	8.68%	17,620,838,138	8.90%
Vacant-Platted Lots/Tracts	3,042,650,447	1.36%	3,084,514,236	1.45%	3,101,791,579	1.57%
Acreage (Land Only)	5,691,425,851	2.54%	5,458,934,140	2.56%	5,139,185,661	2.60%
Improvements	39,196,716	0.02%	35,611,776	0.02%	33,860,996	0.02%
Commercial & Industrial	41,542,834,299	18.51%	41,933,349,868	19.69%	40,359,473,522	20.39%
Oil/Gas/Other Mineral Res.	56,939,704	0.03%	57,043,284	0.03%	55,960,239	0.02%
Personal:						
Utilities	718,358,630	0.32%	705,820,617	0.33%	726,908,156	0.37%
Commercial	11,540,124,219	5.14%	11,186,027,703	5.25%	10,700,467,753	5.41%
Industrial	2,068,527,856	0.92%	2,583,122,666	1.21%	2,872,305,056	1.45%
Mobile Homes	376,186,768	0.17%	361,905,908	0.17%	346,286,141	0.17%
Residential Inventory	1,477,042,929	0.66%	1,291,913,696	0.61%	1,034,745,637	0.52%
Special Inventory	543,426,620	0.24%	541,617,340	0.25%	507,149,030	0.26%
Totally Exempt Property	12,750,511,437	5.68%	12,066,788,784	5.67%	8,763,132,753	4.43%
Total Valuation	\$224,401,007,356		\$212,949,677,747		\$197,950,065,948	
Less Exemptions/Exclusions	32,779,727,030	100.00%	30,320,494,811	100.00%	25,617,366,867	100.00%
Net Taxable Assessed Valuation	<u>\$191,621,280,326</u>		<u>\$182,629,182,936</u>		<u>\$172,332,699,081</u>	

Source: Bexar County Appraisal District

EXEMPTIONS AND REDUCTIONS TO APPRAISED VALUES

	Tax Year			
	2021	2020	2019	2018
65 and Over Exemptions on Homestead ^(a)	\$ 6,588,456,549	\$ 6,356,144,170	\$ 6,173,876,709	\$ 5,976,294,977
Veterans Exemption	5,973,786,504	4,966,323,828	4,187,810,678	3,410,377,300
Freeport Loss	617,125,569	581,645,225	571,246,153	489,294,370
Productivity Loss	3,313,546,844	3,195,373,446	3,013,532,614	2,820,849,684
Abatement Loss	944,339,609	762,094,153	756,324,824	727,018,997
Totally Exempt Property	11,662,862,931	10,947,153,003	7,679,051,755	7,431,323,685
Other	1,211,643,697	1,247,889,259	1,215,173,463	1,196,887,015
Value Lost to 10% Cap	2,467,965,327	2,263,871,727	2,020,350,671	1,911,776,938
	<u>\$32,779,727,030</u>	<u>\$30,320,494,811</u>	<u>\$25,617,366,86</u>	<u>\$23,963,822,9</u>

(a) The County currently offers an exemption of \$50,000 to property owners that qualify as disabled persons and/or persons 65 years of age or older. The County has studied the effects to the property tax base and tax revenues of raising that exemption to levels between \$60,000 and \$100,000. The exact extent to which such an increase in the current exemption would negatively impact the County's future tax revenues is unknown. A number of studies, however, have been undertaken to measure the extent of the impact of an increase in the current exemption, and these studies have concluded that such an increase in the current exemption would cause a decrease in the rate of growth of future tax revenues to the County.

Source: Comptroller of Public Accounts - County Reports of Property Value.

TEN LARGEST TAXPAYERS AND THEIR VALUATIONS

The following table lists the ten taxpayers with the largest assessed values in the County as of September 30, 2021:

Taxpayer	Type of Business	2021 Net Assessed Valuation	Percent of Total 2021 Taxable Value
Microsoft Corporation	Technology	\$1,742,380,660	0.91%
HEB Grocery Company LP	Retail	1,481,016,762	0.77%
Methodist Healthcare System of San Antonio Ltd LLP	Medical	762,909,820	0.40%
Walmart Stores Inc	Retail	639,271,960	0.33%
Toyota Motor MFG Texas Inc	Manufacturing	504,833,202	0.26%
Baptist (VHS San Antonio) LP	Medical	497,175,971	0.26%
USAA	Finance/Insurance	355,009,870	0.19%
La Cantera Retail LP	Retail	329,650,700	0.17%
Southwestern Bell Telephone	Services	308,179,350	0.16%
Frankel Family Trust	Trust	307,396,070	0.16%
Total		\$6,927,824,365	3.62%

Source: *Bexar Appraisal District.*

CONSOLIDATED OVERLAPPING GROSS FUNDED DEBT PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES

Expenditures of the various taxing bodies within the territory of the County are paid out of ad valorem taxes levied by these taxing bodies on properties within the County. These political taxing bodies are independent of the County and may incur borrowings to finance their expenditures. The following statement of direct and estimated overlapping ad valorem tax debt was developed from information contained in "Texas Municipal Reports" published by the Municipal Advisory Council of Texas. Except for the amounts relating to the County, the County has not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of such information, and no person should rely upon such information as being accurate or complete. Furthermore, certain of the entities listed below may have issued additional debt since the date stated in the table, and such entities may have programs requiring the issuance of substantial amounts of additional debt, the amount of which cannot be determined. The following table reflects the County's estimated share of overlapping gross debt of these various taxing bodies:

Taxing Body	Tax Debt Outstanding As of 10/31/2021	Estimated Overlapping	
		Percent	Tax Debt
Alamo CCD	\$ 578,325,000.00	100.00%	\$ 578,325,000.00
Alamo Heights ISD	182,780,000.00 (a)	100.00%	182,780,000.00
Alamo Heights, City of	17,995,000.00	100.00%	17,995,000.00
Balcones Heights, City of	863,000.00	100.00%	863,000.00
Bexar County Hospital District	902,130,000.00	100.00%	902,130,000.00
Boerne ISD	302,723,908.25 (a)	32.87%	99,505,348.64
Castle Hills, City of	7,595,000.00	100.00%	7,595,000.00
Cibolo Canyons Special District	37,430,000.00	100.00%	37,430,000.00
Comal ISD	671,245,179.25 (a)	14.72%	98,807,290.39
Converse, City of	30,330,000.00	100.00%	30,330,000.00
East Central ISD	111,218,478.70 (a)	100.00%	111,218,478.70
Edgewood ISD	56,480,000.00 (a)	100.00%	56,480,000.00
Elmendorf, City of	13,052,030.05	99.49%	12,985,464.70
Fair Oaks Ranch, City of	6,865,000.00	62.66%	4,301,609.00
Floresville ISD	52,934,990.40 (a)	0.11%	58,228.49
Harlandale ISD	198,443,920.00 (a)	100.00%	198,443,920.00
Helotes, City of	7,570,000.00	100.00%	7,570,000.00
Hill Country Village, City of	0.00	100.00%	0.00
Hollywood Park, Town of	0.00	100.00%	0.00
Judson ISD	580,839,085.45 (a)	100.00%	580,839,085.45
Kirby, City of	6,205,000.00	100.00%	6,205,000.00
Lackland ISD	0.00 (a)	100.00%	0.00
Leon Valley, City of	7,115,000.00	100.00%	7,115,000.00

(continued on next page)

Live Oak, City of	11,395,000.00		100.00%	11,395,000.00
Lytle, City of	1,020,000.00		0.67%	6,834.00
Medina Valley ISD	190,617,932.00	(a)	43.42%	82,766,306.07
North East ISD	1,328,420,000.00	(a)	100.00%	1,328,420,000.00
Northside ISD (Bexar)	2,265,280,000.00	(a)	99.72%	2,258,937,216.00
Olmos Park, City of	465,000.00		100.00%	465,000.00
Randolph Field ISD	3,761,000.00	(a)	100.00%	3,761,000.00
San Antonio ISD	1,245,359,987.50		100.00%	1,245,359,987.50
San Antonio MUD #1	705,000.00		100.00%	705,000.00
San Antonio, City of	2,276,290,000.00		100.00%	2,276,290,000.00
Sandy Oaks, City of	755,000.00		100.00%	755,000.00
Schertz, City of	68,295,000.00		12.29%	8,393,455.50
Schertz- Cibolo- University City	389,050,330.15	(a)	13.29%	51,704,788.88
Selma, City of	20,610,000.00		58.17%	11,988,837.00
Shavano Park, City of	2,865,000.00		100.00%	2,865,000.00
Somerset ISD	49,920,000.00	(a)	71.76%	35,822,592.00
Somerset, City of	2,202,000.00		100.00%	2,202,000.00
South San Antonio ISD	140,348,328.60	(a)	100.00%	140,348,328.60
Southside ISD	87,135,000.00	(a)	100.00%	87,135,000.00
Southwest ISD	339,316,378.60	(a)	100.00%	339,316,378.60
Terrell Hills, City of	6,825,000.00		100.00%	6,825,000.00
Universal City, City of	21,039,000.00		100.00%	21,039,000.00
Von Ormy, City of	307,000.00		100.00%	307,000.00
Windcrest, City of	7,925,000.00		100.00%	7,925,000.00
Total Overlapping	\$12,232,047,548.95			\$10,865,711,149.52
Bexar County	2,033,600,000.00	(b)	100.00%	2,033,600,000.00^(b)
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt				\$12,899,311,149.52
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt % of Assessed Valuation				6.73%
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt per Capita				\$6,297.60

Included above, the County, on behalf of the Bexar County Hospital District (a political subdivision of the State of Texas whose boundaries are coterminous with the County's and is referred to herein as the "District"). The District has five issues of debt obligations outstanding in the amount of \$902,130,000. Though this ad valorem tax is separate from the County's, belonging exclusively to the District pursuant to independent authority under the Texas constitution, these obligations will be primarily payable from ad valorem taxes levied and assessed, on behalf of the District, upon property located within both the County and the District (which is substantially the same as the property of the County upon which the ad valorem taxes securing the Certificates and the Non-Flood Certificates are levied, assessed, and collected).

* Preliminary subject to change

** Excludes the refunded bonds.

(a) Certain bonds issued by Texas Independent School Districts are eligible for payment from the State of Texas "Instructional Facilities Allotments" and from "Existing Debt Allotments." These bonds, while obligations of the district, are payable in whole or in part from district allocations of state funds. Such funding may vary between districts and from year to year depending upon the state's contributions.

(b) Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Certificates, the Bonds, and the Refunding Bonds; excludes the Refunded Obligations.

NOTE: All outstanding capital appreciation bonds are shown at the original issue amount.

(The remainder of this page has been left blank intentionally.)

CURRENT TAX DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

The following table sets forth the annual debt service requirements on all of the County's outstanding Tax Debt.

Fiscal Year Ending 9/30	Principal ⁽¹⁾	Interest ⁽¹⁾	Total Tax Debt Debt Service ⁽¹⁾
2022	\$ 44,915,000.00	\$ 67,808,532.75	\$ 112,723,532.75
2023	52,530,000.00	72,079,769.22	124,609,769.22
2024	58,610,000.00	69,702,788.48	128,312,788.48
2025	63,135,000.00	67,011,709.68	130,146,709.68
2026	67,335,000.00	64,101,082.82	131,436,082.82
2027	73,820,000.00	61,426,379.32	135,246,379.32
2028	77,030,000.00	58,411,646.82	135,441,646.82
2029	81,980,000.00	55,340,046.28	137,320,046.28
2030	86,295,000.00	51,935,437.92	138,230,437.92
2031	93,040,000.00	49,059,848.62	142,099,848.62
2032	94,360,000.00	46,193,678.22	140,553,678.22
2033	98,950,000.00	43,047,620.92	141,997,620.92
2034	103,115,000.00	39,530,712.56	142,645,712.56
2035	107,330,000.00	36,054,561.46	143,384,561.46
2036	108,905,000.00	32,581,655.26	141,486,655.26
2037	107,725,000.00	28,929,651.20	136,654,651.20
2038	104,975,000.00	25,146,200.20	130,121,200.20
2039	109,810,000.00	21,477,229.30	131,287,229.30
2040	95,015,000.00	17,612,400.20	112,627,400.20
2041	89,370,000.00	14,360,530.50	103,730,530.50
2042	102,955,000.00	10,895,085.00	113,850,085.00
2043	104,155,000.00	7,417,586.06	111,572,586.06
2044	40,600,000.00	4,039,400.00	44,639,400.00
2045	47,635,000.00	2,484,800.00	50,119,800.00
2046	12,425,000.00	650,900.00	13,075,900.00
2047	7,585,000.00	227,550.00	7,812,550.00
	<u>\$2,033,600,000.00</u>	<u>\$947,526,802.79</u>	<u>\$2,981,126,802.79</u>

⁽¹⁾ Preliminary, subject to change. Includes the Certificates, the Bonds and the Refunding Bonds, and excludes the Refunded Obligations.

⁽²⁾ See Footnote 2 in "DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS - LIMITED TAX INDEBTEDNESS."

THE COUNTY

Creation and Location

The County was created in 1836 and organized in 1837 as one of the original counties of the Republic of Texas and is now the fourth most populous of the 254 counties in the State. The County is located in south central Texas and is a component of the San Antonio Metropolitan Statistical Area, the nation's twenty-fourth largest Metropolitan Statistical Area and the third largest in the State in 2010. According to the U.S. Census, the 2020 population of the County was 2,009,324. See APPENDIX B for more information concerning the County.

The principal city within the County is San Antonio, Texas, the county seat. The economy is based on manufacturing, agriculture, mineral production, medical facilities, military activities, and tourism.

Administration of the County

Those officials having responsibility for the financial administration of the County are the County Judge and four County Commissioners (the "Commissioners Court"), the County Tax Assessor Collector, and the County Clerk (all of whom are elected officials), the County Auditor (who is appointed by the District Judges), and the Budget Officer (who is an employee of Commissioners Court). See page iii of the Official Statement for the names of the current office holders.

The Commissioners Court is the governing body of the County. It has certain powers expressly granted by the Texas Constitution and by the State Legislature and powers necessarily implied from such grants. Among other things, it approves the budget, determines the tax rates, approves contracts in the name of the County, determines whether indebtedness should be authorized and issued, and appoints certain County officials.

The County Judge is the presiding official of the Commissioners Court and is elected for a four-year term by the voters of the County. Each Commissioner represents one of the four precincts into which the County is divided. Each of the four Commissioners is elected by the voters of their precinct for a four-year term.

The Tax Assessor Collector is responsible for collecting ad valorem taxes, collecting certain State and County fees and other taxes.

The County Clerk's duties include treasurer responsibilities as related to depositing money received by the County in the depository selected by the Commissioners Court and cosigning all of the County's checks. In addition, the County Clerk is the Clerk of the Commissioners Court and civil, criminal, and probate courts. The County Clerk is also the recorder of the County and issues and records, marriage licenses, assumed business names, and records military discharges, cattle brands, uniform commercial code filings and deeds.

The County Auditor is the chief financial officer of the County and is responsible for substantially all County finance and accounting control functions. The responsibilities include those of auditing, accounting system design, financial planning, financial relations, payroll and is charged statutorily with strict enforcement of the law governing county finances. The County Auditor is appointed for a two-year term by, and is accountable to the 27 State District Judges whose courts are located in the County.

The County Manager is appointed by the Commissioners Court and is responsible for preparing the County's annual budget. These responsibilities also include those of County Budget Officer and Chief Investment Officer, debt issuance planning and health insurance administration. In addition, the County Manager develops the long range financial forecast and completes special studies and cost/benefit analyses of various issues that have a fiscal impact on the County.

Employees

The following table shows the number and employment category of the County's employees on September 30, years 2014 through 2020.

	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
General Government	1,037	1028	948	948	935	888	925
Judicial	831	795	789	778	754	729	686
Public Safety	2,931	2,906	2,708	2,742	2,724		2,616
Education & Recreation	91	90	87	86	81	79	70
Public Works	279	275	274	267	264	264	264
Health & Public Welfare	81	91	68	98	87	91	109
Total	<u>5,250</u>	<u>5,185</u>	<u>4,874</u>	<u>4,919</u>	<u>4,845</u>	<u>4,729</u>	<u>4,670</u>

County Services

The County operates a jail and detention system and various parking facilities, constructs and maintains roads, and provides various levels of civil and criminal courts, a district attorney's office, a county sheriff's department, juvenile probation and detention, parks, and certain other public health and social welfare services.

The Bexar County Hospital District which uses the assumed name University Health System (the "System"), is a political subdivision of the State which owns and operates several health care facilities and is the major teaching facility for the University of Texas Health Science Center. The Commissioners Court appoints the governing body of the System and approves the System's annual budget. The financial information contained herein does not include information concerning the System.

The financial statements of the County include the Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation, the Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation, and the Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation as blended component units.

In March 2005, the Commissioners Court recognized the Deputy Sheriff's Association of Bexar County ("DSABC") as the exclusive bargaining agent for collective bargaining under Section 174.101 of the Texas Local Government Code. The DSABC represents all Sheriff's Office uniformed employees in the Detention and Law Enforcement careers and a majority of the senior management.

The purpose of bargaining is to come to an agreement pertaining to wages, hours and conditions of employment and enter into a contract between members of the DSABC and the County. In December 2016, a new collective bargaining

agreement was approved by the County and DSABC. The agreement has a four-year term effective December 23, 2016 through September 30, 2020. Pursuant to the agreement, Detention Officers and Corporals receive an 8% salary increase in FY 2016-17, a 2.5% increase in FY 2017-18, a 3% increase in FY 2018-19, and a 1.5% increase in FY 2019-20. Detention Sergeants, Lieutenants, Captains and all of Law Enforcement receive an 8% salary increase in FY 2016-17, a 2.5% increase in FY 2017-18, a 3% increase in FY 2018-19, and a 2.75% increase in FY 2019-20. The total cumulative cost over the four-year period is \$42.8 million. The next agreement is pending final approval.

RETIREMENT PROGRAM

Plan Description

The County provides retirement, disability, and death benefits for all of its eligible employees through a nontraditional defined benefit pension plan in the statewide Texas County and District Retirement System (TCDRS). The Board of Trustees of TCDRS is responsible for the administration of the statewide agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system which consists of 677 nontraditional defined benefit pension plans. TCDRS, in the aggregate, issues a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) on a calendar year basis. The CAFR is available upon written request from the TCDRS Board of Trustees at P.O. Box 2034, Austin, Texas 78768-2034.

The plan provisions are adopted and may be amended by the governing body of the County within the options available in the Texas State statutes governing TCDRS (TCDRS Act). Members can retire at ages 60 and above with eight or more years of service, with 20 years of service regardless of age, or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Members are vested after eight years of service but must leave their accumulated deposits in the plan to receive any employer-financed benefit. Members who withdraw their personal deposits in a lump sum and who are not eligible to retire are not entitled to any amounts contributed by their employer.

Benefit amounts are determined by the sum of the employees' deposits to the plan, with interest, and employer-financed monetary credits. The level of these monetary credits is adopted by the governing body of the employer within the actuarial constraints imposed by the TCDRS Act, so that the resulting benefits can be expected to be adequately financed by the employer's commitment to contribute. At retirement, death, or disability, the benefit is calculated by converting the sum of the employee's accumulated deposits and the employer-financed monetary credits to a monthly annuity using annuity purchase rates prescribed by the TCDRS Act.

Funding Policy

The County has elected the Annually Determined Contribution Rate plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the employer based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the contribution rate of the employer is actuarially determined annually. The County contributed using the actuarially determined rate of 13.61% of covered payroll for the months of the calendar year in 2019, and 13.86% of covered payroll for the months of the calendar years in 2020 and 2021.

The deposit rate payable by all employee members for the calendar years 2019 and 2020, and 2021 is 7.00% as adopted by the Commissioners Court. The employee deposit rate and the employer contribution rate may be changed by the governing body of the employer within the options available in the TCDRS Act.

Changes in the Net Pension Liability

	Increase/Decrease		
	Total Pension Liability (a)	Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability / (Asset) (a) – (b)
Balances as of December 31, 2018	\$1,381,950,625	\$1,166,838,355	\$215,112,270
Changes for the year:			
Service cost	35,751,238		35,751,238
Interest on total pension liability	113,100,937		113,100,937
Effect of economic/demographic gains or losses	1,378,251		1,378,251
Refund of contributions	(3,742,673)	(3,742,673)	-
Benefit payments	(65,585,623)	(65,585,623)	-
Administrative expenses	-	(1,035,945)	1,035,945
Member contributions	-	18,916,256	(18,916,256)
Net investment income	-	193,877,572	(193,877,572)
Employer contributions	-	36,778,607	(36,778,607)
Other	-	(277,558)	277,558
Balances as of December 31, 2019	<u>\$1,462,852,755</u>	<u>\$1,345,768,991</u>	<u>\$117,083,764</u>

Pension Expense / (Income)	January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019
Service Cost	\$35,751,238
Interest on total pension liability	113,100,937
Administrative expenses	1,035,945
Member contributions	(18,916,256)
Expected investment return net of investment expenses	(95,027,966)
Recognition of deferred inflows/outflows of resources	
Recognition of economic/demographic gains or losses	(1,706,249)
Recognition of assumption changes or inputs	3,279,101
Recognition of investments gains or losses	9,386,735
Other	277,558
	<hr/>
Pension expense / (income)	<u>\$ 47,181,043</u>

GASB 45 – Reporting Liabilities for Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB)

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has issued Statement No. 45 (“GASB 45”), “Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions”. GASB 45 establishes financial reporting standards for other post-employment benefit plans. Currently the County has established a post-employment healthcare plan for full-time regular employees that retire after January 1, 2000. In order to comply with GASB 45, beginning with FY 2007-08, the County started reporting the accrued liability for Other Post-Employment Benefits (“OPEB”). Although this reporting is not required by law, it is part of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”). Furthermore, bond rating agencies such as Moody’s, Fitch, and S&P have stated that GASB 45 compliance will be considered when assigning credit ratings for local governments.

In FY 2006-07, the County retained L&E Actuaries and Consultants to do an actuarial study on the County’s potential OPEB liabilities. This study showed that as of May 1, 2007, the County’s unfunded actuarial accrued liability (“UAAL”) was \$117,676,388 and the County’s annual contribution requirement (“ARC”) was \$10,336,862 (assuming a 4.5% investment rate of return) of which \$5,150,000, approximately 50%, was programmed by the County in the 2007-08 fiscal year budget to begin assessing this liability. A second actuarial study was performed for fiscal year ending September 30, 2009 to confirm these initial findings. This study showed that as of October 1, 2008, the County’s UAAL was \$128,591,423, and the County’s ARC was \$10,046,870 (assuming a 4% investment rate return). A third actuarial study was performed for fiscal year ending September 30, 2011. This study showed that as of October 1, 2010, the County’s UAAL was \$159,197,151 and the County’s ARC was \$11,554,482 (assuming a 3.75% investment rate return). A fourth actuarial study was performed for fiscal year ending September 30, 2013. This study showed that as of October 1, 2012, the County’s UAAL was \$166,600,965 and the County’s ARC was \$12,016,077 (assuming a 3.75% investment rate return). A fifth actuarial study was performed for fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. This study showed that as of October 1, 2014, the County’s UAAL was \$183,016,083 and the County’s ARC was \$14,643,909 (assuming a 3.75% investment rate return).

The County has continued to explore cost mitigation strategies and to develop a full funding plan to meet its OPEB liabilities. At this time the County has not and is not contemplating entering into any contracts that obligate the County to make future health care benefit payments and no such obligation exists under State law as the County, at its sole discretion, may reduce, modify, and/or terminate any post-employment healthcare benefit plans with any County employees. It is not the County’s intention to establish an irrevocable trust for its OPEB liabilities, but rather report this liability as prescribed by GASB 45 and develop a structured funding mechanism with annual contributions maintained in a dedicated fund, thereby reducing the County’s OPEB liability over a period of time.

**BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND
CHANGES IN GENERAL FUND BALANCE**

For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
REVENUES:					
Ad Valorem Taxes	\$382,072,235	\$359,764,015	\$336,475,868	\$316,601,943	\$294,499,769
Other Taxes, Licenses, Fees & Permits	27,099,934	29,697,479	28,243,732	28,034,095	27,727,623
Intergovernmental Revenue	7,494,064	7,747,200	9,002,943	7,355,123	7,652,276
Fines and Court Costs	10,217,027	14,244,353	14,498,101	15,667,183	15,823,013
Fees on Motor Vehicles	5,440,843	6,363,967	6,048,070	5,976,435	6,965,225
Other Fees	23,210,928	24,912,684	25,768,778	25,285,838	22,697,682
Commissions from Govt. Units	5,534,777	5,375,928	5,041,751	4,850,594	4,678,422
Revenue from Use of Assets	6,769,695	27,359,759	18,326,513	17,651,553	16,941,120
Sales Refunds and Miscellaneous	4,515,319	5,917,177	4,771,769	4,757,448	4,220,710
TOTAL REVENUES	\$472,354,822	\$481,382,562	\$448,177,525	\$426,180,212	\$401,205,840
EXPENDITURES:					
General Government	94,727,136	103,433,475	96,633,167	88,982,391	84,972,821
Judicial	107,998,321	108,540,231	106,834,093	97,131,934	92,330,951
Public Safety		223,958,054	211,247,224	196,872,158	184,480,461
Education and Recreation	7,680,733	7,281,151	6,813,019	6,000,928	5,891,435
Public Works	7,074,294	6,164,511	6,492,929	6,284,502	5,848,715
Health and Public Welfare	7,360,012	6,998,855	5,560,811	5,357,421	7,171,687
Capital Expenditures	\$374,471	295,669	540,840	805,548	810,258
Debt Service	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$415,620,154	\$456,671,946	\$434,122,083	\$401,434,882	\$381,506,328
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	\$56,734,668	\$ 24,710,616	\$ 14,055,442	\$ 24,745,330	\$ 19,699,512
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Operating Transfers In	113,445	110,965	305,043	-0-	-0-
Operating Transfers (Out)	(9,064,545)	(11,405,407)	(11,855,881)	(18,264,222)	(13,518,966)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	\$(8,951,100)	\$(11,294,442)	\$(11,550,838)	\$(18,264,222)	\$(13,518,966)
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ 47,783,568	\$ 13,416,174	\$ 2,504,604	\$ 6,481,108	\$ 6,180,546
Beginning Fund Balance (Oct. 1)	\$106,571,717	\$ 93,155,543	\$ 90,650,939	\$ 84,169,831	\$ 77,989,285
Ending Fund Balance (Sept. 30)	\$154,355,285	\$106,571,717	\$ 93,155,543	\$ 90,650,939	\$ 84,169,831

Source: County's Annual Financial Reports.

(this page intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX B
GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
AND
THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

(this page intentionally left blank)

**General Information Regarding Bexar County, Texas
and The City of San Antonio, Texas**

This Appendix contains a brief discussion of certain economic and demographic characteristics of the area in which the County is located which the County has prepared in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. Information in this Appendix has been obtained from the sources noted and certain of the information may be dated. The sources are believed to be reliable, although no investigation has been made to verify the accuracy of such information, nor is any representation made that the information provided is the most current that is available. Information concerning the City of San Antonio, Texas (the "City") and its operations is included in this Appendix solely for general information; the City is not obligated in any way to support payment of the Bonds.

The entirety of this Appendix is qualified by the impact to the County's and the City's operations and financial condition resultant from the effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic. See "INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAK – COVID-19" in the Official Statement. The County has not attempted to update the descriptions included in this Appendix B to account for the effects of the Pandemic, as the specific impacts of this event are evolving and their extent unknown; rather, the County makes reference to the aforementioned sections of the Official Statement and directs the reader thereto for a general discussion of the Pandemic and its impact to the County and its operations and financial condition as of the date of the Official Statement.

Creation and Location of Bexar County

The County was organized in 1836 as one of the original counties of the Republic of Texas and is now the fourth most populous of the 254 counties in the State of Texas with a 2019 estimated population of 2,003,554. The County has an area of approximately 1,248 square miles and contains 27 incorporated cities within its boundaries.

The County is located in south central Texas and is a component of the Metropolitan Statistical Area ("MSA") of San Antonio. The San Antonio MSA is one of the nation's largest MSAs and the third largest MSA in Texas. The principal city within the County is San Antonio, the county seat. The City was founded in the early eighteenth century and was incorporated by the Republic of Texas in 1837. The City covers approximately 467 square miles and is located in south central Texas approximately 80 miles south of Austin, the state capital. The City's 2010 census population of 1,327,407 makes it the second largest city in Texas and the seventh largest in the United States.

The following table provides, at the dates shown, the population of the City, the County, and the Area MSA, which includes Bexar, Comal, Wilson and Guadalupe Counties.

Calendar Year	City of San Antonio	Bexar County	Area MSA
1960	587,718	687,151	736,066
1970	654,153	830,460	888,179
1980	786,023	988,870	1,088,881
1990	935,933	1,185,394	1,407,745
2000	1,144,646	1,392,931	1,711,703
2010	1,327,407	1,714,773	2,142,508
2020	1,581,730	2,003,554	2,550,960

Source: U.S. Census of Population.

Economic Factors

The County has a diversified economic base which is composed of financial services, healthcare, agriculture, manufacturing, construction, military, and tourism. Support for these economic activities is demonstrated by the County's ongoing commitment to economic development projects along with ongoing infrastructure improvements to support the County's growing population. Despite the economic implications induced by the COVID-19 shutdowns, Bexar County has also fared slightly better than the nation in regard to current unemployment. Bexar County's unemployment rate in May 2020 was 13.1%, compared to the national unemployment rate in May 2020 of 13.3%.² A continuing economic factor attracting companies and families to the San Antonio area is the low cost of living. For metropolitan areas, San Antonio is ranked among the lowest in cost of living with a composite score of 89.9, 11.1% below the national average.³ With one of the lowest cost workforces of any major cities in the United States, the County is continually positioned to increase employment across various industries.

Sources:

¹ <https://fred.stlouisfed.org/services/TXBEXA9URN>

² <https://data.sbls.gov/timeseries/LNS4000000>

³ San Antonio EDF – sanantoniodef.com/the-region/life-in-sa/

Financial Services

The finance industry is an important and stable component of the Bexar County economy. There are eight financial institutions headquartered in San Antonio and four regional headquarters located in the City. As of May 2020, the financial activities, alone, employed more than 92,600⁴ people within the San Antonio-New Braunfels SA Metropolitan Statistical Area.

San Antonio is a major insurance center in the southwest, serving as the headquarters for several insurance companies, including United Services Automobile Association (USAA). As of 2019, USAA was the nation's 5th largest automobile insurer and the 3rd largest homeowner insurer. Not just an insurance company, USAA is also the 13th largest credit card provider in the nation and the 32nd largest commercial bank and saving institution (based on assets). USAA has also been ranked in the Fortune Magazine's List of 100 Best Companies to Work For a total of 15 times since Fortune published the list in 1998. USAA currently has 3 main offices, those being the USAA headquarters, the Vista Verde location, and 63,000 square foot of office space downtown located at One Riverwalk Place. In 2019, the Bexar County based company reported nearly \$2.3 billion in net income on revenue of \$35.6 billion. USAA's net worth rose to \$36 billion in 2019, an increase from \$31.1 billion in 2018.

Additionally, GM Financial recently opened a new facility within the County. After a ribbon-cutting event and the kick-off of the company's latest move to support their captive financial division, the 100,000-square-foot, \$26.5 million architectural piece has become host to hundreds of jobs averaging annual figures of \$50,000 and up. This 14-acre development is estimated to bring \$300 million in economic benefits to San Antonio over the first 10 years after its doors open, meaning there's still plenty of development on the way for residents of the area. The service center houses up to 700 new jobs for the San Antonio region, the company announced in a press release.

Banking also has a large presence in Bexar County with numerous banking headquarters and regional operation centers. Frost Bank, Broadway Bank, and previously mentioned USAA Bank have their banking headquarters in San Antonio. Companies with large regional operations centers in San Antonio include Bank of America, J.P. Morgan Chase, Wells Fargo, and Citigroup.

JP Morgan Chase employs more than 5,000 employees across San Antonio, with the majority of the employees working at its Chase Retail Operations Center and Chase Card Center. Approximately 2,000 employees are employed at the Chase Retail Operations Center and an estimated 1,700 are employee at the Chase Card Center.

Wells Fargo has more than 4,300 employees in the San Antonio area, with more than 3,500 employees at a 112-acre, 10 building campus, which was acquired from the acquisition of Wachovia Corp. Customer service representatives at this location process loan-applications and work with customers who are facing delinquent payments.

Cullen/Frost Bankers, the holding company of Frost Bank, employs nearly 2,300 people locally and has its corporate headquarters in downtown San Antonio. In June 2015, Frost Bank announced plans to build a new \$142 million, 460,000 square feet, 400 feet high office tower in downtown San Antonio after a public-private partnership agreement with the City of San Antonio and Weston Urban. For \$51 million, the City of San Antonio will purchase the current Frost Bank tower and consolidate 1,200 City employees into 12 floors of the building. The newly constructed Frost Tower took years of planning and 24 months of construction before opening its doors in the summer of 2019. The new Frost Tower represents downtown's first tower built in over 30 years. Frost Bank occupies the first 16 floors of the building, representing 280,000 square feet, and the remaining floors hold tenants, such as, Norton Rose Fulbright, Ernst & Young, LLP, and Insight Global. The tower also includes 20,000 square feet of retail and restaurant space, as well as a fitness center.

Healthcare & Bioscience

The medical and bio-medical industry is now the number one economic generator in the County, having an economic impact of \$40 billion on the local economy in 2017, maintaining a \$9.8 billion payroll and employing 182,112 persons. One of every six City employees works in the health care and bio-medical industry.⁵ The key components of the health care industry are three major military medical centers, the South Texas Medical Center, the Southwest Research Institute, and the Texas Biomedical Research Institute.

The 900-acre South Texas Medical Center (STMC), located in the County, boasts the region's largest concentration of medical treatment, research, education, and related activity. The Medical Center is made up of 75 medically related institutions, more than 45 clinics, 12 major hospitals, one higher education institution, and a number of small practices, offices, and non-medical businesses. Of those institutions, practices, and offices, The Medical Center employs over 30,000 individuals in the San Antonio area. The core institutions located at South Texas Medical Center are Methodist

⁴ stats.bls.gov (Bureau of Labor Statistics)

⁵ <https://www.texas-titleloans.com/blog/gm-financial-opening-new-offices-san-antonio/>

<https://www.autofinancenews.net/allposts/operations/risk-management/gm-financial-supports-growth-with-new-texas-service-center/>

Healthcare Hospitals, University Hospital, Mays Cancer Center, St. Luke's Baptist Hospital, and UT Health San Antonio. Two of the County's four major hospital institutions listed above, Methodist Healthcare System and University Health Systems, are based in the Medical Center, while the other two major systems have a Medical Center presence. In addition, the South Texas Veterans Health Care System (STVHCS) is also based at the Medical Center. STVHCS serves one of the largest primary service areas in the nation and provides health care services for 80,000 veterans.

As for future expansion, the South Texas Medical Center has approximately 280 undeveloped acres with several existing areas slated for reconfiguration.⁶ The majority of the land has been granted or leased exclusively for medical and health care services. However, the medical center plans to continue to expand into the community with multiple office buildings, apartments, restaurants, and other services.

The Southwest Research Institute (SwRI), headquartered in San Antonio, is one of the oldest and largest, independent, nonprofit, applied research and development (R&D) organizations in the United States and is internationally renowned. SwRI occupies more than 2 million square feet of office and laboratory space on a more than 1,200-acre site in San Antonio. Historically more than 4,000 projects are open at the Institute at any one time with funding almost equally between the government and commercial sectors. In 2019, SwRI initiated 106 new projects and spent more than \$8 million on internal research. The SwRI total revenue for fiscal year 2019 was \$674 million and the staff numbered 2,749 including 285 professionals who hold doctorate-level degrees and 549 with master's degrees.⁷

Independent of the SwRI, but only one mile away, is the Texas Biomedical Research Institute (formerly known as the Southwest Foundation for Biomedical Research). The Texas Biomedical Institute operates on a 200-acre campus located in northwest San Antonio and employs more than 350 employees, over 65 of which are doctoral-level scientists that lead more than 200 major research projects. This research organization conducts biomedical research, specializing in genetics, virology and immunology. The Institute also houses the world's largest nonhuman primate colonies used to study human diseases, The Southwest National Primate Research Center, which maintains nearly 2,500 nonhuman primates and provides specialized facilities and expertise in research with nonhuman primates internationally. For 2018, the Institute had an endowment value of \$107.2 million.

The Texas Biomedical Research Institute is also home to the nation's only privately owned biosafety level 4 (BSL-4) laboratory. This maximum containment lab allows for safe research on lethal pathogens for which there are no treatments or vaccines, including potential bio-terror agents and emerging diseases. Another resource that puts the Foundation on the cutting edge of biomedical research is the AT&T Genomics Computing Center, which houses the world's largest computer cluster for human genetic and genomic research. This high-performance computing facility allows scientists to search for disease-influencing genes at record speed.

A number of highly successful private companies, such as Mission Pharmacal, DPT Laboratories, Ltd., and Genzyme Oncology, Inc., operate their own research and development groups and act as guideposts for numerous biotech startups, bringing new dollars into the area's economy. A notable example of the results of these firms' research and development is Genzyme Oncology, Inc., which has developed many recent cancer drugs approved for general use by the United States Food and Drug Administration.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing has been a major economic driver in San Antonio for more than two decades, growing from a \$13 billion industry in 2001 to \$24.7 billion in 2011 and \$31.1 billion in 2016.⁸ According to the San Antonio Manufacturers Association's 2016 Economic Impact Study, San Antonio's manufacturing industry employs a total of 51,904 people with an near \$3 billion annual payroll and an average salary of \$57,707.

The cornerstone of the manufacturing sector is the Toyota Tundra manufacturing facility which celebrated its 15th anniversary in San Antonio in October 2018. Toyota became a prominent piece of the County's economy in 2009 when Toyota merged all Tundra truck production to Toyota Motor Manufacturing Texas (TMMTX) in San Antonio. Toyota also announced that the production of its Tacoma pickup would move from California to TMMTX. This shift in production locations triggered a new direct investment of \$100 million and the addition of 1,000 jobs to the Bexar County economy. Moreover, 100 percent of Toyota's United States pickup truck production is now taking place at the TMMTX plant. The Toyota manufacturing plant produces approximately 200,000 trucks annually and has a payroll exceeding \$37 million for more than 3,000 employees. The facility covers 2,000 acres and represents an investment of \$850 billion. The 23 on-site suppliers employ more than 4,000 people. As the trucks roll off the line, the jobs also spin off, possibly adding 5,300 to 13,000 new jobs to Bexar County in associated industries.

In September 2019, Toyota also announced the first expansion in nine years with an investment of \$391 million to expand the South San Antonio Toyota plant. The company cited investments in advanced technology that would not replace workers at the plant, but serve as assistance in tasks that workers could not complete themselves, such as lifting heavy objects. The continued investments by Toyota confirms the business-friendly environment Texas and Bexar County exhibits along with the advantageous location of Bexar County. The Toyota plant employs 3,200 employees as of September 2019.

⁶ <https://southtexasmed.com/who-we-are/>
<https://southtexasmed.com/>

⁷ Southwest Research Institute - <http://www.swri.org/sites/default/files/annual-report2019.pdf>

⁸ Bloomberg BusinessWeek

Information Technology

Headquartered in the County, Rackspace Managed Hosting was founded in San Antonio in 1998 and manages more than 22,000 servers in seven data centers in Europe and the United States. Rackspace was awarded a \$22 million grant from the Texas Enterprise Fund as part of an incentive package to help Rackspace relocate within Bexar County and created up to 4,000 new jobs. The company has spent more than \$100 million to convert a 1.2 million square foot mall located on a 68 acre-tract. Rackspace has nearly 3,300 local employees. On August 26, 2016, Apollo Global Management, a private equity firm, purchased Rackspace for \$4.3 billion. The company has continued to maintain its operations in Bexar County.

In December 2015, Microsoft purchased 160 acres in San Antonio for the intention of building what will be one of the largest data centers in the United States. The construction of the 8-building data center is estimated to reach completion in the early 2020s and create approximately 900 jobs. In November 2017, Microsoft decided to expand its data center investment in San Antonio by purchasing an \$80 million data center that spans 34 acres and 202,000 square feet. This purchase marked the fourth data center in San Antonio that Microsoft owns or is building and represents a continuance of the company's 12-year effort of clustering data centers in the San Antonio area. In regard to the County's largest taxpayers, Microsoft Corporation ranks second, trailing HEB Grocery Company LP.

CyrusOne, a publicly traded real estate investment trust (REIT), also continues to expand its presence in Bexar County when it comes to data centers. In January 2019, Cyrus One purchased a 16-acre plot to construct a 206,000 square-foot data center that is estimated to cost up to \$64 million, the company's largest and most expensive in San Antonio. CyrusOne, with the inclusion of the mentioned data center proposal, would be the company's fifth data center, all of which are located on the City's west side. CyrusOne's clients include 15 of the top 100 global companies and 5 of the top 10 companies, including local companies such as Christus Health, Schlumberger, and Halliburton.

Founded in 1977 and headquartered in Redwood City, California, Oracle Corporation is a global provider of enterprise software and computer hardware products and services with over \$39.5 billion in revenue in 2019. A fortune 500 company, it employs over 136,000 full-time employees, including approximately 39,000 in sales and marketing, 18,000 in the cloud services and license support operations, approximately 4,000 in hardware, approximately 24,000 in services, approximately 38,000 in research and development, and approximately 13,000 in general and administrative positions. In response to the continued growth due to the acquisition of new customers and the expansion of existing client relationships, Oracle evaluated a number of sites throughout the Southwest United States for the expansion of its information technology services and business process support operations and decided to expand in San Antonio. The City of San Antonio approved the expansion in March of 2014.⁹ Oracle Corporation, the second-largest software company in the world, committed to creating 200 new full-time jobs, paying a minimum annual wage of \$70,000 for at least 10 years.

Hospitality

Before COVID-19 induced shutdowns, San Antonio's hospitality industry continued to be a driving force in the local economy. The latest study using data from 2017 showed an economic impact of \$15.2 billion.⁹ The estimated annual payroll for the industry is \$3.23 billion, and the industry employs more than 140,188 people. The hospitality industry employed 1 out of every 7 workers in the San Antonio metropolitan area. The transportation and travel sector employed 8,810, the entertainment sector employed 14,547, the lodging sector employed 16,000, and the food and beverage sector employed 100,831. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused negative implications on the County's hospitality industry however the County remains cautiously optimistic of a recovery in the near-term future.

The JW Marriott, which is currently the number one producing hotel for the County in terms of hotel occupancy tax collections, embarked on a \$16 million expansion project in 2015. The project, which was completed in the Spring of 2016, includes two water slides, a whirlpool, an artificial sand beach, and a 13,000 square foot pavilion. This is the first major expansion the resort has undertaken since it opened in January 2010.

Another development set to increase the hospitality industry in the downtown area is the construction of a Hilton Canopy Hotel, with an estimated cost of \$39 million, consisting of 20-stories and 197 rooms. The Canopy by Hilton San Antonio River Walk will also feature more than 3,000 square feet of meeting space and a restaurant with an outdoor terrace overlooking the River Walk.

Tourism

The list of attractions in the San Antonio area include, among many others, the Alamo and the other four Spanish Missions that are part of the 2015 UNESCO World Heritage Site Designation (as well as other sites of historic significance), the River Walk, and three major theme parks (Six Flags Fiesta Texas, SeaWorld San Antonio, and Morgan's Wonderland). San Antonio attracted 39 million visitors in 2018. Of these, over 23 million were overnight leisure visitors, placing San Antonio as one of the top United States destinations in Texas.

⁽⁹⁾ San Antonio Chamber of Commerce

The San Antonio River Improvement Project, an investment by the County, the City, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers with the San Antonio River Authority providing project and technical management, recently completed the two major portions of its flood control, amenities, ecosystem restoration and recreational improvements to the San Antonio River. The Museum Reach, as the northern portion is known, extends from the downtown area north to the San Antonio Museum of Art and the 125-year-old Pearl Brewery complex, where shopping, dining, and entertainment venues have been built and continue to be expanded. The southern portion, known as the Mission Reach, connects the downtown river area to the UNESCO world heritage Spanish Missions in the southern part of San Antonio. Additionally, the City of San Antonio and Bexar County will invest approximately \$236 million in revitalizing a 2 mile stretch of San Pedro Creek, a waterway on the west side of downtown.

In addition, on June 25, 2020, Navistar, an Illinois based commercial truck and bus manufacturer celebrated its groundbreaking of a 900,000 square-foot production facility. The production facility represents an estimated \$250 million investment that will be able to manufacture Class 6-8 vehicles. The facility's location in Bexar County is seen as an advantageous location along Interstate 35 that will improve logistics and ultimately lower costs and boost profitability for Navistar. The \$250 million investment is scheduled to reach completion in early 2022 and will create 600 jobs for the region.

Conventions

The City is considered one of the top convention cities in the country. To build upon that reputation, in 2016 the City of San Antonio completed a \$325 million expansion at the Henry B. Gonzalez Convention Center. The transformation included 800,000 feet of new construction and the addition of 78,000 square feet to the existing building to better accommodate larger, more varied events. The Henry B Gonzalez Convention Center holds events and conventions throughout the year, strengthening the City of San Antonio's tourism industry prominence. The center boasts an incredible 1.6 million square-foot footprint with 514,000 square-feet of contiguous exhibit space, 86,500 square feet of column-free multi-purpose space, 70 meeting spaces, and a 54,000 square-foot ballroom. With the previous expansion of the Grand Hyatt Hotel to 1,003-rooms and with the opening of the 1,002-room JW Marriott the City will be able to host larger conventions and meetings, and more of them, in the years to come. The City continues to be proactive in attracting convention business through its management practices and marketing efforts.

The following table shows both overall City performance as well as convention activity booked and hosted by the San Antonio Convention & Visitors Bureau for the calendar years indicated:

Calendar Year	Hotel Occupancy ¹	Hotel (RevPAR) ¹	Room Nights Sold ¹	Convention Attendance ²	Convention Room Nights ²	Convention Delegate Expenditures (Millions) ²
2011	61.3%	\$58.08	8,236,019	499,171	637,593	\$593.0
2012	63.5%	\$60.79	8,651,826	449,202	635,829	\$533.7
2013	63.1%	\$63.44	8,610,676	712,577	734,190	\$846.6
2014	65.3%	\$67.03	8,874,090	652,443	725,333	\$775.1
2015	65.7%	\$69.55	8,913,575	699,662	773,569	\$831.2
2016	65.9%	\$72.12	9,116,363	637,658	676,501	N/A ³
2017	66.0%	\$73.45	9,268,201	823,561	816,582	N/A ³
2018	67.1%	\$77.88	9,568,119	672,288	882,650	N/A ³
2019	67.4%	\$75.98	9,989,643	605,093	766,259	N/A ³
2020	42.1%	37.10	5,986,600	218,957	181,737	N/A ³

¹ Data obtained from Smith Travel Research ("STR") based on hotels in the San Antonio selected zip code.

² Reflects only those conventions hosted by the Visit San Antonio.

³ The Convention Delegate Expenditures for 2016-2019 are not currently available from Visit San Antonio. Visit San Antonio is in the process of reviewing and recalibrating the methodology for calculating the Convention Delegate Expenditures and the County can make no representation as to when, or if, such calibrations will again be made.

Source: Visit San Antonio.

Oil, Gas, & Renewables

San Antonio is the largest metropolitan area adjacent to the Eagle Ford Shale and will continue to receive economic benefits. Beyond the Eagle Ford Shale field, Bexar County is home to Valero Energy, the largest refiner of petroleum in the US, and Andeavor (formerly Tesoro) the 5th largest refiner. The County benefits from refinery operations both here and in the Corpus Christi area. In 2022, it is projected that Bexar County will use 14,000 barrels of oil per day for refining. Additionally, in 10 years, the gross county product will be an estimated \$3.92 billion and the total output will be an estimated \$6.65 billion. For the estimated 24,280 jobs supported, the total payroll will have increased to \$1.1 billion.

In June 2014, San Antonio officials gave their support for the export of liquefied natural gas, saying its international trade could generate \$86 billion in U.S. economic benefit over the next 20 years. Natural gas offers significant benefits for San Antonio as a transportation fuel. Liquefied natural gas has been working for Texas and its natural gas vehicles (NGVs). NGVs offer increased fuel efficiencies, lower operating cost, and has a significant higher octane rating than conventional fuel. Natural gas is helping keep dollars in Bexar County resident's wallet. Natural Gas is cleaner than both diesel/gasoline, and coal.

On the renewable energy front San Antonio ranked 7th per capita nationally, and 1st in Texas, for installed solar power capacity as of 2018. Solar capacity in the area increased 26% in 2018, when compared to 2017, with further capacity planned. CPS Energy expects to use renewable sources to provide 20% of its power by 2020, up from 15% in 2015. As it stands today, solar power represents 7.4% of CPS Energy's generating capacity.

Military Industry

The military represents a significant component of the County's economy with an annual economic impact over \$48.7 billion for the County and providing 77,659 direct and 205,336 indirect defense-related jobs. The active military installations in the County include Fort Sam Houston and Lackland and Randolph Air Force Bases, as well as the "privatized" installation of Brooks.

The BRAC 2005 established Joint Base San Antonio ("JBSA"), which consolidated installation management at the three military bases in San Antonio, thereby creating the largest base equalization in the Department of Defense ("DoD"). JBSA services more DoD students than any other installation, houses the DoD's largest hospital, and supports more than 250,000 personnel, including 425 retired general officers. Additionally, the 2005 BRAC established an internationally renowned teaching and research hospital in San Antonio, thus creating the largest school for training medical technicians in the world. Each year, San Antonio will graduate over 152,000 students across all three installations. BRAC 2005 also brought management and command centers for the Army North, Army South, Military Property Management, and Military Health Care. As a result, it provides jobs in six targeted industries: health care, health care education, communications, technology, intelligence, and security.

Fort Sam Houston

The recommendations significantly expanded Fort Sam Houston, and its component field training ground Camp Bullis, to become the nation's premier military medical training base and the home of Army Installation Management Command, and management of family support activities and community programs. The economic impact from Fort Sam Houston due to the BRAC 2005 expansion has been tremendous at nearly \$8.3 billion. The economic impact is mainly due to the enormous amount of construction that has been taking place on post to accommodate the new missions and accounts for approximately 80% of the impact at \$6.7 billion. The completion of BRAC 2005 brought 7,648 military personnel and 1,624 civilian personnel to Fort Sam Houston from other economic areas, for a total force of 161,971 as of 2015.

Currently, all U.S. Army combat medic training is conducted at Fort Sam Houston. As a result of BRAC 2005, all military combat medic training will be undertaken at the new Medical Education and Training Campus at Fort Sam Houston Army Base.

Brooke Army Medical Center (BAMC) conducts treatment and research in a 1.5 million square foot facility at Fort Sam Houston Army Base, providing health care to nearly 640,000 military personnel and their families annually. BAMC is a Level I trauma center (the only one in the Army medical care system) and contains the world-renowned Center for Battlefield and Health Trauma. BAMC also conducts bone marrow transplants in addition to more than 600 ongoing research studies.

Lackland Air Force Base

Lackland AFB is situated on 9,700 acres in southwest Bexar County. Lackland is home to the 502nd Installation Support Group (Air Force wide installation management), the 24th Air Force (cyber), 25th Air Force (intelligence), and 737th Training Group (training) Lackland supports a total force of 117,994 and as the sole installation for US Air Force enlisted basic training. Lackland AFB will graduate nearly 40,000 trainees per year.

Randolph Air Force Base

Randolph AFB is located in north west Bexar County and supports a total force of 15,942 people. Randolph is headquarters to the Air Education and Training Command (pilot training, the Air Force Personnel Center (Air Force HR), and several other smaller units.

Port San Antonio

In 2001, Kelly Air Force Base officially closed and the land and facilities were transferred to the Greater Kelly Development Authority, a local redevelopment authority responsible for overseeing the redevelopment of the base into a business and industrial park. The business park is now known as Port San Antonio (the "Port"). Port San Antonio is a tax-

exempt, self-sustaining enterprise that uses no public tax dollars to run its operations. It was incorporated in 1997 by the City of San Antonio as a separate political jurisdiction of the State of Texas. Its 11-member Board of Directors is appointed by the Mayor and City Council. Port San Antonio has immediate access to rail and highway systems that connect Mexico and Canada, the east and west U.S. coasts, and which extend to Corpus Christi on the Gulf of Mexico. Given its 11,000-foot runway at Kelly Field, dual rail access at its 350-acre East Kelly Railport, and Foreign-Trade Zone designation across both, Port San Antonio is considered to be an ideal manufacturing and distribution site.

In February 2009, the Port opened an on-site U.S. Customs and Homeland Security facility to enable international air cargo to develop at Kelly Field Industrial Airport. Mexpress International, Inc. now provides air cargo service between Mexico and San Antonio on a three-times-per-week basis. Air cargo service also complements the East Kelly Railport, which opened with a 360,000 square foot speculative building offered by a private developer that today is at full occupancy. With over 11 million square feet of industrial/commercial space, the Port is the largest commercial property-leasing firm in San Antonio. With a stable tenant base of over 70 companies and seven remaining Air Force agencies, the Port has over 12,000 workers.

BRAC 2005 has brought an additional 2,900 military and DoD civilian personnel to the Port. The Air Force maintains a significant presence at the former Kelly Air Force Base as it continues to lease over 70 facilities (over 2,000,000 square-feet) and 213 acres of property. In addition, the Air Force and the Port jointly utilize the Kelly Field runway for military and commercial airfield operations.

The largest Air Force leaseback is at Building 171, a facility previously closed from the 1995 Base Realignment and Closure of Kelly AFB. Much of the new BRAC 2005 growth occurring on PSA property will be at Building 171. The Air Force & the Port spent \$100 million to renovate the building, creating 450,000 square feet of office space.

Boeing still represents a strong presence at Port San Antonio. In December 2018, Boeing announced the preparation of doubling its labor force, amounting to approximately 1,000 additional jobs. With San Antonio estimated employing more than 10,000 aerospace jobs in the City, Boeing remains a prominent employer for Bexar County residents. In anticipation of increased growth within the aerospace industry, Boeing's main hangar at Port San Antonio is undergoing a \$40 million remodel that will increase the total space of the hangar to 600,000 square feet, representing the largest free standing hangar in the world.

In early 2016 the Port announced proposals that could expand facilities that complement the operations of existing tenants with the potential of 500,000 square feet of office space. Other major commercial employers at the Port include Affiliated Computer Services, Lockheed Martin, General Dynamics, Standard Aero, Pratt & Whitney, Chromalloy, Gore Design Completions, and EG&G. At the end of 2015, the tenant employee base had grown to over 12,000 as a result of these companies' presence and expansions.

In May 2018 the Port announced Project Tech, a 90,000 square foot facility was inaugurated, and will focus on attracting collaboration between cybersecurity and the thriving aerospace industry that is already present at the Port. The facility was a result of a \$20 million infrastructure investment and was announced to be ready for tenants to begin moving in. Furthermore, Lockheed Martin was officially the first tenant to reach an agreement at the Project Tech facility. The company occupies approximately 15,000 square feet with a staff of more than 100 employees such as systems engineers, software developers, and cyber architects. The firm currently has fifteen cybersecurity professionals within Bexar County with hopes to hire locally for the cybersecurity division and expects to be operational at the Project Tech facility by 2019.

In September 2019, Port San Antonio's board of directors approved construction of Project Tech Building 2. The building will be a new five-story, 174,000 square-foot office building and will cost an estimated \$50 million, all of which will be self-funded by Port of San Antonio. The new Project Tech building 2 will support high technology and cybersecurity jobs similar to the original Project Tech building. Port San Antonio anticipates the new building will break ground in October 2019 with expected completion by early 2021.

Port San Antonio continues to be an important contributor to the County's economy for the job market, business, and military activities. Port San Antonio has created more than 2,000 advanced industry jobs since spring 2018 and is home to more than 13,000 jobs in cybersecurity, aerospace, defense, applied technologies, manufacturing, and supply chains.

Brooks City-Base

The property of Brooks Air Force Base was transferred from the U.S. Air Force to Brooks Development Authority in 2002, as part of the Brooks City-Base Project. Even though the Air Force missions have relocated over the last decade, Brooks City-Base, now known as just Brooks, continues to draw private business investment. In addition, Brooks is continuing its goal of sustainability by creating a Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone ("TIRZ"), which will utilize the tax increments generated to assist in funding street infrastructure projects.

In May 2019, Brooks secured a 55-acre portion of land as the existing inventory of land at Brooks has continued to shrink due to Brooks' success. Brooks CEO Leo Gomez cites "Our mission all along has been to develop economic opportunity and prosperity. We realize what we are doing is bigger than us...bigger than our 1,300 acres." In its history, Brooks has attracted retailers, corporate headquarters, a hospital, a transit center, and a university. The 55-acre land acquisition

represents Brooks leveraging its success in continuing to attract mixed-use development and its investment in the local economy.

Additionally, Brooks opened a new Center for Applied Science and Technology (CAST) High School in partnership with San Antonio Independent School District (SAISD) and H-E-B in August 2019 where CAST Med welcomed its first class of 150 9th grade students. The goal of CAST Med is to prepare students to enter the science and technology field and provide them with job shadowing and internship opportunities in the San Antonio area. Furthermore, CAST Med was created to add to the pipeline of much-needed doctors and researchers in the San Antonio area. CAST Med incorporates a three-way educational partnership between UT Health, the University of Texas at San Antonio, and San Antonio ISD. The students enrolled at CAST Med will follow one of three pathways, biomedical research, medical_professionals, or public health professionals. The CAST Med high school campus includes a 215-seat auditorium and lab spaces for biology, biotech and engineering, virtual anatomy, and computer stations. Bexar County and the City of San Antonio have contributed to the creation of this facility as they believe in the importance of fostering a creative environment in which students can explore and thrive within the medical and medical research sector.

Other Military & Government

The County also is home to Camp Bullis which offers nearly 28,000 acres of unparalleled training infrastructure to ensure the readiness of military and government agencies. The demand for training at Camp Bullis is strong, particularly in light of the ongoing global war on terror and its capacity to support joint military operations and homeland security missions.

The National Trauma Institute (NTI), a collaborative military-civilian trauma institute involving SAMMC-North, SAMMC-South, University Hospital, the UT Health Science Center, and the USAISR, is also located in San Antonio. The NTI coordinates resources from the institutions to most effectively treat the trauma victims and their families. The NTI has raised/managed \$10 million in funds for 2018 that were added to the Department of Defense budget for a Trauma Clinical Trials Network.

Audie L. Murphy Memorial Veterans Hospital, located in the Medical Center, is an acute care facility and supports a nursing home, the Spinal Cord Injury Center, an ambulatory care program, the Audie L. Murphy Research Services (which is dedicated to medical investigations) and the Frank Tejada Veterans Administration Outpatient Clinic (serves veterans located throughout South Texas). The two military medical care facilities and the Veterans Hospital collaborate in a variety of ways, including clinical research and the provision of medical care to military veterans. These two facilities now serve over 80,000 Veterans in the South Texas area.

The National Security Agency (NSA) also has a formidable presence in South Texas employing over 2,000 people in San Antonio. The NSA established a new facility at an old Sony microchip plant that is now known as the Texas Cryptology Center. The 470,000-square-foot facility represents an investment of over \$100 million by the NSA to renovate the old plant which houses a data center geared toward cybersecurity.

Trade with Mexico

The County is approximately 150 miles from the United States/Mexico border cities of Del Rio, Eagle Pass, and Laredo. The County's proximity to Mexico provides favorable conditions for international business relations in the areas of agriculture, tourism, manufacturing, wholesale and retail markets. U.S. goods exports to Mexico in 2018 reached a record of \$265.4 billion, up 9 percent from the previous year. Corresponding U.S. imports from Mexico were \$346.1 billion, up 10.6 percent.¹⁰ Annually increasing trade between the U.S. and Mexico is largely attributed to the passage of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) in 1993. Under this free trade agreement, NAFTA countries progressively eliminated tariffs and nontariff barriers to trade, improved access for services, established strong rules on investment, and strengthened protection of intellectual property rights. Pursuant to the terms of NAFTA, all remaining duties and quantitative restrictions were eliminated, as scheduled, on January 1, 2008.¹¹

San Antonio is also the headquarters for the North American Development Bank (NADB), a bi-national institution created by NAFTA. The intended purpose of NADB is to help finance environmental infrastructure projects within 60 to 100 miles of the US/Mexican border to further the goals of NAFTA. The Border Environment Cooperation Commission (BECC) and the NADB are working with almost 150 communities throughout the United States-Mexico border region to address their needs for environmental infrastructure. With a lending capacity of \$3 billion, NADB finances projects including water, wastewater and solid waste programs.

NADB is capitalized in equal parts by the governments of the United States and Mexico. Between the two countries, paid in capital totals \$415 million with callable capital totaling \$2,352 million. The NADB currently has 27 projects in the pre-construction and under construction phases.

¹⁰ *Bureau Trade in Goods with Mexico* - <http://www.census.gov/foreign-trade/balance/c2010.html>

¹¹ www.ustr.gov. "2012 Trade Policy Agenda and 2011 Annual Report"

Employment Statistics

The following table shows employment estimates by industry in Bexar County annually for the years 2016 through 2020.

Industry	As of May 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Natural Resources and Mining	8,900	10,800	10,000	7,600	6,800
Construction	57,400	56,200	53,000	51,800	51,400
Manufacturing	50,300	51,500	50,200	48,400	47,800
Trade, Transportation and Utilities	176,800	182,900	180,600	182,100	178,300
Information	18,800	20,200	20,900	20,700	21,200
Financial Activities	92,600	94,300	91,600	89,500	87,400
Professional Business Services	133,900	142,600	140,500	134,600	130,700
Education and Health Services	157,000	168,300	164,600	161,100	156,900
Leisure and Hospitality	106,200	138,800	135,800	134,100	128,800
Other Services	34,600	39,600	38,400	37,900	37,000
Federal	36,600	36,200	35,600	35,600	35,200
State	19,700	21,500	21,300	21,300	21,700
Local	<u>114,400</u>	<u>115,800</u>	<u>114,400</u>	<u>114,500</u>	<u>113,400</u>
Total Employment	1,007,200	1,078,700	1,056,900	1,039,300	1,016,400

Source: <https://texaslmi.com/LMI10y/Category/CES>

The following table indicates the total civilian employment in the County for the period 2016 through 2020.

	As of May 2020	Annual 2019	Annual 2018	Annual 2017	Annual 2016
Civilian Labor Force	923,749	960,322	940,900	924,590	903,856
Total Employment	802,289	933,181	909,851	892,277	870,020
Total Unemployment	121,460	27,141	31,319	32,313	33,836
Unemployment Rate	13.1%	2.8%	3.3%	3.5%	3.7%
Texas Unemployment Rate	13.0%	3.5%	3.9%	4.3%	4.6%

Source: Texas Workforce Commission.

Education

The County encompasses 19 independent school districts, which includes over 400 schools. Enrollment ranges anywhere from nearly 900 in Lackland ISD to over 106,000 in Northside ISD, the fourth largest independent school district in Texas. Students attend school districts in which they reside with no busing in effect. In addition, San Antonio has over 150 private and parochial schools at all education levels. San Antonio has 20 institutions of higher learning offering degrees in all major fields of study, many at the graduate level. Among universities, the University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA) has over 32,000 students enrolled and has represented many first-time college students within their family. Texas A&M University San Antonio represents the newest four-year college in San Antonio with an enrollment of 6,460 students. Among junior colleges, Alamo Colleges includes five colleges, San Antonio, Palo Alto, St. Philips, Northeast Lakeview, and Northwest Vista, totaling over 98,000 students enrolled.

Year	School Enrollment ^(a)	University Enrollment ^(b)
2011	330,259	119,352
2012	338,933	119,710
2013	334,351	120,273
2014	344,548	119,482
2015	350,256	120,242
2016	353,621	123,584,
2017	354,665	126,570
2018	354,828	126,616
2019	349,692	135,233
2020	353,354	137,077

Source: ^(a) Texas Education Agency

^(b) Figures Represent Fall Enrollment for the calendar year

Electric & Gas Services

Electric and gas services to the Bexar County area are provided by CPS Energy (“CPS”), an electric and gas utility owned by the City of San Antonio (the “City”) that maintains and operates certain utilities infrastructure. This infrastructure includes a 16-generating unit electric system and the gas system that serves the Bexar County area. CPS also owns a 40% interest in the South Texas Project (“STP”) two existing nuclear generating Units 1 and 2 which generates 1,350 megawatts of power for CPS Energy customers. CPS operations and debt service requirements for capital improvements are paid from revenues received from charges to its customers.¹²

Water Supply

Historically and currently, the City obtains all of its water through wells drilled into a geologic formation known as the Edwards Limestone Formation. The portion of the formation supplying water in the City’s area has been the “Edwards Underground Water Reservoir” (the “Edwards Aquifer”) and since 1978 has been designated by the Environmental Protection Agency as a sole-source aquifer under the Safe Drinking Water Act. The Edwards Aquifer lies beneath an area approximately 3,600 square miles in size, and including its recharge zone, it underlies all or part of 13 counties, varying from 5 to 30 miles in width and stretching over 175 miles in length, beginning in Bracketville, Kinney County, Texas, in the west and stretching to Kyle, Hays County, Texas, in the east. The Edwards Aquifer receives most of its water from rainfall runoff, rivers, and streams flowing across the 4,400 square miles of drainage basins located above it. Much of the Edwards Aquifer region consists of agricultural land, but areas of population ranging from communities with only a few hundred residents to urban areas with well over one million citizens exist as well. The Edwards Aquifer supplies nearly all the water for the municipal, domestic, industrial, commercial, and agricultural needs in its region.

Naturally occurring artesian springs, such as the Comal Springs and the San Marcos Springs, are fed with Edwards Aquifer water and are utilized for commercial, municipal, agricultural, and recreational purposes, while at the same time supporting ecological systems containing rare and unique aquatic life.

The water level of the Edwards Aquifer has never fallen below the uppermost part of the Edwards Aquifer even during extreme and lengthy drought conditions lasting from 1947 to 1956. The maximum fluctuation of water levels at the City’s index well has been about 91 feet, with the recorded low of 612 feet above sea level in August 1956 and a recorded high of 703 feet above sea level in June 1992. San Antonio Water Supply (“SAWS”), the major water purveyor in the County as the water agency of the City, sets all pumps at 575 feet to insure continuous access to Edwards Aquifer water in any anticipated condition. The average level of the aquifer for 2020, thus far, is 669.8 feet above sea level.

The Edwards Aquifer is recharged from streams and by precipitation infiltrating directly into the cavernous, honeycombed, limestone outcroppings in its north and northwestern area. Practically continuous recharge is furnished by spring-fed streams, with storm water runoff adding additional recharge, as well. The historical annual recharge to the reservoir is approximately 679,000 acre-feet. The average annual recharge over the last four decades, however, including the aforementioned drought period, is approximately 791,300 acre-feet. The lowest recorded recharge was 43,000 acre-feet in 1956, while the highest was 2,485,000 acre-feet in 1992. Recharge has been increased by the construction of recharge dams over an area of the Edwards Aquifer exposed to the surface known as the “recharge zone.” The recharge dams, or flood-retarding structures, slows flood waters and allows much of the water that would have otherwise bypassed the recharge zone to infiltrate the Edwards Aquifer instead of being lost to the ground.

Enhancing the City’s Water Supply

The City has relied on the Edwards Aquifer as its sole source of water since the 1800’s. Beginning in the 1980’s and continuing today, however, the conservation and regulation of the water in the Edwards Aquifer has been the subject of intense scrutiny that has led to both extensive litigation and federal and state agency initiation of regulatory action.

Based upon population and water demand projections, along with various regulatory and environmental issues, the City recognizes that additional water sources supplementing its use of the Edwards Aquifer will be required to meet the City’s long-term water needs.

SAWS’ Resource Development department is charged with the responsibility of identifying additional water resources for the City and its surrounding areas. New water resource projects range from optimizing the City’s current source through conservation measures to identification and procurement of completely new and independent water sources. These efforts are guided by updates provided in SAWS Water Management Plan, a comprehensive, widely supported water resource plan for the City, which established programs for formulating and implementing both immediate and long-term water plans to enhance the City’s water supply. The management plan is updated every 3 years to ensure sufficient revenues are available to meet the water resource requirements. An updated Water Resource Plan is currently being formulated.

The current management plan includes three projects, the Vista Ridge pipeline project, brackish groundwater desalination, and an expanded Carrizo Aquifer system. The Vista Ridge project is expected to deliver up to 50,000 acre-feet of groundwater from Burleson County through a multi-year contract anticipated to start in 2020. Additionally, the new management plan contains goals for long-term water conservation. Local water consumption per person has decreased from 225 gallons per capita per day in 1982 to 117 in 2016, resulting in 3.2 million acre-feet of cumulative savings, according to SAWS.

¹² *CPS Energy*

The per capita figures cover both commercial and residential SAWS customers. The utility expects continued conservation measures to further decrease the per capita use to 88 by 2070, even with an additional 1.5 million people expected to move to Bexar County in the next 50-plus years.

SAWS has determined that the City's water needs can be met through the implementation of an array of programs and projects, including a critical management plan, conservation, agricultural irrigation efficiencies, reuse, surface water, non-Edwards Aquifer groundwater, enhanced recharge capabilities, and aquifer storage and recovery. SAWS has already initiated and/or implemented many such programs like those mentioned previously in an effort to increase the supply of water available to the City.

2020 Ten Largest Employers

Firm Name	Total	Category	Percent of County Employment
Joint Base San Antonio ⁽¹⁾	74,289	Government	9.03%
H.E.B. Grocery Company	21,302	Retail	2.59%
USAA	19,217	Finance/Insurance	2.33%
Northside Independent School District	14,117	Services	1.72%
City of San Antonio	11,903	Government	1.45%
Methodist Healthcare System	10,393	Medical	1.24%
University Health System	9,372	Medical	1.14%
Northeast Independent School District	9,001	Services	1.09%
San Antonio Independent School District	7,451	Services	0.91%
Baptist Health System	6,490	Medical	0.79%
Total	183,535		22.31%
Total County Employment for 2020 ⁽²⁾	823,091		

Source: Bexar County CAFR.

⁽¹⁾ Under the BRAC Joint Basing Recommendation for San Antonio, installation support functions at the Army's Fort Sam Houston were combined with those at Randolph and Lackland Air Force Bases under a single organization (Joint Base San Antonio). Includes military personnel and civilian personnel.

⁽²⁾ Source: 2019 Bexar County CAFR page 229.

Growth Indices

As Of 12/31	CPS Energy ^(a)		SAWS ^(b)	
	Electric Customers	Gas Customers	Water Customers ⁽¹⁾	Wastewater Customers ⁽¹⁾
2011	716,622	324,702	360,281	405,119
2012	728,307	328,300	365,099	412,275
2013	741,467	331,192	464,957	416,801
2014	756,545	334,023	475,528	424,257
2015	771,603	336,645	482,821	429,609
2016	786,455	338,951	488,705	437,460
2017	804,675	343,754	493,764	442,552
2018	821,675	347,408	500,024	449,893
2019	840,750	352,585	511,361	457,618
2020	860,934	358,495	529,392	473,478

^(a) Source: CPS Energy Customers Annual Report.

^(b) Source: San Antonio Water System Comprehensive Annual Financial Report 2018.

⁽¹⁾ Amounts reflect the merger of SAWS and SAWS District Special Project effective January 1, 2015.

^(a) Source: CPS Energy Customers Annual Report.

^(b) Source: San Antonio Water System Comprehensive Annual Financial Report 2016.

⁽¹⁾ Amounts reflect the merger of SAWS and SAWS District Special Project effective January 1, 2015.

(this page intentionally left blank)

APPENDIX C
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

(this page intentionally left blank)



BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

COMPREHENSIVE
ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Fiscal Year Ended

September 30, 2020

OFFICIAL ISSUING REPORT
LEO S. CALDERA, CIA, CGAP
COUNTY AUDITOR



View of the Bexar County Courthouse from the San Antonio Riverwalk
Photo by Adnan Ahmetovic

Bexar County, Texas
Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
September 30, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

- I. Transmittal Letter 1-1
- II. Organizational Chart 1-11
- III. List of Principal Officials 1-12
- IV. 2019 Certificate of Achievement 1-13

FINANCIAL SECTION

- I. Independent Auditor's Report 1
- II. Management's Discussion & Analysis 5
- III. Basic Financial Statements

- a. Government-wide Statement of Net Position (including component units) 30
- b. Government-wide Statement of Activities (including component units) 32
- c. Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds 34
- d. Reconciliation of Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds to Statement of Net Position 35
- e. Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds 36
- f. Reconciliation of Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds to Statement of Activities 37
- g. Statement of Net Position - Proprietary Funds 38
- h. Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position - Proprietary Funds 40
- i. Statement of Cash Flows - Proprietary Funds 41
- j. Statement of Fiduciary Net Position 43
- k. Statement of Net Position - Component Units 44
- l. Statement of Activities - Component Units 45
- m. Notes to the Basic Financial Statements 47

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FINANCIAL SECTION (Continued)

- IV. Required Supplementary Information
 - a. General Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual 109
 - b. Notes to Required Supplementary Information 122
 - c. Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios 124
 - d. Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions 125
 - e. Notes to Required Supplementary Information 126
 - f. Schedule of Changes in Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios 127
- V. Combining and Individual Fund Financial Statements and Schedules
 - a. Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds 131
 - b. Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Nonmajor Governmental Funds 138
 - c. Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual
 - 1 Debt Service Fund - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual 144
 - 2 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - County Clerk Records Management Fund 145
 - 3 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - County Records Management Fund 146
 - 4 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Courthouse Security Fund 147
 - 5 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Justices of Peace Technology Fund 148
 - 6 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Fire Code Fund 149
 - 7 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - District Clerk Records Management Fund 150
 - 8 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Law Library Fund 151

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FINANCIAL SECTION (Continued)

9 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - County Wide Court Technology Fund	152
10 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Dispute Resolution Fund	153
11 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Justice of Peace Security Fund	154
12 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Domestic Relations Fund	155
13 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Probate Contribution Fund	156
14 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Law Enforcement Officer Special Education (LEOSE) Fund	157
15 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Child Abuse Prevention Fund	158
16 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Drug Court Program Fund	159
17 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Family Protection Fee Fund	160
18 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - District Court Records Technology Fund	161
19 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Juvenile Case Manager Fund	162
20 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Probate Guardianship Fund	163
21 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Probate Education Fund	164
22 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Fund	165
23 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Grants Fund	166

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FINANCIAL SECTION (Continued)

24 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Technology Improvement Fund	168
25 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Stormwater Mitigation Fund	170
26 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Chapter 19 Voter Registration Fund	171
27 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Election Contracting Services Fund	172
28 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Tax Collector's Special Inventory Fund	173
29 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - District Attorney Programs Fund	174
30 Special Revenue Funds - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual - Asset Forfeiture Fund	175
d. Combining Statement of Net Position - Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	178
e. Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position - Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	179
f. Combining Statement of Cash Flows - Nonmajor Enterprise Funds	180
g. Combining Statement of Net Position - Internal Service Funds	184
h. Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position - Internal Service Funds	185
i. Combining Statement of Cash Flows - Internal Service Funds	186
j. Combining Net Position - Agency Funds	190

STATISTICAL SECTION

I. Financial Trend Information	
a. Table 1 - Net Position by Component	198
b. Table 2 - Changes in Net Position	200
c. Table 3 - Net Changes in Fund Balance, Governmental Funds	204
d. Table 4 - Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	206

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)
STATISTICAL SECTION (Continued)

II. Revenue Capacity Information	207
a. Table 5 - Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property	208
b. Table 6 - Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates	210
c. Table 7 - Principal Property Taxpayers	211
d. Table 8 - Property Tax Levies and Collections	212
III. Debt Capacity Information	214
a. Table 9 - Ratio of Outstanding Debt by Type	215
b. Table 10 - Ratio of Outstanding General Bonded County Debt	216
c. Table 11 - Ratio of Annual Debt Service for General Bonded Debt to Total Expenditures - All Government Fund Types	217
d. Table 12 - Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt	218
e. Table 13 - Pledged - Revenue Coverage	219
f. Table 14 - Motor Vehicle Rental Tax Collections	220
g. Table 15 - Hotel Occupancy Tax Net Collections	221
h. Table 16 - Hotel Occupancy Tax Collections - Top Ten Hotels	222
i. Table 17 - Convention Statistics	224
j. Table 18 - San Antonio Hotel Occupancies and Average Daily Rates/History	228
k. Table 19 - County Expenditures for Assets Owned by Other Entities	229
IV. Demographic and Economic Information	230
a. Table 20 - Demographic and Economic Statistics	232
b. Table 21 - Principal Employers	234
V. Operating Information	235
a. Table 22 - Operating Indicators by Function/Program	236
b. Table 23 - Capital Asset Statistics by Function/Program	237
c. Table 24 - Full-Time Equivalent County Governmental Employees by Function/Program	
VI. Miscellaneous Information	
a. Table 25 - Analysis of Funding Progress and Contribution Rates	
b. Table 26 - Legal Debt Margin Information	
c. Table 27 - Miscellaneous Information	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

I. Report of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	241
II. Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular	243
III. Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	248
IV. Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards	249
V. Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	



Joe Yebra, MBA, CISA
First Assistant County Auditor

Paul Elizondo Tower
101 W. Nueva, Suite 800
San Antonio, Texas 78205
Phone: (210) 335-2301
Fax: (210) 335-2996
aufontdesk@bexar.org

Leo S. Caldera, CIA, CGAP
BEXAR COUNTY AUDITOR

**Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020**

The Bexar County Hospital District d/b/a University Health System (the System), the Alamo Regional Mobility Authority (the Authority), and the Cibolo Canyons Special Improvement District (the District) are considered component units for reporting purposes and are discretely presented in the report. The Commissioners Court for the County appoints the seven-member board and sets the tax rates for the System. Likewise, the Commissioners Court also appoints the seven-member board of the District and it has the statutory requirement to approve any issuance of debt by the District. The Authority has a seven-member board, of which six are appointed by Commissioners Court. In addition, Commissioners Court has the authority to approve the Authority's transportation projects. For more information on these component units, refer to Note A of the basic financial Statements.

The independent audit of the County's financial statements was performed by the firm of Garza/Gonzalez & Associates, a firm licensed as certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit is to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements of Bexar County for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020, are free of material misstatements. The independent auditor concluded, based on the examination of the underlying documentation on a test basis and related disclosures, that the County's financial statements are fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and therefore able to render an unmodified opinion.

The independent audit of the County's financial statements includes a "Compliance Section." The Compliance Section contains information related to the County's annual "Single Audit," which is a required provision of the Single Audit Act of 1984 as amended by the Act of 1996. The single audit requirement comes under the oversight of the Office of Management and Budget, 2 CFR Part 200, *Subpart F (the Uniform Guidance)*, and the *State of Texas Single Audit Circular*.

The standards governing the Single Audit engagements require the independent auditor to report not only on the fair presentation of the financial statements, but also on the County's internal control and compliance with legal requirements and special emphasis on internal controls involving the administration of federal and state awards. Information related to this Single Audit can be found within the "Compliance Section" of this report and includes:

- Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters, based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards.
- Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance required by the Uniform Guidance and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular.
- Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards, and
- Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) immediately follows the Independent Auditor's Report and provides a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis of the basic financial statements. The MD&A complements this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

BEXAR COUNTY GOVERNMENT PROFILE

Historical

Bexar County is located in south central Texas in the interior belt of the Coastal Plain of South Central Texas and is crossed by the Balcones Escarpment. The area northwest of the escarpment, about one-eighth of the County, lies on the Edwards Plateau in high, hilly country, the source of numerous springs and artesian and underground wells. The San Antonio River and San Pedro Creek originate in such springs. The San Antonio River is the County's principal river, and into it, flow a number of smaller streams. One of these smaller streams, Cibolo Creek, forms the boundary between Bexar and Comal Counties on the north and Guadalupe County on the east.

Bexar County comprises 1,248 square miles. The altitude varies from 600 to 1,200 feet. In the far northwestern corner of the County are the Glenrose Hills, in which the highest elevations of the county are found. To the southeast lie the somewhat lower Edwards Flint Hills. The northern third of the County has undulating to hilly terrain and limy earths with shallow to deep loamy soils. The northern quarter of the County has Edwards Plateau vegetation of tall and medium-height grasses, live oak, juniper, and mesquite. A central strip is Blackland Prairie with vegetation consisting of tall grasses. The remainder of the County has South Texas Plain's vegetation including grasses, live oak, mesquite, thorny bushes, and cacti.

March 30, 2021

Honorable District Judges of Bexar County and
Honorable Members of the Bexar County Commissioners Court

The County Auditor's Office is pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR") of Bexar County, Texas, for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020. This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and is in compliance with State law, V.T.C.A., Local Government Codes §114.025 and §115.045.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the County. Therefore, responsibility for both the accuracy of the presented data and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with the County. We believe the data and information that are included are reported in a manner designed to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the County in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles of the United States ("GAAP"). We believe the data is accurate in all material respects.

Management of the County has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the government's assets from loss, theft, or misuse, and to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the County's financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The County relies on this framework to measure the financial activity of its various funds and to ensure that all disclosures, necessary to enable the reader to gain the maximum understanding of the County's financial affairs, have been included. The internal accounting controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance regarding:

1. The reliability of financial reporting,
2. The effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and
3. Compliance with existing laws and regulations.

The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that:

1. The cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived, and
2. The evaluation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management.

Currently, the County is reporting financial information as promulgated by the Government Accounting Standards Board. Accordingly, the reporting entity consists of the following entities:

- The primary government, Bexar County;
- Component units, which are legally separate organizations for which the County is financially accountable (blended); and
- Component units where the nature and significance of the relationship with the County are such that exclusion from the County's financial statements would be misleading or incomplete (discretely presented).

Three component units, Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation (BCHFC), Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation (BCHFDC), and Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation (BCIDC) are blended with the County. The Commissioners Court of the County sits as the governing board for all three entities. Accordingly, the Commissioners Court approves the issuance of single-family mortgage bonds for the BCHFC and authorizes the issuance of tax-exempt bonds for the BCHPDC and the BCIDC. None of the bond issuances constitute a debt or a pledge of faith or credit by the County.

Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020

The first Europeans to explore the region came with an expedition in 1691 led by Domingos Terán de los Ríos and Fray Damián Massenet, who eventually reached the San Antonio River near where the San Juan Capistrano Mission was later founded. Prior to the exploration, the land had been inhabited for thousands of years by various tribes of people, collectively referred to as the Coahuiltecan People. The Indians, as Massenet recorded in his diary, called the place Yanaguana; however, he renamed the site San Antonio de Padua to celebrate the memorial day of St. Anthony (June 13). By 1724, the San Antonio de Valero mission compound, which had originally been located south of San Pedro Springs, was moved to what is referred to today as the Alamo Plaza.

In 1772, the government offices of Spanish Texas were moved to Bexar. The mission lands were distributed to the increasing number of Spanish settlers. Most of the better land nearest the settled areas was controlled by the town's elite, which was made up of the descendants of the original Canary Islanders and the presidential soldiers. The missions developed as self-supporting communities, each ringed with farmland irrigated by a comprehensive system of acequias, or irrigation ditches.

During the late colonial period, Bexar continued to serve as the capital of the province of Texas as well as the main shipping point for supplies headed for Nacogdoches (to the east) and Santa Fe (to the west). Soon after the first Anglo-American colonists came to Texas, in 1821, San Antonio became the western outpost of settlement. In 1824, Texas and Coahuila were united by the Mexican government into one state with the capital at Saltillo. The Department of Bexar was created with a political representative appointed to have authority over the Texas portion of the state. During the late 1820s and early 1830s, increasing numbers of American settlers began moving to San Antonio, though the city remained predominately Mexican at the beginning of the Texas Revolution. In late October 1835, Texas volunteers laid siege to the city, which was garrisoned by the Mexican army. After fierce hand-to-hand fighting, it was occupied by Texian forces. San Antonio was retaken by government forces commanded by Antonio López de Santa Anna during the battle for the Alamo on March 6, 1836. After the subsequent defeat of Santa Anna's army at the battle of San Jacinto, the city was recaptured by Texian forces, but the area, claimed by both sides, continued to be fought over for the next six years.

The County is best known for being the home of Mission San Antonio de Valero, better known as the Alamo, the Cradle of Texas Liberty. In 1718, a formal military and civilian population settled in the land, and then in 1731, the Canary Islanders established the first civil government. The County is rich in heritage and history. Organized on December 20, 1836, Bexar County was established, with San Antonio as the county seat. Bexar County is one of the original counties of the Republic of Texas. In the latest population estimate, the United States Census Bureau estimates the 2019 population of Bexar County at 2 million (a 16.84% increase from the 2010 Census), which makes it the fourth largest county in the State. The County contains 28 incorporated cities. The Census Bureau also estimates the population for the greater San Antonio Metropolitan Area to be 2.55 million.

The origin of the Texas county is found in the "municipality", the unit of local government under Spanish and Mexican rule. These municipalities were rather large districts embracing one or more settlements and the surrounding rural territory. The government of the municipality was vested in a council composed of at least one alcalde (judge), a varying number of aldermen, an attorney, a sheriff (alguacil), and supported by a secretary.

Under the Republic (1836), the municipalities became counties, but the Spanish-Mexican influence on their government was recognizable. The new local governments were based on the county form of governments as found in the southern part of the United States. The chief governing body of the county during the Republic was a county board, composed of the Chief Justice (appointed) and elective Justices of the Peace. By 1845, four elective Commissioners were substituted for the Justices of the Peace.

The County Commissioners Court, or County Board, was established by the Constitution of 1876 and was composed of a county judge as presiding officer and four commissioners elected from precincts for four-year terms. During the Republic of Texas, the County Board was composed of the chief justice and the justices of the peace of the County; under the Constitution of 1845, 1861, and 1866, it was composed of the chief justice and four elected Commissioners. During the Reconstruction period, the Constitution of 1869 was issued, and the Board was made of any three of the five justices of the peace of the County.

The Bexar County Commissioners Court has executive as well as judicial functions. It is responsible for establishing a courthouse and jail, making appointments, filling vacancies in the county offices, signing contracts in the name of the county, building and maintaining roads and bridges, administering the county's public welfare services, performing numerous duties in regard to elections, setting the county tax rate, issuing bonds, and adopting the county budget.

Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020

COVID-19

On December 31, 2019, the World Health Organization (WHO) was informed, by the national authorities in China, of a viral pneumonia of unknown cause that was spreading in Wuhan, China, which later would be identified as the novel Coronavirus Disease (2019), (COVID-19). By March 11, 2020, the WHO declared COVID-19 a global pandemic. Following the declaration by WHO, on March 13, 2020, the United States president declared a National Emergency concerning COVID-19, which continues to this day.

Bexar County's response to COVID-19 started on February 1, 2020, when the United States Air Force designated Joint Base San Antonio-Lackland as one of the evacuation sites to quarantine Americans being evacuated from China. On February 7, 2020, the County received its first evacuees, 91 Americans from China. The first case of travel-related COVID-19 in Bexar County was confirmed on March 12, 2020. Due to the imminent threat arising from COVID-19, the County Judge issued the first declaration of public health emergency on March 13, 2020, and implemented a work from home program for County employees. Throughout fiscal year (FY) 2020, the County Judge would issue multiple declarations of public health emergency and executive orders to help mitigate the spread of COVID-19 and protect its employees and constituents.

COVID-19 has created a public health and economic crisis throughout the world and locally. The County received \$79.6 million in funding from the federal government's Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act). The County utilized the funds received from the CARES Act, the state government's Help America Vote Act (HAVA CARES Act), and its general fund to implement major initiatives and programs to provide relief and assistance to Bexar County's constituents and businesses. Highlighted below are some of the major initiatives and programs implemented by the County. The amounts for the activities/programs listed below represent total expected expenditures, both spent in FY 2020 and to be incurred in FY 2021, as of the date of this report.

- Workforce Initiative (Alamo Workforce): Budgeted at \$2.9 million. This program was established to assist Bexar County residents who have lost and cannot find employment due to the COVID-19 public emergency. The program will assist the public with acquiring new skills and trades through training and assist with obtaining employment.
- School Districts Matching Tech Grants (BiblioTech Technology Grant): Budgeted at \$3.5 million. This grant was established to cover costs associated with providing and facilitating remote distance learning for Bexar County students.
- Lift Fund Small Business Grant: Budgeted at \$12.4 million. This grant was established to assist small businesses, including bars and restaurants in Bexar County, that have suffered losses from the COVID-19 pandemic, to recover, create, and maintain jobs during the public health and economic crisis.
- Suburban Coalition of Cities: Budgeted at \$5.9 million. This program was established to provide certain funding to incorporated cities (within Bexar County) to mitigate any financial burden caused by the COVID-19 pandemic and related eligible incurred expenses for governmental functions and services that qualify under the CARES Act as compensable expenses.
- Indoor Air Quality: Budgeted at \$2 million. This program was established to protect the public while using government facilities by improving the air quality and enhancing monitoring to comply with the CDC's guidelines, Texas State, and local orders. The County established temperature screening stations and made building improvements to prevent the spread of COVID-19.
- Coliseum Advisory Board, Freeman Coliseum Grounds: Budgeted at \$2.1 million. This program was established to implement modifications at the County owned Freeman Coliseum that would provide physical barriers between individuals, facilitate increase screening of employees and patrons, and improve the air quality and sanitation of surfaces.
- Social Service Resiliency: Budgeted at \$4.1 million. This program was established to provide relief for Social Service agencies and local non-profits who are in increased demand and vital for COVID-19 support and provide services for social needs such as child abuse and neglect, domestic violence, special needs and vulnerable populations, substance abuse, senior services, and legal aid services.

**Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020**

- **Telework:** Budgeted at \$5.5 million. This project was established to improve public employees' telework capabilities to ensure continuity of essential government functions during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- **AT&T Center Venue Facilities:** Budgeted at \$3.4 million. This program was established to implement modifications at the County owned AT&T Center that would provide physical barriers between individuals, facilitate increase screening of employees and patrons, and improve the air quality and sanitation of surfaces.
- **Temporary Rental Assistance Program (TRAM):** Budgeted at \$4 million. This program was established to provide the public, meeting certain criteria, with temporary rental assistance.
- **COVID-19 Testing Community Labs:** Budgeted at \$2 million. This program was established to provide COVID-19 testing services to "micro populations," such as schools and workplaces throughout Bexar County.
- **Center for Health Care Services (CHCS) COVID-19 Expenses:** Budgeted at \$3.9 million. This program was established to provide mental health and support services for mentally ill residents during and after the COVID-19 pandemic within Bexar County.
- **Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Grant:** Budgeted at \$1 million. This grant was established to provide funding for the additional elections' cost due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Economic

San Antonio is the county seat for Bexar County. San Antonio is currently the second largest city in Texas and the seventh largest city in the United States. Unemployment rates in Bexar County had declined steadily over several years, but increased significantly this last year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. In Bexar County, the unemployment rate, as of September 30, 2020, is at 8.0%, which is above the unemployment rate of 3.1% at September 30, 2019. The County's rate is below the State's rate of 8.2% for the same period. The unemployment rate was at its highest in April 2020 at 13.9%. As businesses were allowed to reopen, the unemployment rate steadily decreased. The United States Bureau of Labor Statistics reported the preliminary unemployment rate of 6.6% for Bexar County at December 2020.

For over a decade, the San Antonio metropolitan area has had a steadily growing economy, with a diverse job sector ranging from healthcare and bioscience, aerospace, information technology, manufacturing, leisure and hospitality, and new energy economy. As San Antonio shut down, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the economy declined rapidly. Economic indicator comparisons, reported throughout calendar year 2020, show a sharp decline shortly after the shutdown and a steady recovery the following months. The Business-Cycle Index (BCI) forecasts the strength of economic expansion or recession in the coming months. In January 2020, the BCI reported growth of an annualized 5.3% (long-term average 3.5%), using third quarter 2019 data. The Federal Reserve reported the growth was due to lower unemployment, strong job growth, increased wages and home sales. The strongest job growth was in construction and leisure and hospitality. In 2020, total home sales in the San Antonio metro area grew 6% relative to 2019. In December 2019, the median sales price of a home stood at \$235,021. Wages rose by 5.7% from the previous year, which was greater than the national average of 2.9%. San Antonio's economy contracted in March 2020 as impacts of COVID-19 began to surface. The BCI contracted at 0.6%, due to higher unemployment, job decreases, and declining home sale activity. Private sector jobs decreased by 9.3%. Existing-home sales declined by 3.8%, but the median price increased 5% to \$242,772. San Antonio's economy contracted further in April 2020. The BCI declined at a historical pace, by 79.4% due to increased unemployment and decreased home sales. All job sectors were affected, but the leisure and hospitality sector declined the most, at 89.3%. Existing home sales declined by 12.9%. The BCI rebounded in May 2020, with 43.1% annualized growth, due to lower unemployment and job gains. While still elevated at 11.8%, the unemployment rate was lower than the national rate of 13.3%. During this same time, existing home sales increased to 0.3%, with a median sales price at \$235,789, 3.3% higher from a year ago (May 2019). In June, the BCI increased by 70.2% with improved consumer spending, unemployment rates decreasing, jobs increasing, and home sales improving. The unemployment rate decreased to 7.3%, and existing home sales increased 16.7%. After months of recovery, the September BCI declined by 1.6% due to an increase in the unemployment rate. After some contraction, the BCI rose by 1% in December 2020. The sectors and job numbers improved. Growth was broadly positive across sectors from October through December 2020. The sectors posting gains were professional and business services (19%), followed by leisure and hospitality (14.9%), and manufacturing (10.3%). While job gains have been broad based since May, they have not been large enough to offset the losses in March and April 2020. In calendar year 2020, employment declined across all sectors and was down 6.4%, or by 69,760 jobs overall.

**Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020**

The State economy was deeply impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic specifically, the leisure and hospitality portion. For the past several decades, leisure and hospitality jobs have comprised an increasing share of Texas' employment base, accounting for 10.9% of the state's total jobs in 2019. Between 2010 and 2019, employment growth in this sector outpaced statewide gains, rising by an annual average of 3.7% versus 2.4% for all Texas jobs. In December 2020, Texas leisure and hospitality employment was down by 12.3% from the same month in the previous year, a loss of 173,100 jobs. Locally, the impact of COVID-19 to the leisure and hospitality sector was just as impactful. In December 2020, leisure and hospitality employment was down by 6.6% from the same month in the previous year, a loss of 9,300 jobs. The County responded to this crisis with programs targeted to assist small business owners, bars, and restaurants. During 2020, the County Commissioners Court approved and entered into a Small Business Grant Agreement with Lift Fund Inc. to provide aid to Bexar County small businesses, including restaurant and bar businesses. The purpose of this agreement was to help small businesses that have suffered financial losses as a result of COVID-19 with the broader purpose of stimulating and encouraging business and commercial activity, promoting and advertising Bexar County, and increasing job opportunities during this difficult time.

The health of the local real estate market and associated changes in assessed property values are indicators of the County's financial outlook. Property tax revenues are the County's largest source of general fund revenues. Property values experienced growth in FY 2020, when the value (certified values as of July 25, 2020) of assessed properties within the County increased by 6.95% to \$172 billion. County-wide property tax revenues are used by local taxing agencies (schools, cities, special districts and the County) that operate within Bexar County. As of the date of this report, it is not clear if property values will continue to grow in FY 2021.

The County's proximity to Mexico provides favorable conditions for international business relations in the areas of agriculture, tourism, manufacturing, and wholesale and retail markets. Trade with Mexico was also negatively affected by the pandemic. At the end of calendar year 2020, trade between the United States and Mexico was over \$212 million in exports, a 17% decrease from trade in 2019. Trade with Mexico was originally attributed to the passage of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) in 1993. San Antonio is also the headquarters for the North American Development Bank (NADBank). This binational institution created by NAFTA is intended to help finance environmental infrastructure within 62 miles of the US/Mexican border. With its own resources, leveraged borrowings, and grants from the Environmental Protection Agency, NADBank provides loans and other forms of assistance to finance projects including water, waste management, cleaner and renewable energy, air quality, and basic urban infrastructure. The Mexican consulate has been expanded in San Antonio to assist the transition and to facilitate the development of the NAFTA agreement. On January 29, 2020, the United States signed into law the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), in order to modernize the NAFTA into the 21st Century, and support mutually beneficial trade to freer markets, fairer trade and robust economic growth in North America. The new agreement includes a modernized, high-standard for intellectual property and a new chapter for digital trade. The renegotiated agreement also addresses labor, financial services, currency, and the environment.

FINANCIAL POLICIES AND LONG-TERM FINANCIAL PLANNING

The population growth in the incorporated, as well as the unincorporated areas, is considered by the Commissioners Court annually in appropriating funds to support the delivery of services. The County has developed working arrangements with the majority of the incorporated cities within the County to allow the County to anticipate needs and establish a cost-effective manner to apply available resources.

Commissioners Court is responsible for establishing the tax rates for the County (operations and debt service) as well as to service the flood control and road projects in the County (operations and debt service). In addition, the County includes in its debt service tax rate, the debt service requirements for certain San Antonio River Authority ("SARA") Channel Improvement Revenue Bonds used for, flood control and soil conservation projects in Bexar County. For the years ending September 30, 2019, and September 30, 2020, Commissioners Court approved a tax rate of \$0.301097 per \$100 of taxable appraised valuation. The tax rate will remain the same at \$0.301097 per \$100 of taxable appraised valuation for fiscal year ending September 30, 2021, which was approved by Commissioners Court on September 15, 2020.

Current financial policies are as follows:

- Reimbursement resolutions used on an interim basis to finance projects rather than initially issuing long-term bonds;
- Balanced financial operations will be maintained;
- Expenditures are to be budgeted and controlled to ensure that at the end of the fiscal year, the unassigned fund balance in the general fund is at a minimum 15% of the fiscal year's expenditures;

**Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020**

- All elected officials and department heads are required to keep expenditures within allocated budgets;
- The County is to maintain an open line of communication with rating agencies and seeks to obtain a high debt rating with a stable outlook. The County currently uses the bond rating services of Fitch IBCA, Inc., Standard & Poor's Rating Service, and Moody's Investment Services. At September 30, 2020, the County had been assigned bond ratings of AAA, AAA, and Aaa, respectively.

Current long-term financial policies of the County are listed below:

- Use technological solutions to improve operations;
- Provide an equitable justice system that is responsive to the needs of the County;
- Delivery of services to the constituents;
- Encourage flexibility and accountability in all offices and departments;
- Promote diversity in the workforce;
- Maintain full disclosure and open lines of communications with the rating agencies;
- Develop a highly efficient and effective cash management program to maximize the County's ability to earn an equitable return on its assets, while at the same time maintaining asset protection.

MAJOR INITIATIVES FOR THE YEAR

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, Bexar County budgeted for various capital projects all within different phases of completion from planning to implementation. Several facilities, road, and flood projects were initially budgeted to be paid through bond issuance, but due to the financial impact of COVID-19, the County will fund these projects from cash on hand from TxDOT pass-through reimbursements and savings from completed projects, interfund transfers from the General Fund, and intergovernmental revenue for projects with interlocal governments. Revenue sources are dependent upon the timing of when contracts are initiated and the scope of the project.

San Pedro Creek

In 2013, Bexar County launched a project to transform a two-mile stretch of San Pedro Creek, which runs along the west side of downtown, into a linear park with several scenic spots that showcases the waterway's role in the City's early history. The project has expanded to include plans to remove 41.8 acres and 38 adjacent structures from the 100-year flood plain. This project also replaces a network of unsightly drainage with approximately 22 acres of parkland, hiking and biking trails, and other recreational amenities. The project is set to be constructed in four phases. Phase 1 has been completed with the rest of the phases still in development. The County has budgeted \$261,502,755 for the project.

Capital Projects

The County has budgeted \$565.8 million for its capital improvements program for horizontal (Roads), vertical (Buildings) construction, improvements to facilities and major capital equipments, and information technology. This includes funding in the amount of \$3.36 million for new capital improvement projects. Below is a sample of new and ongoing capital projects that will provide a significant impact to Bexar County residents.

New Capital Projects

- **Vista Verde Tax Office Drive Thru:** This project will fund the design and construction of a stand-alone ten bay drive-up service center in the north parking lot of the Vista Verde Tax Office. The facility will consist of five teller stations that serve up to ten different canopy-covered spaces by using a pneumatic tube system and video chat. Various County offices and departments can utilize the stations to reduce the amount of public entering County buildings for services. The total estimated cost of this project is \$950,000.
- **Juvenile Detention Center and Krier Center Automated Visitation:** This project will equip the Juvenile Detention Center and the Krier Center with the appropriate technology that will permit automated video visitation from families, lawyers, and other necessary individuals. The total estimated cost of the project is \$200,000.

**Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020**

Ongoing Capital Projects

- **Brooks Training Center:** This project funds the design and construction of a new training center to be located at Brooks City Base. The Brooks Training Center Project will support the Texas Federation for Advanced Manufacturing Education (Texas FAME) partnership initiative and provide a facility for a selected provider to instruct an 8-week accelerated training program for industry partners. The total estimated cost of this project is \$13,156,465.
- **Bexar County Integrated Justice System (CIJS):** This project will fund a new justice information system to replace an outdated legacy system that has been in service for approximately 30 years. It will allow users to instantly update and exchange information and provide the flexibility to adapt to the changing needs of the County. The budget for the original CIJS project was \$23,600,000. In addition, the following components are to be implemented as part of the CIJS project: E-Discovery System (\$600,000), District Attorney Case Management System (\$8,000,000), Jail Management System (\$7,000,000), Jury Management System (\$800,000), Juvenile Case Management System (\$2,025,000), CIJS Storage (\$67,019), and CIJS Peripherals (\$597,943). The total estimated cost of the project is \$42,689,962.
- **Infofor-System Enhancements:** This project provides funding for software enhancements to include Human Capital Management, Enterprise Asset Management, Dynamic Enterprise Performance Management, and Supply Chain Management Enhancements. The total estimated cost of the project is \$8,550,000.
- **Bexar 2.0:** This project will create a new initiative to ensure County employees and County residents can conduct business safely from any electronic business device, anywhere. Objectives include telework consulting, eGovernment services consulting, virtual courtroom, citizen access to services, enhancements to JP Courts, maximizing ECIJS automation, laptops, tablets, and peripherals, enhanced IT infrastructure and security, and Microsoft 365 and Enterprise software. The total estimated cost of the project is \$24,500,000.

EMPLOYMENT

The COVID-19 global pandemic led to unprecedented job losses. According to the Texas Workforce Commission, the County's unemployment rate increased from 3.1% (September 2019) to 8% (September 2020), with a net total of 48,164 jobs lost. The Leisure and Hospitality industry suffered the highest loss with 25,574 jobs. The State's unemployment rate increased to 8.2% (September 2020) from 3.4% (September 2019). Texas lost 583,600 jobs during the same period. With businesses reopening, employment is showing signs of improvement.

Although there were significant employment losses, the County did experience some external corporate employment growth (618 positions) from various companies. Of the 618 new positions, 418 were considered high wage jobs. Below is a list of companies that each brought 50 or more positions to the area (557 positions in total) in 2020.

Company Name	Positions
CelIRight Technologies	80
Dollar General Corporation	55
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	75
Renu Robotics	166
Burns Development Group	181
TOTAL	557

Source: San Antonio Economic Development Foundation.

Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020

The following table reflects the internal and external loss of 48,164 jobs over the last twelve months, ended September 30, 2020.

Employment Sectors	2019	2020	Percent Increase or (Decrease)
Natural Resource and Mining	6,285	3,215	-48.85%
Construction	41,438	40,776	-1.6%
Manufacturing	36,863	35,485	-3.74%
Trade, Transportation, Utilities	141,813	140,401	-1.00%
Information	17,775	16,043	-9.74%
Financial Activities	78,333	76,440	-2.42%
Professional and Business Services	122,195	116,358	-4.78%
Education and Health Services	144,907	137,365	-5.20%
Letsure and Hospitality	117,103	91,529	-21.84%
Other Services	25,007	21,871	-12.54%
Unclassified	521	471	-9.60%
Government	139,015	143,137	2.97%
Total Jobs	871,255	823,091	-5.53%

Source: Texas LMCI, Data Link, QCEW

Bexar County, Texas
Transmittal Letter
For Year Ending September 30, 2020

Commissioners Court approved the overall rate of \$0.301097 per \$100 of taxable appraised value, on September 15, 2020, for FY 2021. The General Fund maintenance and operations tax rate is set at \$0.237165, and the debt service tax rate is set at \$0.040264. The tax rate for Road and Flood Control maintenance and operations is set at \$0.001, and for debt service, the tax rate is set at \$0.011868. The Road and Bridge tax rate is set at \$0.0108 per \$100 of taxable appraised value.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND AWARDS

We wish to express our thanks to Commissioners Court and the District Judges for their interest and support in planning and conducting the financial affairs of the County in a responsible and professional manner. The Court, other elected officials, and department heads need to be recognized for their continual support that has been provided as the County continues to refine and implement improved financial changes. The timely completion of this report could not have been achieved without the dedicated efforts of the County Auditor's staff and the professional services provided by our independent auditors, Garza/Gonzalez & Associates.

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to Bexar County for its comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019. This was the thirty-fourth consecutive year that Bexar County has achieved this prestigious recognition.

In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, the government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report. This report satisfied both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements. A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current comprehensive annual financial report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Budgetary Control

Budgets are adopted for the General, Special Revenue, Capital, and Debt Service Funds on a budgetary basis. Although this basis departs from generally accepted accounting principles, it provides meaningful feedback and control to management.

The revenue budget for the General, Debt Service, Capital, and Special Revenue Funds are established by the County Auditor's Office. The expenditure budget is set by Commissioners Court and controlled by the County Auditor at the appropriation level by a review of estimated purchase amounts prior to the release of purchase orders to vendors. A purchase order, which would result in an overrun of an appropriation unit, is not released until additional appropriations are made available.

Under State law, the budget cannot be exceeded in any expenditure category. Grants from the Criminal Justice Division (CJD) may overrun a category allowance by 10%, but the total of the grant may not overrun. In Community Development Block Grant Funds (CDBG), the budget cannot be exceeded in any one project. In the Grants-In-Aid Fund, budget totals are changed during the year as funds are increased and/or decreased due to changes in the availability of funds from State or Federal sources.


Debt Administration

Commissioners Court has established policy to provide guidelines to control tax rates levied. Currently, limited tax bonds, general obligation bonds, and certificates of obligation are part of the maximum rate of \$0.80 per \$100 valuation that can be set by Texas counties. In FY 2019, the General Fund maintenance and operations tax rate was set at \$0.23625 and the debt service rate was set at \$0.041179. The maintenance and operations tax rate for Flood Control was set at \$0.001 and at \$0.011868 for debt service. The overall rate was set at \$0.301097 per \$100 of taxable appraised valuation.

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, Commissioners Court set the General Fund maintenance and operations tax rate at \$0.23625, and the debt service rate at \$0.041179. The maintenance and operations tax rate set for Flood Control was set at \$0.001 and at \$0.011868 for debt service. For fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, the County adopted a tax rate of \$0.0108 for Road and Bridge maintenance and operations. The overall rate for FY 2020 is set at \$0.301097 per \$100 of taxable appraised valuation. An analysis of the changes in outstanding bonds and obligations is shown in Note H to the basic financial statements.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

The financial report is designed to provide an overview of the County's finances for individuals who are interested in this information. Questions concerning any of the data provided in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to the Bexar County Auditor's Office, 101 W. Nueva St., Suite 800, San Antonio, Texas, 78205, or call (210) 335-2441.



Leo S. Caldera, CIA, CGAP
 County Auditor


Bexar County, Texas



PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS

COUNTY JUDGE	NELSON W. WOLFF
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 1	REBECA CLAY-FLORES
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 2	JUSTIN RODRIGUEZ
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 3	TRISH DEBERRY
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT 4	TOMMY CALVERT, JR.
ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR OF TAXES	ALBERT URESTI
COUNTY CLERK	LUCY ADAME-CLARK
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	JOE GONZALES
DISTRICT CLERK	MARY ANGIE GARCIA
SHERIFF	JAVIER SALAZAR
COUNTY AUDITOR	LEO S. CALDERA
COUNTY MANAGER	DAVID SMITH
PURCHASING AGENT	PATRICIA TORRES

11-1





Government Finance Officers Association

**Certificate of
Achievement
for Excellence
in Financial
Reporting**

Presented to

**Bexar County
Texas**

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
For the Fiscal Year Ended

September 30, 2019

Christopher P. Morill

Executive Director/CEO



The Paul Elizondo Tower and the Bexar County Justice Center
Photo by Adnan Ahmetovic

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable County Judge and Commissioners
Bexar County, Texas

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Bexar County, Texas (the County), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the University Health System (the System), which represent 95 percent, 109 percent, and 98 percent, respectively, of assets, net position, and revenues of the discretely presented component units. The System's statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the System, is based solely on the report of the other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. The financial statements of the Cibolo Canyons Special Improvement District (the District), a discretely presented component unit, were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the County's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of September 30, 2020, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information – general fund, the schedule of changes in total OPEB liability and related ratios, and the Texas County and District Retirement System pension information, as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information


Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining nonmajor fund financial statements, individual Debt Service fund and the individual nonmajor fund - schedules of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance – budget and actual, and the statistical sections, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining nonmajor fund financial statements, individual Debt Service fund and the individual nonmajor fund - schedules of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance – budget and actual, and the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, based on our audit, the procedures performed as described above, and the report of the other auditors, the combining nonmajor fund financial statements; individual Debt Service fund and the individual nonmajor fund - schedules of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance – budget and actual, and the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards are fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 30, 2021, on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



March 30, 2021



This page intentionally
left blank

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

This section of the Bexar County comprehensive annual financial report presents management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial performance of the primary government during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020. The MD&A should be read in conjunction with the transmittal letter at the front of this report and the County's basic financial statements and related notes following this section. The MD&A is a narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of Bexar County for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020 offered by management of Bexar County (the County).

For information specific to the University Health System (the System), a significant discretely presented component unit of the County, please refer to the MD&A included in the separately issued financial statements of the System. A copy of those financial statements may be obtained by contacting the University Health System's Financial Offices: 4502 Medical Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78229.

For information specific to Cibolo Canyons Special Improvement District (the District), a discretely presented component unit of the County, please refer to the MD&A included in the separately issued financial statements of the District. A copy of those financial statements may be obtained by contacting the District's General Counsel: 7550 W-IH 10, San Antonio, Texas 78229.

For information specific to the Alamo Regional Mobility Authority (the Authority), a discretely presented component unit of the County, please refer to the MD&A included in the separately issued financial statements of the Authority. A copy of those financial statements may be obtained by contacting the Alamo Regional Mobility Authority c/o Bexar County Public Works: 1948 Probandt, San Antonio, Texas 78214.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- The total government-wide assets and deferred outflows of resources of the County exceeded the liabilities and deferred inflows of resources at September 30, 2020 by \$252,311,641 and are reported as total net position of the primary government. This is comparable to the previous year when assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$257,039,585. The total net position is comprised of unrestricted net position (funds that may be used to meet ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors), restricted net position (funds to be used for a specified purpose), and net investment in capital assets.
- The government-wide total net position decreased by \$4,727,944 during the fiscal year ending September 30, 2020. The change can be attributed to a decrease in governmental activities of \$1,971,258 and a decrease in business-type activities of \$2,756,686. Comparative changes can be examined as follows:

- Total net position of the primary government is comprised of:

- 1) Net investment in capital assets, which includes land, buildings, improvements, roads, bridges, equipment, furniture and fixtures as well as construction in progress, net of accumulated depreciation:

September 30, 2020	\$1,083,698,996
September 30, 2019	\$1,098,947,495
- 2) Net position restricted by constraints imposed from outside the County such as debt obligations, regulations and/or federal and state laws:

September 30, 2020	\$400,368,543
September 30, 2019	\$328,987,262
- 3) Unrestricted net position represents the portion available to meet current requirements and obligations to the County's creditors and citizens:

September 30, 2020	(\$1,231,755,898)
September 30, 2019	(\$1,170,895,172)

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS (Continued)

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- As of September 30, 2020, the County's governmental funds reported combined fund balances of \$905,425,503 as compared with \$808,568,651 at September 30, 2019. The increase of \$96,856,852 is primarily due to the issuance of long term debt of \$122,355,000 in the Capital Projects Fund and the reallocation of allowable law enforcement payroll expenses of \$36,960,106 from the General Fund to the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) Fund. Approximately 16%, or \$148,972,594, of the combined fund balances are unassigned at September 30, 2020 and are available to meet the County's current and future needs. The total fund balance for the Nonmajor Governmental Funds is \$78,580,483 at September 30, 2020 and \$70,547,475 at September 30, 2019. The fund balance for the Governmental Nonmajor Funds is dedicated to service specific County functions.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, fund balance for the General Fund was \$154,355,285 or 37% of total General Fund expenditures for the year ended September 30, 2020. The County's General Fund experienced a \$47.8 million increase in fund balance from the prior fiscal period. The increase is due to the excess of revenues over expenditures of \$56,734,668 reduced by net transfers out to other funds of \$8,951,100. The excess of revenues over expenditures is primarily due to \$36,960,106 of allowable law enforcement General Fund payroll expenses that were reallocated to the CARES Act recorded in the Nonmajor Governmental Funds.

- At September 30, 2020, the County's Internal Service Funds had a deficit net position of \$197,170,559, an increase in the deficit of \$26.6 million from the prior year. The increase in the deficit is primarily attributed to the net deficiency of operating revenue and transfers in from other funds over operating expenses of \$26,659,250. The Internal Service Funds include the increase in the net other post employment benefit (OPEB) liability and the change in the related deferred outflow and deferred inflows which net to \$24,594,215. See Note O to the basic financial statements for more information.

LONG-TERM DEBT

During the year, the County issued \$122,355,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation. The issuance was undertaken to make permanent public improvements. The County also issued \$26,365,000, and \$228,510,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, \$79,645,000 in General Obligation Refunding Bonds, and \$45,310,000 and \$59,255,000 in Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds. The County issued the Refunding Bonds for the purposes of reducing debt service payments over the next several years, which generated an economic gain of \$115,488,780. Note H to the basic financial statements provides details of long-term debt and information regarding Fiscal Year 2019-20 debt obligation activity.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to introduce the reader to the County's basic financial statements. These statements are comprised of three basic components:

- 1) Government-wide financial statements,
- 2) Fund financial statements, and
- 3) Notes to the basic financial statements.

Required Supplementary Information is included in addition to the basic financial statements. The County includes its Single Audit report in the Compliance Section.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the financial position of the County in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The statements include a Statement of Net Position and a Statement of Activities. Both of these statements are presented using the accrual basis of accounting; therefore, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred.

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Statement of Net Position presents information on all County assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities and deferred inflow of resources, with the difference between the four reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position will serve the reader as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the County is improving or deteriorating (Table 1 – Statistical Section). There are other non-financial factors, such as changes in the County's property tax base (Tables 5 to 8 – Statistical Section) and the condition of the County's roads, which should be considered to assess the overall health of the County. Another important factor to be taken into consideration is the County expenditures for assets owned by other entities. Table 19 in the Statistical Section lists those expenditures beginning with fiscal year 2007.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Due to a full accrual presentation, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will affect cash flows in future fiscal periods (Table 2 - Statistical Section). Allocated within the governmental activities functions in the Statement of Activities are expenses for services provided by the Internal Service Funds.

Both government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the County that are governmental activities principally supported by taxes, operating and capital grants, and charges for services that are intended to recover all or in part a portion of their costs through user fees, and investment earnings.

The governmental activities of the County include general government, judicial, public safety, education and recreation, public works, and health and public welfare. The business-type activities of the County include various community venue activities and the AT&T Center, which is the home court of the San Antonio Spurs and the Stock Show and Rodeo, the Commissary operated by the Sheriff's office for inmates, two County owned parking facilities and the operation of a firing range.

Component units are included in the County's basic financial statements and consist of legally separate entities for which the County is financially accountable. Three component units - Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation, Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation and Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation - are blended with the County. The three discretely presented component units are the University Health System (the System), Cibolo Canyons Special Improvement District (the District) and Alamo Regional Mobility Authority (the Authority). The System is reported as a discretely presented component unit because Commissioners Court appoints members of the System's Board and approves the System's tax rate, annual budget and issuance of bonded debt. The District is reported as a discretely presented component unit because Commissioners Court appoints and reappoints the seven member board of directors and is statutorily required to approve the issuance of any debt by the District. The Authority is reported as a discretely presented component unit because Commissioners Court appoints and reappoints six of the seven members of its board of directors. The seventh member, the Chairman, is appointed by the Governor of the State of Texas. Additionally, the Commissioners Court, by statute, approves the projects that the Authority funds with its primary revenue source, the vehicle registration fee. For more detailed information on these component units, refer to Note A of the basic financial statements.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fund financial statements are groupings of related accounts that are used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The County, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate finance-related legal compliance. All of the funds of the County can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the County's near-term financing requirements.

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Governmental Funds (Continued)

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. This will allow the reader to better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. The governmental funds' Balance Sheet and the governmental funds' Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities and can be found on pages 35 and 37. Information is presented separately in the governmental funds' Balance Sheet and in the governmental funds' Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances for the major funds: General Fund, Debt Service Fund, and Capital Projects Fund.

Data from the Nonmajor Governmental Funds, which include 29 special revenue funds and three blended component units, are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these Nonmajor Governmental Funds is provided in the combining statements which can be found on pages 129-143.

The County maintains various special revenue funds - virtually all are created by statute and are required to annually submit a budget to the Commissioners Court for review and adoption. Most of these funds receive financial resources from fees specifically designated by the State's legislature to be used for a specified purpose.

In addition, the County is awarded grants by the State and the Federal governments. These grants cover periods as short as six months to multiple years. All grant programs have formal budgets which are reviewed annually.

Various law enforcement agencies, including the District Attorney's Office, are awarded forfeited funds either by the State of Texas or the Federal government. These funds are to be used to support the law enforcement activity of the office. While there is no requirement for the federal funds to be budgeted, State law requires all public funds to be appropriated and presented to Commissioners Court. Therefore, every year the departments appropriate funds on hand that will be used in the following year.

Proprietary Funds

Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The County's proprietary funds are maintained in two formats:

- An enterprise fund is used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements.
The Community Venue Fund is considered to be a major enterprise fund of the County. The fund is used to account for proceeds derived by the County from its sale of venue project revenue bonds for the primary purpose of financing a portion of the costs of certain projects authorized at the 2008 Venue election.
- The Sheriff's Commissary Fund is used to account for commissary sales to inmates housed in the Bexar County jail.
The Parking Facilities Fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of parking facilities. The facilities are intended to be financed primarily through user charges.
- The Firing Range Fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of a firing range. The facility is intended to be financed primarily through user charges.
- An Internal Service Fund is used to account for goods or services provided to one department by another on a cost reimbursement basis. The fund is profit and loss oriented and hence follows accrual accounting.

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Proprietary Funds (Continued)

The County uses internal service funds to account for: the maintenance of County vehicles; the print shop; other post-employment benefits; the administration of the County's self-insurance programs for health, workers compensation and property liability claims; and the records management facility. Because these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, they have been included within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. Individual fund data for the internal service funds is provided in the form of combining statements on pages 184-185. The County's five internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the proprietary funds' financial statements.

Fiduciary Funds

A Fiduciary fund (Trust or Agency) is used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources are not available to support programs and services provided by the County. The County's fiduciary funds are agency funds which are purely custodial and thus do not involve measurement of results of operations. The County's fiduciary financial information is reported in a separate Statement of Fiduciary Net Position on page 43. Individual fund data for the agency funds is provided with the combining statements on pages 189-195.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The notes to the basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in both the government-wide and fund financial statements. Notes to the basic financial statements begin on page 47.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Required supplementary information is presented to reflect budgetary compliance for the County's General Fund. The County adopts an annual budget for this fund. A budgetary comparison schedule, which includes the original and final amended budget and actual figures, has been provided to demonstrate compliance with this budget. This section also includes the Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios, the Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions and the Schedule of Changes in Total OPEB Liability. Required supplementary information begins on page 109.

COMPLIANCE SECTION

The compliance section contains the report on compliance with the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular Compliance Supplement and Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular that are applicable to each major federal and state program for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020, along with the schedule of expenditures of federal and state awards, and schedule of federal and state award findings and questioned costs.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

The current financial reporting model focuses on net position and serves as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. For the primary government, assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$252,311,641 at the close of the most recent fiscal year as compared to \$257,039,585 at the close of the last fiscal year. This represents a \$4,727,944, or 1.8%, decrease.

Condensed Statement of Net Position
September 30, 2020

	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total
Current and other assets	\$ 1,026,935,979	\$ 96,588,889	\$ 1,123,524,868
Noncurrent assets	7,920,900	26,987,197	34,908,097
Capital assets	1,874,907,741	194,590,867	2,069,498,608
Total assets	2,909,764,620	318,166,953	3,227,931,573
Deferred outflows of resources	100,865,406	2,433,820	103,299,226
Current and other liabilities	178,710,199	9,690,852	188,401,051
Noncurrent liabilities	2,468,390,052	370,260,047	2,838,650,099
Total liabilities	2,647,100,251	379,950,899	3,027,051,150
Deferred inflows of resources	51,634,870	233,138	51,868,008

Net position:	993,996,007	89,702,989	1,083,698,996
Net investment in capital assets	371,249,203	29,119,340	400,368,543
Restricted net position	(1,053,350,305)	(178,405,593)	(1,231,755,898)
Unrestricted net position	\$ 311,894,905	\$ (59,583,264)	\$ 252,311,641

Condensed Statement of Net Position
September 30, 2019

	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total
Current and other assets	\$ 909,910,556	\$ 101,081,762	\$ 1,010,992,318
Noncurrent assets	8,432,129	25,405,749	33,837,878
Capital assets	1,836,153,956	201,748,888	2,037,902,844
Total assets	2,754,496,641	328,236,399	3,082,733,040
Deferred outflows of resources	143,261,462	3,637,216	146,898,678
Current and other liabilities	158,946,128	10,455,462	169,401,590
Noncurrent liabilities	2,421,745,615	378,220,952	2,799,966,567
Total liabilities	2,580,691,743	388,676,414	2,969,368,157
Deferred inflows of resources	3,200,197	23,779	3,223,976

Net position:	1,005,554,876	93,392,619	1,098,947,495
Net investment in capital assets	303,510,857	25,476,405	328,987,262
Restricted net position	(995,199,570)	(175,695,602)	(1,170,895,172)
Unrestricted net position	\$ 313,866,163	\$ (56,826,578)	\$ 257,039,585

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

For governmental activities, total net position of \$311,894,905 reflects a 0.6%, or \$1,971,258, decrease from the prior fiscal year.

For business-type activities, total net position deficit of \$59,583,264 reflects a 4.9%, or \$2,756,686, deficit increase from the prior fiscal year.

Net pension liability decreased by \$98,028,506 from \$215,112,270 in the prior fiscal year to \$117,083,764 in the current fiscal year. Deferred outflows of resources related to the net pension liability and the net OPEB decreased by \$45,912,805 from \$116,781,127 in the prior fiscal year to \$70,868,322 in the current fiscal year. Deferred inflows of resources related to net pension liability and the net OPEB increased by \$48,644,032 from \$3,223,976 in the prior fiscal year to \$51,868,008. See Note N and Note O for more details.

The County's assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$252,311,641 at September 30, 2020 which is a 1.8%, or \$4,727,585, decrease over the prior fiscal year. The following is a further analysis of the decrease.

Net investment in capital assets of \$1,083,698,996 represents the County's investment in capital assets such as buildings, infrastructure, land, construction and equipment in progress, net of accumulated depreciation and related debt. Although the County's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that resources needed to repay this debt must be externally provided from other sources. Liquidation of capital assets is not an alternative to providing funds to service debt and other related liabilities.


Restricted net position of \$400,368,543 represents resources that are subject to external restrictions as to the use of the funds. For governmental activities, net position is restricted as follows:

- 1) The largest portion of restricted net position is \$222,136,269 for capital projects.
- 2) The County has net position in various grant programs totaling to \$15,400,100; however, this net position is to be used to fund continual budgets related to specific federal and state programs. Excess funding is returned at the end of the grant programs.
- 3) Legislative net position of \$62,061,061 is comprised of a majority of the special revenue funds that were created through the establishment of fees by the State Legislature or through federal funding to serve specific purposes. Accordingly, those revenues generated may only be used as directed by legislation.
- 4) Net position restricted for debt service is \$100,771,113.

The deficit balance in unrestricted net position of \$1,231,755,898 is comprised of a deficit balance of \$1,053,350,305 in governmental activities and \$178,405,593 in business-type activities. The deficit balances are primarily attributed to County expenses for assets owned by other entities. The County issues bonds to finance these projects that do not get capitalized on the County's basic financial statements. The net effect of these transactions leaves a liability balance on the County's basic financial statements for the bonds the County is still obligated to pay. The total balance for expenses on assets owned by other entities is \$1,161,111,930 at September 30, 2020. See Table 19 in the Statistical Section for detailed balances.

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)



The difference between total fund balance in the governmental fund Balance Sheet (fund financial statements) and total net position for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position (government-wide) is a decrease of \$593,530,598. This variance exists because of items that are presented in the government-wide financial statements that are not presented in the fund financial statements, such as:

- Capital assets used in governmental activities of \$1,874,643,661
- Investments in joint ventures of \$7,920,900
- Adjustments to recognize unavailable revenues of \$25,447,228
- Long-term liabilities of (\$2,304,371,828)
- Net position of the Internal Service Funds (\$197,170,559)

A detailed reconciliation can be found in the basic financial statements, page 35.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

The condensed statement of activities reflects the changes in net position for fiscal years ended September 30, 2020 and 2019.

	Condensed Statement of Activities For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020		
	Governmental Activities	Business- type Activities	Total
Revenues			
Program revenues:			
Charges for service	\$ 80,687,982	\$ 8,379,706	\$ 89,067,688
Operating grants and contributions	102,280,291	-	102,280,291
Capital grants and contributions	94,758,101	-	94,758,101
General revenues:			
Ad valorem taxes	490,428,645	-	490,428,645
Motor vehicle taxes	17,731,293	7,707,247	25,438,540
Other taxes	8,816,749	11,250,594	20,067,343
Investment earnings	14,961,419	1,435,956	16,397,375
Miscellaneous	31,513,814	16,979	31,530,793
Total Revenues	841,178,294	28,790,482	869,968,776

Expenses			
General government			
Judicial	146,862,479	-	146,862,479
Public safety	123,282,463	-	123,282,463
Education and recreation	259,572,600	-	259,572,600
Public works	11,738,031	-	11,738,031
Health and public welfare	169,920,446	-	169,920,446
Interest and other charges	46,334,551	-	46,334,551
Unallocated depreciation	85,574,502	-	85,574,502
Community venue	114,711	-	114,711
Commissary	-	24,812,257	24,812,257
Firing range	-	5,359,942	5,359,942
Parking facilities	-	243,781	243,781
	-	880,957	880,957
Total Expenses	843,399,783	31,296,937	874,696,720
Excess (Deficiency) before other items and transfers	(2,221,489)	(2,506,455)	(4,727,944)
Transfers	250,231	(250,231)	-
Change in net position	(1,971,258)	(2,756,686)	(4,727,944)
Net position - beginning	313,866,163	(56,826,578)	257,039,585
Net position - ending	\$ 311,894,905	\$ (59,583,264)	\$ 252,311,641

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

	Condensed Statement of Activities For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2019		
	Governmental Activities	Business- type Activities	Total
Revenues			
Program revenues:			
Charges for service	\$ 113,226,926	\$ 8,607,859	\$ 121,834,785
Operating grants and contributions	37,489,466	-	37,489,466
Capital grants and contributions	78,393,075	-	78,393,075
General revenues:			
Ad valorem taxes	460,139,955	-	460,139,955
Motor vehicle taxes	16,707,363	10,684,096	27,391,459
Other taxes	12,474,135	19,931,798	32,405,933
Investment earnings	25,991,365	2,910,374	28,901,739
Miscellaneous	12,148,775	1,702	12,150,477
Total Revenues	756,571,000	42,135,829	798,706,889

Expenses			
General government			
Judicial	118,070,912	-	118,070,912
Public safety	123,906,981	-	123,906,981
Education and recreation	264,607,962	-	264,607,962
Public works	11,784,896	-	11,784,896
Health and public welfare	153,489,757	-	153,489,757
Interest and other charges	23,198,532	-	23,198,532
Unallocated depreciation	91,231,001	-	91,231,001
Community venue	114,711	-	114,711
Commissary	-	33,007,100	33,007,100
Firing range	-	5,590,750	5,590,750
Parking facilities	-	196,504	196,504
	-	855,203	855,203
Total Expenses	786,404,752	39,649,557	826,054,309
Excess (Deficiency) before other items and transfers	(29,833,692)	2,486,272	(27,347,420)
Transfers	265,551	(265,551)	-
Change in net position	(29,568,141)	2,220,721	(27,347,420)
Net position - beginning	343,434,304	(59,047,299)	284,387,005
Net position - ending	\$ 313,866,163	\$ (56,826,578)	\$ 257,039,585

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

REVENUE ANALYSIS (Continued)

For the year ended September 30, 2020, total revenues for the primary government were \$869,968,776 compared to \$798,706,889 for the year ending September 30, 2019, a net increase of \$71,261,887. Governmental activities provided revenues of \$841,178,294 and \$756,571,060 in 2020 and 2019, respectively, while business-type activities provided revenues of \$28,790,482 and \$42,135,829 in 2020 and 2019, respectively.

Property taxes represented the largest revenue source for the governmental activities for the two periods. The tax rate for fiscal year 2020 and 2019 remained the same at \$0.301097 per hundred (\$100) dollars of valuation as authorized by Commissioners Court.

A comparative overview of ad valorem tax revenue, appraised values, and taxable values for the current and prior fiscal periods is as follows:

	Year Ended		Year Ended	Percentage
	September 30, 2020	September 30, 2019	September 30, 2019	Change From Prior Year
Ad Valorem Tax Revenue	\$ 490,428,645	\$ 460,139,955		6.58%
Appraised Value	\$ 197,950,065,948	\$ 185,095,276,456		6.94%
Taxable Value	\$ 172,332,699,081	\$ 161,131,453,490		6.95%

Program revenues for the primary government are principally derived from the program that the revenues service and thereby reduce the cost of the function to the County. For the fiscal years ended September 30, 2020 and 2019 program revenues for the County were \$286,106,080 and \$237,717,326, respectively. Program revenue is made up of charges for services and operating and capital grants and contributions.

Charges for services in the current fiscal year decreased by 26.89% compared last fiscal year. The decrease in charges for services is primarily due to two reasons. The first being that the County terminated earlier than expected, a revenue sharing agreement for the use of an old County jail that generated revenue for the County. The second was due to social distancing measures implemented by the County due to COVID-19, common County fees such as park reservations, parking fees, court costs and fines all were reduced significantly compared to last year.

Operating and capital grants and contributions increased in the current fiscal year by 70.03% compared to last fiscal year. This increase is primarily due to the County receiving a Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) of \$79.6 million. Comparative overviews of these revenues are as follows:

	Year Ended		Year Ended	Percentage
	September 30, 2020	September 30, 2019	September 30, 2019	Change From Prior Year
Charges for Services	\$ 89,067,688	\$ 121,834,785		-26.89%
Operating and Capital Grants and Contributions	\$ 197,088,392	\$ 115,882,541		70.03%



General revenues are revenues that are not assigned to support a specific function, but are available to provide financial resources as necessary. Included in general revenues are ad valorem taxes (discussed previously), other tax related revenues, interest earned from investments, and miscellaneous income. Overall, general revenues for the primary government increased by \$22,873,133 compared to the prior fiscal period. The largest increases to general revenues were to ad valorem taxes of \$30,288,690. The increase to ad valorem taxes was due to the increase in appraised and taxable values as noted above.

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

REVENUE ANALYSIS (Continued)

**Government-Wide Revenues by Resource
For the Years Ended September 30,**



EXPENSE ANALYSIS

For the year ended September 30, 2020, the function and program costs for the governmental activities were \$843,399,783 and \$31,296,937 for the business-type activity. Comparative figures for the prior fiscal year are \$786,404,752 and \$39,649,557, respectively.

Operating expenses for the governmental activities during the fiscal year increased by \$56,995,031 over the previous fiscal year due primarily to the following:

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

EXPENSE ANALYSIS (Continued)

- General government expenses increased by \$28,791,567. The increase is primarily due to increase OPEB costs of \$38,592,064 as reported from the latest OPEB liability in the October 1, 2019 actuarial valuation. See Note O to the basic financial statements for more information.
- Public works expenses increased by \$16,430,689. The majority of the increase was attributable to the increase in construction costs of various major capital improvement project which are not County-owned. Construction costs and project descriptions are listed in detail on the Table 19 (County Expenditures for Assets Owned by Other Entities) of the Statistical Section.
- Health and public welfare expenses increased by \$23,136,019. The increase is primarily due to the County's engagement in responding to the COVID-19 pandemic. A list of major activities/programs that the County initiated can be found in the Letter of Transmittal.

Expenses for the business-type activities during the fiscal year decreased by \$8,352,620 compared to the previous fiscal year. Most of the decrease is attributed to savings on interest expenses and amortization costs associated with debt service of \$2,407,553 and \$2,265,007, respectively. In addition, purchased services decreased by \$2,416,255. This is primarily due to the Community Venue Fund making less contributions to local venues in the current year.

The difference between the governmental funds net change in fund balance in the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances (fund financial statements) and the change in net position in the Statement of Activities (government-wide) is a decrease of \$98,828,110. The variance exists because of items that are presented in the government-wide financial statements that are not presented in the fund financial statements and items reported in the fund financial statements that are not reported in the government-wide financial statements, such as:

- Expenditures of \$76,851,656 at the fund level for capital outlays that are capitalized at the government-wide level.
- Capital donations of \$68,005,724 recorded at the government-wide level only.
- Depreciation expense of \$105,998,363 recorded at the government-wide level only.
- Investment in Joint Venture decreased by \$511,229
- Recording of transactions associated with long-term debt and liabilities differ at the fund and government-wide levels for a net decrease to net position of \$107,894,819.
- Other adjustments due to the change in the basis of revenue recognition that increases net position and an decrease in net position of the Internal Service Funds reported as governmental activities at the government-wide level of \$2,677,817 and \$26,603,262 respectively.



A detailed reconciliation can be found in the basic financial statements, page 37.

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (Continued)

EXPENSE ANALYSIS (Continued)

**Government-Wide Expenses by Function
For the Year Ended September 30,**



**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS (Continued)

MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS (Continued)

The Capital Projects Fund

The Capital Projects Fund, a major governmental fund, is used to account for receipts and disbursements relating to the acquisition or construction of major capital projects, including assets to be owned by other entities (see Statistical Section, Table 19). At the end of fiscal year 2020, the fund balance was \$600,562,350 compared with the 2019 fund balance of \$546,398,702, an increase of \$54,163,648. This increase is primarily attributed to a flood project reimbursement payment from the US Army Corps of Engineers of \$26,053,718 and the issuance of long term debt of \$122,355,000. More detailed information concerning capital improvement activity can be found in the Notes to the basic financial statements, Notes A, G, and Q.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS

MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

The County's governmental functions are contained in the General, Debt Service, Capital Projects, and Nonmajor Governmental Funds. The focus of the County's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the County's annual financing and budgeting requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

At September 30, 2020, the County's governmental funds reported a combined fund balance of \$905,425,503 and at September 30, 2019, reported \$808,568,651, an increase of \$96,856,852 or 12.0%. Of the total fund balance, \$148,972,594 or 16.5% constitutes unassigned fund balance, which is available to meet the County's current and future needs of its citizens. Restricted fund balance of \$749,950,896 or 82.8% of total fund balance is restricted for debt service in the amount of \$71,927,385, capital expenditures in the amount of \$600,562,350 and special revenue funds in the amount of \$77,461,161. Committed fund balance of \$1,119,322 is attributed to a special revenue fund. The remainder of fund balance is in nonspendable form of \$5,382,691.

The following schedule compares the revenues by source of the County's governmental funds for fiscal years ending September 30, 2020 and 2019.

	Revenues Classified by Source Governmental Funds		Increase (Decrease)
	2020	2019	
Revenues by source:			
Ad valorem taxes	\$ 488,665,665	\$ 459,994,286	\$ 28,671,379
Other taxes, licenses, and permits	45,650,304	48,359,189	(2,708,885)
Intergovernmental revenue	114,366,165	51,322,944	63,043,221
Court costs and fines	14,363,207	19,427,783	(5,064,576)
Fees on motor vehicles	21,235,391	23,194,131	(1,958,740)
Other fees	39,309,971	40,875,962	(1,565,991)
Commissions from governmental units	5,534,777	5,375,928	158,849
Revenues from use of assets	16,751,295	44,222,795	(27,471,500)
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	31,070,350	11,071,786	19,998,564
Total revenues	<u>\$ 776,947,125</u>	<u>\$ 703,844,804</u>	<u>\$ 73,102,321</u>

The General Fund

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the County and a major governmental fund. At September 30, 2020, the total fund balance was \$154,355,285, of which \$148,972,594 was unassigned and \$5,382,691 was in nonspendable form. As a measure of the General Fund's liquidity, it is useful to compare unassigned fund balance to total expenditures and other financing uses. Unassigned fund balance is 35.1% of the combined total of General Fund expenditures and other financing uses. This is in compliance with the County's policy that the unassigned fund balance in the General Fund is to be maintained at a minimum 15% of the expenditures of the fiscal year.

The Debt Service Fund



The Debt Service Fund, a major governmental fund, accounts for receipts and disbursements of funds related to the County's long-term debt obligations for governmental activities. Expenditures include principal and interest payments on County debt, San Antonio River Authority bonds (see Note K to the basic financial statements), and bond issuance costs. Restricted fund balance decreased by \$13,123,372, or 15.4%, from prior year. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in investment earnings of \$5,349,859 compared to prior year and a reduction in the federal subsidy revenues after the County refunded its Build America Bonds. For more information on the County's long-term debt, see Note H in the basic financial statements.

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS (Continued)

MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS (Continued)

**Governmental Funds Revenues by Resource
For the Years Ended September 30,**





**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS (Continued)

MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS (Continued)

**Governmental Funds Expenditures by Function
For the Years Ended September 30,**



PROPRIETARY FUNDS

The County accounts for five proprietary funds – four business-type activities (the Community Venue Fund, the Sheriff's Commissary Fund, the Parking Facilities Fund and the Firing Range Fund), and one governmental activity (Internal Service Funds). The County's proprietary fund statements provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements but in more detail.

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS (Continued)

Community Venue Fund (Venue Fund)

The Community Venue Fund currently is the County's only major business-type proprietary fund. This fund is used to account for proceeds derived by the County from its sale of venue project revenue bonds and receipts from visitor taxes - hotel occupancy tax and short-term motor vehicle tax - for the construction, improvements and financing of the various community projects approved by voters in the May 2008 election. The May 2008 bond election authorized the County to issue \$415 million in venue bonds to fund 24 projects within the County to include: San Antonio River improvements, construction of youth and amateur athletic facilities, community arena enhancements and renovations to the performing and cultural arts center. As of September 30, 2020, the County had issued \$397,950,000 of the \$415,000,000 authorized by the 2008 election. The debt is secured by and payable, in whole or in part, from the revenues derived by the County by imposing and collecting visitor taxes.

As of September 30, 2020 the Venue Fund's net position of (\$63,274,387) is made up of \$89,508,583 in net investment in capital assets, \$29,119,340 of restricted net position for debt service and grant payments, and (\$181,902,310) of unrestricted net position. The change in net position was a decrease of \$3,149,972 from the previous fiscal year which is primarily attributed to a decrease in net non-operating revenues and expenses of \$8,425,407. Net non-operating revenues and expenses in 2020 are \$3,698,102 compared to \$12,123,509 in 2019. The decrease is primarily due to the decline in the collection of the motor vehicle and hotel occupancy taxes of \$2,976,849 and \$8,681,204, respectively. The social-distancing requirements and other actions taken to reduce the spread of COVID-19 resulted in the decline of collections.

The Sheriff's Commissary Fund (Commissary Fund)

The Commissary Fund supports the needs of inmates that are in the County Jail. All goods and services of the Commissary Fund are priced out at market value and are available for the inmates to purchase if they have funds available in their Inmate Trust account. The profits made from the sales of goods and services are to be used to support services for the inmates as well as to support the personal needs of indigent inmates.

At September 30, 2020, the Commissary Fund had total net position of \$2,372,029 compared with \$1,663,496 at September 30, 2019. The increase in net position of \$708,533 from 2019 is due to an operating gain. The operating gain is primarily from the increase in Commissary sales of \$194,460 and the decrease in personnel cost of \$344,429.

The Parking Facilities Fund

The Parking Facilities Fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of parking facilities. The facilities are intended to be financed primarily through user charges.

At September 30, 2020, the Parking Facilities Fund had total net position of \$1,357,844 compared with \$1,633,927 at September 30, 2019. The decrease in net position of \$ 420,523 from 2019 is primarily attributed to a decrease in operating income of \$420,681. This was due to COVID-19 social distancing measures implemented by the County and City of San Antonio that led to the cancellation of several downtown events and the limited operations of court operations of which both typically drive revenues for this Fund.

The Firing Range Fund

The Firing Range Fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of a firing range. The facility is intended to be financed primarily through user charges. At September 30, 2020, the Firing Range Fund had a deficit net position of \$38,750 compared with \$414 at September 30, 2019. The decrease in net position of \$39,164 is primarily attributed to the deficit of a \$238,933 loss before transfers and a transfer in of \$199,769 from the General Fund.

Internal Service Funds

The County uses Internal Service Funds to support activities of the General Fund as well as activities of the Special Revenue Funds and Capital Projects Fund. For the year ended September 30, 2020, the funds reflected a total deficit in net position of \$197,170,559 as compared to \$170,567,297 at September 30, 2019. Revenues were provided through \$65,675,239 in premiums, fees, charges for services, sales and other income. Operating expenses for the current fiscal year were \$95,871,223. The largest expenses were claims paid through self-insurance funds of \$64,387,050 and the accrued expenses

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF FUNDS (Continued)

PROPRIETARY FUNDS (Continued)

for the actuarially determined net increase in the other post employment benefits liability of \$24,594,216. The decrease in net position is primarily due to the increase in the other post-employment benefits liability. For more information, see the combining statements on pages 183-187.

GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

The General Fund's original and final revenue budget was \$475,383,251 with actual revenues of \$472,354,822. The difference of \$3,028,429 is primarily due to the County receiving \$7,333,600 less in revenue from use of assets. This is primarily due to the County terminating earlier than expected a revenue sharing agreement for the use of an old County jail that generated revenue for the County.

The final amended expenditure budget was \$482,780,844 and actual expenditures were \$415,620,154, a difference of \$67,160,690. The majority of the variance was due to the reimbursement of law enforcement payroll expenses of \$36,960,106. In fiscal year 2020, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the federal government enacted the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) which distributed aid in dollars to state and local governmental entities. The County received \$79.6 million from the CARES Act, of which \$36,960,106 was used for qualifying law enforcement payroll costs. The County also recognized additional savings to the budget of \$6,481,783 due to the early termination of the revenue sharing agreement mentioned in the paragraph above. The agreement had a cost element to it that was canceled as a result of the early termination. Lastly, there was an additional savings of \$8,454,200 in the General Fund due to the expenditures for the small business grants and rental assistance payments that were originally budgeted in the General Fund were reprogrammed and paid with CARES Act funds. There was no significant variance between the original expenditure budget and the final budget.

The following table summarizes the General Fund's budgeted and actual amounts for fiscal year 2020.

	General Fund Budget vs. Actual Fiscal Year 2020		
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual
Revenues			
Ad valorem taxes	\$ 377,708,033	\$ 377,708,033	\$ 382,072,235
Other taxes, licenses, and permits	27,274,500	27,274,500	27,099,934
Intergovernmental revenue	6,704,800	6,704,800	7,494,064
Court costs and fines	12,604,000	12,604,000	10,217,027
Fees on motor vehicles	5,518,100	5,518,100	5,440,843
Other fees	24,578,750	24,578,750	23,210,928
Commissions from governmental units	5,362,568	5,362,568	5,534,777
Revenues from use of assets	13,813,000	13,813,000	6,769,695
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	1,819,500	1,819,500	4,515,319
Total revenues	475,383,251	475,383,251	472,354,822
Expenditures			
	483,036,315	482,781,830	415,620,154
Transfers			
Interfund transfers in	-	-	113,445
Interfund transfers out	(8,602,665)	(9,064,545)	(9,064,545)
Total transfers	(8,602,665)	(9,064,545)	(8,951,100)
Net change in fund balance	\$ (16,255,729)	\$ (16,463,124)	\$ 47,783,368

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

CAPITAL ASSETS

The capital assets of the County are those assets (land, right-of-way, buildings, improvements, roads, bridges, machinery, and equipment) that are used by the County in performance of the County's functions. At September 30, 2020, capital assets (net of depreciation) for the governmental activities of the County were \$1,874,907,741 and at September 30, 2019 it was \$1,836,153,956. Retirements for the County were \$2,351,432 and \$4,328,298, for 2020 and 2019, respectively.

Depreciation on capital assets is recognized in the government-wide financial statements. Depreciation provided for the current fiscal year for the governmental activities was \$106,103,594 as compared to \$101,857,709 for the year ended September 30, 2019. At September 30, 2020, the County's governmental activities had \$364,542,528 invested in ongoing construction in progress compared to \$358,904,215 at the end of the prior fiscal year.

The balance in capital assets in the County's business-type activity at September 30, 2020 was \$194,590,867, as compared to \$201,748,888 at September 30, 2019. The depreciation provided for the current fiscal year was \$7,158,021 and \$7,141,776 for the prior fiscal period.

Major capital activity during the current fiscal year included additions of approximately \$68,005,724 in donated roads and \$76,851,656 in expenditures for construction costs associated with roads, buildings and major renovations to existing buildings for governmental activities. For additional information related to capital asset activity, see Note G to the basic financial statements.

A condensed analysis of the County's capital assets is as follows:

	Capital Assets (net of accumulated depreciation)		
	2020	September 30, 2019	Increase (Decrease)
Governmental Activities:			
Land	\$ 95,089,003	\$ 91,714,508	\$ 3,374,495
Buildings	344,612,342	339,058,824	5,553,518
Machinery and Equipment	27,662,180	24,746,886	2,915,294
Infrastructure	953,503,803	946,249,222	7,254,581
Construction in Progress	454,040,413	434,384,516	19,655,897
Totals	1,874,907,741	1,836,153,956	38,753,785
Business-Type Activities:			
Buildings	194,396,459	201,461,364	(7,064,905)
Equipment	194,408	287,524	(93,116)
Totals	194,590,867	201,748,888	(7,158,021)
Total Capital Assets, net	\$ 2,069,498,608	\$ 2,037,902,844	\$ 31,595,764

Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

LONG-TERM DEBT

At September 30, 2020, the County had total long-term debt and other liabilities outstanding of \$2,571,885,297 as compared to \$2,475,670,544 in the prior year:

	Outstanding At September 30,	2019
	2020	2019
<u>Governmental Activities:</u>		
Bonds Payable	\$ 1,297,035,000	\$ 956,250,000
Certificates of Obligations	636,025,000	889,940,000
Unamortized Premium and Discount	211,524,693	196,231,713
Compensated Absences	50,666,275	49,320,477
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 2,195,250,968	\$ 2,091,742,190
<u>Business-Type Activities:</u>		
Tax-Exempt Bonds	\$ 319,970,000	\$ 324,670,000
Taxable Bonds	33,970,000	35,785,000
Unamortized Premium and Discount	22,694,329	23,473,354
Total Business-Type Activities	\$ 376,634,329	\$ 383,928,354

During the current fiscal period for governmental activities, the County issued \$122,355,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation. The issuance was undertaken to make permanent public improvements. The County also issued \$26,365,000, and \$228,510,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, \$79,645,000 in General Obligation Refunding Bonds, and \$45,310,000 and \$59,255,000 in Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds. The County issued the Refunding Bonds for the purposes of reducing debt service payments over the next several years, which generated an economic gain of \$115,488,779. The County did not issue any business-type activities debt for the current fiscal period. Note H to the basic financial statements provides details of long-term debt and information regarding Fiscal Year 2019-20 debt obligation activity.

County officials, citizens and investors will find the ratio of net bonded debt to assessed valuation and the amount of bonded debt per capita as useful indicators of the County's debt position in Tables 9 and 10 of the statistical section of this report.

The County is currently in compliance with all required bond covenants. The County continues to enjoy a favorable debt rating. The bond rating services have assigned Bexar County the following long-term bond ratings:

- Standard & Poor's Rating Services AAA
- Fitch IBCA, Inc. AAA
- Moody's Investor Service, Inc. Aaa

ECONOMIC FACTORS

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2020, the current tax rate is \$0.301097 per \$100 valuation. It is anticipated that ad valorem revenues for fiscal year 2021 will be approximately \$491,770,000 with actual ad valorem revenues totaling \$488,665,665 for fiscal year 2020. For the General Fund in fiscal year 2021, both total available funds and the adopted expenditure budget, including appropriated fund balance, were estimated to be approximately \$1,796,918,587.

**Bexar County, Texas
Management Discussion & Analysis
For Year Ended September 30, 2020**

ECONOMIC FACTORS (Continued)

The County's unemployment rate increased from 3.1% to 8.0%, according to the Texas Workforce Commission. The County's unemployment rate is below the State's unemployment rate of 8.2%. In addition, the County enjoyed some external corporate employment growth (618 positions) from various sectors. See the letter of transmittal for more information.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the County's finances for all those with an interest in the County's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the County Auditor's Office, 101 W. Nueva Street, Suite 800, San Antonio, Texas 78205.



This page intentionally
left blank

GOVERNMENT

WIDE

FINANCIAL

STATEMENTS

(this page intentionally left blank)

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

	Primary Government		Total	Component Units
	Governmental Activities	Business-Type Activities		
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash, cash equivalents, and temporary investments	\$ 750,000,880	\$ 87,898,356	\$ 837,899,236	\$ 388,040,317
Investments	229,436,424	1,018,400	230,454,824	202,751,017
Receivables:				
Delinquent taxes, net of allowance for uncollectable accounts	13,641,326	-	13,641,326	242,106,911
Accounts and other	31,826,915	3,570,528	35,397,443	251,174,207
Inventories	659,013	-	659,013	-
Restricted Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	-	3,998,779	3,998,779	-
Accrued interest	1,187,838	-	1,187,838	145,193
Prepaid assets	22,150	102,826	124,976	113,145,979
Deposits	161,433	-	161,433	-
Total Current Assets	1,026,935,979	96,588,889	1,123,524,868	1,197,363,624
Noncurrent Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	-	909,982,000
Investments	-	-	-	29,195,694
Restricted assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	-	25,331,801	25,331,801	-
Investments	-	-	-	11,815,119
Other assets	-	-	-	-
Prepaid assets	-	1,655,396	1,655,396	-
Capital assets:				
Land	95,089,003	-	95,089,003	20,926,000
Equipment and construction in progress	454,040,413	-	454,040,413	142,699,051
Other capital assets, net of depreciation	1,325,778,325	194,590,867	1,520,369,192	1,050,979,000
Investment in joint venture	7,920,900	-	7,920,900	-
Total Noncurrent Assets	1,882,828,641	221,578,064	2,104,406,705	2,165,596,864
TOTAL ASSETS	2,909,764,620	318,166,953	3,227,931,573	3,362,960,488
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred charge on bond refunding	30,223,781	2,207,123	32,430,904	14,562,229
Other postemployment benefits	37,511,531	-	37,511,531	14,187,000
Pension	33,130,094	226,697	33,356,791	57,289,000
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$ 100,865,406	\$ 2,433,920	\$ 103,299,326	\$ 86,038,229
LIABILITIES				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 64,741,134	\$ 454,903	\$ 65,196,037	\$ 319,297,573
Due to other governmental units	24,494,904	6,428	24,501,332	-
Unearned revenue	389,849	-	389,849	2,097,000
Current portion of:				
Long-term liabilities	12,666,569	-	12,666,569	37,390,000
Payable from restricted assets:				
Contract retainage payable	6,098,837	-	6,098,837	-
Current portion of long-term debt	47,460,000	7,170,000	54,630,000	-
Accrued interest payable	22,858,906	2,059,521	24,918,427	2,040,868
Retainage Payable	-	-	-	2,048,498
Due to other governmental units	-	-	-	1,763,023
Total Current Liabilities	178,710,199	9,690,852	188,401,051	364,636,962
Noncurrent Liabilities				
Long-term liabilities	2,135,124,399	369,464,329	2,504,588,728	1,120,165,209
Due to other governments	-	-	-	17,974,789
Net pension liability	116,288,046	795,718	117,083,764	166,730,000
Claims payable	399,210	-	399,210	-
Net other post employment benefits liability	216,578,397	-	216,578,397	32,033,000
Estimated self-insurance reserves	-	-	-	5,173,000
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	2,468,390,052	370,260,047	2,838,650,099	1,342,075,998
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,647,100,251	379,950,899	3,027,051,150	1,706,712,960
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Other postemployment benefits	17,563,614	-	17,563,614	-
Pension	34,071,256	233,138	34,304,394	7,566,000
Property taxes	-	-	-	485,799,000
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	51,634,870	233,138	51,868,008	493,365,000
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	993,996,007	89,702,989	1,083,698,996	550,715,000
Restricted for:				
Debt service	71,651,773	29,119,340	100,771,113	1,242,623
Grants	15,400,100	-	15,400,100	-
Capital projects	222,136,269	-	222,136,269	28,408,093
Health care	-	-	-	47,991,000
Legislative	62,061,061	-	62,061,061	-
Restricted obligations	-	-	-	3,792,412
Unrestricted	(1,053,350,305)	(178,405,593)	(1,231,755,898)	616,771,629
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 311,894,905	\$ (59,583,264)	\$ 252,311,641	\$ 1,248,920,757

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Functions/Programs	Program Revenues			Net (Expenses) Revenues and Changes in Net Position				
	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total	Component Units
Governmental activities:								
General government	\$ 146,862,479	\$ 34,741,773	\$ 988,930	\$ -	\$ (111,131,776)	\$ -	\$ (111,131,776)	
Judicial	123,282,463	9,667,123	7,956,674	-	(105,658,666)	-	(105,658,666)	
Public safety	259,572,600	15,069,732	17,312,663	-	(227,190,205)	-	(227,190,205)	
Education and recreation	11,738,031	100	181,732	-	(11,556,199)	-	(11,556,199)	
Public works	169,920,446	20,337,681	-	94,758,101	(54,824,664)	-	(54,824,664)	
Health and public welfare	46,334,551	871,573	75,840,292	-	30,377,314	-	30,377,314	
Interest and other fees	85,574,502	-	-	-	(85,574,502)	-	(85,574,502)	
Unallocated depreciation	114,711	-	-	-	(114,711)	-	(114,711)	
Total governmental activities	843,399,783	80,687,982	102,280,291	94,758,101	(565,673,409)	-	(565,673,409)	
Business-type activities:								
Venue Fund	24,812,257	1,300,000	-	-	(23,512,257)	(23,512,257)	(23,512,257)	
Commissary Fund	5,459,942	6,041,632	-	-	681,690	681,690	681,690	
Firing Range Fund	243,781	2,630	-	-	(241,151)	(241,151)	(241,151)	
Parking Facilities Fund	880,957	1,035,444	-	-	154,487	154,487	154,487	
Total business-type activities	31,296,937	8,379,706	-	-	(22,917,231)	(22,917,231)	(22,917,231)	
Total primary government	874,696,720	89,067,688	102,280,291	94,758,101	(565,673,409)	(22,917,231)	(588,590,640)	
Component Units:								
University Health System	\$ 1,960,495,000	\$ 1,610,794,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (349,701,000)
Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District	20,320,414	-	-	-	-	-	-	(20,320,414)
Alamo Regional Mobility Authority	4,322,130	15,727,770	-	11,598,419	-	-	-	23,004,059
Total component units	\$ 1,985,137,544	\$ 1,626,521,770	\$ -	\$ 11,598,419	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (347,017,355)
General revenues:								
Taxes:								
Property taxes					451,399,503	-	451,399,503	460,011,976
Flood control taxes					39,029,142	-	39,029,142	-
Bingo taxes					1,206,616	-	1,206,616	-
Motor vehicle taxes					17,731,293	7,707,247	25,438,540	-
Occupancy taxes					-	11,250,594	11,250,594	3,365,972
Mixed drink taxes					7,610,133	-	7,610,133	-
Sale and use taxes					14,961,419	1,435,956	16,397,375	863,322
Unrestricted investment earnings					-	-	-	-
Investment income					31,137,863	16,979	31,154,842	35,441,361
Miscellaneous					375,951	-	375,951	12,091,000
Gain on disposal of assets					250,231	(250,231)	-	-
Transfers between governmental and business-type activities					563,702,151	20,160,545	583,862,696	511,773,631
Total general revenues, special items, and transfers					(1,971,258)	(2,756,686)	(4,727,944)	164,756,276
Change in net position					313,866,163	(56,826,578)	257,039,585	1,084,164,481
Net position - beginning					\$ 311,894,905	\$ (59,583,264)	\$ 252,311,641	\$ 1,248,920,757
Net position - ending								

32

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

33

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Bexar County, Texas
BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
Reconciliation of Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds to
Statement of Net Position
September 30, 2020

	Major Funds				\$ 905,425,503
	General	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS					
Cash and temporary investments	\$ 131,864,514	\$ 55,373,800	\$ 477,892,524	\$ 74,714,101	\$ 739,844,939
Investments	41,895,190	17,593,433	148,271,568	21,671,637	229,431,828
Receivables:					
Taxes, net	10,699,222	2,350,294	591,810	-	13,641,326
Accounts receivable, net	11,397,127	-	4,491,854	60,444	15,949,425
Due from other funds	138,304	-	-	-	138,304
Advances to other funds	4,840,184	-	-	-	4,840,184
Due from other governmental units	1,282,850	3,348,259	3,392,934	7,853,447	15,877,490
Accrued interest	1,187,838	-	-	-	1,187,838
Prepaid assets	21,174	-	-	-	21,174
Inventories	521,533	-	-	-	521,533
Deposits	151,433	-	-	-	151,433
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 203,999,169	\$ 78,665,786	\$ 634,640,690	\$ 104,299,629	\$1,021,605,274
LIABILITIES					
Vouchers payable	\$ 8,216,331	\$ 441,792	\$ 12,621,965	\$ 3,320,018	\$ 24,600,106
Accrued interest payable	-	260,335	-	-	260,335
Accrued liabilities	19,704,677	310,600	6,741,227	3,370,967	30,127,471
Due to other funds	-	-	-	30,857	30,857
Advances from other funds	-	-	4,330,184	400,000	4,730,184
Due to other governmental units	2,602,331	-	3,683,710	18,208,863	24,494,904
Unearned revenues	1,408	-	-	388,441	389,849
Contract retainage payable	-	-	6,098,837	-	6,098,837
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 30,524,747	\$ 1,012,727	\$ 33,475,923	\$ 25,719,146	\$ 90,732,543
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unavailable revenue - property taxes	10,854,378	2,377,415	602,417	-	13,834,210
Unavailable revenue - court costs and fines	8,264,759	-	-	-	8,264,759
Unavailable revenue - other	-	3,348,259	-	-	3,348,259
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$ 19,119,137	\$ 5,725,674	\$ 602,417	\$ -	\$ 25,447,228
FUND BALANCE					
Nonspendable	5,382,691	-	-	-	5,382,691
Restricted	-	71,927,385	600,562,350	77,461,161	749,950,896
Committed	-	-	-	1,119,322	1,119,322
Unassigned	148,972,594	-	-	-	148,972,594
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	\$ 154,355,285	\$ 71,927,385	\$ 600,562,350	\$ 78,580,483	\$ 905,425,503
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 203,999,169	\$ 78,665,786	\$ 634,640,690	\$ 104,299,629	\$1,021,605,274

Total Fund Balances - Governmental Funds \$ 905,425,503

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported as assets in governmental funds. 1,874,643,661

Investments in joint ventures are not financial resources and therefore are not reported as assets on governmental funds. 7,920,900

Certain receivables are not available and, therefore, are reported as deferred inflows of resources in governmental funds. 11,613,018

Certain receivables will be collected this year, but are not available soon enough to pay for the current period's expenditures, and therefore are reported as deferred inflows of resources in governmental funds. 13,834,210

Internal service funds are used by the County's management to charge the cost of self-insurance, fleet maintenance, records management, and other post-employment benefits to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included with governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position but are not included at the fund level. (197,170,559)

Long-term liabilities, including notes and bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported as liabilities in the funds. (1,933,060,000)

Bonds Deferred charge on refunding (to be amortized as interest expense) 30,223,781

 Issuance premium (to be amortized as interest expense) (211,524,693)

 Accrued interest (22,598,571)

 Compensated absences (50,666,275)

 Deferred outflows of resources - pension 32,993,555

 Deferred inflows of resources - pension (33,930,838)

 Net pension liability (115,808,787)

(2,304,371,828)

\$ 311,894,905

Total Net Position - Governmental Activities

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Major Funds				Total Governmental Funds
	General	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	
REVENUES					
Ad valorem taxes	\$ 382,072,235	\$ 87,078,302	\$ 19,515,128	\$ -	\$ 488,665,665
Other taxes, licenses, and permits	27,099,934	-	18,550,370	-	45,650,304
Intergovernmental revenue	7,494,064	1,392,392	7,465,424	98,014,285	114,366,165
Court costs and fines	10,217,027	-	524,313	3,621,867	14,363,207
Fees on motor vehicles	5,440,843	-	15,794,548	-	21,235,391
Other fees	23,210,928	-	1,321,558	14,777,485	39,309,971
Commissions from governmental units	5,554,777	-	-	-	5,554,777
Revenues from use of assets	6,769,695	7,766,387	1,274,561	940,652	16,751,295
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	4,515,319	-	26,450,438	104,593	31,070,350
TOTAL REVENUES	472,354,822	96,237,081	90,896,340	117,458,882	776,947,125

EXPENDITURES					
Current					
General government	94,727,136	-	1,014,822	8,364,842	104,106,800
Judicial	107,998,321	-	-	8,921,055	116,919,376
Public safety	190,405,187	-	945,264	53,844,778	245,195,229
Education and recreation	7,680,733	-	500,589	1,788,204	9,969,526
Public works	7,074,294	-	76,862,707	1,982,079	85,919,080
Health and public welfare	7,360,012	-	-	38,669,104	46,029,116
Capital expenditures	374,471	-	76,133,320	343,865	76,851,656
Debt service:					
Principal	-	43,515,000	-	-	43,515,000
Interest	-	85,358,626	-	-	85,358,626
Bond issuance cost	-	4,742,143	-	-	4,742,143
Debt service SARA	-	3,133,040	-	-	3,133,040
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	415,620,154	136,748,809	155,456,702	113,913,927	821,739,592

Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures (40,511,728) (64,560,362) 3,544,955 (44,792,467)

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Interfund transfers in	113,445	23,409,305	419,519	5,584,188	29,526,457
Interfund transfers out	(9,064,545)	-	(22,652,280)	(1,096,135)	(32,812,960)
Issuance of long term debt	-	-	122,355,000	-	122,355,000
Issuance of refunding bonds	-	439,085,000	-	-	439,085,000
Payment to refunded debt paying agent	-	(472,518,817)	-	-	(472,518,817)
Premium on bond issues	-	37,412,868	18,601,771	-	56,014,639
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(8,951,100)	27,388,356	118,724,010	4,488,053	141,649,319

Net change in fund balances	47,783,568	(13,123,372)	54,163,648	8,033,008	96,856,852
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	106,571,717	85,050,757	546,398,702	70,547,475	808,568,651
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ 154,355,285	\$ 71,927,385	\$ 600,562,350	\$ 78,580,483	\$ 905,425,503

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Reconciliation of Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds to Statement of Activities

For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Net Change in Fund Balances - Total Governmental Funds \$ 96,856,852

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. 76,851,656

Depreciation expense for capital assets that is allocated over their estimated useful lives. (105,998,363)

Capital asset donations 68,005,724

Investments in joint ventures (511,229)

The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, notes) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items. (144,935,822)

Debt issued: 439,085,000

 General obligation bonds (122,355,000)

 Certificates of obligation 472,518,817

Payment to refunded debt paying agent (56,014,639)

Premiums (43,515,000)

Bond principal payments 43,515,000

Some expenses in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Accrued interest on debt 2,315,022

Amortization of debt premium 9,313,238

Amortization of deferred charges (7,122,877)

Compensated absences (1,345,798)

Net pension liability (9,633,581) (6,473,996)

Because some revenues will not be collected for several months after the County's fiscal year end, they are not considered "available" revenues and are deferred in the governmental funds. Deferred inflows of resources decreased by this amount in the current period. (2,677,818)

Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as insurance and fleet maintenance, to individual funds. The net revenue (expense) of certain activities of internal service funds is reported with governmental activities. (26,603,262)

Change in Net Position - Governmental Activities \$ (1,971,258)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
September 30, 2020

	Enterprise Funds		
	Community Venue Fund	Nonmajor Enterprise Fund	Internal Service Funds
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash, cash equivalents	\$ 84,680,984	\$ 3,217,372	\$ 10,155,941
Investments	-	1,018,400	4,596
Receivables:			
Accounts	650,000	465,672	-
Due from other governmental units	2,454,856	-	2,454,856
Inventories	-	-	137,680
Restricted assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	3,998,779	-	3,998,779
Deposits	-	-	10,000
Prepaid assets	102,826	-	976
Accrued interest	-	-	-
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	<u>91,887,445</u>	<u>4,701,444</u>	<u>10,309,193</u>
Noncurrent assets:			
Prepaid assets	1,655,396	-	1,655,396
Restricted assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	25,331,801	-	25,331,801
Capital assets:			
Buildings and improvements	281,281,306	-	125,708
Equipment	12,174,366	763,559	1,087,589
Reference library	-	38,960	38,960
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(99,059,212)	(608,112)	(949,217)
TOTAL NONCURRENT ASSETS	<u>221,383,657</u>	<u>194,407</u>	<u>264,080</u>
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>313,271,102</u>	<u>4,895,851</u>	<u>10,573,273</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Deferred charge on refundings	2,207,123	-	2,207,123
Pension	10,078	216,619	136,539
OPEB	-	-	37,511,531
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	<u>\$ 2,217,201</u>	<u>\$ 216,619</u>	<u>\$ 37,648,070</u>
LIABILITIES			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 21,267	\$ 225,282	\$ 246,549
Claims payable	-	-	9,318,116
Accrued liabilities	1,832	206,522	208,354
Due to other funds	-	-	-
Due to other governmental units	-	6,428	6,428
Payable from restricted assets:			
Accrued interest payable	2,059,521	-	2,059,521
Revenue bonds payable	7,170,000	-	7,170,000
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	<u>9,252,620</u>	<u>438,232</u>	<u>9,690,852</u>
Noncurrent liabilities:			
Advances from other funds	-	-	110,000
Revenue bonds payable	369,464,329	-	369,464,329
Net pension liability	35,376	760,342	479,259
Claims payable	-	-	399,210
OPEB obligation	-	-	216,578,397
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	<u>369,499,705</u>	<u>760,342</u>	<u>217,566,866</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES	<u>378,752,325</u>	<u>1,198,574</u>	<u>379,950,899</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Pension	10,365	222,773	233,138
OPEB	-	-	17,563,614
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	<u>10,365</u>	<u>222,773</u>	<u>233,138</u>
NET POSITION			
Net investment in capital assets	89,508,583	194,406	89,702,989
Restricted for debt service and grant payments	29,119,340	-	29,119,340
Unrestricted	(181,902,310)	3,496,717	(178,405,593)
TOTAL NET POSITION	<u>\$(63,274,387)</u>	<u>\$ 3,691,123</u>	<u>\$(197,170,559)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Enterprise Funds		Total	Internal Service Funds
	Community Venue Fund	Nonmajor Enterprise Funds		
OPERATING REVENUES				
Premiums	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,957,456
Records management storage fees	-	-	-	150,000
Employee clinic fees	-	-	-	12,885
Commissary sales	-	6,041,632	6,041,632	-
Fleet maintenance sales	-	-	-	962,272
License fees	1,300,000	-	1,300,000	-
User fees	-	1,038,074	1,038,074	210,158
Other income	16,249	730	16,979	1,382,468
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	1,316,249	7,080,436	8,396,685	65,675,239
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Administrative fees	-	-	-	3,662,879
Claims expenses	-	-	-	64,387,050
Insurance expenses	-	-	-	674,447
OPER costs	-	-	-	24,594,216
Personnel costs	114,462	2,444,872	2,559,334	1,598,732
Rent and utilities	-	-	-	68,233
Purchased services	749,842	3,446,200	4,196,042	608,785
Supplies	-	345,231	345,231	129,974
Repairs and maintenance	132,289	155,262	287,551	41,677
Depreciation and amortization	7,167,730	93,115	7,260,845	105,230
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	8,164,323	6,484,680	14,649,003	95,871,223
Net operating income (loss)	(6,848,074)	595,756	(6,252,318)	(30,195,984)
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)				
Hotel occupancy tax	11,250,594	-	11,250,594	-
Motor vehicle tax	7,707,247	-	7,707,247	-
Investment income	1,388,195	47,761	1,435,956	55,988
Interest expenses	(16,647,934)	-	(16,647,934)	-
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)	3,698,102	47,761	3,745,863	55,988
Income (loss) before transfers	(3,149,972)	643,517	(2,506,455)	(30,139,996)
Interfund transfers in	-	199,769	199,769	9,260,510
Interfund transfers out	-	(450,000)	(450,000)	(5,723,776)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	(250,231)	(250,231)	3,536,734
Change in net position	(3,149,972)	393,286	(2,756,686)	(26,603,262)
Net position at beginning of year	(60,124,415)	3,297,837	(56,826,578)	(170,567,297)
Net position at end of year	\$(63,274,387)	\$ 3,691,123	\$(59,583,264)	\$(197,170,559)

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Enterprise Funds		Total Business-type Activities	Internal Service Funds
	Community Venue Fund	Nonmajor Enterprise Funds		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Cash received for premiums	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,324,119
Cash received for employee clinic fees	-	-	-	12,885
Cash received for fleet maintenance services	-	-	-	978,058
Cash received for records management storage	-	-	-	150,000
Cash received for print shop	-	-	-	210,177
Cash received for commissary sales	-	5,920,587	5,920,587	-
Cash received for parking fees	-	1,035,585	1,035,585	-
Cash received for firing range fees	-	2,630	2,630	-
Cash received for license fee	650,000	-	650,000	-
Payments to other governmental units	(2,564)	-	(2,564)	-
Payments to suppliers	(855,063)	(4,258,820)	(5,113,883)	(5,942,066)
Payments to employees for services	(112,644)	(2,464,409)	(2,577,053)	(1,600,324)
Claims paid	-	-	-	(62,917,845)
Net cash provided (used) for operating activities	(317,707)	233,009	(84,698)	(4,784,996)
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Taxes received	21,200,045	-	21,200,045	-
Transfer from other funds	-	199,769	199,769	9,367,957
Transfer to other funds	-	-	-	(5,723,776)
Payments for Venue projects	158,556	-	158,556	-
Principal payments on noncapital debt	(3,590,000)	-	(3,590,000)	-
Interest payments on noncapital debt	(11,595,072)	-	(11,595,072)	-
Net cash provided for noncapital financing activities	6,173,529	199,769	6,373,298	3,644,181
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Principal payments on capital debt	(2,925,000)	-	(2,925,000)	-
Interest payments on capital debt	(5,529,029)	-	(5,529,029)	-
Transfer to other funds	-	(450,000)	(450,000)	-
Net cash (used) for capital and related financing activities	(8,454,029)	(450,000)	(8,904,029)	-
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Investment sales	39,779,121	2,220,283	41,999,404	302,172
Investment earnings	1,388,199	47,761	1,435,960	55,988
Net cash provided (used) for investing activities	41,167,320	2,268,044	43,435,364	358,160
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	38,569,113	2,250,822	40,819,935	(782,655)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	75,442,451	966,550	76,409,001	10,938,596
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ 114,011,564	\$ 3,217,372	\$ 117,228,936	\$ 10,155,941

41

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

40

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
FIDUCIARY FUNDS
September 30, 2020

	Enterprise Funds			Total Business-type Activities	Internal Service Funds
	Community Venue Fund	Nonmajor Enterprise Funds			
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) for operating activities:					
Operating income (loss)	\$ (6,848,074)	\$ 595,756	\$ (6,252,318)	\$ (30,195,984)	
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) for operating activities:					
Amortization expense	102,826	-	102,826	-	
Depreciation expense	7,064,906	93,115	7,158,021	105,230	
Change in net position:					
Decrease in inventories	-	-	-	20,872	
(Increase) in accounts receivable	(650,000)	(121,634)	(771,634)	-	
(Increase) in prepaids	-	-	-	(791)	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	10,817	(345,450)	(334,633)	(701,806)	
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities	(76)	12,995	12,919	(79,494)	
Increase in claims payable	-	-	-	1,469,206	
Increase in net pension liability	1,894	791	2,685	3,556	
Increase in OPEB obligation	-	-	-	24,594,215	
(Decrease) in due to other governmental units	-	(2,564)	(2,564)	-	
Net cash provided (used) for operating activities	\$ (317,707)	\$ 233,009	\$ (84,698)	\$ (4,784,996)	

Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents on Statement of Cash Flows to Statement of Net Position

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 84,680,984	\$ 3,217,372	\$ 87,898,356	\$ 10,155,941
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	29,330,580	-	29,330,580	-
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 114,011,564	\$ 3,217,372	\$ 117,228,936	\$ 10,155,941

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 114,523,529
Accounts receivable	9,585,107
Deferred outflows of resources - pension	2,291,983
Due from other governmental units	97,228
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 126,497,847

LIABILITIES

Vouchers payable	\$ 677,505
Accrued liabilities	9,569,932
Due to participants	60,010,368
Deferred inflows of resources - pension	2,357,094
Due to other governmental units	53,882,948
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 126,497,847

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION - COMPONENT UNITS
 September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
COMPONENT UNITS
 For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	University Health System	Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District	Alamo Regional Mobility Authority	Total
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash, cash equivalents, and temporary investments	308,321,000	4,480,379	75,238,938	\$ 388,040,317
Investments	180,923,000	-	21,828,017	202,751,017
Receivables:				
Delinquent taxes, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts	-	31,911	-	242,106,911
Accounts and other	245,075,000	327,041	4,456,166	251,174,207
Prepaid expenses and other assets	113,138,000	-	153,172	113,291,172
Total Current Assets	1,090,848,000	4,839,331	101,676,293	1,197,363,624
Noncurrent Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	909,982,000	-	-	909,982,000
Investments	19,957,000	-	9,238,694	29,195,694
Restricted assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	-	-	-	-
Investments	-	-	-	-
Other assets	11,618,000	-	197,119	11,815,119
Capital assets:				
Land	20,926,000	-	-	20,926,000
Equipment and construction in progress	93,038,000	-	49,461,051	142,699,051
Other capital assets, net of depreciation	1,050,979,000	-	-	1,050,979,000
Total Noncurrent Assets	2,106,500,000	-	59,096,864	2,165,596,864
TOTAL ASSETS	3,197,348,000	4,839,331	160,773,157	3,362,960,488
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred charge on bond refunding	14,018,000	544,229	-	14,562,229
Other postemployment benefits	14,187,000	-	-	14,187,000
Pensions	57,289,000	-	-	57,289,000
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	85,494,000	544,229	-	86,038,229
LIABILITIES				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	314,208,000	3,445	5,086,128	319,297,573
Unearned revenue	2,097,000	-	-	2,097,000
Current portion of:				
Long-term liabilities	31,525,000	3,975,000	2,090,000	37,590,000
Accrued interest payable	-	481,691	1,559,177	2,040,868
Retainage Payable	-	-	2,048,498	2,048,498
Due to other governments	-	-	1,765,023	1,765,023
Total Current Liabilities	347,630,000	4,460,136	12,546,826	364,636,962
Noncurrent Liabilities				
Long-term liabilities	878,253,000	121,628,146	120,284,063	1,120,165,209
Due to other governments	-	-	17,974,789	17,974,789
Net pension liability	166,730,000	-	-	166,730,000
Net other post employment benefits liability	32,033,000	-	-	32,033,000
Estimated self-insurance costs	5,173,000	-	-	5,173,000
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	1,082,189,000	121,628,146	138,258,852	1,342,075,998
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,429,819,000	126,088,282	150,805,678	1,706,712,960
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Other postemployment benefits	-	-	-	-
Pensions	7,566,000	-	-	7,566,000
Property taxes	485,799,000	-	-	485,799,000
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	493,365,000	-	-	493,365,000
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	550,715,000	-	-	550,715,000
Restricted for:				
Debt service	-	-	1,242,623	1,242,623
Capital projects	-	-	28,408,093	28,408,093
Health care	47,991,000	-	-	47,991,000
Restricted obligations	-	3,792,412	-	3,792,412
Unrestricted	760,952,000	(124,497,134)	(19,683,237)	616,771,629
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 1,359,638,000	\$ (120,704,722)	\$ 9,967,479	\$ 1,248,920,757

	University Health System	Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District	Alamo Regional Mobility Authority	Total
Expenses				
Total expenses	\$ 1,960,495,000	\$ 20,320,414	\$ 4,322,130	\$ 1,985,137,544
Revenues				
Program Revenues:				
Charges for services	1,610,794,000	-	15,727,770	1,626,521,770
Capital grants and contributions	-	-	11,598,419	11,598,419
Total program revenues	1,610,794,000	-	27,326,189	1,638,120,189
Total program	(349,701,000)	(20,320,414)	23,004,059	(347,017,355)
General Revenues				
Taxes:				
Property taxes	454,415,000	5,596,976	-	460,011,976
Occupancy taxes	-	3,365,972	-	3,365,972
Sales and use taxes	-	863,322	-	863,322
Investment income	33,622,000	76,767	1,742,594	35,441,361
Miscellaneous	12,091,000	-	-	12,091,000
Total general revenues	500,128,000	9,903,037	1,742,594	511,773,631
Change in net position	150,427,000	(10,417,377)	24,746,653	164,756,276
Net position, beginning (Restated - See Note S)	1,209,231,000	(110,287,345)	(14,779,174)	1,084,164,481
Net position, ending	\$ 1,359,638,000	\$ (120,704,722)	\$ 9,967,479	\$ 1,248,920,757

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of Bexar County (the County) have been prepared in conformance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applicable to local governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting standards. The following is a summary of the more significant policies of the County.

1. The Reporting Entity

The County (the primary government in these financial statements) is governed by Commissioners Court. The Court is comprised of five elected officials consisting of the County Judge (elected County-wide) and four commissioners (elected by precinct).

In evaluating how to define the County for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GASB Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, GASB Statement No. 39, *Determining Whether Certain Organizations are Component Units* and GASB Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus*. In accordance with these standards, a financial reporting entity consists of the primary government and its component units. Component units are legally separate entities for which the elected officials of the County are financially accountable, or the relationship to the County is such that exclusion would cause the County's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. Although blended component units are legally separate entities, they function as an integral part of the primary government and have their data blended with the primary government. All discretely presented component units, on the other hand, are reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements.

The criteria used to determine whether an organization is a component unit of the County and whether it is a discretely presented or a blended component unit includes: whether the County appoints a voting majority of the component's board and has the ability to impose its will on the component unit or a financial benefit or burden relationship exists between the County and component unit; whether the component unit is fiscally dependent on the County and a financial benefit or burden relationship exists; whether the component unit has substantively the same governing body as the primary government and a financial benefit or burden relationship exists or management (below the level of elected officials) of the primary government has operational responsibility for the activities of the component unit; whether services are provided entirely or almost entirely to the primary government; and whether the total debt of the component unit is repayable (almost) entirely from resources of the primary government.

Blended with the Primary Government. The relationship between the following component units and the County meet the criteria, for inclusion as part of the reporting entity as blended component units.

Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation

The Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation (BCHFC) is a Texas public, non-profit corporation created in accordance with the Texas Housing Finance Corporations Act. Pursuant to the Act, the BCHFC is authorized to finance residential housing by issuing tax-exempt revenue bonds to acquire mortgage loans made to low or moderate income persons, and to pledge such mortgage loans as security for the payment of the principal and interest of such revenue bonds. The tax-exempt bonds issued by the BCHFC do not constitute a debt or a pledge of faith or credit of the BCHFC or the County, but are payable by the user pursuant to terms defined in the loan agreement underlying each issue. Interest received on the bonds is generally exempt from federal income tax under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code. The BCHFC is governed by a five-member Board of Directors which is comprised of the Bexar County Commissioners Court. In addition, management (below the level of the elected officials) of the primary government has operational responsibility for the activities of the component unit.

Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation

The Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation (BCHFDC) is a Texas public, non-profit corporation created on April 21, 1983 in accordance with the Texas Health Facilities Development Act of 1981. The BCHFDC's purpose is to acquire, construct, provide, improve, finance and refinance health facilities to assist the maintenance of the public health. The tax-exempt bonds issued by the BCHFDC do not constitute a debt or a pledge of faith or credit of the BCHFDC or the County, but are payable by the user pursuant to terms defined in the loan agreement underlying each issue. Interest received on the bonds is generally exempt from federal income tax under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code. The BCHFDC is governed by a five-member Board of Directors which is comprised of the Bexar County Commissioners Court. In addition, management



The Founders Monument in front of the Bexar County Courthouse
Photo by Adnan Ahmetovic

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

1. The Reporting Entity (Continued)

Discretely Presented Component Units (Continued)

Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District (The District)

The Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District (the District) is a public improvement district created by an order of the Commissioners Court of Bexar County on September 1, 2005, pursuant to Chapter 372 of the Texas Local Government Code. The purpose of the District was to induce the developer to construct a major hotel and two golf courses as well as supporting infrastructure and to provide land and construct facilities for conservation, parks, recreation and open space within the District.

The criteria used to determine inclusion as a discretely presented component unit are: The Board of Directors is comprised of seven members, as appointed by Commissioners Court, and the District cannot issue bonded debt without Commissioners Court approval. The District's financial information presented in the government-wide financial statements is as of, and for the year ended, September 30, 2020, which is the latest audited District financial information available. Complete financial statements of the District may be obtained from the component unit's administrative office:

The District's General Counsel
7550 W-1H 10
San Antonio, Texas 78229

Alamo Regional Mobility Authority (The Authority)

The Bexar County Regional Mobility Authority was approved by the Texas Department of Transportation ("TXDOT") on December 18, 2003 as a political subdivision of the State of Texas under the Texas Transportation Code, Chapter 370. The County formally approved the conditions of TXDOT on January 14, 2004. The Bexar County Regional Mobility Authority held its first meeting on April 13, 2004. On October 14, 2004, the Bexar County Regional Mobility Authority formally changed its name to Alamo Regional Mobility Authority (The Authority).

The Authority's powers and duties are enumerated under Texas Transportation Code, Chapter 370, and include authority to borrow monies and issue bonds to finance transportation projects. The Authority is governed by a seven-member Board of Directors. Six are appointed by Bexar County Commissioners Court, and the Chairman is appointed by the Governor of the State of Texas. In fiscal year 2013, Bexar County took over the day-to-day business activity of the Authority. The Authority is currently being managed by the Offices of the County Manager and the Public Works Department. David Smith, the Bexar County Manager, is also the Executive Director of the Authority.

The Authority was established in 2004 by the Bexar County Commissioners Court with the intent to partner with the Texas Department of Transportation (TXDOT); the San Antonio-Bexar County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and Bexar County to leverage funding and bring needed relief to the traffic congestion impacting the Bexar County area.

The criteria used to determine inclusion as a discretely presented component unit are: The Authority is governed by a seven-member Board of Directors. Six are appointed by Bexar County Commissioners Court, and the Chairman is appointed by the Governor of the State of Texas. The Authority's financial information presented in the government-wide financial statements is as of, and for the year ended, September 30, 2020. Complete financial statements of the Authority may be obtained from the component unit's administrative office:

Alamo Regional Mobility Authority
c/o Bexar County Public Works
1948 Probandt Street,
San Antonio, TX 78214

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

1. The Reporting Entity (Continued)

Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation (Continued)

(below the level of the elected officials) of the primary government has operational responsibility for the activities of the component unit.

Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation

The Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation (BCIDC) is a Texas public, non-profit corporation created on July 29, 1981, in accordance with the Texas Development Corporation Act of 1979. The BCIDC's purpose is to issue bonds on behalf of the County, to finance projects as defined in the Act in order to promote and develop industrial and manufacturing enterprises thus encouraging employment and improving the public welfare. The tax-exempt bonds issued by the BCIDC do not constitute a debt or pledge of faith or credit of the BCIDC or the County, but are payable by the user pursuant to terms defined in the loan agreement underlying each issue. Interest received on the bonds is generally exempt from federal income tax under Section 105 of the Internal Revenue Code. The BCIDC is governed by a five-member Board of Directors, which is comprised of the Bexar County Commissioners Court. In addition, management (below the level of the elected officials) of the primary government has operational responsibility for the activities of the component unit. Separate audited financial statements are not prepared for the BCIDC.

Separate, audited financial statements for BCHFC and the BCHFDC corporations are available from the County Auditor's Office, 101 W. Nueva Street, Suite 800, San Antonio, Texas 78205.

Discretely Presented Component Units The relationship between the following component units and the County is such that they meet the criteria, as set forth in GASB Statement No. 14, for inclusion as discretely presented component units in the reporting entity:

University Health System (The System)

The Bexar County Hospital District, d/b/a University Health System, Bexar County, Texas (the System), is a political subdivision of the State of Texas, and is comprised of University Hospital, University Health System - Robert E. Green Campus, University Family Health Centers, University Center for Community Health, University Dialysis Centers, and Correctional Health Care Services. The System receives support from its supporting organization, the University Health System Foundation (the Foundation), a non-profit corporation established in 1984 to provide charitable, scientific and educational activities, and to raise funds on behalf of the System. The System serves as the major teaching facility for The University of Texas Health Science Center (UTHSC). The System is exempt from federal income taxes under section 115(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The System formed Community First Health Plans, Inc. (CFHP), a non-profit corporation which operates as an HMO. CFHP is exempt from federal income tax under Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code. CFHP has agreements with plan sponsors, including the System, to arrange health service benefits for subscribing participants. Under these agreements, CFHP receives monthly capitation payments based on the number of each plan sponsor's participants, regardless of services performed. In addition, CFHP receives supplementary delivery payments under the Medicaid program. The System is presented as an enterprise fund type.

The criteria used to determine inclusion as a significant discretely presented component unit are: Commissioners Court appoints members of the System's Board of Managers; Commissioners Court approves the System's tax rate and annual budget; and, the System cannot issue bonded debt without Commissioners Court approval. Furthermore, the System's total net position in relation to the total primary government's net position is such that to exclude essential disclosures from the County's financial statements as they pertain to the System would be misleading. Therefore, relevant disclosures have been included in the County's financial statements. The System's financial information presented in the government-wide financial statements is as of, and for the year ended, December 31, 2019, which is the latest audited System financial information available. Complete financial statements of the System may be obtained from the component unit's administrative office:

University Health System
4502 Medical Drive
San Antonio, Texas 78229

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

2. Government-wide Financial Statements

Government-wide financial statements consist of the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities. These statements report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. Governmental activities are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues. They are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely, to a significant extent, on fees and charges for support.

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities and deferred inflows are incurred, regardless of the timing of cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of the County's programs are offset by those programs' revenues. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by the function or program and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program or function. Program revenues for governmental activities include those generated from general government, judicial, public safety, education and recreation, public works, and health and public welfare. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

For proprietary funds, all revenues and expenses are classified as operating revenues and expenses except for taxes, investment income, interest expense, grant payments which are classified as non-operating revenues and expenses.

The effects of interfund direct activity for changes based on actual use are not eliminated whereas indirect expense allocations are reversed and have been eliminated for the government-wide financial statements.

3. Fund Level Financial Statements

All governmental funds use the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they become both measurable and available). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period, or soon enough thereafter, to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. The County considers revenues as available if they are collected within 60 days after year end. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred. Debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property tax revenues, the County's primary revenue source, is susceptible to accrual and is considered available to the extent of delinquent taxes collected within 60 days of the fiscal year end. Grant and entitlement revenues are also susceptible to accrual. Encumbrances are used during the year, unliquidated items lapse as of year-end.

Governmental funds are accounted for on a spending "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that only current assets and deferred outflows, and current liabilities and deferred inflows are generally included on their balance sheets. Their reported fund balance (net current assets) is considered a measure of "available spendable resources." Governmental fund operating statements present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets. Accordingly, they are said to present a summary of sources and uses of "available spendable resources" during a period.

All proprietary funds, including the enterprise funds and internal service funds are accounted for using the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses when they are incurred. Claims incurred but not reported are included in payables and expenses. This means that all assets and deferred outflows and liabilities and deferred inflows (whether current or non-current) associated with their activity are included in the funds' statement of net position. The agency funds are also reported using the accrual basis of accounting. The agency funds are custodial in nature and involve no measurement of results of operations.

The County's accounts are organized based on funds, each of which is considered to be a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts, which are comprised of each fund's assets and deferred outflows, liabilities and deferred inflows, net position/fund balance, revenues and expenditures or expenses. Separate financial

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

3. Fund Level Financial Statements (Continued)

statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds and fiduciary funds. The County reports various Agency Funds which are fiduciary in nature, accordingly the fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. The County reports the following major funds:

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

General Fund

The General Fund accounts for the resources used to finance the fundamental operations of the County. It is the basic fund of the County and covers all activities for which a special revenue fund has not been established.

Debt Service Fund

This fund is used to account for the accumulation of resources for and the payment of principal and interest on long-term debt of governmental funds.

Capital Projects Fund

This fund is used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities and is principally financed by the sale of bonds or certificates of obligation, certain vehicle registration fees, and capital grants.

PROPRIETARY FUNDS

Community Venue Fund

The Community Venue Fund is used to account for the development, financing, construction, leasing, management, operations and marketing of a multi-purpose arena and its related infrastructure. Additionally, the taxpayers of Bexar County approved an expanded use of the Venue tax in May 2008. As a result, numerous sports and tourist related facilities have been constructed and/or improved throughout the County. See Note F for County expenses on assets owned by other entities. The tax revenues and construction costs are recorded in the Community Venue Fund.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses are the result of providing services in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating expenses for the enterprise funds include administrative expenses and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenue and expenses.

Nonmajor enterprise funds consist of the Sheriff's Commissary Fund, the Parking Facilities Fund, and the Firing Range Fund. The Sheriff's Commissary Fund is used to account for commissary sales to inmates housed in the Bexar County Jail. The Parking Facilities Fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of the parking facilities. The Firing Range Fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of the firing range. The parking facilities and firing range are intended to be financed primarily through user charges.

Additionally, the County uses internal service funds to account for County vehicle maintenance, self-insurance (medical benefits, workers' compensation, and property and liability insurance coverage), other post-employment benefits, and the expenses of a records management center facility. The principal operating revenue of the County's internal service funds are from user fees assessed to participants or service fees charged to other funds.

The principal operating expenses for the internal service funds include administrative, claims, insurance, and personnel expenses. A complete description of the County's internal service funds can be found on page 183.

The County also uses various revenue funds to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources for specified purposes.

AGENCY FUNDS

Agency funds are used to account for assets held by the County as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments and other funds. A complete description of the County's agency funds can be found on page 189.

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

4. Budget

Primary Government

Annual budgets are legally approved and adopted for the general fund, special revenue funds, and debt service fund. Annual budgets are adopted for the special revenue and grant funds at the aggregate level by function. Budgets for grants are employed as a management control device in order to comply with grant provisions. All appropriations expire at the end of the fiscal year except for grant and capital project funds, many of which are funded for periods longer than one year.

Formal budgetary integration is employed for the general fund, special revenue funds, and the debt service fund. Capital project programs within the capital project fund are project oriented rather than by period. Therefore, project-length budgets are adopted based on resource allocation, and appropriations at year-end are carried forward to subsequent periods until the project is completed. Formal budget integration is employed by the County with regards to the internal service funds. All budgets are prepared on the modified accrual basis.

Commissioners Court historically adopts an annual budget and appropriates a portion of the available unassigned fund balance to provide resources for those issues that arise during the fiscal year that could not be anticipated at the time the budget was adopted. An expenditure line item is created to serve as a contingency to draw from as needed. At year end, the County closes the unused portion of the revenue and expenditure line items to budgetary fund balance. During the year, the debt service fund budget was exceeded for the following functions: principal (\$415,000), bond issuance cost (\$3,742,143) and debt service SARA (\$151,876).

The Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation, the Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation, and the Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation funds do not have legally adopted budgets.

5. Proprietary Fund Accounting

Primary Government

The County has implemented GASB Statement No. 62, *Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements*.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

6. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Primary Government

The GASB has issued Statement No. 84, "Fiduciary Activities." The objective of this Statement is to improve guidance regarding the identification of fiduciary activities for accounting and financial reporting purposes and how those activities should be reported. This Statement establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities of all state and local governments. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. GASB 84 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2021 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 87, "Leases." The objective of this Statement is to better meet the information needs of financial statement users by improving accounting and financial reporting for leases by governments. This Statement increases the usefulness of governments' financial statements by requiring recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provision of the contract. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021. GASB 87 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2022 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 89, "Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred before the End of a Construction Period." The objectives of this Statement are (1) to enhance the relevance and comparability of information about capital assets and the cost of borrowing for a reporting period and (2) to simplify accounting for interest cost incurred before the end of a construction period. This Statement also reiterates that in financial statements prepared using the current financial resources measurement focus, interest cost incurred before the end of a construction period should be recognized as an expenditure on a basis consistent with governmental fund accounting principles. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2020. GASB 89 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2022 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 90, "Majority Equity Interests." The objectives of this Statement are to improve the consistency and comparability of reporting a government's majority equity interest in a legally separate organization and to improve the relevance of financial statement information for certain component units. It defines a majority equity interest and specifies that a majority equity interest in a legally separate organization should be reported as an investment if a government's holding of the equity interest meets the definition of an investment. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. GASB 90 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2021 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 91, "Conduit Debt Obligations." The objectives of this Statement are to provide a single method of reporting conduit debt obligations by issuers and eliminate diversity in practice associated with (1) commitments extended by issuers, (2) arrangements associated with conduit debt obligations, and (3) related note disclosures. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2021. GASB 91 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2023 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 92, "Omnibus 2020." The objectives of this Statement are to enhance comparability in accounting and financial reporting and to improve the consistency of authoritative literature by addressing practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. More comparable reporting will improve the usefulness of information for users of state and local government financial statements. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021. GASB 92 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2022 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 93, "Replacement of Interbank Offered Rates." The objectives of this Statement is to address accounting and financial reporting implications that result from the replacement of an interbank offered rate (IBOR). The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021. GASB 93 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2022 and the impact has not yet been determined.

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

6. Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

The GASB has issued Statement No. 94, "Public-Private and Public-Public Partnerships and Availability Payment Arrangements." The objectives of this Statement is to improve financial reporting by addressing issues related to public-private and public-public partnership arrangements (PPPs). The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2022. GASB 94 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2023 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 95, "Postponement of the Effective Dates of Certain Authoritative Guidance." The objectives of this Statement is to provide temporary relief to governments and other stakeholders in light of the COVID-19 pandemic by postponing the effective dates of certain provisions in Statements and Implementation Guides that first became effective or are scheduled to become effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2018, and later. The implementation of GASB 95 is reflected in the financial statements.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 96, "Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements." The objectives of this Statement is to provide guidance on the accounting and financial reporting for subscription-based information technology arrangements (SBITAs) for government end users (governments). This Statement (1) defines a SBITA; (2) establishes that a SBITA results in a right-to-use subscription asset—an intangible asset—and a corresponding subscription liability; (3) provides the capitalization criteria for outlays other than subscription payments, including implementation costs of a SBITA; and (4) requires note disclosures regarding a SBITA. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2022. GASB 96 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2023 and the impact has not yet been determined.

The GASB has issued Statement No. 97, "Certain Component Unit Criteria, and Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans." The objectives of this Statement are to (1) increase consistency and comparability related to the reporting of fiduciary component units in circumstances in which a potential component unit does not have a governing board and the primary government performs the duties that a governing board typically would perform; (2) mitigate costs associated with the reporting of certain defined contribution pension plans, defined contribution other postemployment benefit (OPEB) plans, and employee benefit plans other than pension plans or OPEB plans (other employee benefit plans) as fiduciary component units in fiduciary fund financial statements; and (3) enhance the relevance, consistency, and comparability of the accounting and financial reporting for Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 457 deferred compensation plans (Section 457 plans) that meet the definition of a pension plan and for benefits provided through those plans. The requirements of this Statement that affect the County are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021. GASB 97 will be implemented by the County in fiscal year 2022 and the impact has not yet been determined.

7. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Temporary Investments

Primary Government

For purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows, cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments with a maturity date within three months of the date acquired by the County. Temporary investments consist of a money market fund and funds invested in local government investment pools, which is permitted under the Public Funds Investment Act. Investments in local government investment pools are stated at net asset value or amortized cost which approximates fair value, as permitted under GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, or are stated at Net Asset Value (NAV), in accordance with the Fair Value Measurement guidelines established by GASB Statements No. 72 and 79.

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

8. Inventories and Prepaid Items

Primary Government

The County accounts for inventories using the consumption method. The cost of inventories for internal service funds are determined by the average cost method.

Any payments to vendors applicable to future accounting periods would be recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

9. Restricted Assets and Liabilities

Primary Government

Certain proceeds of the revenue bonds issued for the County's Community Venue Fund, as well as certain resources set aside for their repayment, are classified as restricted assets on the Statement of Net Position because they are maintained in separate bank accounts and their use is restricted by applicable bond covenants. The "tax-exempt debt service" and the "taxable debt service" accounts are used to segregate resources for the respective principal and interest amounts currently outstanding. The "tax-exempt reserve" account and the "taxable reserve" accounts are used to set aside resources to subsidize potential deficiencies in the debt service accounts. The construction accounts are used to report those proceeds of revenue bonds that are restricted for the four voter-approved propositions. The County's policy is to apply restricted resources first if both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for the same activity.

10. Capital Assets

Primary Government

Capital assets include land, land improvements, right-of-way land, infrastructure, buildings, building improvements, site improvements, leasehold improvements, vehicles, machinery, furniture, equipment, other systems, animals, works of art and historical treasures that are used in operations and benefit more than a single fiscal period. Infrastructure assets, such as roads, bridges, and drainage systems, are long-lived assets that normally are stationary in nature and typically can be preserved for a significantly greater number of years than most capital assets. Capital assets are defined by the County as equipment with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Building improvements and infrastructure projects with an estimated cost to exceed \$100,000 are capitalized.

When capital assets are purchased, they are capitalized and depreciated in the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund statements. Capital assets are recorded as expenditures of the current period in the governmental fund financial statements. When historical records are available, capital assets are valued at cost. When no historical records are available, the County estimates the cost by applying back-trended inflation rates for similar asset. Donated capital assets; donated works of art, historical treasures, and similar assets; and capital assets received in service concession arrangements, if any; are valued at acquisition value as defined by GASB 72.

Improvements to capital assets that materially extend the life of the asset or add to the value are capitalized. Other repairs and normal maintenance costs are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during construction is not capitalized.

Capital assets are depreciated over the useful lives of the assets or classes of assets on a straight-line basis as follows:

Building and improvements	20 - 40 years
Machinery and equipment	3 - 10 years
Infrastructure	20 - 35 years

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The System

The System's capital assets are recorded at cost at the date of acquisition, or fair value at the date of donation if acquired by gift. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of each asset. Assets under capital lease obligations and leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the lease term or their respective estimated useful lives. The following estimated useful lives are being used by the System:

Land Improvements	5 - 15 years
Building and improvements	10 -30 years
Equipment	5 - 15 years

The Authority

The Authority's capital assets are stated at historical cost. Donated capital assets are valued at their estimated acquisition value on the date received. For equipment, the Authority's capitalization policy includes all items with a unit cost of \$20,000 or more and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Development in progress includes construction project costs that are directly attributable to a specific project in development. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Currently, the Authority has no capital assets other than the cost associated with projects in development.

Expenditures for Assets Owned by Other Entities — The Authority has entered into or intends to enter into several inter-local agreements with various entities for the construction of infrastructure projects. The Authority will expend vehicle registration fee revenues and debt resources to complete the projects; however, once projects are substantially complete, ownership and maintenance requirements will be the responsibility of the other entities. These arrangements, over time, will result in lowered total net position on the statement of net position because the Authority will not own the capital assets related to the debt the Authority incurred to purchase and/or fund the assets.

Thus, the accumulated development in progress costs, which relate to assets that are ultimately going to be conveyed to other entities in accordance with the interlocal agreements, as well as the related debt (Series 2016 Revenue Bonds), are not part of the calculation of the amount reported as net investment in capital assets in the statement of net position.

11. Compensated Absences

Primary Government

The County allows employees to accumulate compensatory time, vacation, and sick leave with certain limitations. At September 30, 2020, the accumulated compensated absences amount to \$50,666,275. For governmental funds, accrued compensated absences are recorded as expenditures in the respective funds to the extent it has matured. The majority of these have typically been liquidated from the general fund in previous years. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds in the event of termination. Accumulated leave is reported in the government-wide Statement of Net Position as both a current and noncurrent liability.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

12. Property Taxes

Primary Government

Property taxes for the County, Flood Control and Road and Bridge are levied each October 1 on the taxable value as of the preceding January 1, the date a lien attaches, for all taxable real and personal property located in the County. Taxes are due by January 31 following the October 1 assessment date and become delinquent on February 1, at which time they begin accruing penalty and interest. The enforceable legal claim date for property taxes is the assessment date; therefore, the County did not record a receivable for accrual of future taxes at year end. Accordingly, no current taxes receivable are reported. On July 1, unpaid taxes are subject to additional penalties for collection expenses. Appraised values are determined by the Bexar County Appraisal District and are equal to 100% of the appraised market value as required by the State Property Tax Code.

Taxes have been reported in the financial statements net of the allowance for uncollectible taxes. Tax revenues are recognized as they become available. Accordingly, an amount equal to taxes not yet available (not collectible within 60 days after year end) has been reported as deferred inflows of resources – unavailable revenue at the governmental fund level.

The System

The Commissioners Court of Bexar County levies for the System a tax as provided under state law on properties within the County. These taxes are collected by the Bexar County Tax Assessor-Collector and are remitted to the System when received. The System's tax rate is levied and becomes collectible in October of each year based on the certified assessed value as of the previous January 1. Taxes levied on October 1 are designated to support the System's operations for the following calendar year. The System records the levy, net of an assessment fee and allowance for uncollectible amounts, as a current receivable and deferred tax revenue in the year levied.

The District

Property taxes are levied by October 1 on the assessed value listed as of the prior January 1 for all real and business personal property located in the District in conformity with Subtitle E, Texas Property Code. Taxes are due upon receipt of the tax bill and are delinquent if not paid before February 1 of the year following the year in which imposed. On January 31 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure payment of all taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed. Property tax revenues are considered available when they become due or past due and receivable within the current period. The adjusted assessed value of the property tax roll upon which the levy for the 2020 fiscal year was based was \$1,001,263,554.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

13. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

The deferred outflows of resources related to pension expense include: differences between expected and actual experience with regard to economic or demographic factors (differences between expected and actual experience) in the measurement of total pension liability; differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments; and contributions to the pension plan from the employer subsequent to the measurement date of the net pension liability and before the end of the reporting period.

The differences between expected and actual experience are recognized in pension expense, beginning in the current reporting period, using a systematic and rational method over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan (active and inactive employees) determined as of the beginning of the measurement period. The portion not recognized in pension expense is reported as deferred outflows of resources.

The differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments are recognized in pension expense using a systematic and rational method over a closed five-year period, beginning in the current reporting period. The amount not recognized in pension expense is reported as deferred outflows of resources.

The deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB expense consist of changes of assumptions and contributions to the OPEB plan from the employer, subsequent to the measurement date of the net OPEB liability and before the end of the reporting period. The deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB include differences between expected and actual experiences and certain changes in assumptions. Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflow of resources will be recognized in OPEB expense beginning in the current period, using a systematic and rational method over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with OPEB through the OPEB plan (active and inactive employees) as of the beginning of the measurement period.

14. Pensions

The fiduciary net position of the Texas County/District Retirement System (TCDRS) has been determined using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. This includes for the purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, pension expense, and information about assets, liabilities, and addition to/deductions from TCDRS's fiduciary net position. Benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

NOTE B – CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS

Primary Government

As of September 30, 2020, the carrying amount of the County's cash and cash equivalents is:

Cash in Bank	\$	8,747,378
Money Market		47,422,278
TexPool		357,392,515
TexStar		453,667,645
Total	\$	867,229,816

Custodial Credit Risk-Deposits: Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned. It is the County's policy to collateralize deposits at 110% of the deposit amount. As of September 30, 2020, the County's bank balances of \$21,334,246 were fully collateralized by federal depository insurance and/or collateral held by the County or its agent in the name of the County.

The County's cash balances in the bank that are above certain limits are invested overnight in money market fund sweep accounts. These money market funds meet the requirements of the Public Funds Investment Act since they only invest in U.S. Treasury bills, notes and other obligations issued or guaranteed as to the principal and interest by the U.S. Government, its agencies or instrumentalities, and repurchase agreements secured by such obligations or cash.

NOTE B – CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

The County has deposits in two different local government investment pools, they are TexPool and TexStar. TexPool is a public funds investment pool created by the Treasurer of the State of Texas acting by and through the Texas Treasury Safekeeping Trust Company. It is empowered to invest funds and act as a custodian of investments purchased with local investment funds.

TexStar has the same authority as TexPool. It was organized and established pursuant to an Interlocal Agreement between participating government entities. The County has an undivided beneficial interest in the pool of assets held by TexStar. These assets include obligations of or guaranteed or insured by the United States Government or its agencies, collateralized repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements and no-load money market funds which meet the requirements of the Public Funds Investment Act.

NOTE C – INVESTMENTS

Primary Government

The County's investment policy provides that funds may be invested in:

- Obligations of the United States, its agencies and instrumentalities.
- Direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies.
- Other obligations insured by the State of Texas or the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities.
- Obligations of other governmental units with at least an "A" rating.
- Bank certificates of deposits that are guaranteed or insured.
- Guaranteed investment contracts as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act.
- Commercial paper as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act.
- Securities lending program as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act.
- Fully collateralized repurchase agreements as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act.
- SEC registered, no-load money market mutual funds as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act.
- Public funds investment pools as authorized by the Public Funds Investment Act.

Fair Value of Investments: The County measures and records its investments using fair value measurement guidelines established by generally accepted accounting principles. GASB 79 created an election option for external investment pools and pool participants to continue to utilize amortized cost accounting, rather than fair value, for certain investment pools and eliminated the reference to SEC 2A-7 guidance. Participants in qualifying pools would be permitted to continue measuring investments at amortized cost if they met certain criteria. The County's investment pools (TexPool and TexStar) are both in compliance with GASB 79. The County's investment pool TexStar has elected to continue to measure its investments at fair value even though TexStar meets all criteria under GASB 79 to report at amortized cost. The County's investment pool TexPool has elected to continue reporting assets at amortized cost. The County has mirrored these valuations.

The County is required to disclose the fair value level of its investments within the fair value hierarchy established by GASB 72. In the fair value hierarchy there are three levels:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical investments in active markets;
- Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted market prices; and,
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE C – INVESTMENTS (Continued)
Primary Government (Continued)

As of September 30, 2020, the County had the following recurring fair value measurements:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	September 30, 2020	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Investments				
FHLB	\$ 50,756,163	-	\$ 50,756,163	\$ -
FNMA	10,117,062	-	10,117,062	-
FFCB	16,516,171	-	16,516,171	-
Corporate Commercial Paper	9,923,555	-	9,923,555	-
Local Government Commercial Paper	4,970,393	-	4,970,393	-
US Treasury	129,574,660	-	129,574,660	-
Municipal Bonds	8,596,820	-	8,596,820	-
Total investments	<u>230,454,824</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>230,454,824</u>	<u>-</u>
Investment pools				
TexStar (net asset value)	453,667,645	N/A	N/A	N/A
TexPool (valued at amortized cost)	357,392,515	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total investment pools by fair value	<u>811,060,160</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Money Markets				
Money Market Funds (valued at amortized cost)	47,422,278	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Money Market Funds by fair value	<u>47,422,278</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total investments, pools and money markets	<u>\$ 1,088,937,262</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>230,454,824</u>	<u>-</u>

All of the County's investments, other than investments in the investment pools and money market funds, are classified in Level 2 and are valued using proprietary valuation models which may consider market transactions in comparable securities and the various relationships between securities in determining value and/or market characteristics such as benchmark yield curves, option adjusted spreads, credit spreads, estimated default rates, coupon-rates, anticipated timing of principal repayments, underlying collateral, and other unique security features in order to estimate the relevant cash flows, which are then discounted to calculate the fair values.

The County invests in the TexStar investment pool which maintains a stable net asset value (NAV) of \$1 per share using the fair value method. The County has no unfunded commitments to this pool and may redeem investments at any time.

As of September 30, 2020, the County's investments all were classified as Level 2 according to GASB Statement 72, except for the pools which are exempt from the level of fair value disclosure because they are valued either at NAV or amortized cost.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE C – INVESTMENTS (Continued)
Primary Government (Continued)

As of September 30, 2020, the County's investments had the following maturities:

Investment Type	Fair Value	Weighted Average Maturity (Years)	Percentage of Total Fair Value
Federal Home Loan Bank Note (FHLB)	\$ 50,756,163	1.7047	4.66%
Fredie Mae Discount Note (FREDN)	-	0.0000	0.00%
Fredie Mac (FHLMC)	-	0.0000	0.00%
Federal National Mortgage Association Note (FNMA)	10,117,062	1.2658	0.93%
Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB)	16,516,171	0.4181	1.52%
Corporate Commercial Paper	9,923,555	0.6685	0.91%
US Treasury	129,574,660	0.1139	11.90%
Money Market Fund	47,422,278	N/A	4.35%
Municipal Bonds	8,596,820	0.5215	0.79%
Local Government Commercial Paper	4,970,393	0.0164	0.46%
Investment Pools	81,060,160	N/A	74.48%
Total fair value	<u>\$ 1,088,937,262</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Portfolio weighted average maturity		0.1214	

The weighted average maturity (WAM) expresses investment time horizons -the time when investments become due and payable weighted to reflect the dollar size of individual investments within an investment type. WAMs are computed for each investment type. The portfolio's WAM is derived by dollar-weighting the WAM for each investment type.

Interest Rate Risk: In accordance with its investment policy, the County manages its exposure to declines in fair value by limiting the weighted average maturity of its investments to 365 days with a maximum investment length for any investment to not exceed more than 3 years. In addition, the timing of maturities is monitored to match anticipated cash flow requirements, thereby avoiding the need to sell securities on the open market prior to maturity at a lowered rate of return.

Credit Risk: In accordance with its investment policies, the County limits its investments to the most conservative forms of investments. Investments in agency securities are limited to investments rated not less than A or its equivalent, and investments in investment pools are limited to AAA or AAA-m by a nationally recognized investment rating firm. All investments that are obligations explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government are not considered to have credit risk. TexPool and TexStar are rated AAAm; the Amegy Bank of Texas Money Market Fund is rated AAAAm; and Bank of America Money Market Fund is rated AAA.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE C – INVESTMENTS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

As of September 30, 2020, the County's investments had the following Investment Ratings:

Investment	Standard & Poor's Rating	Moody's Rating
Federal Home Loan Bank Note (FHLEB)	AA+	Aaa
Federal National Mortgage Association Note (FNMA)	AA+	Aaa
Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB)	AA+	Aaa
Corporate Commercial Paper (ROYAL BANK OF CANADA)	A-1+	P-1
Municipal Bonds (Texas A&M University)	AAA	Aaa
US Treasury	AAA+	Aaa
Local Government Commercial Paper University of Texas System	A-1+	P-1

Concentration of Credit Risk: Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. The County mitigates these risks by emphasizing the importance of a diversified portfolio. All funds must be sufficiently diversified to eliminate the risk of loss resulting from over-concentration of assets in a specific maturity, a specific issuer, or a specific class of securities. The following investments comprise more than 5% of the fair value of the County's total portfolio that includes County and Community Venue investment types: Investment Pools (74.48%), and US Treasury (11.90%).

Custodial Credit Risk – Investment: The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, the County will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The County mitigates these risks since all investments owned by the County are held in the County's name.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE D – TAXES AND OTHER RECEIVABLES

The following is a summary of the gross current and delinquent taxes receivable and the allowance for uncollectible taxes:

	Taxes	Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes	Net Taxes
Primary Government			
Delinquent taxes			
General Fund	\$ 14,229,222	\$ 3,530,000	\$ 10,699,222
Debt Service Fund	3,126,294	776,000	2,350,294
Capital Project Fund	708,810	117,000	591,810
Total Primary Government	\$ 18,064,326	\$ 4,423,000	\$ 13,641,326

Other receivables as of year-end for the County's General Fund, Capital Project Funds, and Nonmajor Funds in the aggregate, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts, are as follows:

	General	Debt Service	Capital Project Funds	Nonmajor Governmental Funds	Total
Court Fines and Fees	\$ 87,245,882	-	-	-	\$ 87,245,882
Accounts Receivable	3,132,368	-	4,491,854	60,444	7,684,666
Gross Receivables	90,378,250	-	4,491,854	60,444	94,930,548
Less: Allowance	(78,981,123)	-	-	-	(78,981,123)
Net total receivables	11,397,127	-	4,491,854	60,444	15,949,425
Due from Other Governmental Unit	1,282,850	3,348,259	3,392,934	7,853,447	15,877,490
Total Accounts and Other	\$ 12,679,977	\$ 3,348,259	\$ 7,884,788	\$ 7,913,891	\$ 31,826,915

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

	Taxes	Allowance for Uncollectible Taxes	Net Taxes
The System			
Current taxes	\$ 230,642,655	\$ 2,196,606	\$ 228,446,049
Delinquent taxes	17,140,471	6,633,242	10,507,229
Penalties and interest	9,419,209	6,297,851	3,121,358
Total System	\$ 257,202,335	\$ 15,127,699	\$ 242,074,636

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE E – INTERFUND BALANCES AND TRANSFERS

In the fund financial statements, interfund balances are the result of normal transactions between funds and will be liquidated in the subsequent fiscal year. The following is a summary of amounts due from and due to other funds:

		<u>Due to / from other funds</u>	
<u>Receivable Fund</u>	<u>Payable Fund</u>	<u>Amount</u>	
General	Nonmajor governmental fund	\$	30,857
	Internal Service Fund		107,447
	Total	\$	138,304

The outstanding balances between funds result mainly from the time lag between the dates (1) interfund goods and services are provided or reimbursable expenditures occur, (2) transactions are recorded in the accounting systems, and (3) payments between funds are made. Balances between governmental funds and internal service funds, if any, are eliminated in the government-wide financial statements. Balances between governmental funds and enterprise funds, if any, are not eliminated in the government-wide financial statements.

		<u>Advances From / To Other Fund</u>	
<u>Receivable Fund</u>	<u>Payable Fund</u>	<u>Amount</u>	
General	Capital Project	\$	4,330,184
	Nonmajor Governmental Fund		400,000
	Fleet Maintenance *		110,000
	Total	\$	4,840,184

* Internal Service Funds

The amounts payable to the General Fund relate to working capital loans made to other funds that incur expenses before related revenues are received. They are not scheduled or expected to be collected in the subsequent year.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE E – INTERFUND BALANCES AND TRANSFERS (Continued)

The following is a summary of the County's transfers for the year ended September 30, 2020:

	<u>TRANSFERS IN</u>						
	<u>General</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>Capital Projects</u>	<u>Nonmajor Governmental</u>	<u>Enterprise</u>	<u>Internal Service</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>TRANSFERS OUT</u>							
General	\$	-	75,359	-	5,427,683	-	\$ 9,064,545
Capital Projects		22,652,280	-	-	-	-	22,652,280
Nonmajor Governmental	113,445	-	231,666	419,519	156,505	175,000	1,096,135
Enterprise	-	450,000	-	-	-	-	450,000
Internal Service	-	-	-	-	-	5,723,776	5,723,776
Total Transfers	\$ 113,445	\$ 23,409,305	\$ 419,519	\$ 5,884,188	\$ 199,769	\$ 9,260,510	\$ 38,986,736

The transfer of \$113,445 from the Nonmajor Governmental Fund to the General Fund is for the re-payment of build out costs associated with the new office space for the Family Justice center in the Federal Reserve Building. The transfer of \$75,359 from the General Fund to the Debt Service Fund is to support the potential annual debt services of the outside agency items. The transfer of \$22,652,280 from the Capital Projects Funds to the Debt Service Fund is to pay debt service on bonds issued to pay for roads. The transfer of \$231,666 from the Nonmajor Governmental Fund to the Debt Service Fund is to pay debt service on bonds issued to pay for a new Fire Marshal's office building. The transfer of \$450,000 from the Enterprise Fund to the Debt Service Fund is to pay debt service associated with construction of the parking facilities. The transfer of \$419,519 from the Nonmajor Governmental Fund to the Capital Projects Fund is for the FY2019-20 replacement of County-owned vehicles. The transfer of \$5,427,683 from the General Fund to Nonmajor Governmental Funds consists of \$562,579 to the Courthouse Security Fund to sustain the costs of courthouse security personnel, \$281,793 to the Law Library Fund to offset costs due to declining revenues, \$36,448 to the Drug Court Program Fund to sustain the costs of judicial personnel, \$201,998 to the Domestic Relations Fund and \$35,329 to the JP Technology Fund to sustain its expenses, \$2,552,029 to the Technology Improvement Fund for the replacement of computers and laptops used by County employees, \$76,054 to the Grants in Aid Fund for cash match to certain grants, and \$1,681,453 to the Community Infrastructure Economic Development Fund to assist the County workforce with developing skills for the ever evolving job industry. The transfer of \$156,505 between the Nonmajor Governmental Funds consists of \$150,000 from the County Clerk Records Management Fund to the County Wide Records Management Fund for the purposes of scanning and destroying records located at the Records Management Center and \$6,505 from the Domestic Relations Fund to the Grants Fund for cash match to certain grants. The transfer of \$199,769 from the General Fund to the Enterprise Fund is to sustain the expenses of the Firing Range Fund. The transfer of \$3,361,734 from the General Fund to Internal Service Funds to sustain and maintain the costs of the Workers Compensation Fund, OPEB Fund, and Print Shop Fund. The transfer of \$175,000 from the Nonmajor Governmental Fund to the Internal Service Fund is to provide space to County offices and departments for the storage of records in accordance with the Local Government Records Act of 1989. The transfer of \$5,723,776 between the Internal Service Funds is to sustain and maintain the cost of the OPEB Fund.

NOTE F – COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR ASSETS OWNED BY OTHER ENTITIES

Bexar County has entered into or intends to enter into several inter-local agreements with various entities for the construction of infrastructure and facilities. The County will expend tax revenues and debt resources to complete the projects; however, once projects are substantially complete, ownership and maintenance requirements will be the responsibility of the other entities. These arrangements over time, will result in lowered total net position on the Statement of Net Position because the County will not own the capital assets related to the debt the County incurred to purchase and/or fund the assets. See Table 19 in the Statistical section for a detailed listing of the entities involved and the amount of County expenditures to date.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE G – CAPITAL ASSETS

Primary Government

Capital asset activity for governmental activities for the year ended September 30, 2020 was as follows:

	Balance at October 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance at September 30, 2020
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land (row, bldg, parks)	91,714,508	3,374,495	-	95,089,003
Equipment in progress	75,480,301	18,708,672	(4,691,088)	89,497,885
Construction in progress	358,904,215	52,145,281	(46,506,938)	364,542,528
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	526,099,024	74,228,418	(51,198,026)	549,129,416
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings	581,063,892	25,459,294	-	606,523,186
Depreciable Land Assets	8,342,503	-	8,342,503	-
Machinery and Equipment	127,333,295	10,639,615	(2,351,432)	135,621,478
Infrastructure	1,719,603,575	85,728,078	-	1,805,331,653
Total capital assets being depreciated	2,436,343,265	121,826,987	(2,351,432)	2,555,818,820
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	(242,005,068)	(19,905,776)	-	(261,910,844)
Depreciable Land Assets	(999,047)	(990,061)	-	(1,989,108)
Machinery and Equipment	(102,586,409)	(7,724,321)	2,351,432	(107,959,298)
Infrastructure	(780,697,809)	(78,083,436)	-	(858,781,245)
Total accumulated depreciation	(1,126,288,333)	(106,103,594)	2,351,432	(1,230,040,495)
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	1,310,054,932	15,723,393	-	1,325,778,325
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 1,836,153,956	\$ 89,951,811	\$ (51,198,026)	\$ 1,874,907,741

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE G – CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Capital asset activity for business-type activities for the year ended September 30, 2020, was as follows:

	Balance at October 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance at September 30, 2020
Business-type activities:				
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings and improvements	\$ 281,281,306	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 281,281,306
Reference library	38,960	-	-	38,960
Equipment	12,937,927	-	-	12,937,927
Total capital assets, being depreciated	294,258,193	-	-	294,258,193
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings and improvements	(79,819,942)	(7,064,905)	-	(86,884,847)
Reference library	(32,142)	(1,948)	-	(34,090)
Equipment	(12,657,221)	(91,168)	-	(12,748,389)
Total accumulated depreciation	(92,509,305)	(7,158,021)	-	(99,667,326)
Business-type activities capital assets, net	\$ 201,748,888	\$ (7,158,021)	\$ -	\$ 194,590,867

Depreciation expense was charged to functions of the primary government and business-type activities as follows:

	\$
Governmental activities:	
General Government	10,482,511
Judicial	3,206,106
Public Safety	10,843,105
Education and Recreation	1,155,566
Public Works	80,216,542
Health and Public Welfare	85,053
Unallocated	114,711
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	\$ 106,103,594
Business-type activities:	
Venue Fund	\$ 7,064,906
Sheriff's Commissary Fund	93,115
Total depreciation expense - business-type activities	\$ 7,158,021

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE G – CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Governmental Activities:

Net investment in capital assets on page 31 is computed as follows:

Net investment in capital assets:		
Capital Assets, net of depreciation	\$	1,874,907,741
Total outstanding debt	\$	(2,144,584,693)
Less expenditures for assets owned by other entities	945,744,116	
Less deferred charge on refundings	30,223,781	
Less unspent debt proceeds	287,705,062	
Net investment in capital assets	\$	(880,911,734)
		<u><u>\$ 993,996,007</u></u>

The System

The System's capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2019 was as follows:

	Balance at January 1, 2019	Additions/ Transfers	Disposals/ Other	Balance at December 31, 2019
Land and land improvements	\$ 20,926,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,926,000
Buildings and improvements	1,378,283,000	4,812,000	-	1,383,095,000
Equipment	468,354,000	29,200,000	(14,828,000)	482,726,000
Construction in progress	25,052,000	67,986,000	-	93,038,000
Total capital assets	1,892,615,000	101,998,000	(14,828,000)	1,979,785,000
Less: accumulated depreciation	(751,766,000)	(77,505,000)	14,429,000	(814,842,000)
Total capital assets, net	\$ 1,140,849,000	\$ 24,493,000	\$ (399,000)	\$ 1,164,943,000

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE G – CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

The Authority

The Authority's capital asset activity for year ended September 30, 2020 was as follows:

	Balance at October 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance at September 30, 2020
Capital assets, not being depreciated				
Development in progress:				
Loop 1604	\$ -	\$ 570	\$ (570)	\$ -
Blanco Road Phase II	1,775,306	3,817,337	-	5,592,643
Evans Road Phase I	3,755,881	4,992,390	-	8,748,271
Evans Road Phase II	1,136,734	6,318,203	-	7,454,937
Foster Road Phase III	1,106,057	7,314,320	-	8,420,377
Talley Road Phase I	741,208	6,926,560	-	7,667,768
West Military Drive	285,969	260,964	-	546,933
Fischer Road Phase II	3,685,613	39,599	-	3,725,212
Old FM 471/Talley Road	11,930	6,856,965	-	6,868,895
Candlemeadow	410,258	225,757	-	636,015
Total development in progress	12,908,956	36,752,665	(570)	49,661,051
Total capital assets not being depreciated	12,908,956	36,752,665	(570)	49,661,051
Total capital assets	\$ 12,908,956	\$ 36,752,665	\$ (570)	\$ 49,661,051

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT

Primary Government

Long-term obligations of the County consist of bonds, certificates of obligation, and other liabilities which are payable from the general, debt service and enterprise funds. The changes in the County's governmental and business-type activities long-term liabilities for fiscal year 2020 were as follows:

	Balance Outstanding		Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding		Amount Due Within One Year
	October 1, 2019	October 1, 2020		September 30, 2020	September 30, 2020	
Governmental Activities:						
Refunding Bonds						
<u>Limited Tax General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2011:</u>						
Date Issued: October 11, 2011						
Interest Rate: 2.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$17,650,000						
Maturing Date: June 15, 2023	\$ 7,650,000	\$ -	\$ 7,650,000	\$ -	\$ -	
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013:</u>						
Date Issued: December 19, 2013						
Interest Rate: 1.75 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$18,055,000	10,460,000		5,570,000	4,890,000	1,135,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2027						
<u>Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013:</u>						
Date Issued: December 19, 2013						
Interest Rate: 1.75 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$16,835,000	11,440,000		1,595,000	9,845,000	1,675,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2027						
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013:</u>						
Date Issued: December 19, 2013						
Interest Rate: 2.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$13,375,000	4,230,000		2,060,000	2,170,000	2,170,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2021						
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013:</u>						
Date Issued: December 19, 2013						
Interest Rate: 4.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$16,790,000	6,125,000		2,985,000	3,140,000	3,140,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2021						
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014:</u>						
Date Issued: December 30, 2014						
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$54,575,000	45,905,000		8,915,000	36,990,000	5,155,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2028						

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

	Balance Outstanding		Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding		Amount Due Within One Year
	October 1, 2019	October 1, 2020		September 30, 2020	September 30, 2020	
Governmental Activities:						
Refunding Bonds						
<u>Unlimited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014:</u>						
Date Issued: December 30, 2014						
Interest Rate: 1.50 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$9,360,000	8,030,000		680,000	7,350,000	715,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2028						
<u>Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014:</u>						
Date Issued: December 30, 2014						
Interest Rate: 4.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$111,810,000	105,485,000		56,285,000	49,200,000	3,030,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2038						
<u>Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016:</u>						
Date Issued: July 21, 2016						
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$101,740,000	101,740,000		2,640,000	99,100,000	2,775,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2035						
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016:</u>						
Date Issued: July 21, 2016						
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$248,415,000	242,450,000		8,090,000	234,360,000	7,450,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2040						
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2017:</u>						
Date Issued: December 28, 2017						
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00						
Original Amount: \$384,715,000	384,640,000		75,000	384,565,000	75,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2043						
<u>Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2017:</u>						
Date Issued: December 28, 2017						
Interest Rate: 2.00 - 4.00						
Original Amount: \$28,140,000	28,115,000		25,000	28,090,000	975,000	
Maturing Date: June 15, 2037						

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

	Balance Outstanding October 1, 2019	Issued During Year	Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities:					
Refunding Bonds					
<u>General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2019</u>					
Date Issued: December 19, 2019					
Interest Rate: 4.00 - 5.00					
Original Amount: \$79,645,000		79,645,000	1,750,000	77,895,000	1,710,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2040					
<u>Flood Control Refunding Bonds, Series 2019</u>					
Date Issued: December 19, 2019					
Interest Rate: 4.00					
Original Amount: \$45,310,000		45,310,000	-	45,310,000	-
Maturing Date: June 15, 2039					
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2020A</u>					
Date Issued: August 26, 2020					
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00					
Original Amount: \$26,365,000		26,365,000	-	26,365,000	1,430,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2037					
<u>Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2020B</u>					
Date Issued: August 26, 2020					
Interest Rate: 1.538 - 5.00					
Original Amount: \$228,510,000		228,510,000	-	228,510,000	2,325,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2043					
<u>Limited Tax Flood Control Refunding Bonds, Series 2020</u>					
Date Issued: August 26, 2020					
Interest Rate: 1.538 - 5.00					
Original Amount: \$59,255,000		59,255,000	-	59,255,000	645,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2038					
Total Bonds	\$ 956,250,000	\$ 439,085,000	\$ 98,300,000	\$ 1,297,035,000	\$ 34,405,000

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

	Balance Outstanding October 1, 2019	Issued During Year	Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities:					
Certificates of Obligation					
<u>Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Taxable Series 2009B, Direct</u>					
<u>Subsidiary-Build America Bonds</u>					
Date Issued: August 1, 2009					
Interest Rate: 6.628					
Original Amount: \$50,620,000	\$ 50,620,000	\$ -	\$ 50,620,000	\$ -	\$ -
Maturing Date: June 15, 2039					
<u>Combination Tax and Flood Control Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Taxable Series 2009B, Direct</u>					
<u>Subsidiary-Build America Bonds</u>					
Date Issued: August 1, 2009					
Interest Rate: 6.628					
Original Amount: \$50,620,000	50,620,000	-	50,620,000	-	-
Maturing Date: June 15, 2039					
<u>Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Taxable Series 2010B, Direct</u>					
<u>Subsidiary-Build America Bonds</u>					
Date Issued: August 19, 2010					
Interest Rate: 5.75					
Original Amount: \$30,325,000	30,325,000	-	30,325,000	-	-
Maturing Date: June 15, 2039					
<u>Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2011A</u>					
Date Issued: December 27, 2011					
Interest Rate: 3.375-5.00					
Original Amount: \$51,295,000					
Maturing Date: June 15, 2040	32,555,000	-	32,555,000	-	-
<u>Combination Flood Control Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2011A</u>					
Date Issued: December 27, 2011					
Interest Rate: 3.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$34,095,000	915,000	-	915,000	-	-
Maturing Date: June 15, 2037					
<u>Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013</u>					
Date Issued: February 14, 2013					
Interest Rate: 3.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$83,955,000	52,320,000	-	30,750,000	21,570,000	1,000,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2043					

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

	Balance Outstanding October 1, 2019	Issued During Year	Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
--	-------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--	----------------------------

Governmental Activities: Certificates of Obligation

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013A

Date Issued: May 9, 2013
Interest Rate: 1.00-5.00
Original Amount: \$11,504,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2040

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013B

Date Issued: August 22, 2013
Interest Rate: 2.00-5.125
Original Amount: \$331,725,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2043

Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax

Bonds, Series 2015A

Date Issued: August 13, 2015
Interest Rate: 2.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$17,405,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2044

Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax

Bonds, Series 2015B

Date Issued: August 13, 2015
Interest Rate: 2.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$29,385,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2045

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2014

Date Issued: December 30, 2014
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$87,130,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2040

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016

Date Issued: August 25, 2016
Interest Rate: 2.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$91,675,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2045

	84,190,000	-	83,890,000	300,000	100,000
	19,285,000	-	4,440,000	14,845,000	4,695,000
	15,955,000	-	6,695,000	9,260,000	375,000
	25,895,000	-	10,230,000	15,665,000	605,000
	85,630,000	-	69,180,000	16,450,000	750,000
	91,475,000	-	100,000	91,375,000	250,000

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

	Balance Outstanding October 1, 2019	Issued During Year	Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
--	-------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--	----------------------------

Governmental Activities: Certificates of Obligation

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016

Date Issued: December 20, 2016
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$93,280,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2045

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016

Date Issued: December 20, 2016
Interest Rate: 3.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$20,330,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2031

Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax

Bonds, Series 2017

Date Issued: November 29, 2017
Interest Rate: 4.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$40,840,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2043

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2018

Date Issued: December 27, 2018
Interest Rate: 4.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$198,035,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2045

Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2019

Date Issued: January 6, 2020
Interest Rate: 4.00 - 5.00
Original Amount: \$122,355,000
Maturing Date: June 15, 2046

	93,080,000	-	100,000	92,980,000	250,000
	18,200,000	-	1,145,000	17,055,000	1,205,000
	40,840,000	-	850,000	39,990,000	850,000
	198,035,000	-	500,000	197,535,000	500,000
	889,940,000	-	376,270,000	636,025,000	13,055,000
	\$ 1,846,190,000	\$ 561,440,000	\$ 474,570,000	\$ 1,933,060,000	\$ 47,460,000

Total Certificates of Obligation

	889,940,000	-	376,270,000	636,025,000	13,055,000
	\$ 1,846,190,000	\$ 561,440,000	\$ 474,570,000	\$ 1,933,060,000	\$ 47,460,000

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

	Balance Outstanding October 1, 2019	Issued During Year	Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
Other Liabilities					
Compensated absences	\$ 49,320,477	\$ 13,675,917	\$ 12,330,119	\$ 50,666,275	\$ 12,666,569
Total Other Liabilities	49,320,477	13,675,917	12,330,119	50,666,275	12,666,569
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 1,895,510,477	\$ 575,115,917	\$ 486,900,119	\$ 1,983,726,275	\$ 601,256,569

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Business-type Activities:

	Balance Outstanding October 1, 2019	Issued During Year	Retired During Year	Balance Outstanding September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
Revenue Bonds					
<u>Taxable Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B</u>					
Date Issued: September 4, 2008					
Interest Rate: 3.77 - 6.98					
Original Amount: \$50,810,000					
Maturing Date: August 15, 2032	\$ 35,785,000	\$ -	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 33,970,000	\$ 1,930,000
<u>Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (CVT), Series 2013</u>					
Date Issued: January 23, 2013					
Interest Rate: 2.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$92,190,000	90,690,000	-	250,000	90,440,000	250,000
Maturing Date: August 15, 2049					
<u>Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (MVRD), Series 2013</u>					
Date Issued: January 23, 2013					
Interest Rate: 2.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$25,880,000	23,475,000	-	440,000	23,035,000	455,000
Maturing Date: August 15, 2049					
<u>Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (CVT), Series 2015</u>					
Date Issued: November 18, 2015					
Interest Rate: 3.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$78,935,000	74,235,000	-	1,110,000	73,125,000	1,155,000
Maturing Date: August 15, 2051					
<u>Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (CVT), Series 2019</u>					
Date Issued: July 25, 2019					
Interest Rate: 4.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$87,945,000	87,945,000	-	1,730,000	86,215,000	2,040,000
Maturing Date: September 30, 2049					
<u>Tax-Exempt Venue Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (MVRD), Series 2019</u>					
Date Issued: July 25, 2019					
Interest Rate: 4.00-5.00					
Original Amount: \$48,325,000	48,325,000	-	1,170,000	47,155,000	1,340,000
Maturing Date: September 30, 2049					
Total Revenue Bonds	\$ 360,455,000	\$ -	\$ 6,515,000	\$ 353,940,000	\$ 7,170,000
Total Business-type Activities	\$ 360,455,000	\$ -	\$ 6,515,000	\$ 353,940,000	\$ 7,170,000

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H - LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)
Primary Government (Continued)

Annual debt service requirements as of September 30, 2020 are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Governmental Activities		Business-type Activities		Total All Debt
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2021	\$ 47,460,000	\$ 78,422,545	\$ 7,170,000	\$ 16,476,165	\$ 149,528,710
2022	43,915,000	77,520,603	7,525,000	16,105,517	145,066,120
2023	47,405,000	75,416,003	7,905,000	15,715,619	146,441,622
2024	52,555,000	73,092,003	8,305,000	15,305,253	149,257,256
2025	57,045,000	70,495,453	8,755,000	14,858,868	151,154,321
2026-2030	358,785,000	306,586,098	665,371,098	66,893,256	783,439,354
2031-2035	471,670,000	221,961,797	60,940,000	53,166,911	807,738,708
2036-2040	498,990,000	130,163,425	67,790,000	38,833,963	735,777,388
2041-2045	350,175,000	40,039,443	66,610,000	24,434,425	481,258,868
2046-2050	5,060,000	202,400	63,735,000	8,345,350	77,342,750
2051-2055	-	-	4,030,000	161,200	4,191,200
	\$ 1,933,060,000	\$ 1,073,899,770	\$ 3,53,940,000	\$ 270,296,527	\$ 624,236,527

In the government-wide financial statements, governmental activities, deferred charges on refundings of bonds (losses) are amortized over the life of the new debt or old debt, whichever is shorter; bond premiums, bond discounts, and prepaid insurance costs are amortized over the life of the debt. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premiums and bond discounts.

Governmental Activities

Capital assets, net of related debt, include land, equipment and construction in progress, buildings, depreciable land assets, machinery and equipment, and infrastructure, net of accumulated depreciation. The amount is reduced by outstanding bonds, certificates of obligation, net of unspent proceeds, related to improving, purchasing, or constructing capital assets and expenditures for assets owned by the entities.

In prior years, the General Fund has been used to liquidate the liability for compensated absences.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H - LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)
Primary Government (Continued)

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities:					
Bonds payable:	\$ 956,250,000	\$ 439,085,000	\$ 98,300,000	\$ 1,297,035,000	\$ 34,405,000
Bonds:	889,940,000	122,355,000	376,270,000	636,025,000	13,055,000
Certificates of obligation	1,846,190,000	561,440,000	474,570,000	1,933,060,000	47,460,000
Unamortized premium	196,231,713	56,014,641	40,721,661	211,524,693	-
Total bonds payable	2,042,421,713	617,454,641	515,291,661	2,144,584,693	47,460,000
Other liabilities	-	-	-	-	-
Compensated absences	49,320,477	13,675,917	12,330,119	50,666,275	12,666,569
Total other liabilities	49,320,477	13,675,917	12,330,119	50,666,275	12,666,569
Total Governmental Activities long-term liabilities	\$ 2,091,742,190	\$ 631,130,558	\$ 527,621,780	\$ 2,195,250,968	\$ 60,126,569

Business-type Activities

In business-type activities, deferred charges on refundings of bonds (losses) are amortized over the life of the new debt or old debt, whichever is shorter; bond premiums, discounts, and the cost of prepaid insurance are amortized over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond discount and premiums.

Capital assets, net of related debt include buildings, improvements, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation. This amount is reduced by the outstanding revenue bonds, net of unspent proceeds, related to constructing, purchasing, or improving capital assets.

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Due Within One Year
Business-Type Activities:					
Taxable Rev Ref Bonds	\$ 35,785,000	\$ -	\$ 1,815,000	\$ 33,970,000	\$ 1,930,000
Tax-Exempt Rev Ref Bonds (CVT)	90,690,000	-	250,000	90,440,000	250,000
Tax-Exempt Rev Ref Bonds (MVRT)	23,475,000	-	440,000	23,035,000	455,000
Tax-Exempt Sub Lien Rev Bonds (CVT)	74,235,000	-	1,110,000	73,125,000	1,155,000
Tax-Exempt Sub Lien Rev Bonds (MVRT)	87,945,000	-	1,730,000	86,215,000	2,040,000
Tax-Exempt Sub Lien Rev Bonds (MVRT)	48,325,000	-	1,170,000	47,155,000	1,340,000
	360,455,000	-	6,515,000	353,940,000	7,170,000
Unamortized premium	23,473,354	-	779,025	22,694,329	-
Total revenue bonds payable	383,928,354	-	7,294,025	376,634,329	7,170,000
Total Business-Type Activities long-term liabilities	\$ 383,928,354	\$ -	\$ 7,294,025	\$ 376,634,329	\$ 7,170,000

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Debt Obligation Activity

Governmental Activities

In December 2019, the County issued \$79,645,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2019 at a premium of \$9,864,793 to pay for the costs of issuing the Refunding Bonds and refund \$50,620,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2009B; \$30,325,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2010B; \$7,630,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2011. The bond proceeds were placed in an irrevocable trust to provide for all debt service payments on the old bonds. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$549,561. The current refunding was undertaken to reduce debt service payments over the next 21 years by \$18,476,582 and resulted in an economic gain of \$35,807,211. For the Series 2019 bonds, the payment of the principal and interest are to be made from an annual ad valorem tax levied against all taxable property within the County. The annual interest rate on the bonds ranges from 4.00% - 5.00%. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2040.

In December 2019, the County issued \$45,310,000 in Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2019 at a premium of \$5,853,692 for the costs of issuing the Refunding Bonds and to refund \$50,620,000 in Combination Flood Control Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2009B. The bond proceeds were placed in an irrevocable trust to provide for all debt service on the old bonds. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$326,190. The current refunding was undertaken to reduce debt service payments over the next 20 years by \$13,139,616 and resulted in an economic gain of \$24,193,002. For the Series 2019 bonds, the payment of the principal and interest are to be made from an annual ad valorem tax levied against all taxable property within the County. The annual interest rate on the bonds is 4.00%. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2039.

In December 2019, the County issued \$122,355,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2019 at a premium of \$19,550,681 with the payments of the related principal and interest to be made from an annual ad valorem tax levied against all taxable property within the County. Proceeds from the sale of the Series 2018 Certificates will be used for making permanent public improvements and for other public purposes, to-wit: designing, acquiring, constructing, renovating, improving and equipping the Bexar County Courthouse, Bexar County Jail, the "old" Bexar County Jail, Bexar County Adult Detention Facilities (Annex and Detention Center), Bexar County Juvenile Detention Facilities, Justice of the Peace/Constable Facilities, Forensic Science Center, Technology Centers, Bexar County Crime Lab, Bexar County Sheriff's Department law enforcement facilities, Bexar County Justice Center, Bexar County District Court Facilities, Bexar County Data Center, Bexar County Public Works Facility, and other Bexar County-owned administrative facilities, recreational facilities, mental health services facilities, library facilities, and civil and criminal justice facilities; acquiring computer hardware and software and other technology (including information technology system and network upgrades and improvements), communication, and audio/visual equipment and the payment of professional fees related thereto, including the County-wide Integrated Justice System and Financial Management System; acquiring, constructing, renovating, improving, and equipping parks and recreational facilities and the purchase of park vehicles; acquiring vehicles and equipment for various County offices and departments; designing, constructing, repairing, and improving County roads (including utilities relocation and related bridge and drainage improvements); designing, acquiring, and constructing and equipping parking facilities; acquiring materials, supplies, equipment, machinery, land, easements, right-of-way and other interests in real property for authorized needs and purposes relating to any of the foregoing purposes; and the payment of professional services related to the design, construction, project management, and financing of the aforementioned projects. The annual interest rate on the bonds ranges from 4.00% - 5.00%. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2046.

In August 2020, the County issued \$228,510,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2020B at a premium of \$9,872,122 to pay for the costs of issuing the Refunding Bonds and refund \$6,330,000 Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2015A (FM471 Project); \$9,645,000 in Pass-Through Revenue and Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2015B (1604 East Project); \$68,430,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2014; \$4,000,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014; \$4,320,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013; \$83,790,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013A; \$30,000,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2013. The bond proceeds were placed in an irrevocable trust to provide for all debt service payments on the old bonds. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$8,779,880.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Debt Obligation Activity

Governmental Activities

The current refunding was undertaken to reduce debt service payments over the next 24 years by \$48,433,950 and resulted in an economic gain of \$38,488,209. For the Series 2020 bonds, the payment of the principal and interest are to be made from an annual ad valorem tax levied against all taxable property within the County. The annual interest rate on the bonds ranges from 1.538% - 5.00%. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2043.

In August 2020, the County issued \$26,365,000 in Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2020A at a premium of \$6,125,001 to pay for the costs of issuing the Refunding Bonds and refund \$31,950,000 in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2011A. The bond proceeds were placed in an irrevocable trust to provide for all debt service payments on the old bonds. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$547,038. The current refunding was undertaken to reduce debt service payments over the next 18 years by \$8,150,031 and resulted in an economic gain of \$6,970,853. For the Series 2020 bonds, the payment of the principal and interest are to be made from an annual ad valorem tax levied against all taxable property within the County. The annual interest rate on the bonds ranges from 3.00% - 5.00%. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2037.

In August 2020, the County issued \$59,255,000 in Limited Tax Flood Control Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 at a premium of \$4,748,551 to pay for the costs of issuing the Refunding Bonds and refund \$53,395,000 in Flood Control Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014. The bond proceeds were placed in an irrevocable trust to provide for all debt service payments on the old bonds. The reacquisition price exceeded the net carrying amount of the old debt by \$2,045,924. The current refunding was undertaken to reduce debt service payments over the next 19 years by \$11,786,291 and resulted in an economic gain of \$10,029,504. For the Series 2020 bonds, the payment of the principal and interest are to be made from an annual ad valorem tax levied against all taxable property within the County. The annual interest rate on the bonds ranges from 1.538% - 5.00%. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2038.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Defeasance of Debt

The County has defeased certain general obligation bonds and certificates of obligation by placing the proceeds of the refunding bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service on the refunded bonds. The trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not included in the County's financial statements.

At September 30, 2020, the outstanding principal balance of these defeased bonds was as follows:

Governmental Activities:	
General Obligation Bonds:	
Pass-Through Revenue & Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2015A	6,330,000
Pass-Through Revenue & Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2015B	9,645,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	4,000,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2013	4,320,000
Flood Control Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	53,395,000
Total General Obligation Bonds	<u>\$ 77,690,000</u>
Certificates of Obligation:	
Combination Tax & Revenue, Series 2014	68,430,000
Combination Tax & Revenue, Series 2013A	83,790,000
Combination Tax & Revenue, Series 2013	30,000,000
Combination Tax & Revenue, Series 2013	29,675,000
Combination Tax & Revenue, Series 2013	28,650,000
Combination Tax & Revenue, Series 2013B	310,440,000
Total Certificates of Obligation	<u>\$ 550,985,000</u>
Total Defeased Debt	<u>\$ 628,675,000</u>

Arbitrage Rebate

The Tax Recovery Act of 1986 established regulations for the rebate to the federal government on arbitrage earnings on certain local government bonds issued after December 31, 1985, and all local governmental bonds issued after August 31, 1986. Issuing governments must calculate any rebate due on an annual basis and remit the amount due at least every five years. The County has no cumulative rebate amount due or payable as of September 30, 2020.

Compensated Absences

Changes in long-term compensated absences for the year ended September 30, 2020 were as follows:

Governmental Activities:			
Balance		Balance	Due in One
October 1, 2019		September 30, 2020	Year
\$ 49,320,477	Additions	\$ 12,330,119	\$ 12,666,569
	Taken/Paid	\$ 50,666,275	\$ 50,666,275

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The System

The schedule of changes in the System's long-term debt for 2019 follows:

	Balance at January 1, 2019	Issued	Retired	Balance at December 31, 2019	Amounts Due Within One Year
Bonds payable:					
Certificate of obligations, series 2009B, net	\$ 239,390,000	\$ -	\$ (239,390,000)	\$ -	\$ -
Certificate of obligations, series 2010B, net	173,350,000	-	(5,265,000)	168,085,000	5,775,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, series 2016, net	195,260,000	-	(6,485,000)	188,775,000	6,785,000
Certificate of obligations, series 2018, net	283,565,000	-	(4,190,000)	279,375,000	10,655,000
Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, series 2019, net	-	204,065,000	-	204,065,000	8,110,000
	<u>\$ 891,565,000</u>	<u>\$ 204,065,000</u>	<u>\$ (255,330,000)</u>	<u>\$ 840,300,000</u>	<u>\$ 31,525,000</u>

As of December 31, 2019, the bond premium amortization is \$69,278,000 and therefore total long-term debt is \$909,578,000.

The tax Certificates of Obligation, series 2009B (the 2009B Certificates) were issued in 2009, and mature in various amounts annually on February 15, from 2018 through 2039, with stated coupon rates ranging from 5.269% to 6.904%. The tax Certificates of Obligations, series 2010B (the 2010B Certificates) were issued in 2010, and mature in various amounts annually on February 15, from 2011 through 2040, with stated coupon rates ranging from 0.300% to 5.413% and are collateralized by a levy of ad valorem tax revenue. The 2009B Certificates and 2010B Certificates are designated under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 as "Qualified Build America Bonds" debt. The Limited Tax Refunding Bond, Series 2016 Bonds were used to refund \$215,485 of Series 2008 Certificates. The 2016 Bonds were issued in 2016, and mature in various amounts annually on February 15, from 2017 through 2037, with stated coupon rates ranging from 1.5% to 5.0% and are collateralized by a levy of ad valorem tax revenue and lien on and pledge of surplus revenues. As a result of the refunding, the System decreased its total debt service requirements by \$69,350 and incurred an accounting loss of approximately \$15,155. The accounting loss on the refunding is being amortized into interest expense using a straight-line method over the term of the 2016 Bonds, which mature in 2037. The balance of the deferred loss on the refunding is \$12,834, and \$13,604 at December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively, and is included as a deferred outflow of resources in the accompanying balance sheets. The tax Certificates of Obligation, Series 2018 (the 2018 Certificates) were issued in 2018, and mature in various amounts annually on February 15, from 2019 through 2048, with stated coupon rates ranging from 2.50% to 5.00%. The Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, series 2019 were issued in 2019, and mature in various amounts annually on February 15 from 2020 through 2039, with stated coupon rates ranging from 2.5% to 5.0% and are collateralized by a levy of ad valorem tax revenue.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The District

In September 2009, the District issued \$22,520,000 in Limited Ad Valorem Tax Utility System Bonds, Series 2009 for the purpose of reimbursing the Developer for authorized and approved construction costs it incurred within the District. The bonds will be paid over a twenty-five year period with a maturity date of August 15, 2034 and interest rates of ranging from 3.00% - 6.25%.

In October 2014, the District issued \$48,900,000 Hotel Tax and Sales and Use Tax Revenue Bonds Taxable, Series 2014 to reduce the Economic Development Grant from the Developer. The bonds will be paid over a twenty year period with a maturity date of August 15, 2034 and an interest rate of 7.00%.

In December 2014, the District issued \$8,965,000 Limited Ad Valorem Tax Road Bonds, Series 2014 to reimburse the Developer for public improvements. The bonds will be paid over a twenty year period with a maturity date of August 15, 2034 and an interest rate ranging from 3.00% - 4.10%.

In December 2016, the District issued \$9,575,000 Limited Ad Valorem Tax Road Bonds, Series 2016 to reimburse the Developer for public improvements. The bonds will be paid over an eighteen year period with a maturity date of August 15, 2034 and an interest rate ranging from 1.75% - 4.10%.

In February 2019, the District issued \$4,600,000 Limited Ad Valorem Tax Road Bonds, Series 2019, at a premium of \$5,362 to reimburse the Developer for public improvements. The bonds will be paid over a fifteen year period with a maturity date of August 15, 2034 and an interest rate ranging from 3.00% - 5.00%.

In November 2019, the District issued \$20,630,000 in Limited Ad Valorem Tax and Refunding Bonds, Series 2019A, at a premium of \$763,417. Proceeds in the amount of \$17,110,703 of the Series 2019A Bonds were withheld by U.S. Bank National, the paying agent/registrant, for the redemption of the refunded Limited Ad Valorem Tax Utility System Bonds, Series 2009. The remaining proceeds were used to reimburse the Developer for public improvements in the amount of \$3,520,578, and to pay for the costs of issuance in the amount of \$762,136. The bonds will be paid over a fifteen year period with a maturity date of August 15, 2034 and interest rates ranging from 2.00% - 5.00%. The District executed the refunding of the Series 2019A Bonds to reduce its total debt service payments by \$4,649,701 and to obtain an economic gain (difference between present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$3,712,423.

In November 2019, the District issued \$1,860,000 in Limited Ad Valorem Tax Road Bonds, Series 2019B, at a premium of \$76,793, to reimburse the Developer for public improvements in the amount of \$1,849,390; and, to pay for the costs of issuance in the amount of \$87,404. The bonds will be paid over a fifteen year period with a maturity rate of August 15, 2034 and interest rates ranging from 2.00% - 5.00%.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The District (Continued)

	Balance at October 1, 2019	Additions	Reductions	Balance at September 30, 2020	(Discount)/ Premium Balance at September 30, 2020	Amount Due Within One Year
Bonds payable:						
Limited Ad Valorem Tax Utility System Bonds:						
Series 2009	\$ 16,750,000	\$ -	\$ 16,750,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Limited Ad Valorem Tax Road Bonds:						
Series 2014	7,140,000	-	375,000	6,765,000	3,124	390,000
Series 2016	8,220,000	-	430,000	7,790,000	(41,472)	435,000
Series 2019	4,305,000	-	230,000	4,075,000	4,765	240,000
Limited Ad Valorem Tax Refunding Bonds:						
Series 2019A	-	20,630,000	1,375,000	19,255,000	712,522	1,045,000
Limited Ad Valorem Tax Road Bonds:						
Series 2019B	-	1,860,000	110,000	1,750,000	71,673	95,000
Hotel Tax and Sales and Use Tax Revenue Bonds						
Taxable:						
Series 2014	39,160,000	-	1,685,000	37,475,000	-	1,770,000
Total	<u>\$ 75,575,000</u>	<u>\$ 22,490,000</u>	<u>\$ 20,955,000</u>	<u>\$ 77,110,000</u>	<u>\$ 730,612</u>	<u>\$ 3,975,000</u>

On January 26, 2006, the District entered into an agreement, with the Developer, for the construction of public improvements and for certain public improvements that had already been undertaken by the Developer prior to the date of the agreement. Interest accumulates on unreimbursed costs at a rate of 9.75% per annum, compounded monthly, from the time the Developer requests reimbursement. As of September 30, 2020, the amount due to the Developer is \$47,742,534, which includes \$21,458,909 of accrued interest.

A summary of changes in amounts due to developer for the year ended September 30, 2020 follows:

Balance - October 1, 2019	\$ 41,631,120
Partial Submittals 12 & 13	9,808,899
Interest Accrued in the Current Year	3,972,483
Current Year Payments	(7,669,968)
Balance - September 30, 2020	<u>\$ 47,742,534</u>
Amount Due Within One Year	<u>\$ -</u>

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 September 30, 2020

NOTE H – LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The Authority

Long-term debt activity for the year ended September 30, 2020 is as follows:

	Balance October 1, 2019	Additions	Reductions	Balance September 30, 2020	Due in One Year
TxDot - FAA 1	\$ 913,890	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 913,890	\$ -
TxDot - FAA 2	4,542,185	-	-	4,542,185	-
TxDot - FAA 3	128,714	-	-	128,714	-
TxDot - FAA 5	12,390,000	-	-	12,390,000	-
VRF Jr Lien (5.00%)	52,320,000	-	-	52,320,000	-
VRF Sr Lien (4.00% - 5.00%)	56,590,000	-	(1,995,000)	54,595,000	2,090,000
Premium	16,867,472	-	(1,408,409)	15,459,063	-
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 143,752,261	\$ -	\$ (3,403,409)	\$ 140,348,852	\$ 2,090,000

On December 16, 2005, the Authority entered into a financial assistance agreement (FAA 1) with TxDOT to provide funding for the Authority's participation in the procurement process for a proposed comprehensive development agreement. This agreement is not to exceed \$1,000,000 and is noninterest-bearing. Funds were drawn down as authorized expenses were incurred. The amount outstanding at September 30, 2020 totaled \$913,890. On January 23, 2006, the Authority entered into a financial assistance agreement (FAA 2) with TxDOT to provide funding for the development costs of certain toll projects. This agreement is not to exceed \$7,500,000 and is noninterest-bearing. Funds were drawn down as authorized expenses were incurred. The amount outstanding at September 30, 2020 totaled \$4,542,185. On September 11, 2007, the Authority entered into a financial assistance agreement (FAA 3) with TxDOT to provide funding for the development costs of certain toll projects. FAA 3 is being funded with remaining unused funds on the previous FAAs. Funds were drawn down as authorized expenses were incurred. This agreement is not to exceed \$3,043,925 (the amount of unused funds from the previous FAAs) and is noninterest-bearing. FAA 3 funds in the amount of \$2,915,211 were reduced by TxDOT during fiscal year 2011 for accepted work product on Wurzbach Parkway and Bandera Road. The amount outstanding at September 30, 2020 totaled \$128,714. On February 3, 2009, the Authority entered into a financial assistance agreement (FAA 5) with TxDOT to provide funding for the environmental impact statement and Loop 1604 redevelopment costs. TxDOT awarded \$12,390,000 in the form of a loan to conduct feasibility studies, environmental studies, public involvement, schematics, and preliminary financial plans associated with the addition of toll lanes on identified projects. The amount outstanding at September 30, 2020 totaled \$12,390,000. Any revenue used to repay the financial assistance agreements shall not exceed 10 percent of the revenue received in any calendar year.

On June 15, 2016, the Authority issued \$114,425,000 (\$62,105,000 in Senior Lien and \$52,320,000 in Junior Lien bonds) in Alamo Regional Mobility Authority Vehicle Registration Fee Revenue Bonds, Series 2016 (maturing in 2046) at a premium of \$21,950,110 with the payment of the related principal and interest to be made from the \$10 vehicle registration fee assessed on all vehicles registered in Bexar County. Interest on the debt varies between 4-5 percent. The proceeds from the debt will be used to (i) initiate construction on 14 local transportation projects spread out across Bexar County including \$80,000,000 in contributions to TxDOT for improvements to Loop 1604, (ii) funding for two debt service reserve insurance policies and (iii) pay for cost of issuance. The Authority purchased a surety policy in the amount of \$239,356 at the time of closing which is recorded as a prepaid asset. The prepaid asset is amortized over the life of the bond and has a balance of \$197,119 at September 30, 2020.

Vehicle registration fees are projected to produce 255 percent of the debt service requirements over the life of the bonds. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the bonds is \$193,378,000. Principal and interest paid in fiscal year 2020 and total vehicle registration fee revenue in fiscal year 2020 was \$7,440,500 and \$15,727,770, respectively.

NOTE I – RESTRICTED ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Primary Government

The government-wide and business-type activities financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted or unrestricted. In the fund financial statements, nonspendable, restricted, and committed segregate portions of fund balance that are either not available or have been earmarked for specific purposes from unassigned balances. These designations and restrictions can be found on pages 31 and 34.

The System

Certain noncurrent Cash and Investments have been internally restricted by the Board of Managers, which may, at its discretion, later use the funds for other purposes. The composition of internally restricted cash is set forth in the following table:

	2019
Capital acquisitions and improvements	\$ 601,963,000
Professional self-insurance held in trust	5,347,000
Contingency fund	302,672,000
Total assets limited as to use	\$ 909,982,000

NOTE J – SELF INSURANCE

Primary Government

The County is self-insured for the majority of health, workers' compensation, and third-party general and property liability claims. The self-insurance programs are administered by external third-party administrators whose primary function is to administer and pay claims. Self-insurance activities are accounted for as an internal service fund.

The County relies upon a combination of self-insurance and commercial coverage for workers' compensation and third-party liability claims. Claims administration is managed by an external agency pursuant to contractual terms for the receipt, investigation, and resolution of claims either by injured employees or third-parties alleging damage to persons or property. From October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020, the internal service funds provide coverage for up to \$100,000 for most liability claims and up to \$200,000 for claims arising out of law enforcement activities, and \$100,000 for automobile liability claims. Claims which exceed this self-insured retention (SIR) are covered by a combination of primary and excess coverage up to \$5,000,000. For workers' compensation claims, for injuries from October 1, 2019 through present, the SIR would be \$750,000 for most employees and \$1,000,000 for most uniformed law enforcement personnel. Excess coverage that exceeds the SIR is afforded up to statutory limits by an excess commercial workers' compensation policy.

Excess loss insurance is carried on the health program, which limits losses on claims within a calendar year. For calendar year 2019, this limit for losses on claims was \$450,000 per occurrence and an annual aggregate of approximately \$75.1 million. For calendar year 2020, this limit was \$450,000 per occurrence and an annual aggregate of approximately \$75.7 million. The provision for unpaid self-insurance health losses at year end is included in claims payable in the internal services fund. It is based upon actual prior claim cost experience and average time lags in settling such claims and actual claims paid after year end. There has been no significant reduction in insurance coverage from coverage in the prior year by major category of risk.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE J – SELF INSURANCE (Continued)

Primary Government

All funds of the County participate in the health program and make payments to the Self-Insurance Fund based on estimates computed by the County of the amounts needed to pay prior and current year claims. The claims liability of \$9,717,326 reported at September 30, 2020 is based on the requirements of GASB Statement No. 10, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Risk Financing and Related Insurance Issues*. This Statement requires a liability for claims be reported if information prior to the issuance of the financial statements indicates it is probable a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Changes in the fund's claims liability for fiscal years ended September 30, 2019 and 2020 were:

Fiscal Year	Beginning Liability	Current Claims and Changes in Estimates	Claims Payment	Ending Balance	Due in One Year
2019	\$ 9,591,839	\$ 50,082,206	\$ 51,425,925	\$ 8,248,120	\$ 7,463,058
2020	\$ 8,248,120	\$ 53,603,603	\$ 52,134,397	\$ 9,717,326	\$ 9,318,116

NOTE K – CONTRACT BETWEEN BEXAR COUNTY AND THE SAN ANTONIO RIVER AUTHORITY

In 1951, Bexar County voters authorized an ad valorem levy for flood control of fifteen cents per one hundred dollars of valuation of taxable property. A 1955 contract with the San Antonio River Authority (SARA) and subsequent amendments, have provided to SARA a portion of the proceeds with the remaining flood control tax collections being retained by the County. The last amendment to the contract, referred to as *The 1999 Amended Contract*, maintains that the County will set a tax rate, which at 90% current collections, will provide revenues sufficient to pay the annual principal and interest of SARA bonds which are payable from the proceeds of the County's flood control tax. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020, the County transferred \$3,133,040 to SARA as part of this agreement.

NOTE L – LEASES

Operating Leases

The County has entered into several cancelable facilities and equipment leases which are accounted for as operating leases. Total operating lease expenditures for the year ended September 30, 2020 by fund type are as follows:

General	Capital Projects	Nonmajor Governmental Funds		Business Type Activities		Total
		Funds	Internal Service	Internal Service	Internal Service	
\$ 4,630,928	\$ 65,467	\$ 133,785	\$ 6,348	\$ 295,796	\$ 5,132,324	

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE M – CHARITY CARE

The System

The System provides charity care to residents of Bexar County who qualify on a financial basis for the CareLink Program and to all others who qualify based on the System's charity care policy. The System does not pursue collection of amounts in excess of the established guidelines for those patients who meet the charity criteria. Such excess is considered charity care and is not reported as revenue.

The System's CareLink Program is used to discount gross charges for medical services received in the System's facilities. Under this program, residents of Bexar County have an established maximum family liability rather than a discount of total gross charges. Key factors in establishing a family's maximum liability levels are: number of dependents, income, and the relationship of these factors to the current Poverty Index. The System does not pursue collection of amounts in excess of the maximum family liability. Such excess amounts are considered charity care and are not reported as revenue.

Arrangements are made with residents of Bexar County to pay their reduced medical costs in installments. Any amounts designated as not being due prior to December 31 of the subsequent year are classified as long-term patient receivables and are presented net of applicable allowances.

Non-CareLink patients meeting the financial and medical indigency criteria established in the charity policy receive a discount from gross charges for emergency and catastrophic medical services received in the System's facilities. Charges for financial indigency are discounted based on family income compared to the Poverty Index. Charges for medical indigency are discounted when charges exceed a certain income and asset level.

The System maintains records to identify and monitor the level of charity care it provides. These records include the amount of charges forgone for services and supplies furnished under its charity care policy. The charges forgone, based on established rates, were approximately \$848,917,000 and \$797,754,000 for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively. The costs of charity care provided under the System's charity care policy were approximately \$231,239,000 and \$231,497,000 for 2019 and 2018, respectively. The cost of charity care is estimated by applying the ratio of cost to gross charges to the gross charity care charges.

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN

Primary Government

Plan Description

The County provides retirement, disability, and death benefits for all of its eligible employees through a nontraditional defined benefit pension plan (the plan) in the statewide Texas County and District Retirement System (TCDRS). The Board of Trustees of TCDRS is responsible for the administration of the statewide agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system which consists of 738 nontraditional defined benefit pension plans. TCDRS, in the aggregate, issues a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) on a calendar year basis. The CAFR is available upon written request from the TCDRS Board of Trustees at P.O. Box 2034, Austin, Texas 78768-2034.

The plan provisions are adopted and may be amended by the governing body of the County within the options available in the Texas State statutes governing TCDRS (TCDRS Act). Members can retire at ages 60 and above with eight or more years of service, with 20 years of service regardless of age, or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Members are vested after eight years of service but must leave their accumulated deposits in the plan to receive any employer-financed benefit. Members who withdraw their personal deposits in a lump sum and who are not eligible to retire are not entitled to any amounts contributed by their employer.

Benefit amounts are determined by the sum of the employees' deposits to the plan, with interest, and employer-financed monetary credits. The level of these monetary credits is adopted by the governing body of the County within the actuarial constraints imposed by the TCDRS Act, so that the resulting benefits can be expected to be adequately financed by the employer's commitment to contribute. At retirement, death, or disability, the benefit is calculated by converting the sum of the employee's accumulated deposits and the employer-financed monetary credits to a monthly annuity using annuity purchase rates prescribed by the TCDRS Act.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Plan Description

At December 31, 2019, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	2,849
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	3,401
Active employees	5,836
	12,086

Funding Policy

The County has elected the Annually Determined Contribution Rate plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the employer based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the contribution rate of the employer is actuarially determined annually. The actuarially determined rate for 2019 was 13.51% of covered payroll however, Commissioners Court approved an elected rate of 13.61%. For calendar year 2020 the actuarially determined rate was 13.86%.

The deposit rate payable by all employee members for the calendar years 2019 and 2020 is 7% as adopted by the governing body of the County. The employee deposit rate and the employer contribution rate may be changed by the governing body of the employer within the options available in the TCDRS Act.

The TCDRS board hires independent outside consulting actuaries to conduct an annual valuation to measure the funding status and to determine the required employer contribution rate for each employer plan. In order to calculate the employer contribution rate, the actuary does the following:

1. Studies each employer's adopted plan of benefits and the profile of its plan participants, and uses assumptions established by the board to estimate future benefit payments.
2. Discounts the estimate of future benefit payments to the present based on the long-term rate of investment return to determine the present value of future benefits.
3. Compares the present value of future benefits with the plan's assets to determine the difference that needs to be funded based on the funding policy.

Net Pension Liability

The County's net pension liability was measured as of December 31, 2019, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The total pension liability in the December 31, 2019 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	2.75%
Salary Increases	3.25%
Investment Rate of Return	8.00%

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Net Pension Liability (Continued)

Mortality rates for service retirees, as well as the beneficiaries of both service and disability retirees were based on the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table with an age set forward of one year and Projection Scale AA for Males, and the RP-2014 Combined Female Table with no age adjustment and Projection Scale AA for Females. For disabled retirees, the RP-2014 Disabled Male Table with no age adjustment and Projection Scale AA for Males, and the RP-2014 Disabled Female Table with an age set forward of two years and Projection Scale AA for Females are used.

The actuarial assumptions that determined the total pension liability as of December 31, 2019 were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2016, except where required to be different by GASB Statement No. 68.

The long-term expected rate of return on TCDRS assets is determined by adding expected inflation to expected long-term real returns, and reflecting expected volatility and correlation. The capital market assumptions and information shown below are provided by TCDRS investment consultant, Cliffwater LLC. The numbers shown are based on April 2020 information for a 10 year time horizon.

Note that the valuation assumption for long-term expected return is re-assessed at a minimum of every four years, and is set based on a long-term time horizon; the most recent analysis was performed in 2017.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Geometric Real Rate of Return
US Equities	14.50%	5.20%
Private Equity	20.00%	8.20%
Global Equities	2.50%	5.50%
International Equities - Developed	7.00%	5.20%
International Equities - Emerging	7.00%	5.70%
Investment-Grade Bonds	3.00%	-0.20%
Strategic Credit	12.00%	3.14%
Direct Lending	11.00%	7.16%
Distressed Debt	4.00%	6.90%
REIT Equities	3.00%	4.50%
Master Limited Partnerships (MLPs)	2.00%	8.40%
Private Real Estate Partnerships	6.00%	5.50%
Hedge Funds	8.00%	2.30%

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Discount Rate/Depletion of Plan Assets

The discount rate is the single rate of return that, when applied to all projected benefit payments results in an actuarial present value of projected benefit payments equal to the total of the following:

1. The actuarial present value of benefit payments projected to be made in future periods in which (a) the amount of the pension plan's fiduciary net position is projected to be greater than the benefit payments projected to be made in that period and (b) pension plan assets up to that point are expected to be invested using a strategy to achieve the long-term rate of return, calculated using the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments.
2. The actuarial present value of projected benefit payments not included in (1), calculated using the municipal bond rate.

Therefore, if plan investments in a given future year are greater than projected benefit payments in that year and are invested such that they are expected to earn the long-term rate of return, the discount rate applied to projected benefit payments in that year should be the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments. If future years exist where this is not the case, then an index rate reflecting the yield on a 20-year, tax-exempt municipal bond should be used to discount the projected benefit payments for those years.

The determination of a future date when plan investments are not sufficient to pay projected benefit payments is often referred to as a depletion date projection. A depletion date projection compares projections of the pension plan's fiduciary net position to projected benefit payments and aims to determine a future date, if one exists, when the fiduciary net position is projected to be less than projected benefit payments. If an evaluation of the sufficiency of the projected fiduciary net position compared to projected benefit payments can be made with sufficient reliability without performing a depletion date projection, alternative methods to determine sufficiency may be applied.

In order to determine the discount rate to be used by the employer, TCDRS used an alternative method to determine the sufficiency of the fiduciary net position in all future years. The alternative method reflects the funding requirements under the County's funding policy and the legal requirements under the TCDRS Act.

1. TCDRS has a funding policy where the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) shall be amortized as a level percent of pay over 20-year closed layered periods.
2. Under the TCDRS Act, the employer is legally required to make the contribution specified in the funding policy.
3. The employer's assets are projected to exceed its accrued liabilities in 20 years or less. When this point is reached, the employer is still required to contribute at least the normal cost.
4. Any increased cost due to the adoption of a COLA is required to be funded over a period of 15 years, if applicable.

Based on the above, the projected fiduciary net position is determined to be sufficient compared to projected benefit payments. Based on the expected level of cash flows and investment returns to the system, the fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability is projected to increase from its current level in future years.

Since the projected fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay projected benefit payments in all future years, the discount rate for purposes of calculating the total pension liability and net pension liability of the employer is equal to the long-term assumed rate of return on investments. This long-term assumed rate of return should be net of investment expenses, but gross of administrative expenses for GASB Statement No.68 purposes. Therefore, a discount rate of 8.10% was used. This rate reflects the long-term assumed rate of return on assets for funding purposes of 8.00%, net of all expenses, increased by 0.10% to be gross of administrative expenses.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Changes in the Net Pension Liability

Primary Government

	Total Pension Liability (a)	Increase (Decrease) Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability / (Asset) (a) - (b)
Balances as of December 31, 2018	\$ 1,381,950,625	\$ 1,166,838,355	\$ 215,112,270
Changes for the year:			
Service cost	35,751,238	-	35,751,238
Interest on total pension liability	113,100,937	-	113,100,937
Effect of economic/demographic gains or losses	1,378,251	-	1,378,251
Refund of contributions	(3,742,673)	(3,742,673)	-
Benefit payments	(65,585,623)	(65,585,623)	-
Administrative expenses	-	(1,035,945)	1,035,945
Member contributions	-	18,916,256	(18,916,256)
Net investment income	-	193,877,572	(193,877,572)
Employer contributions	-	36,778,607	(36,778,607)
Other	-	(277,558)	277,558
Balances as of December 31, 2019	<u>\$ 1,462,852,755</u>	<u>\$ 1,345,768,991</u>	<u>\$ 117,083,764</u>

The net position liability is typically liquidated through employer and employee contributions that are charged to the employee's originating fund.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the net pension liability of the County, calculated using the discount rate of 8.10%, as well as what the County net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower (7.10%) or 1 percentage point higher (9.10%) than the current rate.

Primary Government

	1% Decrease	Current Discount Rate	1% Increase
Total pension liability	\$ 1,682,388,955	\$ 1,489,173,229	\$ 1,326,446,268
Fiduciary net position	1,372,089,466	1,372,089,465	1,372,089,466
Net pension liability / (asset)	\$ 310,299,489	\$ 117,083,764	\$ (45,643,198)

Pension Expense / (Income)

Primary Government

	January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019
Service cost	\$ 35,751,238
Interest on total pension liability	113,100,957
Administrative expenses	1,035,945
Member contributions	(18,916,256)
Expected investment return net of investment expenses	(95,027,966)
Recognition of deferred inflows/outflows of resources	(1,706,249)
Recognition of economic/demographic gains or losses	3,279,101
Recognition of assumption changes or inputs	9,386,735
Recognition of investments gains or losses	277,558
Other	-
Pension expense / (income)	\$ 47,181,043

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)

Deferred Inflows / Outflows of Resources

As of December 31, 2019, the deferred inflows and outflows of resources are as follows:

Primary Government

	Deferred Inflows of Resources	Deferred Outflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 799,902	\$ 2,153,969
Changes of assumptions	-	2,221,305
Net difference between projected and actual earnings	33,504,492	-
Contributions made subsequent to measurement date	-	28,981,517
	\$ 34,304,394	\$ 33,356,791

Amounts currently reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, excluding contributions made subsequent to the measurement date, will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31:

2020	(7,282,829)
2021	(7,820,021)
2022	4,849,343
2023	(19,675,613)
2024	-
Thereafter	-

Early Retirement Incentive Program

On June 16, 2020, the Bexar County Commissioners Court approved the Early Retirement Incentive Program (ERIP) Agreement. The program was a voluntary program which provided additional consideration to certain eligible employees to incentivize them to voluntarily retire and enter into a Separation Agreement. The window to participate in this one-time voluntary program was between June 17, 2020 and July 31, 2020 with a retirement date of August 31, 2020. Employees were required to meet one of the following retirement eligibility conditions as enumerated by the Texas District and County Retirement System (TCDRS):

- Had reached the age of 60 and had accrued at least 8 years of service time;
- Qualified under the "Rule of 75": Under this rule, employees are able to retire if age plus years and months of service add up to at least 75; or
- At least 20 years of service time, at any age

Additionally, the employee must have been actively enrolled in a Bexar County Health Insurance Plan for the Plan Year 2020.

In consideration of voluntarily participating in this plan, employees were offered the following incentives:

- A lump-sum payment in the amount equal to one week's salary for every full year worked at Bexar County, capped at 12 weeks.
- A discounted health insurance premium under the County's Baptist System Accountable Care Organization plan.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE N – RETIREMENT PLAN (Continued)
Early Retirement Incentive Program (Continued)

At the close of the voluntary program window, 199 of the 759 eligible employees signed up for the program. The immediate cost to the County was \$1,446,888. The lump-sum payment incentive as determined by the ERIP was processed as a one-time payment on the final paycheck issued to the employee. The effect if any, to the County OPEB plan was not measurable for the year ended September 30, 2020, however the effect will be incorporated in the next OPEB Actuarial Study.

NOTE O – OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

Primary Government

Plan Description

Under the County single-employer OPEB plan, the County is self-insured for employee and retiree healthcare and maintains two retiree healthcare plans: Bexar County Premium PPO Plan and Bexar County Base PPO Plan. Participation in the Plan is elective by each retiree. Healthcare benefits include, but are not limited to, prescription drugs, hospitalization, and preventative care. To be eligible, the retiree must meet the requirements from TCDRS (see note N) and have been enrolled in the County's Healthcare Plan for the year in which they retire. The OPEB Plan provides medical, dental, vision, and basic life insurance benefits to plan members. The benefits provided are not guaranteed. Additionally, the benefit provisions are subject to change at any time and to annual appropriation of funds by the Commissioners Court. Currently, the County is accounting for OPEB using an internal service fund. A separate financial report for the healthcare plan is not issued.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Plan's transactions are recorded using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan members' and employer's contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable. Investments, if any, are reported at fair value which is the amount the Plan could reasonably expect to receive for it in a current sale between a willing buyer and a willing seller. Fair value, for financial reporting purposes, is measured by the market price unless such prices are not available, in which case, fair value is estimated. The assets of the OPEB plan are not accumulated in a trust, for the sole purpose of the OPEB plan.

The County is required by GASB Statement No. 75 to disclose additional information with regard to funding policy, the employer's annual OPEB cost and contributions made, the funded status and funding progress of the employer's individual plan, and actuarial methods and assumptions used. This Statement establishes standards for recognizing and measuring liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and expense/expenditures.

Employees covered by benefit terms. At October 1, 2018, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	877
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	0
Active employees	4,073
Total	<u>4,950</u>

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE O – OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Total OPEB Liability

The County's total OPEB liability of \$216,578,397 is reported herein as of September 30, 2020 for the fiscal year and reporting period of October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020. The values shown for this fiscal year and reporting period are based on a measurement date of October 1, 2019 and the corresponding measurement period of October 1, 2018 to October 2019. The measurement of the total OPEB liability is based on a valuation date of October 1, 2018.

Funding Policy

Commissioner Court has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan members and the participation employer. The plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis and incurred \$7,759,703 in total claims for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020. The funds to pay these claims are derived from the employer contributions and retiree premiums.

The following table presents the monthly premium amounts paid by retirees based on their classification and plan.

	<u>Retiree Without Medicare</u>	<u>Contribution</u>	<u>Retiree With Medicare</u>	<u>Contribution</u>
	Premium PPO Plan	per Retiree	Premium PPO Plan	per Retiree
Retiree		314.64		147.08
Retiree + 1 Dependent		514.24	Retiree + 1 Dependent	346.88
Retiree + 2 or More		638.99	Retiree + 2 or More	471.63
	Base PPO Plan		Base PPO Plan	
Retiree		314.64	Retiree	147.08
Retiree + 1 Dependent		459.94	Retiree + 1 Dependent	292.57
Retiree + 2 or More		550.61	Retiree + 2 or More	383.24
	M APD Plan		M APD Plan	
Retiree		N/A	Retiree	116.38
Retiree + 1 Dependent		N/A	Retiree + 1 Dependent	232.76
Retiree + 2 or More		N/A	Retiree + 2 or More	N/A

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Methods

The total OPEB liability in the October 1, 2019 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Methods	
Discount Rate:	2.75%
Salary Scale:	3.00%
Healthcare Cost Trend Rates:	3.86% for fiscal year end 2019, then 7.33% for fiscal year end 2021 decreasing 0.333% per year until a rate of 5.00% is reached and then an ultimate rate of 4.50% thereafter
Mortality:	RP-2014 Mortality Table, fully generational with base year 2006, projected using two-dimensional mortality improvement scale MP-2019
Actuarial Cost Method:	Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method
Expected Return on Assets:	None

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE O – OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Discount Rate

The discount rate has been set equal to 2.75% and represents the Municipal GO A.A. 20-year yield curve rate as of the measurement date. As of the previous measurement date, the discount rate was set at 3.83% decreasing by 1.08% to the current rate.

Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

	Total OPEB Liability (a)	Increase (Decrease) Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net OPEB Liability/(Asset) (a) - (b)
Balances at October 1, 2019	\$ 178,872,693	-	\$ 178,872,693
Changes for the year			
Service cost	6,276,167	-	6,276,167
Interest	6,961,514	-	6,961,514
Differences between expected and actual experience	(4,018,880)	-	(4,018,880)
Contributions - employer	-	6,836,428	(6,836,428)
Contributions - employee	-	-	-
Net investment income	-	-	-
Benefit payments	(6,836,428)	(6,836,428)	-
Changes of benefit terms	-	-	-
Administrative expense	-	-	-
Changes of assumptions	35,323,331	-	35,323,331
Net changes	<u>37,705,704</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>37,705,704</u>
Balances at September 30, 2020*	<u>\$ 216,578,397</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 216,578,397</u>

*Measurement date is October 1, 2019

The total OPEB liability is typically liquidated through changes to the OPEB internal service fund. The changes are currently supported through revenue collected from the General Fund.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE O – OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the net OPEB liability/ (asset) of the employer as of the measurement date calculated using the discount rate, as well as what the employer's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease	Current Discount Rate	1% Increase
Employer's Net OPEB Liability	\$ 260,409,071	\$ 216,578,397	\$ 182,847,722

Sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the Trend rate. The following presents the net OPEB liability/ (asset) of the employer as of the measurement date calculated using the Health trend rate, as well as what the employer's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease	Current Discount Rate	1% Increase
Employer's Net OPEB Liability	\$ 179,055,506	\$ 216,578,397	\$ 265,988,580

OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year, ended September 30, 2020, under GASB 75 the County's OPEB expense is \$14,931,826. The Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources related to OPEB as of September 30, 2020 from various sources are as follows:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 29,751,828	\$ 10,166,804
Changes of assumptions	-	7,396,810
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments	-	-
Employer contributions after Measurement Date but prior to fiscal year end	7,759,703	-
Total	<u>\$ 37,511,531</u>	<u>\$ 17,563,614</u>

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Year ended September 30:	Amount:
2021	\$ 1,670,645
2022	1,670,645
2023	1,670,645
2024	1,670,645
2025	3,826,843
Thereafter	1,678,791

NOTE O – OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Primary Government (Continued)

Additional Disclosures

Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 175 allows counties to make available continued health benefits coverage under certain circumstances to retirees and their dependents beyond the end of an individual's employment with the County ("Continuation Coverage") by permitting covered employees to purchase continued health benefits coverage in retirement. Texas Law does not require counties to fund all or any portion of such coverage.

Because the County is given the authority to pay OPEB for its retired employees, it may incur a debt obligation to pay for OPEB so long as the County follows the constitutional requirement that it have sufficient taxing authority available at the time such debt is incurred to provide for the payment of the debt and has in fact levied a tax for such purpose concurrently with the incurrence of the debt. Any debt incurred in contravention of this constitutional requirement is considered void and payment will not be due.

The County has not incurred a legal debt obligation for OPEB and has not levied a tax for the same. The County funds the cost associated with OPEB on a current "pay as you go" basis for a single fiscal year through an annual appropriation authorized by Commissioners Court during the County's annual budget adoption process. GASB Statement No. 75 requires governmental organizations to recognize an actuarially calculated accrued liability for OPEB, even though it may not have a legally enforceable obligation to pay OPEB benefits. Accordingly, information and amounts presented in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report relative to OPEB expense/expenditures, related liabilities (assets), note disclosures, and supplementary information are only intended to achieve compliance with the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles and does not constitute or imply that the County has made a commitment or is legally obligated to provide the OPEB benefit.

NOTE P – CONDUIT DEBT

Primary Government

The component unit, Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation (BCHFC), is authorized to finance residential housing by issuing its tax-exempt revenue bonds to acquire mortgage loans made to low or moderate income persons, and to pledge such mortgage loans as security for the payment of the principal and interest of such revenue bonds. The tax-exempt bonds issued by the BCHFC do not constitute a debt or a pledge of faith or credit of the BCHFC or the County, but are payable by the user pursuant to terms defined in the loan agreement underlying each issue. At September 30, 2020, the aggregate amount of conduit debt outstanding was \$128,235,676.

The component unit, Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation (BCHFDC), is authorized to acquire, construct, provide, improve, finance, and refinance health facilities to assist the maintenance of the public health by issuing its tax-exempt revenue bonds. The bonds are secured by the property financed. The tax-exempt bonds issued by the BCHFC do not constitute a debt or a pledge of faith or credit of the BCHFC or the County, but are payable by the user pursuant to terms defined in the loan agreement underlying each issue. At September 30, 2020, the aggregate amount of conduit debt outstanding was \$80,760,000.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

NOTE Q – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Primary Government

The County is committed under various contracts in connection with the renovation of the detention facilities and certain other County buildings, road and bridge improvements, flood control projects, and parks and recreational improvements. These commitments are \$155,733,864.

The Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation is committed to grant awards made to various agencies to aid in various housing development activities. Amounts committed at September 30, 2020 by the Corporation are \$163,999 for grant commitments. In addition, the Corporation has designated \$150,000 for administrative reserve.

The Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation's purpose is to acquire, construct, provide, improve, finance, and refinance health facilities to assist the maintenance of the public health. Amounts committed as of September 30, 2020 by the Corporation are \$122,912 for grant commitments. In addition, the Corporation has designated \$100,000 for administrative reserve.

There are various lawsuits outstanding against the County at September 30, 2020 involving claims relating to jail, civil rights, and various other matters. A provision has been recorded for these contingencies in the Internal Services Fund for which the range of loss is estimated between \$600,000 and \$1,749,474.

The County participates in several state and federal grant programs, which are governed by various rules and regulations of the grantor agencies. Costs charged to the respective grant programs are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor agencies; therefore, to the extent that the County has not complied with the rules and regulations governing the grants, refunds of any money received may be required, and the collectability of any related receivable may be impaired. In the opinion of management, there are no significant contingent liabilities relating to compliance with the rules and regulations governing the respective grants; therefore, no provision has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements for such contingencies.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the County and the Deputy Sheriff's Association of Bexar County executed a collective bargaining agreement effective from May 12, 2016 through September 30, 2020. The total estimated cumulative cost of the agreement over the three-year contact period is \$42.6 million.

The System

The System is, from time to time, subject to allegations that may or do result in litigation. Some of these allegations are in areas not covered by the System's self-insurance program or by commercial insurance; for example, allegations regarding employment practices or performance of contracts. The System evaluates such allegations by conducting investigations to determine the validity of each potential claim. Based upon the advice of legal counsel, management records an estimate of the amount of ultimate expected loss, if any, for each. Events could occur that would cause the estimate of ultimate loss to differ materially in the near term.

The District

As inducement for the development of the resort hotel, spa, and golf courses (the Project), the District agreed to grant to the Developer a development grant to be used as partial payment of the costs borne by the Developer in completing the Project. Under this amended agreement, the Project owner assigned its right to the Developer to receive, on a subordinate basis, available hotel and resort sales and use tax collections (development grant), after ten consecutive annual payments (senior HOT and sales tax grants) are made to the Project owner in an amount equal to the annual ad valorem tax it paid to the District.

The development grant authorized is capped at \$110 million, plus interest calculated from July 31, 2007, the date of conveyance of the land for the Project (from the Developer to the owner of the Project), at a rate of 9.75% per annum. At September 30, 2020, the principle portion of the development grant outstanding is \$68,748,884 and accrued interest is \$88,263,807.

Monthly payments are required if hotel and resort sales and use tax collections are available. Bonds secured by sales and use tax revenues may be issued to pay the Developer in lieu of the monthly payments. The monthly payments under this agreement are dependent on several factors; such as, the amount of hotel and resort sales and use tax generated, and the ad valorem taxes imposed on the Project owners. During the year, economic development expenses were not paid to the Developer.

NOTE R – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Governmental Activities

Texas 2021 Winter Weather Event

From February 14, 2021 through February 19, 2021, the continental United States experienced a severe winter storm resulting from the southern migration of a polar vortex that meteorologists characterize as the most significant in terms of scope and duration since monitoring of these weather phenomenon began in the 1950s. As a result of this storm, the County experienced three consecutive days of record low temperatures, record low daily high temperatures, and windchills of -6 degrees Fahrenheit.

On February 19, 2021, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) declared Active Disaster 4586 for Severe Winter Storms covering 108 counties across Texas, including Bexar County. The incident period began February 11 and ended on February 21, 2021. As a result of this event Bexar County began two public assistance programs. The Bexar County Plumbing Assistance Programs are to help eligible homeowners in unincorporated Bexar County or one of the 26 suburban cities to repair damaged pipes or reimburse for repairs from damages caused by the February freeze. These programs are intended to address water supply issues only and will not cover associated water damage to floor coverings, walls, sheet rock, etc. To qualify for assistance from these programs, the household must (1) be located in unincorporated Bexar County or one of the 26 suburban cities, (2) a household must meet HUD established Income Guidelines for families earning 80% or below the Area Median Income, and (3) the damaged pipes must be attached to the home. The maximum reimbursement per household for these programs is \$1,500 (parts and labor). If an eligible homeowner paid for repairs, the County will reimburse the homeowner. Invoices dated prior to February 14, 2021 are ineligible for reimbursement, and renters are not eligible to apply for these programs.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

NOTE S – FUND AND NET POSITION

Net Position Classifications

Net position in the proprietary fund financial statements and the government-wide financial statements are classified in three categories: 1) Net investment in capital assets, 2) Restricted net position, and 3) Unrestricted net position.

Fund Balance Classifications

Under GAAP, fund balance is divided into five classifications based upon the extent to which the County is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources in the governmental funds. The classifications are as follows:

Nonspendable - The nonspendable fund balance category includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are not in spendable form, or they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The "not in spendable form" criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash. It also includes the long-term amount of interfund loans.

Restricted - Fund balance is reported as restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors, grantors, constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Enabling legislation authorizes the County to assess, levy, charge, or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation. Legal enforceability means that the County can be compelled by an external party such as citizens, public interest groups, or the judiciary to use resources created by enabling legislation only for the purposes specified by the legislation.

Committed - The committed fund balance classification includes amounts that can be used only for specific purposes imposed by formal action such as a resolution of Commissioners Court. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless Commissioners Court removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action it employed to previously commit those amounts. In contrast to fund balance that is restricted by enabling legislation, committed fund balance classification may be redeployed for other purposes with appropriate due process. Constraints imposed on the use of committed amounts are imposed by Commissioners Court, separate from the authorization to raise the underlying revenue; therefore, compliance with these constraints is not considered to be legally enforceable. Committed fund balance also incorporates contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements.

Assigned - Amounts in the assigned fund balance classification are intended to be used by the County for specific purposes but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. Such intent should be expressed by Commissioners Court or its designated officials to assign amounts to be used. The County Manager, by virtue of appointment to that office and as a normal function of that office, has the authority to assign fund balance to particular purposes. Assignments made by the County Manager can occur during the budget process or throughout the year in the normal course of business. Commissioners Court, at their discretion, may make assignments of fund balance or direct other County officials to do so. Constraints imposed on the use of the assigned amounts can be removed with no formal action.

Unassigned - The unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned.

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE 5 – FUND AND NET POSITION BALANCES (Continued)

Fund balances by classification as of September 30, 2020 pursuant to GASB No. 54 are as follows:

	Major Funds				Total Governmental Funds
	General Fund	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Special Revenue Funds	
Nonspendable:					
Long-term receivable	\$ 4,840,184	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,840,184
Prepaid Assets	21,174	-	-	-	21,174
Inventories	521,333	-	-	-	521,333
Restricted for:					
Debt service	-	71,927,385	-	-	71,927,385
Courthouse facilities	-	-	3,205,698	-	3,205,698
Roads and Bridges	-	-	12,657,271	-	12,657,271
Advanced Transportation District	-	-	82,695,675	-	82,695,675
Flood projects	-	-	266,931,711	-	266,931,711
Other capital projects	-	-	235,072,195	-	235,072,195
County Clerk Records Management	-	-	35,048,794	-	35,048,794
County Records Management	-	-	171,932	-	171,932
Fire Code	-	-	9,393,296	-	9,393,296
District Clerk Records Management	-	-	174,166	-	174,166
Law Library	-	-	1	-	1
County Wide Court Technology	-	-	109,036	-	109,036
Dispute Resolution	-	-	75,979	-	75,979
Justice of Peace Security	-	-	653,391	-	653,391
Domestic Relations	-	-	2	-	2
Probate Contribution	-	-	611,254	-	611,254
LEOSE	-	-	148,540	-	148,540
Child Abuse Prevention	-	-	21,306	-	21,306
District Court Records Technology	-	-	110,394	-	110,394
Juvenile Case Manager	-	-	11,137	-	11,137
Probate Guardianship	-	-	88,369	-	88,369
Probate Education	-	-	329,615	-	329,615
Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	-	-	29,286	-	29,286
Grants	-	-	15,400,100	-	15,400,100
Stormwater Mitigation	-	-	8,173,166	-	8,173,166
Chapter 19 Voter Registration	-	-	1,155	-	1,155
Election Contracting Services	-	-	576,270	-	576,270
Tax Collector's Account Special Inventor	-	-	-	13,733	13,733
District Attorney Programs	-	-	-	242,506	242,506
Asset Forfeitures	-	-	-	3,946,214	3,946,214
Housing Finance Corp	-	-	-	1,908,414	1,908,414
Health Facilities Development Corp	-	-	-	222,912	222,912
Industrial Development Corp	-	-	-	193	193
Committed to:					
Technology Improvement	-	-	-	1,119,322	1,119,322
Unassigned:	148,972,594	-	-	-	148,972,594
Total fund balances	\$ 154,355,285	\$ 71,927,385	\$ 600,562,350	\$ 78,580,483	\$ 905,425,503

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE 5 – FUND AND NET POSITION BALANCES (Continued)

The County applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

The County maintains a minimum fund balance reserve policy to maintain strong financial reserves and stability and to protect the County's bond ratings. Key components of the reserve policy are as follows:

- Commissioners Court has set a policy to maintain a General Fund operating reserve of 15% of budgeted, annual, operating expenditures. The policy establishes sufficient working capital and margin of financial safety to address unforeseen, one-time emergency expenditures.
- Use of this reserve would occur after all other current budgetary resources of funding have been exhausted, and no other category of fund balance is available to satisfy the funding needed. Commissioners Court authorization is required for fund balance to be appropriated from the Unassigned General Fund Reserve.

At September 30, 2020, the OPEB Fund (an internal service fund) had a deficit net position of \$196,952,405, the Print Shop Fund (an internal service fund) had a deficit net position of \$227,019, the Community Venue Fund (an enterprise fund) had a deficit net position of \$63,274,387, the Firing Range Fund, a non-major enterprise fund reported a deficit net position of \$38,750 and the Self-Insurance Fund (an internal service fund) reported a deficit net position of \$253,639.

The OPEB Fund deficit is due to the accrual of the OPEB obligation. See Note O for more information. The County anticipates that a portion of the deficit in the OPEB Fund will be offset by revenue enhancements and expenditure reductions through changes to the County's health plans and adjustments to health insurance premiums. In addition, the County will continue to transfer funds from the General Fund as the OPEB fund is operated on a "pay as you go" basis.

The Print Shop Fund ended fiscal year 2020 with a deficit in net position of \$227,019. The deficit in this Internal Service Fund is primarily due to revenues from services decreasing compared to revenues in the prior year. In addition, the fund received a decrease in the annual transfer from the General Fund. During fiscal year 2021, the Print Shop Fund will receive a transfer from the General Fund to address this deficit.

The deficit balance in the Community Venue Fund is primarily attributed to expenses for assets owned by other entities. The County issues bonds to finance these projects that do not get capitalized on the fund's financial statements. The net effect of these transactions leaves a liability balance on the fund's financial statements for the bonds the County is still obligated to pay. The total balance for expenses on assets owned by other entities is \$215,367,813 at September 30, 2020. See Table 19 in the Statistical Section for detailed balances.

The Firing Range Fund ended fiscal year 2020 with a deficit in net position of \$38,750. The deficit in this Enterprise Fund is primarily due to unexpected maintenance and repair costs. During fiscal year 2021, the Firing Range Fund will receive a transfer from the General Fund to address this deficit.

The Self-Insurance Fund ended fiscal year 2020 with a deficit in net position of \$253,639. The deficit in this Internal Service Fund is primarily due to an unexpected increase in medical claims. During fiscal year 2021, the Self-Insurance Fund will receive a transfer from the General Fund to address this deficit.

The System

GASB Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, was implemented during 2019, which establishes criteria for identifying fiduciary activities of all state and local governments. The focus of the criteria generally is on (1) whether a government is controlling the assets of the fiduciary activity and (2) the beneficiaries with whom a fiduciary relationship exists. Separate criteria are included to identify fiduciary component units and postemployment benefit arrangements that are fiduciary activities. Adoption of GASB 84 had no effect on the System's financial statements.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE S – FUND AND NET POSITION BALANCES (Continued)

The District

In accordance with the Public Improvement Financing Agreement, Article II, Section 2.03 (c), following completion of construction and acceptance of each phase of the public improvements, the District or the Developer, as appropriate, shall convey any public improvements constructed to the appropriate governmental agencies as required, or to a non-profit entity charged with maintaining conservation easements, or to a property owners association within the District for operation and maintenance. Capital assets were previously recorded and reported in the District's financial statements since it was unknown whether they had been conveyed. However, the District and its attorneys have represented that the District does not own any capital assets and that all conveyances have occurred between the Developer and other parties. Therefore capital assets as reported in previous financial statements were removed from the District's records in the current period.

As a result, beginning net position was restated as follows:

Net Position - September 30, 2019, As Reported	\$ (27,277,660)
Write-off capital assets, net	(83,009,685)
Net Position - October 1, 2019, As Restated	\$ (110,287,345)

NOTE T – JOINT VENTURES

San Antonio Bexar County Soccer Public Facility Corporation (SABC PFC) was created in fiscal year 2016 as a joint venture between the County and the City of San Antonio to own Toyota Field, a professional soccer stadium. The SABC PFC is governed by a four member board comprised of two appointees from the County and two from the City. The County contributed \$9,700,000 and whereas the City contributed \$9,500,000 to SABC PFC; both the County and the City have an ongoing financial interest in SABC PFC. SABC PFC has entered into a lease with San Antonio Football Club Management (SA FC) for the use of Toyota Field for a term of 20 years at an annual lease rental of \$100,000. As part of this agreement, there is a provision requiring reimbursement to the County and City of a combined \$5,000,000 should no MLS franchise be awarded to SA FC. The investment in the Joint Venture decreased by \$1,779,100 since inception due to the cost of operating and maintaining the facility. The financial statements can be obtained at the SABC PFC office at: 100 Military Plaza, San Antonio, TX 78205.

NOTE U – TAX ABATEMENT PROGRAM

Bexar County enters into property tax abatements agreements with businesses under the Property Redevelopment and Tax Abatement Act. Under this Act, Bexar County may grant property tax abatements on ad valorem personal and/or real property as an economic development incentive for attracting and/or retaining businesses in order to create jobs and an increased tax base. Commitments under the tax abatement agreements include certain employment and capital investment levels. If the employment and/or capital investment level commitments are not met, taxes previously abated are subject to recapture by the County.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020, Bexar County abated property taxes totaling \$2,186,346 under this program, including the following tax abatement agreements that each exceeded \$100,000 of the total amount abated:

- A 50 percent real and personal property tax abatement to a national distributor of alcoholic beverages for building a new distribution center in West Bexar County. The real and personal property taxes abated for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2020 were \$157,732.
- A 60 percent real property tax abatement to a residential complex for capital investment in central Bexar County. The abatement amounted to \$131,542.
- A 75 percent personal and real property tax abatement to a retail store chain for the construction of a distribution center in east Bexar County. The abatement amounted to \$242,564.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
September 30, 2020

NOTE U – TAX ABATEMENT PROGRAM (Continued)

- A 100 percent personal and real property tax abatement to a national insurance carrier for building a new regional headquarters in Northwest Bexar County. The abatement amounted to \$142,312.

- A 100 percent personal property tax abatement and an 80 percent personal and real property tax abatement to a manufacturer of vehicles for the production of a specific type of its vehicles in South Bexar County. The abatement amounted to \$397,980.

NOTE V – INNER CITY CLINIC

The County has entered into an agreement with The Center for Health Care Services to build a multi-facility campus named the Inner City Center. The Inner City Center shall be owned by the County but shall be operated by the Center. The County has agreed to provide to the Center funding of up to approximately \$23,000,000 to support the development and construction of the Inner City Center. This amount is a portion of the funding necessary to construct the Inner City Center and represents the proceeds received by the County through the issuance and sale of Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016A.

As of September 30, 2020, the County has provided \$17,665,206 as its share of the funding for the construction of the Inner City Center. The Center has invested \$15,098,563 toward the overall project development and construction is substantially complete. These costs have been capitalized and recorded as assets in each party's respective financial statements.

In consideration of the County's agreement to finance the Inner City Center with the Obligations, the Center shall be obligated to pay the County for debt service on the Obligations. Since the County will benefit from the operation of the mental health services at the Inner City Center for the County's Substance Use Jail Diversion Program and Youth Services Program, the County may, at its sole discretion, choose annually to offset all or any portion of the scheduled annual rental payments with in-kind services provided at the Inner City Clinic. Through fiscal year 2020, the Center was required to make a \$6,625,300 rental payment in accordance with the Schedule of Annual Rental Payments; however, since \$3,277,041 was provided in such in-kind services through September 30, 2020, a receivable for the difference, in the amount of \$3,348,259 was recorded in the County's financial statements.

NOTE W – SAISD & COUNTY PARKING GARAGE

The County has entered into an agreement with the San Antonio Independent School District (SAISD) that includes a ground lease and a construction, development and use agreement of a parking garage (Quincy Street Parking Garage). This project is budgeted at \$17,200,000 and is estimated to be complete in the spring of 2021. As part of the agreement, the County has agreed to lease land from SAISD for the construction of this parking garage. The lease term is 30 years and upon expiration of this agreement the Quincy Street Parking Garage shall become property of SAISD. However, before ownership and title is transferred the debt created by the County shall be paid in full. All construction costs of the Quincy Street Parking Garage will be the responsibility of the County. These costs will be financed with bond proceeds. After completion and during the term of the agreement, all sources of revenue from the Quincy Street Parking Garage shall belong to the County. Revenue collections will be used by the County for the repayment of the debt. Repairs, maintenance and janitorial services will be the responsibility of the County. SAISD shall have the exclusive use of 600 parking spaces during workdays from 7 am to 5 pm. For special events including the SAISD Board Meetings at the SAISD's adjacent administrative offices, SAISD will have exclusive use of 150 parking spaces for a maximum of 24 evenings and nights (5pm – midnight). The parking rates to be paid by SAISD for the use of the defined parking spaces for the first five years of the agreement are as follows:

- SAISD employee monthly rate \$60/ per vehicle
- Non SAISD monthly contract parking rate \$100/ per vehicle.
- Public rates weekend and evenings \$5 / per vehicle / per day
- Event parking rate \$10/ per vehicle / per day

As of September 30, 2020, the County is reporting \$4,440,826 as construction in progress for the SAISD and County parking garage.

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES				
Ad valorem taxes				
Current	\$ 379,300,000	\$ 379,300,000	\$ 383,433,586	\$ 4,133,586
Delinquent	1,000,000	1,000,000	602,184	(397,816)
Penalty and interest	1,975,070	1,975,070	2,383,835	408,765
Gross	382,275,070	382,275,070	386,419,605	4,144,535
- TIFs	(4,567,037)	(4,567,037)	(4,347,370)	219,667
Net ad valorem taxes	377,708,033	377,708,033	382,072,235	4,364,202
Other taxes, licenses and permits	27,274,500	27,274,500	27,099,934	(174,566)
Intergovernmental revenues	6,704,800	6,704,800	7,494,064	789,264
Court cost and fines	12,604,000	12,604,000	10,217,027	(2,386,973)
Fees on motor vehicles	5,518,100	5,518,100	5,440,843	(77,257)
Other fees	24,578,750	24,578,750	23,210,928	(1,367,822)
Commissions from governmental units	5,362,568	5,362,568	5,534,777	172,209
Revenues from use of assets	13,813,000	13,813,000	6,709,695	(7,043,305)
Sales, refunds, and miscellaneous	1,819,500	1,819,500	4,515,319	2,695,819
TOTAL REVENUES	475,385,251	475,385,251	472,354,822	(3,028,429)
EXPENDITURES				
GENERAL GOVERNMENT				
Commissioners Court				
Personnel cost	2,242,081	2,369,515	2,369,513	2
Remuneration for services	16,500	221	220	1
Operational costs	56,600	90,796	90,796	-
Supplies and materials	12,750	15,183	13,291	1,892
Total Commissioners Court	2,327,931	2,475,715	2,473,820	1,895
County Clerk				
Personnel costs	8,307,638	8,324,291	8,324,290	1
Remuneration for services	9,240	9,240	9,186	54
Operational costs	122,305	131,380	131,379	1
Supplies and materials	227,091	201,363	182,611	18,752
Total County Clerk	8,666,274	8,666,274	8,647,466	18,808

(continued)



This page intentionally left blank

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
County Auditor	5,318,275	5,406,750	5,406,747	3
Personnel costs	24,375	8,481	8,479	2
Remuneration for services	57,492	53,992	53,976	16
Operational costs	50,500	45,585	45,106	479
Supplies and materials	5,450,642	5,514,808	5,514,308	500
Total County Auditor				
Information Technology	14,288,801	14,288,801	12,832,837	1,455,964
Personnel costs	241,837	241,837	76,476	1,653,61
Remuneration for services	12,318,334	12,318,334	11,804,613	513,721
Operational costs	160,500	285,654	178,250	107,404
Supplies and materials	137,165	12,011	-	12,011
Capital expenditures	27,146,637	27,146,637	24,892,176	2,254,461
Total Information Technology				
Tax Assessor-Collector	12,116,767	12,116,767	11,750,437	366,330
Personnel costs	26,482	26,482	3,001	23,481
Remuneration for services	860,497	860,497	706,114	154,383
Operational costs	697,853	727,753	709,479	18,274
Supplies and materials	20,000	20,000	-	20,000
Capital expenditures	13,721,599	13,751,499	13,169,031	582,468
Total Tax Assessor-Collector				
Purchasing	1,320,830	1,320,830	1,265,983	54,847
Personnel costs	16,337	16,337	14,299	2,038
Remuneration for services	81,392	81,392	76,075	5,317
Operational costs	13,800	21,668	17,474	4,194
Supplies and materials	1,432,359	1,440,227	1,373,831	66,396
Total Purchasing				
County Manager	1,148,912	1,160,680	1,160,679	1
Personnel costs	19,150	7,382	4,326	3,056
Remuneration for services	76,498	79,295	65,169	14,126
Operational costs	10,650	10,650	5,855	4,795
Supplies and materials	-	10,000	8,661	1,339
Capital expenditures	1,255,210	1,268,007	1,244,690	23,317
Total County Manager				
Budget	946,964	946,964	879,579	67,385
Personnel costs	17,896	17,816	6,732	11,084
Remuneration for services	7,482	7,711	7,710	1
Operational costs	10,000	9,851	9,72	8,879
Supplies and materials	30,800	30,800	30,800	30,800
Capital expenditures	1,013,142	1,013,142	894,993	118,149
Total Budget				

(continued)

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
Management and Finance	611,600	611,600	605,668	5,932
Personnel costs	10,687	10,687	1,627	9,060
Remuneration for services	28,053	28,053	25,502	2,551
Operational costs	2,600	2,600	1,398	1,202
Supplies and materials	652,940	652,940	634,195	18,745
Total Management and Finance				
Human Resources	1,088,878	1,088,878	993,097	95,781
Personnel costs	16,021	16,021	4,594	11,427
Remuneration for services	354,990	354,990	279,934	75,056
Operational costs	27,950	27,950	8,563	19,387
Supplies and materials	3,000	3,000	-	3,000
Capital expenditures	1,490,839	1,490,839	1,286,188	204,651
Total Human Resources				
Elections	1,946,413	1,946,413	1,914,992	31,421
Personnel costs	2,000	2,500	2,272	228
Remuneration for services	1,510,074	1,900,074	1,907,773	52,301
Operational costs	568,444	567,944	564,305	3,639
Supplies and materials	4,026,931	4,476,931	4,389,342	87,589
Total Elections				
Economic and Community Development	2,211,420	2,228,248	2,132,230	96,018
Personnel costs	54,490	55,290	21,151	34,139
Remuneration for services	86,122	127,522	120,179	7,343
Operational costs	21,245	21,417	13,108	8,309
Supplies and materials	2,373,277	2,432,477	2,286,668	145,809
Total Economic and Community Development				
Facilities Management - Administration and Facilities Improvement	1,316,042	1,316,043	1,316,043	-
Maintenance Program and Mail Room	10,504	10,504	7,314	3,190
Personnel costs	515,192	519,390	363,780	155,610
Remuneration for services	743,266	841,764	181,652	660,112
Operational costs	246,016	160,500	31,800	128,700
Supplies and materials	2,831,020	2,848,201	1,900,589	947,612
Capital expenditures	2,114,969	2,240,743	2,240,740	3
Total Facilities Management - County Buildings				
Personnel costs	14,380	293	293	-
Remuneration for services	3,178,608	3,387,905	3,387,905	-
Operational costs	290,820	304,640	304,640	-
Supplies and materials	-	28,406	28,406	-
Capital expenditures	5,598,777	5,961,987	5,961,984	3
Total Facilities Management - County Buildings				

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
JUDICIAL				
County Wide				
Personnel costs	6,173,329	6,173,329	282,736	5,890,593
Remuneration for services	15,000	14,201	-	14,201
Operational costs	22,732,428	32,786,471	17,258,659	15,527,812
Supplies and materials	500	1,299	1,298	1
Total County Wide	28,921,257	38,975,300	17,542,693	21,432,607
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	106,908,835	118,114,984	92,211,974	25,903,010
JUDICIAL				
Criminal District Attorney				
Personnel costs	40,171,634	40,922,844	40,922,842	2
Remuneration for services	112,000	96,729	96,728	1
Operational costs	626,240	617,664	617,664	-
Supplies and materials	373,489	356,272	356,272	-
Total Criminal District Attorney	41,283,363	41,993,509	41,993,506	3
Central Magstration - District Clerk				
Personnel costs	1,490,683	1,490,683	1,475,720	14,963
Operational costs	18,721	18,408	17,317	1,091
Supplies and materials	26,000	26,313	26,312	1
Capital expenditures	10,445	12,367	12,367	-
Total Central Magstration - District Clerk	1,545,849	1,547,771	1,531,716	16,055
Central Magstration - Criminal District Courts				
Personnel costs	148,222	510,493	510,492	1
Operational costs	799,283	791,900	294,455	497,445
Total Central Magstration - District Courts	947,505	1,302,393	804,947	497,446
Trial Expenses				
Operational costs	1,832,502	1,832,502	1,701,971	130,531
Supplies and materials	434,560	434,560	202,477	232,083
Total Trial Expenses	2,267,062	2,267,062	1,904,448	362,614
District Clerk				
Personnel costs	9,869,192	9,869,192	9,852,372	16,820
Remuneration for services	10,338	10,338	2,486	7,852
Operational costs	183,255	183,255	112,920	70,335
Supplies and materials	280,330	283,630	283,037	593
Capital expenditures	20,890	18,968	-	18,968
Total District Clerk	10,364,005	10,365,383	10,250,815	114,568
Justices of the Peace, Precinct 1				
Personnel costs	1,538,767	1,538,767	1,478,769	59,998
Remuneration for services	8,500	8,000	1,851	6,149
Operational costs	16,750	15,250	12,696	2,554
Supplies and materials	29,700	31,700	28,978	2,722
Total Justices of the Peace, Precinct 1	1,593,717	1,593,717	1,522,294	71,423
Justices of the Peace, Precinct 2				
Personnel costs	985,296	990,332	990,332	-
Remuneration for services	6,000	6,000	2,966	3,034
Operational costs	286,662	286,662	271,648	15,014
Supplies and materials	41,000	35,964	24,392	11,572
Total Justices of the Peace, Precinct 2	1,318,958	1,318,958	1,289,338	29,620
Justices of the Peace, Precinct 3				
Personnel costs	917,836	917,836	914,191	3,645
Remuneration for services	5,675	5,675	2,508	3,167
Operational costs	31,428	31,428	24,914	6,514
Supplies and materials	38,100	38,100	32,465	5,635
Total Justices of the Peace, Precinct 3	993,039	993,039	974,078	18,961
(continued)				

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
Justices of the Peace, Precinct 4				
Personnel costs	949,795	958,511	958,511	-
Remuneration for services	5,000	3,134	3,133	1
Operational costs	262,511	326,652	325,482	1,170
Supplies and materials	31,850	29,208	27,732	1,476
Total Justices of the Peace, Precinct 4	1,249,156	1,317,505	1,314,858	2,647
District Courts - Criminal				
Personnel costs	6,014,454	6,014,454	5,793,601	220,853
Remuneration for services	30,400	30,400	8,636	21,764
Operational costs	6,801,129	6,801,129	6,064,835	736,294
Supplies and materials	56,600	56,600	33,436	23,164
Total District Courts - Criminal	12,902,583	12,902,583	11,900,508	1,002,075
District Courts - Civil				
Personnel costs	5,064,624	5,157,654	5,132,056	25,598
Remuneration for services	47,373	47,373	24,069	23,304
Operational costs	3,559,035	3,463,775	3,402,081	61,694
Supplies and materials	131,175	138,064	119,405	18,659
Capital expenditure	36,313	33,654	-	33,654
Total District Courts - Civil	8,838,520	8,840,520	8,677,611	162,909
District Courts - Juvenile				
Personnel costs	2,112,167	2,163,427	2,163,424	3
Remuneration for services	15,300	15,300	6,096	9,204
Operational costs	745,376	694,116	470,805	223,311
Supplies and materials	27,600	27,600	19,529	8,071
Total District Courts - Juvenile	2,900,443	2,900,443	2,659,854	240,589
Judicial Services				
Personnel costs	6,462,772	6,634,323	6,634,319	4
Remuneration for services	26,551	2,906	2,903	3
Operational costs	1,068,768	1,474,437	1,474,437	-
Supplies and materials	87,367	51,465	49,026	2,439
Total Judicial Services	7,645,458	8,163,131	8,160,685	2,446
Bail Bond Board				
Personnel costs	76,960	93,032	93,030	2
Remuneration for services	1,000	190	188	2
Operational costs	2,556	2,560	2,560	0
Supplies and materials	775	740	731	9
Total Bail Bond Board	81,291	96,524	96,509	15

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
4th Court of Appeals				
Personnel costs	106,365	106,365	105,498	867
Operational costs	1,212	1,212	1,075	137
Total 4th Court of Appeals	107,577	107,577	106,573	1,004
Public Defenders				
Personnel costs	2,532,550	2,561,783	2,439,836	121,947
Remuneration for services	26,300	26,300	19,519	6,781
Operational costs	32,925	59,989	59,920	69
Supplies and materials	18,250	17,500	11,864	5,636
Total Public Defenders	2,610,025	2,665,572	2,531,139	134,433
D.P.S. Warrants				
Personnel costs	150,921	175,601	175,598	3
Total D.P.S. Warrants	150,921	175,601	175,598	3
TOTAL JUDICIAL	110,465,649	112,339,287	108,10,688	4,328,599
PUBLIC SAFETY				
Sheriff Law Enforcement				
Personnel costs	67,938,342	68,723,470	59,476,688	9,246,782
Remuneration for services	488,743	469,776	469,775	1
Operational costs	3,434,560	3,302,068	3,276,714	25,354
Supplies and materials	2,636,915	2,548,223	2,186,294	361,929
Capital expenditures	198,141	93,871	25,078	68,793
Total Sheriff Law Enforcement	74,696,701	75,137,408	65,434,549	9,702,859
Adult Detention Center				
Personnel costs	65,178,558	65,178,558	50,721,796	14,456,762
Remuneration for services	74,340	35,082	16,456	18,626
Operational costs	5,183,010	5,062,477	4,550,033	512,444
Supplies and materials	1,941,449	1,772,622	1,659,131	113,491
Capital expenditures	97,716	59,971	49,924	1,047
Total Adult Detention Center	72,475,073	72,099,710	56,997,340	15,102,370
Sheriff Support Services				
Personnel costs	2,788,571	2,789,729	2,640,340	149,389
Remuneration for services	22,838	4,261	2,824	1,437
Operational costs	165,423	127,280	67,333	59,947
Supplies and materials	58,609	53,755	46,433	7,322
Capital expenditures	11,828	4,857	-	4,857
Total Sheriff Support Services	3,047,269	2,979,882	2,756,930	222,952

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
Juvenile Probation				
Personnel costs	14,737,036	15,482,142	15,482,142	-
Remuneration for services	325,650	154,578	154,578	3
Operational costs	1,933,528	1,608,671	1,608,670	1
Supplies and materials	326,366	261,909	261,908	1
Total Juvenile Probation	17,322,580	17,507,300	17,507,295	5
Juvenile Institutions				
Personnel costs	16,750,831	16,750,831	16,736,504	14,327
Remuneration for services	-	14	13	1
Operational costs	1,425,763	1,221,370	436,560	784,810
Supplies and materials	512,227	512,227	436,835	75,392
Total Juvenile Institutions	18,688,821	18,484,442	17,609,912	874,530
Child Support Probation				
Personnel costs	513,158	537,917	537,916	1
Remuneration for services	5,000	994	993	1
Operational costs	3,858	2,855	2,855	-
Supplies and materials	450	364	363	1
Total Child Support Probation	522,466	542,130	542,127	3
Community Supervision & Correction				
Operational costs	1,743,621	1,747,332	1,747,332	-
Supplies and materials	52,298	48,587	24,198	24,389
Total Community Supervision & Correction	1,795,919	1,795,919	1,771,530	24,389
Medical Examiner				
Personnel costs	5,550,117	5,760,451	5,760,450	1
Remuneration for services	54,950	29,333	29,328	5
Operational costs	606,181	585,306	585,304	2
Supplies and materials	310,304	346,463	346,461	2
Capital expenditures	28,151	21,702	21,700	2
Total Medical Examiner	6,549,703	6,743,255	6,743,243	12
Crime Lab				
Personnel costs	2,834,355	2,834,355	2,695,110	139,245
Remuneration for services	22,900	15,900	15,299	601
Operational costs	192,943	212,935	210,773	2,162
Supplies and materials	523,775	525,063	327,967	195,096
Capital expenditures	164,563	153,463	147,340	6,123
Total Crime Lab	3,738,536	3,739,716	3,396,489	343,227

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
Constable Precinct 1				
Personnel costs	2,008,932	2,047,767	2,047,766	1
Remuneration for services	6,119	-	-	-
Operational costs	80,274	77,307	74,958	2,349
Supplies and materials	83,973	83,523	79,353	4,170
Total Constable Precinct 1	2,179,298	2,208,597	2,202,077	6,520
Constable Precinct 2				
Personnel costs	1,474,881	1,477,436	1,477,435	1
Remuneration for services	5,100	5,100	4,987	113
Operational costs	277,350	282,606	282,605	1
Supplies and materials	71,625	74,222	73,917	305
Total Constable Precinct 2	1,828,956	1,839,364	1,838,944	420
Constable Precinct 3				
Personnel costs	1,458,702	1,489,136	1,489,135	1
Remuneration for services	4,500	2,922	2,921	1
Operational costs	82,057	109,485	109,485	-
Supplies and materials	99,900	71,550	71,209	341
Total Constable Precinct 3	1,645,159	1,673,093	1,672,750	343
Constable Precinct 4				
Personnel costs	1,716,252	1,780,098	1,780,098	-
Remuneration for services	3,853	2,635	2,633	2
Operational costs	312,600	378,790	378,789	1
Supplies and materials	70,000	55,150	55,149	1
Total Constable Precinct 4	2,102,705	2,216,673	2,216,669	4
Facilities Management - Adult Detention Center				
Personnel costs	1,831,307	2,036,585	2,036,585	-
Remuneration for services	12,850	2,332	2,332	-
Operational costs	981,784	1,021,001	997,462	23,539
Supplies and materials	376,704	399,107	399,107	-
Total Facilities Management - ADC	3,202,645	3,459,025	3,435,486	23,539

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
Facilities Management - Juvenile Institutions				
Personnel costs	1,253,340	1,253,340	1,043,341	209,999
Remuneration for services	4,550	4,550	-	4,550
Operational costs	723,376	775,481	757,051	18,430
Supplies and materials	98,349	115,247	115,247	-
Total Facilities Management - Juvenile Institutions	2,079,615	2,148,618	1,915,639	232,979
Facilities Management - Forensic Science Center				
Operational costs	537,687	537,887	484,245	53,642
Supplies and materials	12,500	12,500	6,819	5,681
Total Facilities Management - ISC	550,187	550,387	491,064	59,323
Fire Marshal	1,473,723	1,473,723	1,427,671	46,052
Personnel costs	33,275	33,275	33,236	39
Remuneration for services	160,288	176,702	176,701	1
Operational costs	126,950	110,536	109,181	1,355
Supplies and materials	1,794,236	1,794,236	1,746,789	47,447
Emergency Management	660,799	742,897	742,896	1
Personnel costs	10,087	10,087	4,294	5,793
Remuneration for services	110,062	105,932	98,366	7,566
Operational costs	130,400	1,996,431	785,780	1,210,651
Supplies and materials	911,348	2,855,347	1,631,336	1,224,011
Animal Control Services	518,967	525,333	525,333	-
Personnel costs	2,400	2,400	1,487	913
Remuneration for services	244,973	238,608	120,906	117,702
Operational costs	118,025	123,025	91,334	31,691
Supplies and materials	22,000	17,000	-	17,000
Capital expenditure	906,365	906,366	739,060	167,306
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	216,037,582	218,681,468	190,649,229	28,032,239
EDUCATION AND RECREATION				
BiblioTech	1,892,692	1,962,533	1,962,530	3
Personnel costs	21,400	21,400	10,617	10,783
Remuneration for services	1,063,675	1,046,733	965,220	81,513
Operational costs	83,800	83,800	49,902	33,898
Supplies and materials	3,061,567	3,114,466	2,988,269	126,197
AgriLife	616,366	629,526	629,523	3
Personnel costs	25,900	12,740	7,600	5,140
Remuneration for services	156,877	157,137	153,957	3,180
Operational costs	12,350	12,090	2,833	9,257
Supplies and materials	811,493	811,493	793,913	17,580
County Parks	2,435,025	2,460,345	2,424,861	35,484
Personnel costs	281,286	291,942	218,205	73,737
Operational costs	221,300	221,300	204,692	16,608
Supplies and materials	82,600	82,600	49,195	33,405
Capital expenditures	3,020,211	3,056,187	2,896,953	159,234
Bexar Heritage	826,525	894,172	894,171	1
Personnel costs	6,500	6,500	624	5,876
Remuneration for services	365,080	297,433	132,345	165,088
Operational costs	5,250	25,020	23,653	1,367
Supplies and materials	34,394	14,624	-	14,624
Capital expenditures	1,237,749	1,237,749	1,050,793	186,956
TOTAL EDUCATION AND RECREATION	8,131,020	8,219,895	7,729,928	489,967
PUBLIC WORKS				
Facilities Management - Energy Services	48,024	40,429	40,428	1
Personnel costs	6,655,000	7,033,866	7,033,866	-
Operational costs	100,000	-	-	-
Capital expenditures	6,803,024	7,074,295	7,074,294	1
Total Facilities Management - Energy Services	6,803,024	7,074,295	7,074,294	1
TOTAL PUBLIC WORKS	6,803,024	7,074,295	7,074,294	1

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
GENERAL FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE				
Environmental Services				
Personnel costs	299,132	343,473	343,473	-
Remuneration for services	2,050	2,050	24	2,026
Operational costs	310,914	266,573	223,169	43,404
Supplies and materials	16,600	16,600	10,290	6,310
Total Environmental Services	628,696	628,696	576,956	51,740
Mental Health Initiative				
Personnel costs	354,889	360,524	360,521	3
Remuneration for services	6,264	6,264	3,821	2,443
Operational costs	113,324	107,149	93,390	13,759
Supplies and materials	4,676	4,676	2,027	2,649
Total Mental Health Initiative	479,153	478,613	459,759	18,854
Veterans Services				
Personnel costs	995,165	1,007,827	938,401	69,426
Remuneration for services	27,765	27,765	11,852	15,913
Operational costs	209,328	306,765	290,773	15,992
Supplies and materials	19,890	19,890	17,502	2,388
Total Veterans Services	1,252,148	1,362,247	1,258,528	103,719
Child Welfare				
Operational costs	1,150,394	1,643,892	1,281,568	362,324
Supplies and materials	77,200	83,702	83,700	2
Total Child Welfare	1,227,594	1,727,594	1,365,268	362,326

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
Small Business and Entrepreneurship (SB&E)				
Personnel costs	539,024	549,333	532,475	16,858
Remuneration for services	9,200	9,200	4,611	4,589
Operational costs	257,663	247,344	212,826	34,518
Supplies and materials	17,485	17,485	9,160	8,325
Total SB&E	823,362	823,362	759,072	64,290
Behavioral and Mental Health Department				
Personnel costs	453,208	453,208	447,610	5,598
Remuneration for services	8,500	7,000	2,611	4,389
Operational costs	2,213,427	2,485,427	2,484,514	913
Supplies and materials	3,550	7,050	5,694	1,356
Total Behavioral and Mental Health Department	2,678,685	2,952,685	2,940,429	12,256
TOTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE	7,089,638	7,973,197	7,360,012	613,185
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES				
Services by Other Agencies				
Operational costs	2,584,029	2,584,029	2,584,029	-
Total Services by Other Agencies	2,584,029	2,584,029	2,584,029	-
TOTAL INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES	2,584,029	2,584,029	2,584,029	-
Contingencies				
Contingencies	25,016,538	7,793,689	-	7,793,689
Total Contingencies	25,016,538	7,793,689	-	7,793,689
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	483,036,315	482,780,844	415,620,154	67,160,690
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	(7,653,064)	(7,397,593)	56,734,668	64,132,261
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Interfund transfers in	-	-	113,445	113,445
Interfund transfers out	(8,602,665)	(9,064,545)	(9,064,545)	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(8,602,665)	(9,064,545)	(8,951,100)	113,445
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	(16,255,729)	(16,462,138)	47,783,568	64,245,706
Fund balance - beginning			106,571,717	
Fund balance - ending			\$ 154,355,285	

Bexar County, Texas

NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

September 30, 2020

General Fund Budget

The original expenditure category (appropriation only) budgets for the General Fund is adopted by the Commissioners Court and filed with the Bexar County Clerk by September 30. The total budget for the General Fund cannot be increased once the budget is adopted unless the County Auditor certifies a new revenue source not considered during the setting of the original budget. Amendments over \$100,000 between expenditure categories are made during the year on approval by the Commissioners Court. The County Manager/Budget Officer shall approve all amendments in amounts up to \$100,000.

State law requires the budget not be exceeded in any expenditure category. For the General Fund, an expenditure category is considered to be an activity (e.g., personnel, remuneration for services, etc.).



This page intentionally
left blank

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS
September 30, 2020

Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions						
Primary Government	Year Ending September 30,	Actuarially Determined Contribution	Actual Employer Contribution	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Pensionable Covered Payroll ¹	Actual Contribution as a % of Covered Payroll
	2011	22,753,831	22,753,831	-	212,255,885	10.72%
	2012	23,560,331	23,560,331	-	208,498,502	11.30%
	2013	26,523,168	26,523,168	-	214,242,066	12.38%
	2014	29,784,031	29,784,031	-	223,771,834	13.31%
	2015	31,628,977	31,628,977	-	239,977,066	13.18%
	2016	32,499,498	32,499,498	-	248,467,114	13.08%
	2017	34,568,249	34,568,249	-	261,682,426	13.21%
	2018	37,426,409	37,426,409	-	283,318,764	13.21%
	2019	39,422,450	39,422,450	-	289,657,974	13.61%
	2020	41,219,717	41,219,717	-	297,400,552	13.86%

¹ Payroll is calculated based on contributions as reported to the Texas County and District Retirement System (TCDRS).

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS

Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios										
Year Ended December 31,	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013 ¹	2012 ¹	2011 ¹	2010 ¹
Total Pension Liability										
Service Cost	\$ 38,693,466	\$ 36,158,626	\$ 35,189,435	\$ 35,377,380	\$ 32,723,076	\$ 31,822,938	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interest on total pension liability	122,408,832	115,656,638	108,591,128	101,382,339	95,976,741	90,065,880	-	-	-	-
Effect of plan changes	-	-	1,114,467	-	(6,564,111)	-	-	-	-	-
Effect of assumption changes or inputs	-	-	5,954,882	-	11,789,927	-	-	-	-	-
Effect of economic/demographic (gains) or losses	1,491,677	891,626	1,453,572	(4,288,764)	(8,781,454)	502,253	-	-	-	-
Benefit payments/refunds of contributions	(75,033,824)	(68,849,225)	(63,346,207)	(59,368,612)	(55,011,591)	(49,928,994)	-	-	-	-
Net change in total pension liability	87,560,151	83,857,665	88,957,277	73,102,343	70,132,588	72,462,078	-	-	-	-
Total pension liability, beginning	1,509,313,166	1,425,455,501	1,336,498,224	1,263,395,881	1,193,263,293	1,120,801,216	-	-	-	-
Total pension liability, ending (a)	1,596,873,316	1,509,313,166	1,425,455,501	1,336,498,224	1,263,395,881	1,193,263,293	-	-	-	-
Fiduciary Net Position										
Employer contributions	39,805,385	38,190,902	35,834,589	32,896,371	31,710,094	30,757,771	-	-	-	-
Member contributions	20,473,012	19,644,399	18,645,999	17,640,625	16,873,121	16,190,301	-	-	-	-
Investment income net of investment expenses	209,833,162	(24,612,058)	168,565,593	80,420,624	(5,720,606)	70,225,340	-	-	-	-
Benefit payments/refunds of contributions	(75,033,824)	(68,849,225)	(63,346,207)	(59,368,612)	(55,011,591)	(49,928,994)	-	-	-	-
Administrative expenses	(1,121,203)	(1,026,227)	(874,142)	(874,157)	(787,023)	(821,987)	-	-	-	-
Other	(300,400)	(192,914)	(126,832)	(1,990,572)	(577,718)	876,450	-	-	-	-
Net change in fiduciary net position	193,656,132	(38,845,123)	158,698,950	68,724,279	(13,513,723)	67,298,781	-	-	-	-
Fiduciary net position, beginning	1,277,665,680	1,314,510,804	1,155,811,853	1,087,087,574	1,100,601,297	1,033,302,516	-	-	-	-
Fiduciary net position, ending (b)	1,471,321,813	1,277,665,680	1,314,510,804	1,155,811,853	1,087,087,574	1,100,601,297	-	-	-	-
Net pension liability / (asset), ending = (a) - (b)	125,551,504	231,647,485	110,944,697	\$ 180,686,371	\$ 176,308,307	\$ 92,661,996	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fiduciary net position as a % of total pension liability	92.14%	84.65%	92.22%	86.48%	86.04%	92.23%	-	-	-	-
Pensionable covered payroll	292,471,601	280,604,553	265,871,892	251,255,949	240,592,521	231,087,684	-	-	-	-
Net pension liability as a % of covered payroll	42.93%	82.50%	41.73%	71.91%	73.28%	40.10%	-	-	-	-

¹ This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for ten years. However, recalculations of prior years are not required; accordingly we are reporting only years for which GASB statements 68 and 71 have been implemented.

The above table includes information for four participating employers to the agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by TCDRS. Three of the employers: Community Arenas Board (CAB), Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and Community Supervision, are not considered departments or component units of the County; the net pension liabilities for these entities are \$422,780, \$537,927 and \$7,507,034, respectively.

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS

Year Ended September 30,	Bexar County Premium and Base PPO Plan									
	2020	2019	2018	2017 ¹	2016 ¹	2015 ¹	2014 ¹	2013 ¹	2012 ¹	2011 ¹
Total OPEB liability										
Service Cost	\$ 6,276,167	\$ 6,722,799	\$ 6,526,989	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interest	6,961,514	6,828,338	6,508,938	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changes of benefit terms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Differences between expected and actual experience	(4,018,880)	(9,907,079)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Changes of assumptions	35,323,331	(10,805,478)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Benefit payments/refunds of contributions	(6,836,428)	(4,637,050)	(3,584,024)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net change in total OPEB liability	37,705,704	(11,798,470)	9,451,903	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total OPEB liability, beginning	178,872,693	190,671,163	181,219,260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total OPEB liability, ending (a)	216,578,397	178,872,693	190,671,163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plan fiduciary net position										
Contribution - employer	6,836,428	4,637,050	3,584,024	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contribution - employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net investment income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Benefit payments/refunds of contributions	(6,836,428)	(4,637,050)	(3,584,024)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Administrative expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plan fiduciary net position, ending (b)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Employer's net OPEB liability, ending = (a) - (b)	\$ 216,578,397	\$ 178,872,693	\$ 190,671,163	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Plan fiduciary net position as a % of total OPEB liability	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Covered payroll	\$ 206,118,262	\$ 206,118,262	\$ 192,843,724	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Employer's net OPEB liability as a % of covered payroll	105.07%	86.78%	98.87%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for ten years. However, recalculations of prior years are not required; we accordingly are reporting only years for which GASB statement 75 has been implemented. The following factors affected the amounts reported: the discount rate increased from 3.5% to 3.83% and experience improved over the prior measurement actual period. There were no changes in benefit terms. No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in GASB 75 paragraph 4 to pay benefits.

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
September 30, 2020

Notes to Schedules:

Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios and Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions

Valuation Date: Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which the contributions are reported.

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age
Amortization Method	Level percentage of payroll, closed
Amortization Period in Years	10.9 years (based on contribution rate calculated in 12/31/2019 valuation)
Asset Valuation Method	5-year smoothed market
Inflation	2.75%
Salary Increases	Varies by age and service, 4.9% average over career including inflation.
Investment Rate of Return	8.00%, net of pension plan investment expenses, including inflation
Cost-of-Living Adjustments	No assumption for future cost-of-living adjustments is included in the funding valuation.
Retirement Age	Members eligible for service retirement are assumed to commence receiving benefit payments based on age. The average age at service retirement for recent retirees is 61.
Mortality	130% of the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for males and 110% of the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table for females, both projected with 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after 2014.
Changes in Plan Provisions Reflected in the Schedule	No changes in the plan provision are reflected in the Schedule of Employer Contributions.

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

COUNTY CLERK RECORDS MANAGEMENT FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to records management in the County Clerk's Office.

COUNTY RECORDS MANAGEMENT FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to records management on a county wide basis.

COURTHOUSE SECURITY FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to security devices and services for the courthouse and other buildings housing courts.

JUSTICES OF PEACE TECHNOLOGY FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to technological improvements in the Justice of the Peace offices.

FIRE CODE FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to fire prevention.

DISTRICT CLERK RECORDS MANAGEMENT FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to records management in the District Clerk's Office.

LAW LIBRARY FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to the operations of the law library.

COUNTY WIDE COURT TECHNOLOGY FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to the purchase, maintenance, continuing education, and training for technological enhancements of the courts.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to the operations of the dispute mediation center.

JUSTICES OF PEACE SECURITY FUND – to account for revenue and expenditures related to security devices and services for buildings housing Justice of the Peace courts.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to the operation of the domestic relations office.

PROBATE CONTRIBUTION FUND – to account for State revenue provided for Probate Court support and related expenditures.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS SPECIAL EDUCATION FUND (LEOSE) – to account for State revenues provided for education of law enforcement officers and related expenditures.

CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION FUND – to account for fee revenue from court costs imposed on certain criminal convictions and expenditures for programs aimed at preventing child abuse.

DRUG COURT PROGRAM FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to operations of mandated programs for monitoring and rehabilitating violators of State drug laws.



This page intentionally
left blank

NON MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING BALANCESHEET
NON MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
 September 30, 2020

NON MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

FAMILY PROTECTION FEE FUND – to account for fee revenue imposed by the State on petitions for divorce to fund services to prevent family violence or child abuse.

DISTRICT COURT RECORDS TECHNOLOGY FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to the preservation and restoration of the District Court’s records.

JUVENILE CASE MANAGER FUND – to account for fee revenues and expenditures related to juvenile social workers in the Justices of the Peace offices.

PROBATE GUARDIANSHIP FUND – to account for fee revenues and expenditures related to the appointment of guardians for minors in Probate cases.

PROBATE EDUCATION FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to continuing education of the Probate Courts’ staff.

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PREVENTION FUND – to account for fee revenue and expenditures related to graffiti eradication.

GRANTS FUND – to account for expenditures of funds received as grants-in-aid from various non-governmental sources and from Federal and State agencies for specific programs.

TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT FUND – to account for costs associated with technology improvements.

STORMWATER MITIGATION FUND – to account for revenues and expenditures associated with preventing and repairing damages due to storm water runoff and for educating the public about flood hazards.

CHAPTER 19 VOTER REGISTRATION FUND – to account for revenues received from the State and expenditures associated with disseminating voting information to the public and registering new voters.

ELECTION CONTRACTING SERVICES FUND – to account for the receipt and disbursement of funds related to election contract service agreements.

TAX COLLECTOR’S SPECIAL INVENTORY FUND – to account for the receipt and disbursement of funds administered by the Tax Collector.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROGRAMS FUND – to account for the receipt and disbursement of discretionary funds maintained by the Criminal District Attorney.

ASSET FORFEITURES FUND – to account for receipt and disbursement of funds relating to forfeitures of certain property related to felony offenses.

BEXAR COUNTY HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION – to account for revenue and expenditures related to the Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation.

BEXAR COUNTY HEALTH FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION – to account for revenue and expenditures related to the Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation.

BEXAR COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION – to account for revenue and expenditures related to the Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation.

	County Clerk Records Management	County Records Management	Courthouse Security	Justices of Peace Technology
ASSETS				
Cash	\$ 27,539,511	\$ 429,876	\$ 10,838	\$ -
Investments	8,749,888	136,581	3,443	-
Receivables:				
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-
Due from other governmental units	-	-	-	-
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 36,289,399	\$ 566,457	\$ 14,281	\$ -

LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES

LIABILITIES				
Vouchers payable	\$ 1,196,748	\$ 188,251	\$ -	\$ -
Accrued liabilities	43,857	206,274	14,281	-
Due to other funds	-	-	-	-
Advances from other funds	-	-	-	-
Due to other governmental units	-	-	-	-
Unearned revenue	-	-	-	-
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,240,605	394,525	14,281	-
FUND BALANCE				
Restricted	35,048,794	171,932	-	-
Committed	-	-	-	-
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	35,048,794	171,932	-	-
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 36,289,399	\$ 566,457	\$ 14,281	\$ -

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING BALANCESHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
September 30, 2020

	Fire Code	District Clerk Records Management	Law Library	County Wide Court Technology	Justices of Peace						
					Dispute Resolution	Security Fund	Domestic Relations	Probate Contribution	LEOSE	Child Abuse Prevention	
ASSETS											
Cash	\$ 7,185,218	\$ 453,622	\$ 47,498	\$ 82,746	\$ 70,277	\$ 495,849	\$ 33,442	\$ 354,365	\$ 154,476	\$ 16,169	
Investments	2,282,896	144,125	14,964	26,290	22,329	157,542	10,625	112,589	-	5,137	
Receivables:											
Accounts receivable	-	-	3,828	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Due from other governmental units	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	146,730	-	-	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 9,468,114	\$ 597,747	\$ 66,290	\$ 109,036	\$ 92,606	\$ 653,391	\$ 44,067	\$ 613,684	\$ 154,476	\$ 21,306	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES											
LIABILITIES											
Vouchers payable	\$ 34,688	-	\$ 15,438	-	\$ 4,391	-	\$ 2,302	\$ 1,305	\$ 745	\$ -	
Accrued liabilities	40,130	423,381	50,643	-	12,236	-	41,763	1,125	5,191	-	
Due to other funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Advances from other funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Due to other governmental units	-	-	208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unearned revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	74,818	423,381	66,289	-	16,627	-	44,065	2,430	5,936	-	
FUND BALANCE											
Restricted	9,393,296	174,166	1	109,036	75,979	653,391	2	611,254	148,540	21,306	
Committed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	9,393,296	174,166	1	109,036	75,979	653,391	2	611,254	148,540	21,306	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 9,468,114	\$ 597,747	\$ 66,290	\$ 109,036	\$ 92,606	\$ 653,391	\$ 44,067	\$ 613,684	\$ 154,476	\$ 21,306	

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING BALANCESHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
September 30, 2020

	Drug Court Program	Family Protection Fee	District Court Records Technology	Juvenile Case Manager	Probate Guardianship	Probate Education	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	Grants	Technology Improvement	Stormwater Mitigation
ASSETS										
Cash	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 101,604	\$ 25,181	\$ 77,163	\$ 250,152	\$ 22,225	\$ 21,720,814	\$ 914,080	\$ 6,329,102
Investments	-	-	32,282	8,000	24,516	79,479	7,061	6,899,159	290,423	2,010,890
Receivables:										
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-
Due from other governmental units	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,706,312	-	-
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 133,886	\$ 33,181	\$ 101,679	\$ 329,631	\$ 29,286	\$ 36,326,291	\$ 1,204,503	\$ 8,339,992
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES										
LIABILITIES										
Vouchers payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,492	\$ -	\$ 12,810	\$ (219)	\$ -	\$ 1,679,796	\$ 63,062	\$ 49,683
Accrued liabilities	-	-	-	22,044	500	235	-	2,263,057	22,119	117,143
Due to other funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advances from other funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250,000	-	-
Due to other governmental units	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,733,338	-	-
Unearned revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL LIABILITIES	-	-	23,492	22,044	13,310	16	-	20,926,191	85,181	166,826
FUND BALANCE										
Restricted	-	-	110,394	11,137	88,369	329,615	29,286	15,400,100	-	8,173,166
Committed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,119,322	-
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	-	-	110,394	11,137	88,369	329,615	29,286	15,400,100	1,119,322	8,173,166
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 133,886	\$ 33,181	\$ 101,679	\$ 329,631	\$ 29,286	\$ 36,326,291	\$ 1,204,503	\$ 8,339,992

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING BALANCESHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
September 30, 2020

	Blended Component Units							
	Chapter 19 Voter Registration	Election Contracting Services	Tax Collector's Special Inventory	District Attorney Programs	Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation	Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation	Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation	Total
ASSETS								
Cash	\$ -	\$ 1,967,845	\$ 14,050	\$ 221,841	\$ 1,915,514	\$ 228,312	\$ 193	\$ 74,714,101
Investments	-	625,226	-	28,192	-	-	-	21,671,637
Receivables:								
Accounts receivable	50,481	-	-	5,504	-	-	-	60,444
Due from other governmental units	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,853,447
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 50,481	\$ 2,593,071	\$ 14,050	\$ 255,537	\$ 1,915,514	\$ 228,312	\$ 193	\$104,299,629
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
LIABILITIES								
Vouchers payable	\$ -	\$ 1,073	\$ -	\$ 6,720	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,320,018
Accrued liabilities	18,418	2,021	317	6,311	7,100	5,400	-	3,370,967
Due to other funds	30,857	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,857
Advances from other funds	-	150,000	-	-	-	-	-	400,000
Due to other governmental units	-	1,475,317	-	-	-	-	-	18,208,863
Unearned revenue	51	388,390	-	-	-	-	-	388,441
TOTAL LIABILITIES	49,326	2,016,801	317	13,031	7,100	5,400	-	25,719,146
FUND BALANCE								
Restricted	1,155	576,270	13,733	242,506	1,908,414	222,912	193	77,461,161
Committed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,119,322
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	1,155	576,270	13,733	242,506	1,908,414	222,912	193	78,580,483
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 50,481	\$ 2,593,071	\$ 14,050	\$ 255,537	\$ 1,915,514	\$ 228,312	\$ 193	\$104,299,629

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	County Clerk Records Management	County Records Management	Courthouse Security	Justices of Peace Technology	Fire Code	District Clerk Records Management	Law Library	County Wide Court Technology	Dispute Resolution	Justices of Peace Security Fund	Domestic Relations
REVENUES											
Intergovernmental revenue											
Court cost and fines	358	323,440	265,808	107,083	-	115	519,541	-	624,139	28,379	302,079
Other fees	6,352,604	-	356,557	-	3,379,576	375,791	-	22,729	-	-	-
Revenue from use of assets	399,999	4,946	2,972	537	100,925	5,915	2,146	1,207	916	7,799	1,270
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	-	518	-	-	-	-	59,041	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUES	6,752,961	328,904	625,337	107,620	3,480,501	381,821	580,728	23,956	625,055	36,178	303,349
EXPENDITURES											
General government	2,545,260	155,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judicial	-	371,960	-	249,906	-	607,148	950,672	46,395	-	1,978	-
Public safety	-	-	1,187,916	-	1,613,076	-	-	-	-	-	-
Education and recreation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public works	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Health and public welfare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	667,028	-	498,841
Capital expenditures	48,181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,593,441	526,960	1,187,916	249,906	1,613,076	607,148	950,672	46,395	667,028	1,978	498,841
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	4,159,520	(198,056)	(562,579)	(142,286)	1,867,425	(225,327)	(369,944)	(22,459)	(41,973)	34,200	(195,492)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)											
Interfund transfers in	-	150,000	562,579	35,329	-	-	281,793	-	-	-	201,998
Interfund transfers out	(325,000)	-	-	-	(531,985)	-	-	-	-	-	(6,505)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(325,000)	150,000	562,579	35,329	(531,985)	-	281,793	-	-	-	195,493
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	3,834,520	(48,056)	-	(106,957)	1,335,440	(225,327)	(88,151)	(22,459)	(41,973)	34,200	1
Fund balance - beginning	31,214,274	219,988	-	106,957	8,057,856	399,493	88,152	131,495	117,952	619,191	1
Fund balance - ending	\$ 35,048,794	\$ 171,932	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,393,296	\$ 174,166	\$ 1	\$ 109,036	\$ 75,979	\$ 653,391	\$ 2

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Probate Contribution	LEOSE	Child Abuse Prevention	Drug Court Program	Family Protection Fee	District Court Records Technology	Juvenile Case Manager	Probate Guardianship	Probate Education	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	Grants
REVENUES											
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 226,730	\$ 97,414	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 95,879,765
Court cost and fines	-	-	3,832	-	113,445	269,400	134,466	114,720	-	174	-
Other fees	-	-	-	50,102	-	-	-	-	27,945	-	317
Revenue from use of assets	5,713	422	231	-	-	1,580	482	1,477	3,814	355	234,314
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUES	232,443	97,836	4,063	50,102	113,445	270,980	134,948	116,197	31,759	529	96,114,396
EXPENDITURES											
General government	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,460,811
Judicial	83,869	4,496	-	86,550	-	279,108	-	174,263	3,652	-	4,484,867
Public safety	-	60,713	-	-	-	-	134,464	-	-	-	50,378,368
Education and recreation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,752,363
Public works	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Health and public welfare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37,500,178
Capital expenditures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	83,869	65,209	-	86,550	-	279,108	134,464	174,263	3,652	-	95,576,587
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	148,574	32,627	4,063	(36,448)	113,445	(8,128)	484	(58,066)	28,107	529	537,809
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)											
Interfund transfers in	-	-	-	36,448	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,764,012
Interfund transfers out	-	-	-	-	(113,445)	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	-	-	-	36,448	(113,445)	-	-	-	-	-	1,764,012
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	148,574	32,627	4,063	-	-	(8,128)	484	(58,066)	28,107	529	2,301,821
Fund balance - beginning	462,680	115,913	17,243	-	-	118,522	10,653	146,435	301,508	28,757	13,098,279
Fund balance - ending	\$ 611,254	\$ 148,540	\$ 21,306	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,394	\$ 11,137	\$ 88,369	\$ 329,615	\$ 29,286	\$ 15,400,100

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Technology Improvement	Stormwater Mitigation	Chapter 19 Voter Registration	Election Contracting Services	Tax Collector's Special Inventory	District Attorney Programs	Asset Forfeitures	Blended Units			Total
								Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation	Bexar County Health Facilities Development Corporation	Bexar County Industrial Development Corporation	
REVENUES											
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 133,792	\$ 1,654,084	\$ -	\$ 22,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 98,014,285
Court cost and fines	-	-	-	-	-	1,051	813,837	-	-	-	3,621,867
Other fees	1,152,726	2,600,662	-	174,360	-	221,538	-	62,578	-	-	14,777,485
Revenue from use of assets	-	98,178	-	2,274	41,000	4,187	12,129	5,219	645	-	940,652
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	15,883	205	-	-	-	-	28,946	-	-	-	104,593
TOTAL REVENUES	1,168,609	2,699,045	133,792	1,830,718	41,000	249,276	854,912	67,797	645	-	117,458,882
EXPENDITURES											
General government	2,184,845	-	133,792	1,746,377	28,361	-	-	104,996	5,400	-	8,364,842
Judicial	254,411	-	-	-	-	535,881	785,899	-	-	-	8,921,055
Public safety	141,109	-	-	-	-	-	329,132	-	-	-	53,844,778
Education and recreation	35,841	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,788,204
Public works	3,694	1,978,385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,982,079
Health and public welfare	3,057	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38,669,104
Capital expenditures	185,426	-	-	-	-	-	110,258	-	-	-	343,865
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2,808,383	1,978,385	133,792	1,746,377	28,361	535,881	1,225,289	104,996	5,400	-	113,913,927
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(1,639,774)	720,660	-	84,341	12,639	(286,605)	(370,377)	(37,199)	(4,755)	-	3,544,955
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)											
Interfund transfers in	2,552,029	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,584,188
Interfund transfers out	-	(119,200)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,096,135)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	2,552,029	(119,200)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,488,053
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	912,255	601,460	-	84,341	12,639	(286,605)	(370,377)	(37,199)	(4,755)	-	8,033,008
Fund balance - beginning	207,067	7,571,706	1,155	491,929	1,094	529,111	4,316,591	1,945,613	227,667	193	70,547,475
Fund balance - ending	1,119,322	8,173,166	1,155	576,270	13,733	242,506	3,946,214	1,908,414	222,912	193	78,580,483

Bexar County, Texas
DEBT SERVICE FUND
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Property tax	\$ 86,225,000	\$ 87,078,302	\$ 853,302
Intergovernmental revenue	2,779,905	1,392,392	(1,387,513)
Revenue from use of assets - interest	8,000,000	7,766,387	(233,613)
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>97,004,905</u>	<u>96,237,081</u>	<u>(767,824)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
Debt service:			
Principal	43,100,000	43,515,000	(415,000)
Interest	88,715,790	85,358,626	3,357,164
Bond issuance cost	1,000,000	4,742,143	(3,742,143)
Debt service SARA	2,981,164	3,133,040	(151,876)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>135,796,954</u>	<u>136,748,809</u>	<u>(951,855)</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(38,792,049)	(40,511,728)	(1,719,679)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Transfers in	23,331,996	23,409,305	77,309
Issuance of refunding bonds	1,000,000	439,085,000	438,085,000
Payment to refunded debt paying agent	-	(472,518,817)	(472,518,817)
Premium on bond issues	-	37,412,868	37,412,868
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	<u>24,331,996</u>	<u>27,388,356</u>	<u>3,056,360</u>
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$(14,460,053)</u>	<u>(13,123,372)</u>	<u>\$ 1,336,681</u>
Fund balance - beginning		85,050,757	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 71,927,385</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL
COUNTY CLERK RECORDS MANAGEMENT FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 1,600	\$ 358	\$ (1,242)
Other fees	5,300,000	6,352,604	1,052,604
Revenue from use of assets	250,000	399,999	149,999
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>5,551,600</u>	<u>6,752,961</u>	<u>1,201,361</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Remuneration for services	31,075	21,665	9,410
Operational costs	7,295,115	2,322,881	4,972,234
Supplies and materials	238,559	200,714	37,845
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>7,564,749</u>	<u>2,545,260</u>	<u>5,019,489</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	50,682	48,181	2,501
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>7,615,431</u>	<u>2,593,441</u>	<u>5,021,990</u>
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(2,063,831)	4,159,520	6,223,351
OTHER FINANCING (USES)			
Interfund transfers out	(325,000)	(325,000)	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING (USES)	<u>(325,000)</u>	<u>(325,000)</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	<u>\$(2,388,831)</u>	<u>3,834,520</u>	<u>\$ 6,223,351</u>
Fund balance - beginning		31,214,274	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 35,048,794</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
COUNTY RECORDS MANAGEMENT FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 440,000	\$ 323,440	\$ (116,560)
Revenue from use of assets	4,000	4,946	946
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	-	518	518
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>444,000</u>	<u>328,904</u>	<u>(115,096)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Operational costs	205,000	155,000	50,000
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>205,000</u>	<u>155,000</u>	<u>50,000</u>
JUDICIAL			
Operational costs	430,000	371,960	58,040
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>430,000</u>	<u>371,960</u>	<u>58,040</u>
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Operational costs	45,000	-	45,000
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	<u>45,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>45,000</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>680,000</u>	<u>526,960</u>	<u>153,040</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(236,000)	(198,056)	37,944
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Interfund transfers in	150,000	150,000	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	<u>150,000</u>	<u>150,000</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (86,000)</u>	<u>(48,056)</u>	<u>\$ 37,944</u>
Fund balance - beginning		219,988	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 171,932</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
COURTHOUSE SECURITY FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 350,000	\$ 265,808	\$ (84,192)
Other fees	300,000	356,557	56,557
Revenue from use of assets	2,500	2,972	472
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>652,500</u>	<u>625,337</u>	<u>(27,163)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Personnel costs	1,187,917	1,187,916	1
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	<u>1,187,917</u>	<u>1,187,916</u>	<u>1</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>1,187,917</u>	<u>1,187,916</u>	<u>1</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(535,417)	(562,579)	(27,162)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Interfund transfers in	516,216	562,579	46,363
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	<u>516,216</u>	<u>562,579</u>	<u>46,363</u>
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (19,201)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>\$ 19,201</u>
Fund balance - beginning		-	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ -</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
FIRE CODE FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court fees	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 3,379,576	\$ 1,879,576
Revenue from use of assets	35,000	100,925	65,925
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>1,535,000</u>	<u>3,480,501</u>	<u>1,945,501</u>

EXPENDITURES			
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Personnel costs	1,456,235	1,383,413	72,822
Remuneration for services	32,061	26,991	5,070
Operational costs	135,206	104,398	30,808
Supplies and materials	170,786	98,274	72,512
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	<u>1,794,288</u>	<u>1,613,076</u>	<u>181,212</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	<u>81,309</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>81,309</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>1,875,597</u>	<u>1,613,076</u>	<u>262,521</u>
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>(340,597)</u>	<u>1,867,425</u>	<u>2,208,022</u>

OTHER FINANCING (USES)			
Interfund transfers out	(531,985)	(531,985)	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING (USES)	<u>(531,985)</u>	<u>(531,985)</u>	<u>-</u>

REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	<u>\$ (872,582)</u>	<u>1,335,440</u>	<u>\$ 2,208,022</u>
--	---------------------	------------------	---------------------

Fund balance - beginning		8,057,856	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 9,393,296</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUSTICES OF PEACE TECHNOLOGY FUND
For Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 180,000	\$ 107,083	\$ (72,917)
Revenue from use of assets	1,500	537	(963)
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>181,500</u>	<u>107,620</u>	<u>(73,880)</u>

EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Operational costs	265,573	249,906	15,667
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>265,573</u>	<u>249,906</u>	<u>15,667</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>265,573</u>	<u>249,906</u>	<u>15,667</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (84,073)</u>	<u>(142,286)</u>	<u>\$ (58,213)</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES:			
Interfund transfers in	-	35,329	35,329
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	<u>-</u>	<u>35,329</u>	<u>35,329</u>

REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (84,073)</u>	<u>(106,957)</u>	<u>\$ (22,884)</u>
--	--------------------	------------------	--------------------

Fund balance - beginning		106,957	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ -</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LAW LIBRARY FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 550,000	\$ 519,541	\$ (30,459)
Revenue from use of assets	2,000	2,146	146
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	100,000	59,041	(40,959)
TOTAL REVENUES	652,000	580,728	(71,272)
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	371,100	371,099	1
Operational costs	488,347	488,346	1
Supplies and materials	91,228	91,227	1
TOTAL JUDICIAL	950,675	950,672	3
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	950,675	950,672	3
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(298,675)	(369,944)	(71,269)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Interfund transfers in	196,793	281,793	85,000
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	196,793	281,793	85,000
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	\$ (101,882)	(88,151)	\$ 13,731
Fund balance - beginning		88,152	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 1</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DISTRICT CLERK RECORDS MANAGEMENT FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 120	\$ 115	\$ (5)
Other fees	385,000	375,791	(9,209)
Revenue from use of assets	6,000	5,915	(85)
TOTAL REVENUES	391,120	381,821	(9,299)
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	53,727	48,497	5,230
Operational costs	556,111	556,110	1
Supplies and materials	5,500	2,541	2,959
TOTAL JUDICIAL	615,338	607,148	8,190
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	615,338	607,148	8,190
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(224,218)	(225,327)	\$ (1,109)
Fund balance - beginning		399,493	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 174,166</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DISPUTE RESOLUTION FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 650,000	\$ 624,139	\$ (25,861)
Revenue from use of assets	500	916	416
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>650,500</u>	<u>625,055</u>	<u>(25,445)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE			
Personnel costs	629,320	629,316	4
Remuneration for services	12,564	9,802	2,762
Operational costs	21,655	17,999	3,656
Supplies and materials	12,925	9,911	3,014
TOTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE	<u>676,464</u>	<u>667,028</u>	<u>9,436</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>676,464</u>	<u>667,028</u>	<u>9,436</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (25,964)</u>	<u>(41,973)</u>	<u>\$ (16,009)</u>
Fund balance - beginning		117,952	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 75,979</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
COUNTY WIDE COURT TECHNOLOGY FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Other fees	\$ 35,000	\$ 22,729	\$ (12,271)
Revenue from use of assets	1,000	1,207	207
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>36,000</u>	<u>23,936</u>	<u>(12,064)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Operational cost	46,395	46,395	-
Supplies and materials	9,192	-	9,192
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>55,587</u>	<u>46,395</u>	<u>9,192</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>55,587</u>	<u>46,395</u>	<u>9,192</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (19,587)</u>	<u>(22,459)</u>	<u>\$ (2,872)</u>
Fund balance - beginning		131,495	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 109,036</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUSTICES OF PEACE SECURITY FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 45,000	\$ 28,379	\$ (16,621)
Revenue from use of assets	8,000	7,799	(201)
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>53,000</u>	<u>36,178</u>	<u>(16,822)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	162	-	162
Operational costs	20,000	1,978	18,022
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>20,162</u>	<u>1,978</u>	<u>18,184</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>20,162</u>	<u>1,978</u>	<u>18,184</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ 32,838</u>	<u>34,200</u>	<u>\$ 1,362</u>
Fund balance - beginning		619,191	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 653,391</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DOMESTIC RELATIONS FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 300,000	\$ 302,079	\$ 2,079
Revenue from use of assets	500	1,270	770
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>300,500</u>	<u>303,349</u>	<u>2,849</u>
EXPENDITURES			
HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE			
Personnel costs	336,237	336,236	1
Remuneration for services	1,241	1,240	1
Operational costs	161,003	161,003	-
Supplies and materials	700	362	338
TOTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE	<u>499,181</u>	<u>498,841</u>	<u>340</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>499,181</u>	<u>498,841</u>	<u>340</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>(198,681)</u>	<u>(195,492)</u>	<u>3,189</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)			
Interfund transfers in	194,690	201,998	7,308
Interfund transfers out	(7,271)	(6,505)	766
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	<u>187,419</u>	<u>195,493</u>	<u>8,074</u>
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	<u>\$ (11,262)</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>\$ 11,263</u>
Fund balance - beginning		<u>1</u>	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 2</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER SPECIAL EDUCATION (LEOSE) FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 90,600	\$ 97,414	\$ 6,814
Revenue from use of assets	340	422	82
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>90,940</u>	<u>97,836</u>	<u>6,896</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Remuneration for services	4,500	4,496	4
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>4,500</u>	<u>4,496</u>	<u>4</u>
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Personnel costs	7	7	-
Remuneration for service	163,507	60,706	102,801
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	<u>163,514</u>	<u>60,713</u>	<u>102,801</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>168,014</u>	<u>65,209</u>	<u>102,805</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (77,074)</u>	<u>\$ 32,627</u>	<u>\$ 109,701</u>
Fund balance - beginning		115,913	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 148,540</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
PROBATE CONTRIBUTION FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 80,000	\$ 226,730	\$ 146,730
Revenue from use of assets	4,000	5,713	1,713
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>84,000</u>	<u>232,443</u>	<u>148,443</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	141,000	62,835	78,165
Remuneration for services	21,050	742	20,308
Operational costs	88,350	10,323	78,027
Supplies and materials	59,565	9,969	49,596
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>309,965</u>	<u>83,869</u>	<u>226,096</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	<u>17,685</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>17,685</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>327,650</u>	<u>83,869</u>	<u>243,781</u>
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (243,650)</u>	<u>\$ 148,574</u>	<u>\$ 392,224</u>
Fund balance - beginning		462,680	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 611,254</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DRUG COURT PROGRAM FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Other fees	\$ 110,000	\$ 50,102	\$ (59,898)
Revenue from use of assets	10	-	(10)
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>110,010</u>	<u>50,102</u>	<u>(59,908)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	86,551	86,550	1
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>86,551</u>	<u>86,550</u>	<u>1</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>86,551</u>	<u>86,550</u>	<u>1</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	23,459	(36,448)	(59,907)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Interfund transfers in	-	36,448	36,448
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	<u>-</u>	<u>36,448</u>	<u>36,448</u>
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER/(UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ 23,459</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>\$ (23,459)</u>
Fund balance - beginning		-	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ -</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ -	\$ 3,832	\$ 3,832
Revenue from use of assets	-	231	231
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>-</u>	<u>4,063</u>	<u>4,063</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	-	-	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>4,063</u>	<u>\$ 4,063</u>
Fund balance - beginning		<u>17,243</u>	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 21,306</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DISTRICT COURT RECORDS TECHNOLOGY FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 275,000	\$ 269,400	\$ (5,600)
Revenue from use of assets	400	1,580	1,180
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>275,400</u>	<u>270,980</u>	<u>(4,420)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Operational cost	300,000	279,108	20,892
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>300,000</u>	<u>279,108</u>	<u>20,892</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>300,000</u>	<u>279,108</u>	<u>20,892</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (24,600)</u>	<u>(8,128)</u>	<u>\$ 16,472</u>
Fund balance - beginning		118,522	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 110,394</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
FAMILY PROTECTION FEE
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 105,000	\$ 113,445	\$ 8,445
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>105,000</u>	<u>113,445</u>	<u>8,445</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>105,000</u>	<u>113,445</u>	<u>8,445</u>
OTHER FINANCING (USES)			
Interfund transfers out	(113,445)	(113,445)	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING (USES)	<u>(113,445)</u>	<u>(113,445)</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	<u>\$ (8,445)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>\$ 8,445</u>
Fund balance - beginning		-	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ -</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
PROBATE GUARDIANSHIP FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 130,000	\$ 114,720	\$ (15,280)
Revenue from use of assets	3,000	1,477	(1,523)
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>133,000</u>	<u>116,197</u>	<u>(16,803)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Operational costs	240,000	174,263	65,737
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>240,000</u>	<u>174,263</u>	<u>65,737</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>240,000</u>	<u>174,263</u>	<u>65,737</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (107,000)</u>	<u>(58,066)</u>	<u>\$ 48,934</u>
Fund balance - beginning		<u>146,435</u>	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 88,369</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUVENILE CASE MANAGER FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 255,000	\$ 134,466	\$ (120,534)
Revenue from use of assets	400	482	82
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>255,400</u>	<u>134,948</u>	<u>(120,452)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Operational costs	255,400	134,464	120,936
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	<u>255,400</u>	<u>134,464</u>	<u>120,936</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>255,400</u>	<u>134,464</u>	<u>120,936</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>484</u>	<u>\$ 484</u>
Fund balance - beginning		<u>10,653</u>	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 11,137</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUVENILE DELINQUENCY PREVENTION FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ -	\$ 174	\$ 174
Revenue from use of assets	-	355	355
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>-</u>	<u>529</u>	<u>529</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT	-	-	-
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 529</u>	<u>\$ 529</u>
Fund balance - beginning		28,757	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 29,286</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
PROBATE EDUCATION FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Other fees	\$ 30,000	\$ 27,945	\$ (2,055)
Revenue from use of assets	3,000	3,814	814
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>33,000</u>	<u>31,759</u>	<u>(1,241)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Remuneration for services	61,500	1,688	59,812
Operational costs	8,000	232	7,768
Supplies and materials	20,000	1,732	18,268
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>89,500</u>	<u>3,652</u>	<u>85,848</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>89,500</u>	<u>3,652</u>	<u>85,848</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (56,500)</u>	<u>28,107</u>	<u>\$ 84,607</u>
Fund balance - beginning		301,508	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 329,615</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
GRANTS FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Amount	Variance
HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE			
Personnel costs	\$ 2,120,000	\$ 2,112,178	\$ 7,822
Operational costs	35,400,000	35,388,000	12,000
TOTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE	37,520,000	37,500,178	19,822
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	95,623,000	95,576,587	46,413
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	477,300	537,809	60,509
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)			
Interfund transfers in	1,850,000	1,764,012	(85,988)
Interfund transfers out	(87,000)	-	87,000
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	1,763,000	1,764,012	1,012
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	\$ 2,240,300	2,301,821	\$ 61,521
Fund balance - beginning		13,098,279	
Fund balance - ending		\$ 15,400,100	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
GRANTS FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 95,870,000	\$ 95,879,765	\$ 9,765
Other fees	300	317	17
Revenue from use of assets	230,000	234,314	4,314
TOTAL REVENUES	96,100,300	96,114,396	14,096
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Operational costs	1,461,000	1,460,811	189
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	1,461,000	1,460,811	189
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	2,100,000	2,096,375	3,625
Operational costs	2,400,000	2,388,492	11,508
TOTAL JUDICIAL	4,500,000	4,484,867	15,133
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Personnel costs	45,730,000	45,726,257	3,743
Operational costs	4,655,000	4,652,111	2,889
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	50,385,000	50,378,368	6,632
EDUCATION AND RECREATION			
Personnel costs	12,000	11,990	10
Operational costs	1,745,000	1,740,373	4,627
TOTAL EDUCATION AND RECREATION	\$ 1,757,000	\$ 1,752,363	\$ 4,637

(continued)

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Other fees	\$ 1,045,294	\$ 1,152,726	\$ 107,432
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	-	15,883	15,883
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>1,045,294</u>	<u>1,168,609</u>	<u>123,315</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Personnel costs	1,684	1,682	2
Supplies and materials	2,569,639	2,183,163	386,476
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>2,571,323</u>	<u>2,184,845</u>	<u>386,478</u>
JUDICIAL			
Supplies and materials	363,255	254,411	108,844
TOTAL JUDICIAL	<u>363,255</u>	<u>254,411</u>	<u>108,844</u>
PUBLIC SAFETY			
Supplies and materials	257,403	141,109	116,294
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	<u>257,403</u>	<u>141,109</u>	<u>116,294</u>
EDUCATION AND RECREATION			
Supplies and materials	70,568	35,841	34,727
TOTAL EDUCATION AND RECREATION	<u>70,568</u>	<u>35,841</u>	<u>34,727</u>
PUBLIC WORKS			
Supplies and materials	11,610	3,694	7,916
TOTAL PUBLIC WORKS	<u>11,610</u>	<u>3,694</u>	<u>7,916</u>
HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE			
Supplies and materials	14,118	3,057	11,061
TOTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC WELFARE	<u>14,118</u>	<u>3,057</u>	<u>11,061</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	185,427	185,426	1
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>3,473,704</u>	<u>2,808,383</u>	<u>665,321</u>
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(2,428,410)	(1,639,774)	788,636 (continued)

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES			
Interfund transfers in	\$ 2,552,030	\$ 2,552,029	\$ (1)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	<u>2,552,030</u>	<u>2,552,029</u>	<u>(1)</u>
REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ 123,620</u>	<u>912,255</u>	<u>\$ 788,635</u>
Fund balance - beginning		207,067	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 1,119,322</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
STORMWATER MITIGATION FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Other fees	\$ 2,393,750	\$ 2,600,662	\$ 206,912
Revenue from use of assets	100,000	98,178	(1,822)
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	-	205	205
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>2,493,750</u>	<u>2,699,045</u>	<u>205,295</u>
EXPENDITURES			
PUBLIC WORKS			
Personnel costs	1,357,571	1,326,936	30,635
Remuneration for services	8,345	6,385	1,960
Operational costs	825,031	623,874	201,157
Supplies and materials	71,500	21,190	50,310
TOTAL PUBLIC WORKS	<u>2,262,447</u>	<u>1,978,385</u>	<u>284,062</u>
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	<u>200,000</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>200,000</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>2,462,447</u>	<u>1,978,385</u>	<u>484,062</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ 31,303</u>	<u>720,660</u>	<u>\$ 689,357</u>
OTHER FINANCING (USES)			
Interfund transfers out	(119,200)	(119,200)	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING (USES)	<u>(119,200)</u>	<u>(119,200)</u>	<u>-</u>
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER (USES)	<u>\$ (87,897)</u>	<u>601,460</u>	<u>\$ 689,357</u>
Fund balance - beginning		7,571,706	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 8,173,166</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
CHAPTER 19 VOTER REGistar FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 333,250	\$ 133,792	\$ (199,458)
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>333,250</u>	<u>133,792</u>	<u>(199,458)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Remuneration for services	8,250	-	8,250
Operational costs	240,000	133,792	106,208
Supplies and materials	85,000	-	85,000
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>333,250</u>	<u>133,792</u>	<u>199,458</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>333,250</u>	<u>133,792</u>	<u>199,458</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Fund balance - beginning		1,155	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 1,155</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
TAX COLLECTOR'S SPECIAL INVENTORY FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Revenue from use of assets	\$ 20,000	\$ 41,000	\$ 21,000
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>20,000</u>	<u>41,000</u>	<u>21,000</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Personnel costs	143,500	21,363	122,137
Remuneration for services	5,000	-	5,000
Operational costs	9,200	-	9,200
Supplies and materials	25,500	6,998	18,502
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>183,200</u>	<u>28,361</u>	<u>154,839</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>183,200</u>	<u>28,361</u>	<u>154,839</u>
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (163,200)</u>	<u>12,639</u>	<u>\$ 175,839</u>
Fund balance - beginning		<u>1,094</u>	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 13,733</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
ELECTIONS CONTRACTING SERVICES FUND
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 1,700,000	\$ 1,654,084	\$ (45,916)
Other fees	23,000	174,360	151,360
Revenue from use of assets	-	2,274	2,274
TOTAL REVENUES	<u>1,723,000</u>	<u>1,830,718</u>	<u>107,718</u>
EXPENDITURES			
GENERAL GOVERNMENT			
Administration costs	503,638	427,936	75,702
Jurisdictional elections costs	1,320,000	1,318,441	1,559
TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	<u>1,823,638</u>	<u>1,746,377</u>	<u>77,261</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>1,823,638</u>	<u>1,746,377</u>	<u>77,261</u>
REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ (100,638)</u>	<u>84,341</u>	<u>\$ 184,979</u>
Fund balance - beginning		<u>491,929</u>	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 576,270</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
 SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
 SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
 BALANCE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL
 DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROGRAMS FUND
 For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ -	\$ 22,500	\$ 22,500
Court cost and fines	-	1,051	1,051
Other fees	430,000	221,538	(208,462)
Revenue from use of assets	5,000	4,187	(813)
TOTAL REVENUES	435,000	249,276	(185,724)

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	513,381	513,381	-
Operational costs	26,000	22,500	3,500
TOTAL JUDICIAL	539,381	535,881	3,500
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	539,381	535,881	3,500

REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	\$ (104,381)	(286,605)	\$ (182,224)
Fund balance - beginning		529,111	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 242,506</u>	

Bexar County, Texas
 SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS
 SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND
 BALANCE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL
 ASSET FORFEITURE FUND
 For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
REVENUES			
Court cost and fines	\$ 74,033	\$ 813,837	\$ 739,804
Revenue from use of assets	-	12,129	12,129
Sales, refunds and miscellaneous	-	28,946	28,946
TOTAL REVENUES	74,033	854,912	780,879

	Final Budget	Actual Amount	Variance
EXPENDITURES			
JUDICIAL			
Personnel costs	850,000	557,523	292,477
Remuneration for services	330,000	20,597	309,403
Operational costs	1,055,000	80,595	974,405
Supplies and materials	638,240	127,184	511,056
TOTAL JUDICIAL	2,873,240	785,899	2,087,341

REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	14,000	-	14,000
Personnel costs	65,000	33,113	31,887
Remuneration for services	298,126	129,645	168,481
Operational costs	278,421	166,374	112,047
Supplies and materials	655,547	329,132	326,415
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY	550,000	-	550,000
CONTINGENCIES	217,677	110,258	107,419
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	4,296,464	1,225,289	3,071,175
REVENUES (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	\$ (4,222,431)	(370,377)	\$ 3,852,054
Fund balance - beginning		4,316,591	
Fund balance - ending		<u>\$ 3,946,214</u>	

N O N M A J O R E N T E R P R I S E F U N D S

PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE

ENTERPRISE FUNDS – are established to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing the goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

SHERIFF'S COMMISSARY FUND – This fund is used to account for the operation of a commissary for jail inmates. The Commissary is funded primarily through profits on sales of commissary items to inmates.

PARKING FACILITIES FUND – This fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of parking facilities. The facilities are intended to be financed primarily through user charges.

FIRING RANGE FUND – This fund is used to account for the operation and maintenance of the firing range. The facilities are intended to be financed primarily through user charges.



View of the Bexar County Courthouse from the Riverwalk
Photo by Adnan Ahmetovic

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS
September 30, 2020

	Sheriff's Commissary	Parking Facilities	Firing Range	Total
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,956,635	\$ 1,206,363	\$ 54,374	\$ 3,217,372
Investments	621,665	379,459	17,276	1,018,400
Receivables:				
Accounts	465,391	281	-	465,672
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	3,043,691	1,586,103	71,650	4,701,444
Noncurrent assets:				
Capital assets:				
Equipment	763,559	-	-	763,559
Reference library	38,960	-	-	38,960
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(608,112)	-	-	(608,112)
TOTAL NONCURRENT ASSETS	194,407	-	-	194,407
TOTAL ASSETS	3,238,098	1,586,103	71,650	4,895,851
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Pension	166,913	34,037	15,669	216,619
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$ 166,913	\$ 34,037	\$ 15,669	\$ 216,619
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ 142,645	\$ 41,760	\$ 40,877	\$ 225,282
Accrued liabilities	132,809	59,636	14,077	206,522
Due to other governmental units	-	6,428	-	6,428
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	275,454	107,824	54,954	438,232
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Net pension liability	585,873	119,469	55,000	760,342
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	585,873	119,469	55,000	760,342
TOTAL LIABILITIES	861,327	227,293	109,954	1,198,574
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Pension	171,655	35,003	16,115	222,773
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	171,655	35,003	16,115	222,773
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	194,406	-	-	194,406
Unrestricted	2,177,623	1,357,844	(38,750)	3,496,717
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 2,372,029	\$ 1,357,844	\$ (38,750)	\$ 3,691,123

Bexar County, Texas
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND
CHANGES IN NET POSITION - NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Sheriff's Commissary	Parking Facilities	Firing Range	Total
OPERATING REVENUES				
Commissary sales	6,041,632	-	-	6,041,632
User fees	-	1,035,444	2,630	1,038,074
Other income	520	210	-	730
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	6,042,152	1,035,654	2,630	7,080,436
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Personnel costs	1,849,762	417,982	177,128	2,444,872
Purchased services	3,065,918	367,883	12,399	3,446,200
Supplies	305,907	33,324	6,000	345,231
Repairs and maintenance	45,240	61,768	48,254	155,262
Depreciation and amortization	93,115	-	-	93,115
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	5,359,942	880,957	243,781	6,484,680
Operating income (loss)	682,210	154,697	(241,151)	595,756
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)				
Investment income	26,323	19,220	2,218	47,761
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES	26,323	19,220	2,218	47,761
Income (loss) before transfers	708,533	173,917	(238,933)	643,517
Interfund transfers in	-	-	199,769	199,769
Interfund transfers out	-	(450,000)	-	(450,000)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	(450,000)	199,769	(250,231)
Changes in net position	708,533	(276,083)	(39,164)	393,286
Total net position-beginning	1,663,496	1,633,927	414	3,297,837
Total net position-ending	\$ 2,372,029	\$ 1,357,844	\$ (38,750)	\$ 3,691,123

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Sheriff's Commissary	Parking Facilities	Firing Range	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Cash received for commissary sales	\$ 5,920,587	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,920,587
Cash received for parking fees	-	1,035,585	-	1,035,585
Cash received for firing range fees	-	-	2,630	2,630
Payments to other governmental units	(3,786,071)	(2,564)	-	(2,564)
Payments to suppliers	(437,317)	(437,317)	(35,432)	(4,258,820)
Payments to employees for services	(1,895,596)	(401,970)	(166,843)	(2,464,409)
Net cash provided (used) for operating activities	238,920	193,734	(199,645)	233,009
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Transfer from other funds	-	-	199,769	199,769
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities	-	-	199,769	199,769
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Transfer to other funds	-	(450,000)	-	(450,000)
Net cash (used) for capital and related financing activities	-	(450,000)	-	(450,000)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Investment earnings	1,164,868	1,019,160	36,255	2,220,283
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	26,323	19,220	2,218	47,761
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,430,111	782,114	38,597	2,250,822
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	526,524	424,249	15,777	966,550
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ 1,956,635	\$ 1,206,363	\$ 54,374	\$ 3,217,372

180

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
NONMAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS
For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Sheriff's Commissary	Parking Facilities	Firing Range	Total
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) for operating activities:				
Operating income (loss)	\$ 682,210	\$ 154,697	\$ (241,151)	\$ 595,756
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) for operating activities:				
Depreciation expense	93,115	-	-	93,115
Change in net position:				
(Increase) in accounts receivable	(121,565)	(69)	-	(121,634)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(381,642)	(2,549)	38,741	(345,450)
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities	(7,960)	29,090	(8,135)	12,995
Increase (decrease) in net pension liability	(25,238)	15,129	10,900	791
(Decrease) in due to other governmental units	-	(2,564)	-	(2,564)
Net cash provided (used) for operating activities	\$ 238,920	\$ 193,734	\$ (199,645)	\$ 233,009
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents on Statement of Cash Flows to Statement of Net Position				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,956,635	\$ 1,206,363	\$ 54,374	\$ 3,217,372
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,956,635	\$ 1,206,363	\$ 54,374	\$ 3,217,372

181

I N T E R N A L S E R V I C E F U N D S

PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS - are established to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department to other departments of the County on a cost-reimbursement basis.

FLEET MAINTENANCE FUND - to account for the maintenance of County vehicles.

OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS FUND - to account for revenues and expenses related to retirement benefits for retirees and their beneficiaries.

SELF-INSURANCE FUND - to account for the receipt of insurance premiums collected from employees and various funds as well as the expense for services and expenses.

RECORDS MANAGEMENT CENTER FUND - to account for the expenses of the records management center facility.

PRINT SHOP - to account for the expenses of the print shop.



This page intentionally
left blank

Bexar County, Texas
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN FUND/NET POSITION
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

ASSETS	Fleet Maintenance	Other Post Employment Benefits	Self Insurance	Records Management Center	Print Shop	Total
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 457,524	\$ -	\$ 9,406,769	\$ 77,184	\$ 14,464	\$ 10,155,941
Investments	-	-	-	-	4,596	4,596
Inventories	137,680	-	-	-	-	137,680
Deposits	-	-	10,000	-	-	10,000
Prepaid insurance	976	-	97,424	-	-	976
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	596,180	-	9,616,769	77,184	19,060	10,309,193
Noncurrent assets						
Capital assets:						
Buildings and improvements	-	-	125,708	-	-	125,708
Equipment	-	-	1,087,589	-	-	1,087,589
Less: Accumulated depreciation	-	-	(28,284)	(920,933)	-	(949,217)
TOTAL NONCURRENT ASSETS	-	-	166,656	(920,933)	-	264,080
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 596,180	\$ -	\$ 9,714,193	\$ 243,840	\$ 19,060	\$ 10,573,273
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES						
Pension	74,255	-	32,642	19,699	9,943	136,639
OPEB	-	37,511,531	-	-	-	37,511,531
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	74,255	37,511,531	32,642	19,699	9,943	37,648,070
LIABILITIES						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$ 90,420	\$ 214,478	\$ 125,039	\$ 6,384	\$ -	\$ 436,321
Claims payable	-	-	9,318,116	-	-	9,318,116
Accrued liabilities	14,033	-	9,967	24,226	210,894	259,120
Due to other funds	-	107,447	-	-	-	107,447
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	104,453	321,925	9,453,122	30,610	210,894	10,121,004
Noncurrent liabilities						
Advance from other funds	110,000	-	-	-	-	110,000
Net pension liability	260,639	-	114,573	69,145	34,902	479,259
Claims payable	-	-	399,210	-	-	399,210
OPEB obligation	-	216,578,397	-	-	-	216,578,397
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	370,639	216,578,397	513,783	69,145	34,902	217,566,866
TOTAL LIABILITIES	475,092	216,900,322	9,966,905	99,755	245,796	227,687,870
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES						
Pension	76,365	-	33,569	20,258	10,226	140,418
OPEB	-	17,563,614	-	-	-	17,563,614
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	76,365	17,563,614	33,569	20,258	10,226	17,704,032
NET POSITION						
Net investment in capital assets	-	-	97,424	166,656	-	264,080
Unrestricted	118,978	(196,952,405)	(351,063)	(23,130)	(227,019)	(197,434,639)
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 118,978	\$(196,952,405)	\$ (253,639)	\$ 143,526	\$ (227,019)	\$(197,170,559)

OPERATING REVENUES	Fleet Maintenance	Other Post Employment Benefits	Self Insurance	Records Management Center	Print Shop	Total
Premiums	\$ -	\$ 3,332,057	\$ 59,623,999	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,957,456
Records management storage fees	-	-	-	150,000	-	150,000
Employee clinic fees	-	-	12,885	-	-	12,885
Fleet maintenance sales	962,272	-	-	-	-	962,272
User Fees	-	-	-	-	210,158	210,158
Other income	15,786	-	1,366,663	-	19	1,382,468
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	978,058	3,332,057	61,004,947	150,000	210,177	65,675,239
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Administrative fees	-	308,313	3,354,566	-	-	3,662,879
Claims expenses	-	10,783,447	53,603,603	-	-	64,387,050
Insurance expenses	-	-	674,447	-	-	674,447
OPEB costs	-	24,594,216	-	-	-	24,594,216
Personnel costs	844,718	-	354,080	241,023	158,911	1,598,732
Rent and utilities	14,558	-	-	53,675	-	68,233
Purchased services	15,967	-	258,552	25,973	308,293	608,785
Supplies	33,735	-	3,859	29,980	62,400	129,974
Repairs and maintenance	14,213	-	-	27,464	-	41,677
Depreciation and amortization	-	-	6,285	98,945	-	105,230
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	923,191	35,685,976	58,253,392	477,060	529,604	95,871,223
Operating income (loss)	54,867	(32,353,919)	2,749,555	(327,060)	(319,427)	(30,195,984)
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)						
Investment income	-	53,610	-	-	2,378	55,988
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES	-	53,610	-	-	2,378	55,988
Income (loss) before transfers	54,867	(32,300,309)	2,749,555	(327,060)	(317,049)	(30,139,996)
Interfund transfers in	-	7,378,080	1,596,293	175,000	111,137	9,260,510
Interfund transfers out	-	-	(5,723,776)	-	-	(5,723,776)
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-	7,378,080	(4,127,483)	175,000	111,137	3,536,734
Changes in net position	54,867	(24,922,229)	(1,377,928)	(152,060)	(205,912)	(26,603,262)
Total net position-beginning	64,111	(172,030,176)	1,124,289	295,586	(21,107)	(170,567,297)
Total net position-ending	\$ 118,978	\$(196,952,405)	\$ (253,639)	\$ 143,526	\$ (227,019)	\$(197,170,559)

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Fleet Maintenance	OPEB	Self-Insurance	Records Management Center	Print Shop	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Cash received for premiums	\$ -	\$ 3,332,057	\$ 60,992,062	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,324,119
Cash received for employee clinic fees	-	-	12,885	-	-	12,885
Cash received for fleet maintenance services	978,058	-	-	-	-	978,058
Cash received for records management storage	-	-	-	150,000	-	150,000
Cash received for print shop	-	-	-	-	210,177	210,177
Payments to vendors, suppliers, and contractors	(91,822)	(235,450)	(5,144,038)	(124,320)	(346,436)	(5,942,066)
Payments to employees for services	(817,880)	-	(388,400)	(229,905)	(164,139)	(1,600,324)
Claims paid	-	(10,783,448)	(52,134,397)	-	-	(62,917,845)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	68,356	(7,686,841)	3,338,112	(204,225)	(300,398)	(4,784,996)
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Transfers from other funds	-	7,485,527	1,596,293	175,000	111,137	9,367,957
Transfers to other funds	-	-	(5,723,776)	-	-	(5,723,776)
Net cash provided (used) by noncapital financing activities	-	7,485,527	(4,127,483)	175,000	111,137	3,644,181
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Investment sales	-	147,704	-	-	154,468	302,172
Investment earnings	-	53,610	-	-	2,378	55,988
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	201,314	-	-	156,846	358,160
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	68,356	-	(789,371)	(29,225)	(32,415)	(782,655)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	389,168	-	10,396,140	106,409	46,879	10,938,596
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ 457,524	\$ -	\$ 9,606,769	\$ 77,184	\$ 14,464	\$ 10,155,941

Bexar County, Texas
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
For Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Fleet Maintenance	OPEB	Self-Insurance	Records Management Center	Print Shop	Total
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:						
Operating income (loss)	\$ 54,867	(32,353,919)	\$ 2,749,555	\$ (327,060)	\$ (319,427)	\$ (30,195,984)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) for operating activities:						
Depreciation expense	20,872	-	6,285	98,945	-	105,230
Decrease in inventories	(791)	-	-	-	-	(791)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(34,340)	72,863	(736,011)	(1,546)	(2,772)	(701,806)
Increase in claims payable	-	-	1,469,206	-	-	1,469,206
Increase in OPEB obligation	690	24,594,215	(118,428)	12,571	25,673	24,594,215
Increase (decrease) in accrued liabilities	27,058	-	(32,495)	12,565	(3,872)	3,556
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$ 68,356	\$ (7,686,841)	\$ 3,338,112	\$ (204,225)	\$ (300,398)	\$ (4,784,996)
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents on Statement of Cash Flows to Statement of Net Position						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 457,524	\$ -	\$ 9,606,769	\$ 77,184	\$ 14,464	\$ 10,155,941
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 457,524	\$ -	\$ 9,606,769	\$ 77,184	\$ 14,464	\$ 10,155,941

AGENCY FUNDS

FIDUCIARY FUND TYPE

AGENCY FUNDS – are used to account for assets held by the County as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governments and other funds. They are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurements of results of operations.

SECONDARY RECIPIENT GRANTS FUND – to account for the receipt and disbursement of grant funds for which the County serves only as a conduit.

OFFICERS' SPECIAL FUNDS – to account for the receipt and disbursement of funds held by various County officers pending disposition.

CLERKS' TRUST FUNDS – to account for funds held in the registry of the courts by the County Clerk and District Clerk pending a court order directing payment.

FLEXIBLE SPENDING ACCOUNTS FUND – to account for deposits and disbursements related to the County's employees flexible spending accounts.

BAIL BOND SECURITY FUND – to account for deposits that attorneys place with the County in order to post bond for defendants.

TAX COLLECTOR'S ACCOUNTS FUNDS – to account for the receipt of tax collections and the distribution to County funds and other taxing jurisdictions.

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS FUNDS – to account for the receipt and disbursement of funds administered by the Community Supervision and Corrections Department.

INMATE BANKING FUND – to account for the receipt and disbursement of the personal funds of inmates confined in the County jail.

UNCLAIMED MONEY FUND – to account for funds the County holds that rightfully belong to another party.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY SEIZED ASSETS – to account for assets seized pursuant to the state and federal forfeiture laws (Chapter 59, Code of Criminal Procedure) but still awaiting judicial determination.



This page intentionally
left blank

Bexar County, Texas
 AGENCY FUNDS
COMBINING NET POSITION
 September 30, 2020

	Secondary Recipient Grants	Officers' Special	Clerks' Trust	Flexible Spending Accounts	Bail Bond Security	Tax Collector's Accounts	Community Corrections	Inmate Banking	Unclaimed Money	DA Seized Assets	Total
ASSETS											
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 4,980,452	\$ 43,535,231	\$ 317,126	\$ 2,698,035	\$ 48,858,152	\$ 7,817,000	\$ 695,750	\$ 161,235	\$ 5,460,548	\$ 114,523,529
Accounts receivable	1,284,751	701,571	16,972	8,985	-	-	7,572,828	-	-	-	9,885,107
Deferred outflows of resources - pension	153,253	-	-	-	-	-	2,138,730	-	-	-	2,291,983
Due from other governmental units	97,228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97,228
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,535,232	\$ 5,682,023	\$ 43,552,203	\$ 326,111	\$ 2,698,035	\$ 48,858,152	\$ 17,528,558	\$ 695,750	\$ 161,235	\$ 5,460,548	\$ 126,497,847
LIABILITIES											
Vouchers payable	\$ 946	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,237	\$ 606,128	\$ -	\$ 27,194	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 677,505
Accrued liabilities	1,370,145	-	-	282,874	-	-	7,916,913	-	-	-	9,569,932
Due to participants	6,454	818,542	43,552,203	-	2,091,907	-	7,384,964	695,750	-	5,460,548	60,010,368
Deferred inflows of resources - pension	157,607	-	-	-	-	-	2,199,487	-	-	-	2,357,094
Due to other governmental units	80	4,863,481	-	-	-	48,858,152	-	-	161,235	-	53,882,948
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 1,535,232	\$ 5,682,023	\$ 43,552,203	\$ 326,111	\$ 2,698,035	\$ 48,858,152	\$ 17,528,558	\$ 695,750	\$ 161,235	\$ 5,460,548	\$ 126,497,847

Bexar County, Texas
AGENCY FUNDS
COMBINING NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

	<u>Balance</u>	
	<u>October 1, 2019</u>	<u>September 30, 2020</u>
	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 104,079,101	\$ 114,523,529
Accounts receivable	8,622,492	9,585,107
Deferred outflows of resources - pension	8,030,412	2,291,983
Due from other governmental units	628,713	97,228
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 121,360,718	\$ 126,497,846
	\$ 121,360,718	\$ 126,497,847
LIABILITIES		
Vouchers payable	\$ 606,133	\$ 677,505
Accrued liabilities	17,030,050	9,569,932
Due to participants	52,784,517	60,010,368
Deferred inflows of resources - pension	235,480	2,357,094
Due to other governmental units	50,704,538	53,882,948
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 121,360,718	\$ 126,497,846
	\$ 121,360,718	\$ 126,497,847

Bexar County, Texas
AGENCY FUNDS
COMBINING NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

	<u>Balance</u>	
	<u>October 1, 2019</u>	<u>September 30, 2020</u>
	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>
Secondary Receipt of Grants		
ASSETS		
Accounts receivable	\$ 545,259	\$ 1,284,751
Deferred outflows of resources - pension	530,628	153,253
Due from other governmental units	628,713	97,228
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,704,600	\$ 1,535,232
	\$ 1,704,600	\$ 1,535,232
LIABILITIES		
Vouchers payable	\$ 64,220	\$ 946
Accrued liabilities	1,618,286	1,370,145
Due to participants	6,454	6,454
Deferred inflows of resources - pension	15,560	157,607
Due to other governmental units	80	80
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 1,704,600	\$ 1,704,600
	\$ 1,704,600	\$ 1,704,600
Officers' Special Funds		
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,898,774	\$ 4,980,452
Accounts receivable	673,088	701,571
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 5,571,862	\$ 5,682,023
	\$ 5,571,862	\$ 5,682,023
LIABILITIES		
Due to participants	\$ 708,381	\$ 818,542
Due to other governmental units	4,863,481	4,863,481
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 5,571,862	\$ 5,682,023
	\$ 5,571,862	\$ 5,682,023
Clerks' Trust Funds		
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 37,768,519	\$ 43,535,231
Accounts receivable	-	16,972
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 37,768,519	\$ 43,552,203
	\$ 37,768,519	\$ 43,552,203
LIABILITIES		
Due to participants	\$ 37,768,519	\$ 43,552,203
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 37,768,519	\$ 43,552,203
	\$ 37,768,519	\$ 43,552,203

Bexar County, Texas
AGENCY FUNDS
COMBINING NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

	Balance October 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance September 30, 2020
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 156,164	\$ 317,126	\$ 156,164	\$ 317,126
Accounts receivable	8,985	8,985	8,985	8,985
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 165,149	\$ 326,111	\$ 165,149	\$ 326,111
LIABILITIES				
Vouchers payable	\$ 63,567	\$ 43,237	\$ 63,567	\$ 43,237
Accrued liabilities	101,582	282,874	101,582	282,874
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 165,149	\$ 326,111	\$ 165,149	\$ 326,111

Flexible Spending Accounts

Cash and cash equivalents	
Accounts receivable	
TOTAL ASSETS	
LIABILITIES	
Vouchers payable	
Accrued liabilities	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	

Bail Bond Security Fund

Cash and cash equivalents	
TOTAL ASSETS	
LIABILITIES	
Vouchers payable	
Due to participants	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	

Tax Collector's Accounts

Cash and cash equivalents	
TOTAL ASSETS	
LIABILITIES	
Due to other governmental units	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	

Bexar County, Texas
AGENCY FUNDS
COMBINING NET POSITION
September 30, 2020

	Balance October 1, 2019	Additions	Deletions	Balance September 30, 2020
Community Corrections				
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,075,673	\$ 7,817,000	\$ 8,075,673	\$ 7,817,000
Accounts receivable	7,395,160	7,572,828	7,395,160	7,572,828
Deferred outflows of resources - pension	7,499,784	2,138,730	7,499,784	2,138,730
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 22,970,617	\$ 17,528,558	\$ 22,970,617	\$ 17,528,558
LIABILITIES				
Vouchers payable	\$ 62,746	\$ 27,194	\$ 62,746	\$ 27,194
Accrued liabilities	15,310,182	7,916,913	15,310,182	7,916,913
Deferred inflows of resources - pension	219,920	2,199,487	219,920	2,199,487
Due to participants	7,377,769	7,384,964	7,377,769	7,384,964
TOTAL LIABILITIES	\$ 22,970,617	\$ 17,528,558	\$ 22,970,617	\$ 17,528,558

Inmate Banking

ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	
TOTAL ASSETS	
LIABILITIES	
Due to participants	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	

Unclaimed Money

ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	
TOTAL ASSETS	
LIABILITIES	
Due to other governmental units	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	

DA Seized Assets

ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	
TOTAL ASSETS	
LIABILITIES	
Due to participants	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	

Bexar County, Texas
STATISTICAL SECTION OVERVIEW
September 30, 2020

The statistical section is organized in six sections:

- Financial Trends – Compiles information reported in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report over the past ten years. These schedules report how the County’s financial position and well-being have changed over time.

Table 1 – Net Position by Component

Table 2 – Changes in Net Position

Table 3 – Net Changes in Fund Balance, Governmental Funds

Table 4 – Fund Balances, Governmental Funds

- Revenue Capacity Information – Provides information regarding the County’s major own-source revenue (property taxes) and the stability/growth of that revenue.

Table 5 – Assessed Value and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property

Table 6 – Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates by Tax Year

Table 7 – Principal Property Taxpayers

Table 8 – Property Tax Levies and Collections

- Debt Capacity Information – Provides information on the County’s outstanding debt, the ability to repay the debt, and the ability to issue additional debt.

Table 9 – Ratio of Outstanding Debt by Type

Table 10 – Ratio of Outstanding General Bonded County Debt

Table 11 – Ratio of Annual Debt Service for General Bonded Debt to Total Expenditures All Governmental Fund Types

Table 12 – Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt

Table 13 – Pledged-Revenue Coverage

Table 14 – Motor Vehicle Rental Tax Collections

Table 15 – Hotel Occupancy Tax Net Collections

Table 16 – Hotel Occupancy Tax Collections – Top Ten Hotels

Table 17 – Convention Statistics

Table 18 – San Antonio Hotel Occupancies and Average Daily Rates/History

Table 19 – County Expenditures for Assets Owned by Other Entities

- Demographic and Economic Information – Provides information regarding the County’s socioeconomic environment; specifically, its taxpayers, employers, and the changes to those groups over the past ten years.

Table 20 – Demographic and Economic Statistics

Table 21 – Principal Employers

- Operating Information – Provides information on its employees, operation, and facilities

Table 22 – Operating Indicators by Function/Program

Table 23 – Capital Asset Statistics by Function/Program

Table 24 – Full-Time Equivalent County Government Employees by Function/Program

- Miscellaneous Information – Provides detailed information on the County’s Rates

Table 25 – Analysis of Funding Progress and Contribution Rates

Table 26 – Legal Debt Margin Information

Table 27 – Miscellaneous Information

Over the past ten years Bexar County has experienced an increase in the population of taxpayers. This growth has led to increased development, and accordingly, the tax base has increased. The County has also increased its operating, debt, and capital expenditures to meet the demand of the growing population and provide adequate services.



This page intentionally
left blank

Table I (Continued)

Table I

Bexar County, Texas
NET POSITION BY COMPONENT, LAST TEN YEARS
 For Fiscal Years Ended September 30,
 (Unaudited)

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014 (Restated)	2013	2012	2011
Governmental activities										
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 993,996,007	\$ 1,005,554,876	\$ 1,012,651,582	\$ 965,387,857	\$ 934,396,266	\$ 894,124,992	\$ 860,081,979	\$ 890,541,511	\$ 855,395,328	\$ 830,351,671
Restricted for:										
Debt service	71,651,773	85,091,691	81,352,856	60,506,401	39,793,717	32,839,512	50,483,099	54,407,649	70,322,846	71,270,325
Grants and special revenues	15,400,100	13,098,279	12,552,709	13,998,857	14,994,930	14,990,484	13,580,285	9,006,848	10,580,293	12,565,983
Capital projects	222,136,269	148,078,758	129,236,402	183,002,698	145,327,592	69,577,989	121,632,446	48,998,893	36,381,015	14,139,934
Legislative	62,061,061	57,242,129	50,815,806	44,172,699	39,064,271	40,752,062	32,904,826	30,621,078	27,361,998	24,984,690
Unrestricted	(1,053,350,305)	(995,199,570)	(943,175,051)	(803,009,229)	(620,083,745)	(444,153,476)	(441,770,656)	(336,108,071)	(169,515,206)	(87,278,102)
Total governmental activities net position	\$ 311,894,905	\$ 313,866,163	\$ 343,434,304	\$ 464,059,283	\$ 553,493,031	\$ 608,131,563	\$ 636,911,979	\$ 697,467,908	\$ 830,526,274	\$ 866,034,501
Business-type activities										
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 89,702,989	\$ 93,392,619	\$ 61,511,565	\$ 65,978,076	\$ 67,413,044	\$ 129,774,372	\$ 48,009,070	\$ 50,287,916	\$ 53,683,820	\$ 55,333,951
Restricted for:										
Debt Service	29,119,340	25,476,405	25,820,995	24,458,709	24,478,767	27,281,811	24,198,644	20,189,790	16,283,647	16,844,006
Unrestricted	(178,405,893)	(175,695,602)	(146,379,859)	(154,272,031)	(158,904,249)	(218,711,772)	(150,985,175)	(107,025,370)	(46,741,238)	(6,211,870)
Total business-type activities net position	\$ (89,583,264)	\$ (56,826,578)	\$ (59,047,299)	\$ (63,835,246)	\$ (67,012,438)	\$ (61,655,589)	\$ (78,777,461)	\$ (36,547,664)	\$ 23,226,229	\$ 65,966,087
Primary government										
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 1,083,698,996	\$ 1,098,947,495	\$ 1,074,163,147	\$ 1,031,365,933	\$ 1,001,809,310	\$ 1,023,899,364	\$ 908,091,049	\$ 940,829,427	\$ 909,079,148	\$ 885,685,622
Restricted	400,368,543	328,987,262	299,778,768	326,139,364	263,659,277	185,441,858	242,799,300	163,224,258	160,929,799	139,804,938
Unrestricted	(1,231,755,898)	(1,170,895,172)	(1,089,554,910)	(957,281,260)	(778,987,994)	(662,865,248)	(592,755,831)	(443,133,441)	(216,256,444)	(93,489,972)
Total primary government net position	\$ 252,311,641	\$ 257,039,585	\$ 284,387,005	\$ 400,224,037	\$ 486,480,599	\$ 546,475,974	\$ 358,134,518	\$ 660,920,244	\$ 853,752,503	\$ 932,000,388

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR).

Table 2

Bexar County, Texas
CHANGES IN NET POSITION, LAST TEN YEARS
 For Fiscal Years Ended September 30,
 (Unaudited and accrual basis accounting)

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Expenses					
Governmental activities:					
General government	\$ 146,862,479	\$ 118,070,912	\$ 143,816,856	\$ 138,112,719	\$ 130,047,303
Judicial	123,282,463	123,906,981	118,945,194	113,574,714	104,620,926
Public safety	259,572,600	264,607,962	243,887,769	239,226,903	222,339,298
Education and recreation	11,738,031	11,784,896	9,910,149	7,712,093	8,120,117
Public works	169,920,446	153,489,757	188,397,391	225,090,295	206,348,816
Health and public welfare	46,334,551	23,198,532	20,902,695	26,302,915	26,081,172
Interest and other fees	85,574,502	91,231,001	82,019,470	77,974,335	84,878,028
Unallocated depreciation	114,711	114,711	114,711	114,711	114,711
Total governmental activities	\$ 843,399,783	\$ 786,404,752	\$ 807,994,235	\$ 828,108,685	\$ 782,550,371
Business-type activities:					
Venue Fund	\$ 24,812,257	\$ 33,007,100	\$ 28,050,246	\$ 29,423,382	\$ 34,080,868
Commissary Fund	5,359,942	5,590,750	5,364,084	4,954,319	4,439,412
Firming Range Fund	243,781	196,504	201,046	183,283	194,346
Parking Facilities Fund	880,957	855,203	728,022	762,507	842,589
Total business-type activities	\$ 31,296,937	\$ 39,649,557	\$ 34,343,398	\$ 35,323,491	\$ 39,557,215
Total primary government	\$ 874,696,720	\$ 826,054,309	\$ 842,337,633	\$ 863,432,176	\$ 822,107,586
Program Revenues					
Governmental activities:					
Charges for service:					
General government	\$ 34,741,773	\$ 36,612,955	\$ 35,693,180	\$ 35,859,657	\$ 36,315,150
Judicial	9,667,123	19,484,193	18,437,622	16,021,342	18,830,998
Public safety	15,069,732	35,332,171	33,172,774	33,508,474	31,798,026
Education and recreation	100	326	119	548	691
Public works	20,337,681	20,808,857	20,083,431	19,581,006	20,327,240
Health and public welfare	871,573	988,424	1,734,751	744,661	404,185
Operating grants and contributions:					
General government	988,930	558,621	635,021	631,563	3,086,022
Judicial	7,956,674	7,487,386	8,343,200	6,704,154	5,748,936
Public safety	17,312,663	14,500,158	14,438,664	13,385,549	12,810,807
Education and recreation	181,732	275,345	1,327,655	322,723	224,725
Public works	-	-	1,202,096	3,480,417	7,700
Health and public welfare	75,840,292	14,667,956	14,531,656	20,124,961	17,651,399
Capital grants and contributions:					
Public Works	94,758,101	78,393,075	139,498,994	115,519,024	148,270,339
Total governmental activities	\$ 277,726,374	\$ 229,109,467	\$ 289,099,163	\$ 265,884,079	\$ 295,476,218

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR) for applicable years.

Table 2 (Continued)

	2015	2014 (Restated)	2013	2012	2011
General government	\$ 110,745,934	\$ 107,772,965	\$ 101,135,305	\$ 92,955,003	\$ 88,844,727
Judicial	93,563,195	89,143,802	86,567,259	85,766,375	89,523,783
Public safety	211,423,049	199,517,541	194,156,366	192,289,893	186,374,799
Education and recreation	6,334,976	5,803,910	6,521,027	8,964,869	10,838,874
Public works	156,484,523	134,049,117	254,058,915	166,817,829	159,386,468
Health and public welfare	28,287,807	24,694,078	25,646,248	33,613,676	29,164,474
Interest and other fees	72,808,687	68,474,001	57,190,164	46,034,776	42,552,731
Unallocated depreciation	114,711	114,711	114,711	114,711	114,711
Total governmental activities	\$ 679,762,882	\$ 629,570,125	\$ 725,389,995	\$ 626,557,132	\$ 606,800,567
Business-type activities:					
Venue Fund	\$ 10,680,025	\$ 68,628,840	\$ 82,836,919	\$ 66,119,373	\$ 47,297,341
Commissary Fund	3,734,031	3,434,758	3,099,136	3,132,808	3,349,848
Firming Range Fund	183,278	156,842	112,046	-	-
Parking Facilities Fund	769,832	607,739	633,636	519,977	307,949
Total business-type activities	\$ 15,367,166	\$ 72,828,179	\$ 86,681,737	\$ 69,772,158	\$ 50,955,138
Total primary government	\$ 695,130,048	\$ 702,398,304	\$ 812,071,732	\$ 696,329,290	\$ 657,755,705
Program Revenues					
Governmental activities:					
Charges for service:					
General government	\$ 34,514,356	\$ 33,242,843	\$ 33,949,799	\$ 30,742,789	\$ 29,315,903
Judicial	12,043,502	19,740,552	10,415,106	11,590,304	13,189,094
Public safety	34,563,781	34,088,157	34,983,339	34,016,987	28,563,454
Education and recreation	1,020	788	1,500	1,500	333,200
Public works	19,345,148	18,300,625	17,765,629	17,007,799	15,791,488
Health and public welfare	345,758	343,109	344,410	56,145	935,617
Operating grants and contributions:					
General government	4,927,006	5,762,280	3,933,230	3,451,222	4,156,702
Judicial	5,215,747	6,496,804	5,185,664	4,695,937	4,470,725
Public safety	11,891,397	14,721,238	12,221,127	14,514,051	18,847,341
Education and recreation	125,000	211,000	200,000	-	1,369,585
Public works	97,480	2,520	-	-	2,505,065
Health and public welfare	19,972,362	18,245,249	20,630,393	25,468,653	27,755,036
Capital grants and contributions:					
Public Works	126,167,667	112,011,234	114,740,142	106,463,221	201,984,356
Total governmental activities	\$ 269,210,224	\$ 263,166,399	\$ 254,370,339	\$ 248,008,608	\$ 349,217,566

Table 2 (Continued)

Bexar County, Texas
CHANGES IN NET POSITION, LAST TEN YEARS
For Fiscal Years Ended September 30,
(Unaudited and accrual basis of accounting)

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
Business-type activities:					
Changes for services	\$ 8,379,706	\$ 8,607,859	\$ 8,343,408	\$ 7,853,831	\$ 6,820,264
Capital grants and contributions	-	-	-	2,089,354	-
Total business-type activities	8,379,706	8,607,859	8,343,408	9,943,185	6,820,264
Total primary government	\$ 286,106,080	\$ 237,717,326	\$ 297,442,571	\$ 275,827,264	\$ 302,296,482
Net Expenses					
Governmental activities	\$(565,073,409)	\$(557,295,285)	\$(518,895,072)	\$(562,224,606)	\$(487,074,153)
Business-type activities	(22,917,231)	(31,041,698)	(25,999,990)	(25,380,306)	(32,736,951)
Total primary government	\$(588,990,640)	\$(588,336,983)	\$(544,895,062)	\$(587,604,912)	\$(519,811,104)

General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position

Governmental Activities:					
Taxes:					
Property taxes	\$ 451,399,503	\$ 423,507,085	\$ 416,955,334	\$ 394,856,774	\$ 365,595,459
Flood control taxes	39,029,142	36,632,870	19,336,934	22,119,090	21,878,200
Bingo taxes	1,206,616	1,509,277	1,124,906	1,499,557	1,499,237
Motor vehicle taxes	17,731,293	16,707,363	15,820,056	16,399,324	16,272,869
Mixed drink taxes	7,610,133	10,964,858	10,552,989	9,785,281	9,423,643
Unrestricted investment earnings	14,961,419	25,991,365	13,392,740	8,295,219	5,188,258
Miscellaneous	31,137,863	11,611,078	22,991,436	19,980,840	12,308,641
Gain on disposal of assets	375,951	537,697	331,133	-	-
Transfers between governmental and business-type activities	250,231	265,551	291,390	229,424	269,314
Total governmental activities	\$ 563,702,151	\$ 527,727,144	\$ 501,251,569	\$ 472,790,858	\$ 432,435,621

Business-type Activities:

Motor vehicle taxes	\$ 7,707,247	\$ 10,684,096	\$ 9,924,336	\$ 9,673,817	\$ 9,754,326
Occupancy taxes	11,250,594	19,931,798	19,351,541	18,237,592	17,475,219
Unrestricted investment earnings	1,435,956	2,910,374	1,802,805	864,943	347,395
Miscellaneous	16,979	1,702	645	10,570	72,476
Transfers between governmental and business-type activities	(250,231)	(265,551)	(291,390)	(229,424)	(269,314)
Total business-type activities	20,160,545	33,262,419	30,787,937	28,557,498	27,380,102
Total Primary Government	\$ 583,862,696	\$ 560,989,563	\$ 532,039,506	\$ 501,348,356	\$ 459,815,723

Change in Net Position

Governmental activities	\$ (1,971,258)	\$ (29,568,141)	\$ (17,643,503)	\$ (89,433,748)	\$ (54,638,532)
Business-type activities	(2,756,686)	2,220,721	4,287,947	3,177,192	(5,356,849)
Total primary government	\$ (4,727,944)	\$ (27,347,420)	\$ (12,855,556)	\$ (86,256,556)	\$ (59,995,381)

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR) for applicable years.

Table 2 (Continued)

	2015	2014 (Restated)	2013	2012	2011
\$ 6,543,340	\$ 6,322,469	\$ 5,774,948	\$ 5,121,101	\$ 4,882,504	
6,543,340	6,322,469	5,774,948	5,121,101	4,882,504	
\$ 275,753,564	\$ 269,488,868	\$ 260,145,287	\$ 253,129,709	\$ 354,100,070	
\$(410,552,658)	\$(366,403,726)	\$(467,461,236)	\$(378,548,524)	\$(257,583,001)	
(8,823,826)	(66,505,710)	(79,494,685)	(64,651,057)	(46,072,634)	
\$(419,376,484)	\$(432,909,436)	\$(546,955,921)	\$(443,199,581)	\$(303,655,635)	
\$ 312,595,952	\$ 305,381,502	\$ 289,003,130	\$ 286,918,075	\$ 281,355,998	
34,107,565	31,923,865	30,111,625	29,298,076	28,976,192	
1,451,055	1,280,993	1,149,925	1,095,392	1,034,600	
14,979,416	13,956,172	12,512,742	10,594,249	9,216,992	
8,833,088	8,353,717	6,393,077	5,770,200	6,527,575	
4,621,801	2,124,784	1,601,732	2,528,607	2,499,439	
4,948,018	7,826,124	5,366,849	6,399,746	7,206,835	
-	-	-	-	(2,831,146)	
235,347	293,196	341,068	435,952	453,070	
\$ 381,772,242	\$ 371,140,353	\$ 346,480,148	\$ 343,040,297	\$ 334,439,555	
\$ 9,175,855	\$ 8,644,849	\$ 8,302,881	\$ 7,927,555	\$ 7,395,457	
16,913,746	16,322,866	15,543,139	14,402,231	13,519,585	
69,024	48,993	19,538	17,365	21,247	
22,420	3,000	10	-	9,373	
(235,347)	(293,196)	(341,068)	(435,952)	(453,070)	
25,945,698	24,721,512	23,524,500	21,911,199	20,492,592	
\$ 407,717,940	\$ 395,861,865	\$ 370,004,648	\$ 364,951,496	\$ 354,932,147	
\$ (28,780,416)	\$ 4,736,627	\$(124,539,508)	\$(35,508,227)	\$ 76,856,554	
17,121,872	(41,784,198)	(57,382,289)	(42,739,858)	(25,580,043)	
\$(11,658,544)	\$(37,047,571)	\$(181,921,797)	\$(78,248,085)	\$ 51,276,511	

Table 3

Bexar County, Texas
NET CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
Last Ten Years
(Modified accrual basis of accounting)
(Unaudited)

	2020	2019	2018	2017
Revenues				
Ad valorem taxes	\$ 488,665,665	\$ 459,994,286	\$ 435,014,178	\$ 416,185,808
Other taxes, licenses, and permits	45,650,304	48,359,189	45,027,299	44,771,993
Intergovernmental revenue	114,366,165	51,322,944	52,164,833	73,512,073
Court costs and fines	14,363,207	19,427,783	19,847,313	20,942,708
Fees on motor vehicles	21,235,391	23,194,131	22,315,190	21,843,855
Other fees	39,309,971	40,875,962	40,357,549	38,236,799
Commissions from governmental units	5,534,777	5,375,928	5,041,751	4,890,594
Revenues from use of assets	16,751,295	44,222,795	28,740,544	24,555,514
Sales, refunds, and miscellaneous	31,070,350	11,071,786	22,604,614	19,176,269
Total Revenues	776,947,125	703,844,804	672,013,271	664,075,613
Expenditures				
General government	104,106,800	113,012,049	114,879,026	111,280,331
Judicial	116,919,376	116,962,592	115,414,816	104,101,581
Public safety	245,195,229	238,930,422	227,017,424	211,764,721
Education and recreation	9,969,526	9,109,353	8,907,589	6,995,572
Public works	85,919,080	72,919,976	111,236,307	152,194,087
Health and public welfare	46,029,116	22,752,473	21,078,152	25,947,472
Capital expenditures	76,851,656	85,744,732	104,268,694	77,613,158
Debt service:				
Principal	43,515,000	35,410,000	29,900,000	27,430,000
Interest	85,358,626	81,617,325	77,536,864	74,023,589
Bond issuance cost	4,742,143	1,672,526	3,321,972	1,043,539
Debt service SARA	3,133,040	3,099,470	3,102,341	3,081,120
Total Expenditures	821,739,592	781,230,918	816,663,185	795,475,170
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(44,792,467)	(77,386,114)	(144,649,914)	(131,399,557)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)				
Interfund transfers in	29,526,457	29,478,574	20,711,058	23,510,048
Interfund transfers out	(32,812,960)	(37,061,258)	(27,890,265)	(35,696,933)
Issuance of long term debt	122,355,000	198,035,000	40,840,000	113,610,000
Issuance of refunding bonds	439,085,000	-	412,855,000	-
Payment to refunded debt paying agent	(472,518,817)	-	(469,913,894)	-
Premium on bond issues	56,014,639	18,640,750	65,035,489	12,362,400
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	141,649,319	209,093,066	41,637,388	113,785,515
Net Change in Fund Balances	\$ 96,856,852	\$ 131,706,952	\$ 103,012,526	\$ (17,614,042)
Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures	17.3%	16.8%	15.1%	14.1%

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR).

Table 3 (Continued)

	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	\$ 387,534,025	\$ 346,870,065	\$ 337,320,246	\$ 310,716,213	\$ 312,328,560	\$ 309,879,849
	43,949,171	41,366,167	39,520,903	34,774,586	35,384,613	25,751,912
	70,825,628	69,317,626	66,332,349	77,221,430	63,600,138	69,776,671
	20,532,249	29,685,296	31,564,405	29,002,601	28,286,612	28,636,474
	23,566,635	22,382,580	21,499,603	20,802,047	20,395,853	23,101,681
	35,545,865	27,376,884	24,986,300	24,897,062	21,483,624	17,520,617
	4,678,422	4,383,707	4,184,550	4,006,304	4,244,598	4,779,636
	20,936,751	20,868,387	17,444,065	16,324,000	17,339,699	14,677,230
	11,701,866	4,579,824	6,818,230	4,440,392	5,548,406	7,798,411
	619,270,612	566,830,536	549,670,651	531,184,635	508,612,103	501,922,481
	104,585,060	90,074,738	89,594,893	82,373,919	79,850,671	72,372,014
	98,337,542	92,606,334	87,362,147	84,556,591	82,126,315	84,136,746
	199,907,962	199,834,826	188,260,671	182,665,115	176,643,544	174,264,394
	16,136,266	5,881,290	5,326,751	5,873,245	8,618,453	10,252,009
	135,874,326	88,893,619	75,373,089	195,489,744	111,367,011	115,084,358
	25,792,646	28,369,035	24,763,525	26,873,015	33,113,146	28,958,430
	67,535,925	68,919,071	79,325,538	50,306,999	94,469,871	83,128,036
	29,520,000	25,725,000	28,465,000	29,790,000	30,920,000	30,425,000
	73,782,125	70,799,866	68,319,100	50,339,550	44,068,795	42,292,081
	3,477,898	2,626,246	782,639	4,065,869	1,637,339	142,341
	3,086,790	2,846,408	3,309,055	4,387,134	4,900,000	5,000,000
	758,036,540	676,576,433	650,882,408	716,711,181	667,715,145	646,055,409
	(138,765,928)	(109,745,897)	(101,211,757)	(185,526,546)	(159,103,042)	(144,132,928)
	21,742,560	18,461,275	11,986,733	12,129,547	15,136,590	16,457,750
	(29,634,190)	(26,387,072)	(20,424,235)	(17,694,800)	(20,993,626)	(17,835,144)
	91,675,000	133,920,000	-	530,720,000	144,719,999	-
	350,155,000	175,745,000	65,055,000	-	17,650,000	-
	(392,499,089)	(205,501,225)	(72,555,312)	-	(20,417,103)	-
	54,235,769	48,954,002	8,166,796	40,480,868	9,066,853	-
	95,674,850	145,191,980	(7,771,018)	565,635,615	145,162,713	(1,377,394)
	\$ (43,091,078)	\$ 35,446,083	\$ (108,982,775)	\$ 380,109,069	\$ (13,940,329)	\$ (145,510,322)
Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures	15.0%	15.9%	16.9%	12.0%	13.1%	12.9%

Table 4

Bexar County, Texas
FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
Last Ten Years
(Modified accrual basis of accounting)
(Unaudited)

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
General Fund					
Nonspendable	\$ 5,382,691	\$ 5,240,630	\$ 5,177,751	\$ 5,209,672	\$ 5,170,575
Unassigned	148,972,594	101,331,087	87,977,792	85,441,267	78,999,256
Total general fund	\$ 154,355,285	\$ 106,571,717	\$ 93,155,543	\$ 90,650,939	\$ 84,169,831
All Other Governmental Funds					
Debt Service					
Restricted	\$ 71,927,385	\$ 85,050,757	\$ 81,935,785	\$ 60,482,700	\$ 39,908,543
Capital Projects					
Nonspendable	-	-	-	-	-
Restricted	600,562,350	546,398,702	437,480,992	569,743,285	617,713,129
Nonmajor Governmental Funds					
Restricted	77,461,161	70,340,408	63,368,515	58,171,556	54,059,201
Committed	1,119,322	207,067	920,864	825,745	1,637,563
Total all other governmental funds	\$ 751,070,218	\$ 701,996,934	\$ 583,706,156	\$ 689,223,286	\$ 713,318,436

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
General Fund					
Nonspendable	\$ 5,178,405	\$ 5,515,600	\$ 5,178,657	\$ 5,158,860	\$ 5,279,320
Unassigned	72,810,880	75,441,449	67,281,583	62,222,223	55,724,026
Total general fund	\$ 77,989,285	\$ 80,957,049	\$ 72,460,240	\$ 67,381,083	\$ 61,003,346
All Other Governmental Funds					
Debt Service					
Committed	\$ 31,967,772	\$ 50,695,263	\$ 66,694,458	\$ 70,281,380	\$ 71,160,124
Capital Projects					
Nonspendable	-	2,664,181	2,645,022	2,614,406	2,000,000
Restricted	673,110,865	623,954,823	732,492,566	355,586,673	376,020,003
Nonmajor Governmental Funds					
Nonspendable	-	-	-	-	-
Restricted	55,742,546	46,485,111	39,627,926	37,942,291	37,550,673
Committed	1,768,877	376,835	195,825	201,135	213,151
Total all other governmental funds	\$ 762,590,060	\$ 724,176,213	\$ 841,655,797	\$ 466,625,885	\$ 486,943,951

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR).

Table 5

Bexar County, Texas
ASSESSED VALUE AND ESTIMATED ACTUAL VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY
Last Ten Years
(Unaudited)

Fiscal Year ¹	Estimated Market Value			Less: Tax Exempt Property	Total Taxable Assessed Value	Total Direct Tax Rate
	Real Property	Personal Property	Property			
2011	102,585,936,802	10,269,447,408	15,515,979,218	15,515,979,218	97,339,404,992	0.326866
2012	102,389,721,993	10,518,718,408	15,507,987,921	15,507,987,921	97,400,452,480	0.326866
2013	103,574,028,516	11,090,220,688	15,744,976,328	15,744,976,328	98,919,272,876	0.326866
2014	108,521,639,812	12,106,639,014	16,264,239,719	16,264,239,719	104,364,039,107	0.326866
2015	116,786,151,372	12,753,142,358	17,383,802,012	17,383,802,012	112,155,491,718	0.314500
2016	133,817,771,120	13,954,847,624	19,953,024,113	19,953,024,113	127,819,594,631	0.314500
2017	146,971,914,671	14,205,599,915	21,153,153,413	21,153,153,413	140,024,361,173	0.308950
2018	158,718,927,319	14,701,821,544	22,486,895,917	22,486,895,917	150,933,852,946	0.304097
2019	169,740,539,580	15,354,736,876	23,963,822,966	23,963,822,966	161,131,453,490	0.301097
2020	182,131,324,141	15,818,741,807	25,617,366,867	25,617,366,867	172,332,699,081	0.301097

Sources: Bexar County Appraisal District Certified Totals Report as of July of each Ad Valorem Tax Year.

Note: ¹Tax figures represent the fiscal year not the Ad Valorem Tax Year.

Table 6 (Continued)

Table 6

**Bexar County, Texas
DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES
(per \$100 of assessed value)
Last Ten Tax Years
(Unaudited)**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018*	2019
County Direct Rates										
General	0.296187	0.296187	0.296187	0.296187	0.296187	0.297500	0.293250	0.291229	0.277429	0.277429
Flood	0.030679	0.030679	0.030679	0.030679	0.030679	0.017000	0.015700	0.012868	0.025668	0.025668
Total direct rate	0.326866	0.326866	0.326866	0.326866	0.326866	0.314300	0.308950	0.304097	0.303197	0.303197
City and Town Rates										
City of San Antonio	0.566600	0.566600	0.566600	0.566600	0.566600	0.566270	0.566270	0.566270	0.566270	0.566270
City of San Antonio	0.355662	0.355662	0.355662	0.355662	0.355662	0.356226	0.356226	0.356226	0.356226	0.356226
Battle Hills	0.588843	0.572199	0.572199	0.572199	0.572199	0.583000	0.583000	0.583000	0.583000	0.583000
Castle Hills	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345	0.501345
China Grove	0.071700	0.081700	0.087000	0.089000	0.085300	0.086207	0.084000	0.083000	0.120000	0.120000
Converse	0.525434	0.572931	0.606675	0.606675	0.585188	0.540198	0.502660	0.485000	0.492252	0.492252
Elmendorf	0.313255	0.481749	0.477261	0.477261	0.442316	0.400000	0.370000	0.388061	0.440000	0.448197
Grey Forest	0.093525	0.093525	0.093525	0.093525	0.093525	0.088441	0.088441	0.088441	0.088441	0.088441
Hill County Village	0.095000	0.095000	0.095000	0.095000	0.095000	0.145000	0.145000	0.145000	0.145000	0.145000
Hollywood Park	0.490000	0.536710	0.536710	0.511000	0.511000	0.510081	0.510081	0.510081	0.510081	0.510081
Kerby	0.697500	0.710978	0.710978	0.729376	0.729376	0.729376	0.729376	0.729376	0.729376	0.729376
Leon Valley	0.527400	0.535510	0.527482	0.529215	0.529215	0.561615	0.556599	0.556599	0.545877	0.545877
Live Oak	0.476783	0.477291	0.463155	0.445401	0.445401	0.467691	0.446837	0.443475	0.437087	0.4414686
Olmos Park	0.478499	0.480888	0.479865	0.470000	0.442785	0.442785	0.442785	0.432785	0.423463	0.433363
Shavano Park	0.320000	0.320000	0.320000	0.320000	0.309817	0.287742	0.287742	0.287742	0.287742	0.287742
City of Somerset	0.048884	0.070675	0.070675	0.070675	0.068171	0.069220	0.069352	0.066352	0.068352	0.0750719
St. Charles	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668
Terrill Hills	0.385668	0.385668	0.385668	0.371729	0.370225	0.345906	0.329538	0.347673	0.347673	0.363000
Universal City	0.580531	0.582981	0.586629	0.564043	0.564043	0.543828	0.562804	0.561752	0.576996	0.601444
Windsor	0.456495	0.436495	0.432970	0.418231	0.430000	0.334100	0.308093	0.308092	0.327469	0.411844
Holmes	0.361651	0.360000	0.359000	0.350000	0.350000	0.350000	0.350000	0.350000	0.350000	0.350000
Fair Oaks Ranch	0.326866	0.326866	0.326866	0.314450	0.314450	0.314450	0.329250	0.329250	0.366780	0.373500
City of Von Ormy	0.351000	0.320000	0.288000	0.255000	0.249925	0.231232	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Sandy Oaks	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.250000	0.241250	0.241250	0.238367	0.238367
School District Rates										
Alamo Heights ISD	1.168000	1.198000	1.218000	1.218000	1.205000	1.195000	1.195000	1.200000	1.250000	1.195000
East Central ISD	1.319500	1.296000	1.296000	1.275000	1.275000	1.265000	1.285000	1.335000	1.335000	1.210000
Edgewood ISD	1.420000	1.407400	1.398000	1.382600	1.362700	1.355900	1.355900	1.381778	1.377424	1.298270
Hartland ISD	1.604800	1.544400	1.538500	1.528800	1.528800	1.528800	1.528800	1.528800	1.528800	1.498900
Jackson ISD	1.463000	1.430000	1.425800	1.425000	1.425000	1.420000	1.470000	1.425000	1.440000	1.558000
Northeast ISD	1.462500	1.462500	1.425800	1.446000	1.446000	1.425000	1.425000	1.365000	1.365000	1.298000
Northeast ISD	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000	1.375000
Northwest ISD	1.207300	1.207300	1.376600	1.376600	1.382400	1.382400	1.382400	1.376600	1.376600	1.376600
South San Antonio ISD	1.454900	1.454900	1.454900	1.451500	1.451500	1.451500	1.451500	1.451500	1.451500	1.451500
Southside ISD	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900	1.368900
Schertz-Clondo ISD	1.435000	1.435000	1.435000	1.400000	1.400000	1.400000	1.400000	1.470000	1.490000	1.420000
Somerset ISD	1.289000	1.278000	1.278000	1.278000	1.278000	1.278000	1.278000	1.450700	1.442173	1.312176
Southwest ISD	1.256100	1.222600	1.273000	1.401622	1.465382	1.414900	1.473000	1.473000	1.473000	1.472976
Fire District Rates										
Bexar Emergency #1	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.099571	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #2	0.070000	0.070000	0.094000	0.098007	0.098945	0.098945	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #3	0.034300	0.034328	0.038628	0.050877	0.051408	0.047763	0.045730	0.047967	0.050334	0.075000
Bexar Emergency #4	0.074000	0.079900	0.079998	0.080205	0.077727	0.072674	0.059994	0.054831	0.056170	0.054060
Bexar Emergency #5	0.090296	0.089139	0.095500	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #6	0.100000	0.100000	0.097879	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #7	0.099887	0.099687	0.099440	0.100000	0.099510	0.099506	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #8	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000	0.070000
Bexar Emergency #9	0.090000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.098520	0.098520	0.098520	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #10	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.099131	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #11	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Bexar Emergency #12	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000	0.100000
Other Special District Rates										
Alamo Community College	0.141623	0.141623	0.141623	0.141623	0.141623	0.149150	0.149150	0.149150	0.149150	0.149150
University Health System	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235	0.276235
San Antonio River Authority	0.016652	0.017370	0.017370	0.017370	0.017370	0.017290	0.017290	0.017290	0.018580	0.018580
S.A. MUD #1	0.687200	0.706904	0.692500	0.703200	0.687200	0.627200	0.634500	0.637000	0.597100	0.588900
Butterfield Ranch	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Westside 211 SID	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690
Cholo Canyons SID	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690	0.565690
Crosswade at South Lake SID	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Talley Road SID	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Westpointe SID	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000

Source: Bexar County Tax Assessor - Collector's Office.
 * As of 2018 tax year. Bexar County now provides the Road and Flood tax rate together. Previous years only list the Flood tax rate.

Table 7

Bexar County, Texas
PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAXPAYERS
 Current and Nine Years Ago
 (Unaudited)

	2020	Percent of Total Taxable Value
H. E. Butt Grocery Company	\$ 1,615,071,628	0.94%
Microsoft Corporation	1,410,784,600	0.82%
Methodist Healthcare System	827,779,276	0.48%
Walmart Stores Inc.	656,286,774	0.38%
Baptist (VHS San Antonio Partners LP)	495,946,870	0.29%
Toyota Motor MFG Texas Inc.	760,885,330	0.44%
USAA	370,431,400	0.21%
La Cantera Retail LTD Partnership	352,702,010	0.20%
Southwestern Bell Telephone	330,259,643	0.19%
Frankel Family Trust	307,022,070	0.18%
	\$ 7,127,169,601	4.13%
	2011	Percent of Total Taxable Value
H.E. Butt Grocery Company	\$ 986,559,711	1.02%
Southwestern Bell Telephone	529,858,546	0.55%
USAA	412,842,228	0.43%
Walmart Stores Inc.	404,508,647	0.42%
Methodist Healthcare System	396,638,715	0.41%
Paragon/Time Warner	339,099,690	0.35%
Marriot	264,078,429	0.27%
Simon Properties	212,394,000	0.22%
North Star Mall	199,864,231	0.21%
Frost Bank	191,626,526	0.20%
	\$ 3,937,470,723	4.08%

Source: Bexar Appraisal District

Table 8

Bexar County, Texas
PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

County Tax Rate - General and Debt	Collected Within the Fiscal Year of the Levy				Subsequent Collections	Total Collections to Date		Percent of Current Levy	Percent of Current Levy	Outstanding Taxes from Prior Years ¹
	Fiscal Year ¹		Percent of Levy			Amount	Taxes from Prior Year Levy ²			
	Taxes Levied for	Amount	Amount	Amount						
2011	282,346,706	277,373,606	98.2	3,703,806	281,077,412	99.6	14,306,351			
2012	283,055,152	278,676,422	98.5	3,721,730	282,398,152	99.8	13,760,990			
2013	288,449,751	284,572,346	98.7	2,743,437	287,315,783	99.6	13,399,256			
2014	303,646,918	300,176,637	98.9	1,917,002	302,093,639	99.5	12,891,611			
2015	312,332,006	309,128,544	99.0	1,713,030	310,841,574	99.5	12,486,407			
2016	367,074,411	363,253,615	99.0	1,599,364	364,852,979	99.4	12,777,394			
2017	396,044,482	391,792,920	98.9	(589,408)	391,203,512	98.8	13,542,280			
2018	420,791,069	416,023,201	98.9	249,103	416,272,304	98.9	14,748,317			
2019	428,204,105	423,133,579	98.8	597,960	423,731,539	99.0	15,124,852			
2020	456,088,481	450,226,878	98.7	-	450,226,878	98.7	16,644,946			

County Tax Rate - Flood and Debt

County Tax Rate - Flood and Debt	Collected Within the Fiscal Year of the Levy				Subsequent Collections	Total Collections to Date		Percent of Current Levy	Percent of Current Levy	Outstanding Taxes from Prior Years ¹
	Fiscal Year ¹		Percent of Levy			Amount	Taxes from Prior Year Levy ²			
	Taxes Levied for	Amount	Amount	Amount						
2011	29,242,522	28,753,381	98.3	378,949	29,112,330	99.6	1,288,486			
2012	29,461,328	29,005,583	98.5	389,196	29,394,779	99.8	1,265,205			
2013	30,143,855	29,736,667	98.6	285,864	30,022,531	99.6	1,256,623			
2014	31,892,713	31,526,569	98.9	206,793	31,733,362	99.5	1,216,575			
2015	34,212,269	33,856,775	99.0	192,869	34,049,644	99.5	1,203,514			
2016	21,854,015	21,614,187	98.9	76,306	21,690,493	99.3	1,086,536			
2017	22,157,732	21,904,585	98.9	(25,389)	21,879,196	98.7	1,077,136			
2018	19,515,953	19,275,734	98.8	24,624	19,300,358	98.9	1,089,329			
2019	36,976,424	36,526,802	98.8	51,205	36,578,007	98.9	1,256,186			
2020	39,423,270	38,908,868	98.7	-	38,908,868	98.7	1,417,068			

Source: Bexar County Tax Assessor - Collector TC-168 Reports.

Note: ¹ Outstanding taxes from prior years consists of all delinquent taxes from tax year 2019 - 1978 for County, and tax year 2019 - 1988 for Flood.

² Negative figures represent refund adjustments on prior year collections done in FY18.

Table 9

Bexar County, Texas
RATIO OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Year	Governmental Activities					Total Bonds Payable
	Refunding Bonds	General Obligation Bonds	Certificates of Obligation	Other Obligations	Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, net ¹	
2011	69,580,000	66,430,000	713,405,000	-	21,926,641	871,341,641
2012	76,410,000	58,035,000	828,265,000	-	27,369,278	990,079,278
2013	68,195,000	55,325,000	1,340,120,000	-	66,262,529	1,529,902,529
2014	121,255,000	35,725,000	1,275,495,000	-	71,923,731	1,504,398,731
2015	265,870,000	23,715,000	1,237,700,000	-	114,088,111	1,641,373,111
2016	598,380,000	2,360,000	985,980,000	-	149,402,014	1,736,122,014
2017	584,010,000	1,130,000	1,087,760,000	-	153,772,980	1,826,672,980
2018	977,040,000	575,000	705,950,000	-	187,787,701	1,871,352,701
2019	956,250,000	-	889,940,000	-	196,231,713	2,042,421,713
2020	1,297,035,000	-	636,025,000	-	211,524,693	2,144,584,693

¹ Figures for 2020 were not available for personal income.

² Debt per capita uses the estimated population figures from 2011 - 2020.

³ Deferred Charges were not included in FY14 to present per GASB 65.

Deferred charges are included in the previous fiscal years.

Table 9 (Continued)

Year	Business-type Activities					Total Primary Government	Percentage of Personal Income	Debt Per Capita ²
	Revenue Bonds	Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, net	Total Bonds Payable	Total Primary Government	Percentage of Personal Income			
2011	217,330,000	(7,886,202)	209,443,798	1,080,785,439	630.28	7.97%	630.28	
2012	331,100,000	(6,920,659)	324,179,341	1,314,258,619	766.43	7.97%	766.43	
2013	329,805,000	634,972	330,439,973	1,860,342,502	1,023.51	7.57%	1,023.51	
2014	325,700,000	6,066,180	331,766,180	1,836,164,911	989.38	7.57%	989.38	
2015	396,490,000	5,890,987	402,380,987	2,043,754,098	1,076.93	5.61%	1,076.93	
2016	393,760,000	7,511,608	401,271,608	2,137,393,622	1,127.86	5.53%	1,127.86	
2017	387,710,000	7,285,108	394,995,108	2,221,668,088	1,151.91	2.93%	1,151.91	
2018	381,405,000	7,058,607	388,463,607	2,259,816,308	1,153.80	5.42%	1,153.80	
2019	360,455,000	23,473,354	383,928,354	2,426,350,067	1,221.70	5.39%	1,221.70	
2020	353,940,000	22,694,329	376,634,329	2,521,219,022	1,258.37	N/A ¹	1,258.37	

Table 10

Bexar County, Texas
RATIO OF OUTSTANDING GENERAL BONDED COUNTY DEBT
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year	GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES					Percentage of Actual Taxable Value of Property	Per Capita ¹
	General Refunding Bonds	General Obligation Bonds	Certificates of Obligation	Unamortized Premiums and Discounts, net	Restricted for Debt Service		
2011	69,580,000	66,430,000	713,405,000	21,926,641	(71,270,325)	800,071,316	495
2012	76,410,000	58,035,000	828,265,000	27,569,278	(70,322,846)	919,756,432	539
2013	68,195,000	55,325,000	1,340,120,000	66,262,529	(66,484,927)	1,463,417,602	805
2014	121,255,000	35,725,000	1,275,495,000	71,923,731	(50,483,099)	1,453,915,632	783
2015	265,870,000	23,715,000	1,237,000,000	114,088,113	(31,967,772)	1,608,705,341	848
2016	598,380,000	2,360,000	985,980,000	149,402,014	(39,908,543)	1,696,213,471	894
2017	584,010,000	1,130,000	1,087,760,000	153,772,980	(60,506,401)	1,766,166,579	916
2018	977,040,000	575,000	705,950,000	187,787,701	(81,352,856)	1,789,999,845	914
2019	956,250,000	-	889,940,000	196,231,713	(85,091,691)	1,957,330,022	986
2020	1,297,035,000	-	636,025,000	211,524,693	(71,651,773)	2,072,932,920	1,035

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR).

Note: ¹ General bonded debt outstanding per capita uses the estimated population figures from 2011 - 2020.

Table 11

Bexar County, Texas
RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE FOR GENERAL BONDED DEBT TO TOTAL EXPENDITURES
 ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year	Total Debt Service ¹		Total Expenditures ²	Ratio of Debt Service to total Expenditures
	Debt Service ¹	Total Expenditures ²		
2011	72,859,422	641,055,409		11.37%
2012	74,988,795	662,815,145		11.31%
2013	80,129,550	712,324,047		11.25%
2014	96,784,100	647,573,353		14.95%
2015	96,524,866	673,730,025		14.33%
2016	103,302,125	754,949,750		13.68%
2017	101,453,589	792,394,050		12.80%
2018	107,436,864	813,560,844		13.21%
2019	117,027,325	778,131,448		15.04%
2020	128,873,626	818,606,552		15.74%

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR).

Note: ¹ Does not include SARA flood control debt payment and bond issuance costs.

² Does not include SARA flood control debt payment.

Table 12

**Bexar County, Texas
DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES DEBT
Current Year
(Unaudited)**

Governmental Unit	Debt Outstanding	Applicable to Bexar County	Estimated Share of Overlapping Debt
Cities:			
Alamo Heights	\$ 5,885,000	100.00%	\$ 5,885,000
Converse	32,225,000	100.00%	32,225,000
Fair Oaks Ranch	7,540,000	62.66%	4,724,564
Balcones Heights	433,000	100.00%	433,000
Elmendorf	11,995,638	99.49%	11,934,460
Helotes	8,235,000	100.00%	8,235,000
Kirby	6,465,000	100.00%	6,465,000
Leon Valley	8,130,000	100.00%	8,130,000
Live Oak	13,190,000	100.00%	13,190,000
Lytle	1,085,000	0.67%	7,270
Olmos Park	915,000	100.00%	915,000
San Antonio	2,101,370,000	100.00%	2,101,370,000
Sandy Oaks	800,000	100.00%	800,000
Schertz	75,540,000	12.29%	9,283,866
Salma	20,745,000	58.17%	12,067,367
Shavano Park	3,150,000	100.00%	3,150,000
Somerset	2,245,000	100.00%	2,245,000
Terrill Hills	7,375,000	100.00%	7,375,000
Universal City	22,815,000	100.00%	22,815,000
Von Ormy	350,000	100.00%	350,000
Windsor	8,235,000	100.00%	8,235,000
School Districts:			
Alamo Heights ISD	173,280,000	100.00%	173,280,000
Boerne ISD	310,064,647	32.87%	101,918,249
Comal ISD	722,510,179	14.72%	106,353,498
East Central ISD	116,638,479	100.00%	116,638,479
Edgewood ISD	64,515,000	100.00%	64,515,000
Floresville ISD	56,164,990	0.11%	61,781
Hartland ISD	183,773,920	100.00%	183,773,920
Judson ISD	596,469,223	100.00%	596,469,223
Medina Valley ISD	156,207,932	43.42%	67,823,484
Northwest ISD	1,404,095,000	100.00%	1,404,095,000
Northside ISD	2,266,845,000	99.72%	2,260,497,834
Randolph Field ISD	4,208,000	100.00%	4,208,000
San Antonio ISD	1,037,829,988	100.00%	1,037,829,988
Schertz-Cibola-Universal City ISD	397,644,650	13.29%	52,846,974
Somerset ISD	51,470,481	71.76%	36,933,217
South San Antonio ISD	141,294,843	100.00%	141,294,843
Southside ISD	105,055,000	100.00%	105,055,000
Southwest ISD	278,043,272	100.00%	278,043,272
Special Districts:			
Alamo Community College District	437,790,000	100.00%	437,790,000
Bexar Co Hosp Dist	932,030,000	100.00%	932,030,000
Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District	39,635,000	100.00%	39,635,000
San Antonio MUD #1	760,000	100.00%	760,000
Total Overlapping Bexar County	11,815,049,242	100.00%	10,401,892,289
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt	2,144,584,693		\$ 12,586,276,982

Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas, as of September 30, 2020
Overlapping percentages are derived from the 2020 market values provided by the appraisal districts.

Note: Overlapping governments are those that coincide, at least in part, with geographic boundaries of the County. This table estimates the portion of the outstanding debt of those overlapping governments that is borne by the property taxpayers of Bexar County. This process recognizes that, when considering the County's ability to issue and repay long-term debt, the entire burden borne by the taxpayers should be taken into account. However, this does not imply that every taxpayer is a resident, and therefore responsible for the debt, of each overlapping government.

Table 13

**Bexar County, Texas
PLEDGED-REVENUE COVERAGE
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Unaudited)**

Fiscal Year	Total Revenues ¹	Less: Operating Expenses ²	Net Available Revenue	Annual Minimum Requirement ³	Ratio Available to Annual Requirement	Additional Mandatory Special Redemption
2011	22,244,006	762,268	21,481,738	14,264,596	1.51	-
2012	23,642,911	649,204	22,993,707	131,402,052	0.17	-
2013	25,162,903	653,099	24,509,804	20,981,046	1.17	-
2014	26,307,877	390,845	25,917,032	21,120,777	1.23	-
2015	27,467,611	972,509	26,495,102	97,109,629	0.27	-
2016	28,915,349	1,611,848	27,303,501	25,537,981	1.07	-
2017	30,061,964	3,208,091	26,853,873	25,519,029	1.05	-
2018	32,329,207	1,233,518	31,095,689	25,512,768	1.22	-
2019	34,745,337	3,258,338	31,486,999	23,639,101	1.33	-
2020	21,662,285	996,593	20,665,692	23,646,165	0.87	-

Source: Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFR).

Note: ¹ Includes operating and non-operating revenues.

² Includes operating expenses minus depreciation plus transfers out.

³ Figures are minimum principal and interest added together.

Table 14

Bexar County, Texas
MOTOR VEHICLE RENTAL TAX COLLECTIONS
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
(Unaudited)

<u>Motor Vehicle Rental Tax Collections</u>	
2011	7,394,348
2012	7,927,554
2013	8,302,881
2014	8,644,849
2015	9,175,855
2016	9,754,326
2017	9,662,436
2018	9,935,597
2019	10,684,096
2020	7,707,267

Source: City of San Antonio

Table 15

Bexar County, Texas
HOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX NET COLLECTIONS
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
(Unaudited)

<u>Hotel Occupancy Tax Net Collections</u>	
2011	13,466,892
2012	14,352,302
2013	15,493,206
2014	16,227,787
2015	16,796,654
2016	17,363,957
2017	18,122,799
2018	19,256,791
2019	19,765,475
2020	11,158,277

Source: City of San Antonio

Table 16

Bexar County, Texas
HOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX COLLECTIONS – TOP TEN HOTELS
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
(Unaudited)

Hotel Occupancy Tax Collections - Top Ten Hotels	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 ²	2017	2018	2019	2020
JW Marriott ¹	\$ 899,541	\$ 904,069	\$ 988,857	\$ 1,032,413	\$ 1,073,785	\$ 1,107,638	\$ 1,115,433	\$ 1,187,451	\$ 1,199,000	\$ 708,464
Grand Hyatt*	711,169	718,177	732,435	783,860	805,139	791,658	785,207	812,882	857,714	470,181
The Westin La Cantera Resort***	305,577	384,855	389,204	396,882	N/A	364,187	455,293	585,183	612,350	405,383
Marriott Rivercenter**	686,725	689,094	722,655	772,099	688,225	788,262	753,966	786,961	812,185	357,012
Hyatt Regency*	378,656	404,824	426,257	449,044	473,910	470,356	513,757	524,590	564,470	296,007
Westin Riverwalk*	353,383	371,112	375,071	422,507	446,300	418,647	433,683	456,255	489,440	287,132
Hyatt Hill Country Resort**	382,572	345,421	380,103	409,748	383,440	413,633	412,250	423,440	408,373	271,869
Hilton Fiesta Del Rio*	323,967	344,574	393,135	405,581	418,591	419,418	433,185	488,490	470,211	259,034
Marriott Riverwalk*	397,740	370,152	382,452	410,199	N/A	414,320	428,659	453,326	475,455	317,126
Hotel Emma	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	197,485
Total	\$ 4,441,330	\$ 4,532,278	\$ 4,799,259	\$ 5,080,533	\$ 4,618,563	\$ 5,177,739	\$ 5,331,453	\$ 5,685,578	\$ 5,889,198	\$ 3,399,693

Note: ¹ JW Marriott opened in 2010.

² Information presented in the 2016 filing of "TOP TEN TAXPAYERS" for the fiscal year 2016 collections has been revised based on additional information received from the City of San Antonio. While the new information has resulted in the reordering of the top ten taxpayers, the companies making up the top ten taxpayers did not change, the overall change in the collections for all top ten taxpayers reflects more collections than originally presented and the changes in collections does not materially impact the operations of the County.

* These hotels are within walking distance of the Henry B. Gonzalez Convention Center.

** This hotel is near Sea World San Antonio Adventure Park.

*** This hotel is near Six Flags Fiesta Texas Amusement Park. The hotel was closed for renovations for a significant portion of FY 2015.

Table 17

Bexar County, Texas
CONVENTION STATISTICS
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
(Unaudited)

	Hotel Occupancy (%)	Revenue Per Available Room (\$)		Room Nights Sold		Convention Attendance ¹	Convention Room Nights ¹	Convention Delegate Expenditures (\$ Millions) ¹
		2016	2017	2016	2017			
2011	61.3	58.08	58.08	8,236,019	499,171	637,593	593.0	
2012	63.5	60.79	60.79	8,651,826	449,202	635,829	533.7	
2013	63.1	63.44	63.44	8,610,676	712,577	734,190	846.6	
2014	65.3	67.03	67.03	8,874,090	652,443	725,333	775.1	
2015	65.7	69.55	69.55	8,913,575	699,662	773,569	831.2	
2016	65.9	71.12	71.12	9,116,363	637,658	676,501	N/A ²	
2017	66.0	73.45	73.45	9,268,901	823,561	816,582	N/A ²	
2018	67.1	77.88	77.88	9,568,119	672,288	882,650	N/A ²	
2019	67.4	75.98	75.98	9,989,643	605,093	766,259	N/A ²	
2020	42.1	37.10	37.10	5,986,600	218,957	181,737	N/A ²	

Note: ¹ Reflects only those conventions booked by the San Antonio Convention and Visitors Bureau.

² The Convention Delegate Expenditures for 2016 - 2020 are not currently available from Visit San Antonio. Visit San Antonio is in the process of reviewing and recalibrating the methodology for calculating the Convention Delegate Expenditures and the County can make no representation as to when, or if, such calculations will again be made available to the County.

Source: San Antonio Convention and Visitors Bureau and the Smith Travel Research end of year historical reports.

Table 18

**Bexar County, Texas
SAN ANTONIO HOTEL OCCUPANCIES AND AVERAGE DAILY RATES/HISTORY
Current Year and Nine Years Ago
(Unaudited)**

San Antonio Hotel Occupancies and Average Daily Rates/History

	Room Count	Room		Average Daily Room		Hotel	
		Increase/Decrease (%)	Decrease (%)	Rate (\$)	Increase/Decrease (%)	Occupancy (%)	Increase/Decrease (%)
2011	43,979	1.6		95.65	(0.2)	61.0	4.8
2012	44,310	0.8		97.01	1.4	63.4	3.9
2013	44,845	1.2		101.82	5.0	62.9	(0.8)
2014	43,928	(2.0)		103.57	1.7	64.9	3.2
2015	45,228	3.0		106.83	3.1	64.9	0.0
2016	46,061	1.8		108.42	1.5	64.7	(0.3)
2017	46,833	1.7		111.41	2.8	65.0	0.5
2018	47,455	1.3		115.72	3.9	66.0	1.5
2019	47,836	0.8		113.13	(2.2)	66.4	0.6
2020	46,978	(1.8)		88.81	(21.5)	42.2	(36.4)

Source: Smith Travel Research end of year historical reports.



San Antonio Downtown Skyline from the Bexar County Public Works Building
Photo by Adnan Ahmetovic

Table 19 (Continued)

Table 19

Bexar County, Texas
COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR ASSETS OWNED BY OTHER ENTITIES
Current and Nine Years Ago'
(Unaudited)

Description	Ownership	Prior Years		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Ending
		Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Expenditure	Balance
Governmental Activities												
Mission Trails MPO	City of SA	\$ 42,586	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 42,586
Mid-Betel Creek	City of SA	1,282,325	196,228	21,487	7,503	12,879	302,366	35,859	-	3,202	-	1,861,849
Perrin Beitel & Briar Glenn	City of SA	505,784	915,669	501,635	149,374	35,285	95,209	4,059,203	1,467,557	329,607	1,584,201	8,819,424
Ingram Road Low Water Crossing	City of SA	7,406,452	1,927,084	116,634	11,686	6,500	15,000	30,500	3,000	-	-	9,450,170
Hausman Road Drainage	City of SA	3,891,609	251,355	7,836,030	7,997,794	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,045,680
Hausman Road Drainage Phase II	City of SA	-	272,234	7,997,794	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,270,028
Huebner Creek at Prue Road	City of SA	130,051	478,110	154,432	50,232	374,750	2,614,907	221,196	-	-	-	4,023,678
Huebner Creek Enhanced Conveyance	City of SA	10,111,219	1,985,581	457,507	4,152,351	5,875,807	1,017,153	5,341,057	-	2,650,813	582,492	32,171,980
Shane Road Low Water Crossing	City of SA	1,140,805	968,982	5,000	8,855	2,535,750	317,451	179,382	3,249	-	-	27,472,486
Reck Creek Enhanced Conveyance	City of SA	637,011	94,645	450,072	1,102,732	-	72,105	-	-	-	-	2,123,642
San Pedro Hiasache Phase II	City of SA	1,958,097	6,982,214	1,698,806	58,737	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,697,854
Olmos Dam Repair	City of SA	5,970,868	20,260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,991,128
Balcone Heights Storm Water	City of SA	184,221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	184,221
Rosillo Tributary	City of SA	756,979	302,186	2,099,778	2,207,920	2,324,070	2,087,792	199,272	153,878	-	-	10,111,875
Roland Avenue Bridge	City of SA	1,235,522	1,983,172	2,112,994	1,915,240	302,609	9,480	279	-	-	-	7,549,296
Huebner Creek at Hollyhook	City of SA	247,473	143,812	2,970	254,500	720,626	153,280	-	-	-	-	1,522,661
Broadway Drainage Improvements	City of SA	432,480	395,270	-	74,719	9,431	-	-	-	-	-	911,900
Six Mile Creek Drainage Improvements	City of SA	792,544	1,863,596	1,161,909	1,731,639	466,257	16,483	7,643	114,878	-	11,887	6,166,836
Elmendorf Lake	City of SA	486,177	185	-	631,097	3,068,760	3,126,068	174,075	-	-	-	7,486,362
French Creek Drainage Study	City of SA	73,342	94,333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	167,675
French Creek Tributary	City of SA	28,990	237,751	215,683	637,515	120,198	792,457	1,381,660	969,828	577,732	386,867	5,348,681
Barbara Drive	City of SA	157,172	-	26,344	316,347	8,500,000	-	-	-	-	-	9,058,671
New Bennefells	City of SA	-	310,874	184,667	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	811,888
Science Park	City of SA	28,561	326,011	22,209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	376,381
San Pedro Hiasache Phase III	City of SA	155,236	509,509	102,420	952,784	688,124	-	-	-	-	-	2,388,073
Hausman Phase II	City of SA	138,631	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138,631
VFW Drainage	City of SA	252,271	672,694	1,119,425	2,210,054	876,308	-	-	-	-	-	5,130,752
Conception Creek Drainage Improvement	City of SA	84,014	332,936	11,122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	428,072
Kuol Creek	City of SA	204,111	3,600,236	527,197	248,699	2,296,611	2,283,540	146,754	-	-	-	9,367,148
Jones Maltberger at Elm Creek	City of SA	-	167,140	100	1,090,913	236,148	2,953,316	1,534,027	-	-	-	1,258,153
Prue Road at French Creek	City of SA	-	-	345,185	216,635	42,695	2,185,942	12,312	-	-	-	2,665,575
North Verde Road LWC	City of SA	-	107,504	170,340	265,808	1,608	80,077	1,876,987	1,292,475	162,642	136,174	3,886,111
Salido Creek Tributary	City of SA	-	-	70,340	265,808	1,608	80,077	1,876,987	1,292,475	162,642	136,174	3,886,111
San Pedro Creek Restoration	City of SA	-	-	674,575	3,696,636	7,079,651	6,428,934	32,060,698	40,164,449	22,427,221	30,250,672	142,782,836
Applewhite Road	City of SA	7,552	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,552
Woodawn at 36th Street Drain	City of SA	-	-	-	240,794	158,602	18,816	754,731	277,189	-	-	1,450,132
Soeding Channel Phase II-Flood	City of SA	-	-	-	-	4,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	4,000,000
Mission Trails	City of SA	166,691	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166,691
Menger Creek Linear Park	City of SA	-	-	-	-	-	3,143,133	66,455	94,330	14,048	195,458	3,513,024
Botanical Garden	City of SA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500,000	-	-	500,000
Mission Road	City of SA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,699
City of Converse	City of SA	35,983	108,973	203,364	74,730	14,762	10,763	222,245	43,562	21,696	-	736,078
CentroMedWellness Facility	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,000,000
Herberg Historic Center	Non Profit	250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250,000
The Do-Sum	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	740,000
Family Service Center Association	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	740,000	-	-	-	-	-	1,507,500
Crosspoint, Inc.	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	325,000
Tobin Center Parking Garage	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	658,179	9,270,779	1,679,388	89,571	-	-	11,697,917
Alameda Theatre	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	-	1,138	8,762	458,703	1,448,320	3,772,570	5,689,493
Esperanza Peace and Justice Center	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	450,000
The Public Theater	Non Profit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000
Confidence Park	SA River Auth.	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000,000	700,000	-	-	1,700,000
Mission Reach Restoration	SA River Auth.	77,379,817	37,807,831	37,504,393	7,534,075	771,737	663,750	90,434	23,296	27,776	663,076	162,466,185
Mission Reach Restoration - Bertraments	SA River Auth.	10,664,258	18,285,205	8,401,528	588,648	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,188,943
Museum Reach Restoration	SA River Auth.	3,809	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,668,067
Calaveras 8 Increase Detention	SA River Auth.	491,395	40,975	964,032	7,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,503,909
Calaveras Dam 6	SA River Auth.	838,338	63,801	51,074	6,137	-	-	-	-	-	-	959,350
Calaveras Dam 10	SA River Auth.	-	159,551	167,550	482,952	786,627	651,672	686,115	-	-	-	2,934,467

Table 19 (Continued)

Bexar County, Texas
COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR ASSETS OWNED BY OTHER ENTITIES
 Current and Nine Years Ago¹
 (Unaudited)

Description	Ownership	Prior Years Expenditure	2012 Expenditure	2013 Expenditure	2014 Expenditure
Governmental Activities (Continued)					
Eagleland Reach - Betterments	SA River Auth.	763,253	1,605,355	404,672	-
Eagleland Reach	SA River Auth.	289,474	629,052	34,718	-
Martinez Dams	SA River Auth.	-	318,755	324,509	761,723
Park Reach	SA River Auth.	-	503	1,343,895	4,204
Millrace and Mulberry	SA River Auth.	-	-	-	-
St. Mary's Drainage Project	St. Mary's Univ.	107,751	996,925	630,451	661
Sewer Haul/Truck Economic Development	SA Water System	-	-	749,364	-
State Highway 211 Right of Way	STATE	560,795	-	-	-
Culebra Road	STATE	8,304,072	1,503,206	32,068	-
Blanco Road	STATE	27,659,746	10,812	3,900	-
Loop 1604 - Lower Sequin Road	STATE	-	1,409,664	905,553	11,326,891
Culebra Road FM 471	STATE	-	256,627	1,307,536	256,172
Potrancio Road FM 1957	STATE	-	75,536	59,814	259,183
US Highway 281 and Loop 1604	STATE	-	-	92,000,000	-
Alamo Ranch Parkway	STATE	-	-	-	-
School of Osteopathic Medicine	Univ. of Incarnate Word	-	-	-	-
Haven for Hope Homeless Campus	Haven for Hope	11,000,000	-	760,925	57,799
Medina Lake Dam	Bexar/Medina/Atascosa	-	1,134,963	97,387	12,410
Jefferson H.S. Drainage-Flood	San Antonio ISD	-	-	-	-
Total Governmental Activities		\$ 195,162,969	\$ 90,939,391	\$ 175,654,015	\$ 47,283,728

Business-type Activities

Mission Reach		\$ 6,741,711	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Eagleland Reach		677	-	-	-
Park Reach		677	-	-	-
Veteran's Memorial Plaza		110,917	591,455	2,037,602	5,260,026
Briscoe River Portal		934,734	1,695,749	-	-
UTSA Soccer/Track		447,196	5,713,829	8,428,717	410,259
NISD National Swim Center		620,272	5,642,643	737,085	-
Harriman/Soar Soccer		5,000,000	-	-	-
Brooks City Soccer		677	307,851	4,538,400	146,629
Mission Concepcion Athletic Co.		6,569,141	9,643,981	61,493	-
Culebra Creek Soccer		5,230,000	-	-	-
St. Mary's Athletic Comp.		677	5,999,323	-	-
Classics Elite Soccer		1,199,955	-	-	-
Whentley Heights Athletic Comp.		5,374,579	2,122,565	-	-
McAllister Little League		2,670,000	-	-	-
SE Skyline Baseball		3,276,347	16,800	-	-
Texas Fencing Center		677	900,396	1,098,927	-
Missions Baseball Academy		1,768,011	1,303,489	914,159	11,380
Community Multi Purpose		5,600,000	964,432	1,196,407	7,343,351
Performing Arts Center		18,307,313	17,742,395	34,669,770	19,026,112
Almedia Theater		219,238	958,905	4,532,780	289,077
Briscoe Western Art Foundation		4,000,000	-	-	-
Total Business-type Activities		67,872,799	53,603,813	58,215,340	32,486,834
Total County Expenditures for Assets Owned by Others		\$ 263,035,768	\$ 144,543,204	\$ 233,849,355	\$ 79,770,562

Note: ¹ The information will be presented on a prospective basis. Further, expenses related to governmental activities began in 2007 and business-type activities began in 2009.

Table 19 (Continued)

	2015 Expenditure	2016 Expenditure	2017 Expenditure	2018 Expenditure	2019 Expenditure	2020 Expenditure	Ending Balance
	\$ 60,674,077	\$ 101,871,329	\$ 118,936,090	\$ 74,730,779	\$ 36,698,844	\$ 43,812,894	\$ 945,744,116
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,773,280
	-	-	-	-	-	-	953,244
	691,446	1,100,455	907,175	316,945	1,694	-	4,422,702
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,348,602
	-	854,793	-	-	-	-	854,793
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,735,788
	-	-	-	-	-	-	749,364
	-	-	-	130,172	46,324	4,777,132	5,514,423
	600,000	-	-	-	-	-	10,439,346
	14,645,785	650,397	25,710	3,673	-	-	27,674,458
	117,218	1,232,678	7,472,900	7,405,947	345,895	42,070	18,437,063
	24,419	7,388,218	11,340,450	13,299,778	7,408,761	112,532	39,968,711
	-	60,919,967	39,080,033	-	-	-	192,000,000
	-	-	-	-	1,062,000	701,459	1,763,459
	681,275	-	-	1,500,000	-	-	1,500,000
	20,528	25,810	21,272	22,447	80,503	46,304	12,499,999
	750,000	-	-	-	-	-	1,461,624
	\$ 60,674,077	\$ 101,871,329	\$ 118,936,090	\$ 74,730,779	\$ 36,698,844	\$ 43,812,894	\$ 945,744,116
	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,741,711
	-	886,926	148,084	-	-	-	1,035,687
	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,000,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,630,483
	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,000,001
	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,000,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,000,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,074,615
	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,230,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,199,955
	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,497,144
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,670,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,293,147
	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,000,000
	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,997,039
	2,151,204	-	-	-	-	-	17,255,394
	-	-	-	-	-	-	89,745,590
	2,814	-	-	-	-	-	6,002,814
	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,000,000
	2,154,018	886,926	148,084	-	-	-	215,367,814
Total County Expenditures for Assets Owned by Others	\$ 62,828,095	\$ 102,758,255	\$ 119,084,174	\$ 74,730,779	\$ 36,698,844	\$ 43,812,894	\$ 1,161,111,930

Table 20

Bexar County, Texas
DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Year	Estimated Population ¹	Personal Income (thousands of dollars) ²	Per Capita Personal Income ³	Unemployment Rate ⁴	School Enrollment ⁵	University Enrollment ⁶
2011	1,756,153	63,532,926	36,177	8.1%	330,259	119,352
2012	1,785,704	68,567,177	38,398	6.1%	338,933	119,710
2013	1,817,610	70,896,476	39,005	6.2%	334,351	120,273
2014	1,855,866	75,825,317	40,857	4.8%	344,548	119,482
2015	1,897,753	81,038,194	42,702	3.7%	350,256	120,242
2016	1,928,680	84,122,309	43,617	4.0%	353,621	123,584
2017	1,958,578	85,782,196	43,798	3.2%	354,665	126,570
2018	1,986,049	91,473,170	46,058	3.3%	354,828	126,616
2019	1,986,049	95,829,678	47,830	3.0%	349,962	135,233
2020	2,003,554	N/A	N/A	8.0%	353,354	137,077

Source: ¹ Source for Fiscal Year 2011 - 2019 - U.S. Census Bureau as of July 1, 2020 (www.census.gov). The population for Fiscal Year 2020 will not be made available until July.

² Per capita personal income was computed using Census Bureau midyear population estimates.

³ Personal Income and Per Capita Personal Income Figures - Bureau of Economic Analysis (2011 - 2019). Figures for 2020 were not available for personal income and per capita personal income.

⁴ Unemployment rates - Texas Workforce Commission September 2020, Quarterly Report.

⁵ School Enrollment for schools located in Bexar County - Texas Education Agency.

⁶ Enrollment figures are for grades Pre-K through 12th grade. University enrollment figures are not included.

Figures represent Fall enrollment for the calendar year.

Table 21

Bexar County, Texas
PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS
 Current Year and Nine Years Ago²
 (Unaudited)

2020			2011		
Principal Employers	Category	Total	Category	Total	Percent of County Employment
Joint Base San Antonio ¹	Government	74,289	Government	74,289	9.03
H.E.B. Grocery Company	Retail	21,302	Retail	21,302	2.59
USAA	Finance/ Insurance	19,217	Finance/ Insurance	19,217	2.33
Northside Independent School District	Services	14,117	Services	14,117	1.72
City of San Antonio	Government	11,903	Government	11,903	1.45
Methodist Healthcare System ³	Medical	10,393	Medical	10,393	1.26
University Health System	Medical	9,372	Medical	9,372	1.14
Northeast Independent School District ³	Services	9,001	Services	9,001	1.09
San Antonio Independent School District	Services	7,451	Services	7,451	0.91
Baptist Health System ³	Medical	6,490	Medical	6,490	0.79
TOTAL	TOTAL	183,535	TOTAL	183,535	22.31
Total County Employment for 2020²		823,091			

2020			2011		
Principal Employers	Category	Total	Category	Total	Percent of County Employment
Joint Base San Antonio ¹	Government	85,378	Government	85,378	11.79
H.E.B. Grocery Company	Retail	18,066	Retail	18,066	2.50
Northside Independent School District	Services	16,567	Services	16,567	2.29
USAA	Finance/Insurance	15,000	Finance/Insurance	15,000	2.07
City of San Antonio	Government	11,017	Government	11,017	1.52
Northeast Independent School District	Services	8,558	Services	8,558	1.18
Methodist Healthcare System	Services	7,747	Services	7,747	1.07
San Antonio Independent School District	Medical	7,633	Medical	7,633	1.05
UT Health Science Center at San Antonio	Medical	6,431	Medical	6,431	0.89
Baptist Health System	Medical	6,310	Medical	6,310	0.87
TOTAL	TOTAL	182,707	TOTAL	182,707	25.23
Total County Employment for 2011²		723,879			

Source: San Antonio Business Journal Book of Lists, 2020-2021, Greater San Antonio Chamber of Commerce and confirmation from individual corporate human resource offices.

Note: ¹ Under the BRAC Joint Basing Recommendation for San Antonio, installation support functions at the Army's Fort Sam Houston were combined with those at Randolph and Lackland Air Force Bases under a single organization (Joint Base San Antonio). Includes military personnel and civilian personnel.

² Total County Employment figure for 2011 and 2020 - Texas Workforce Commission website.

³ Figures for 2019 were used for 2020 as responses to inquiries would not be met by deadline.

Table 22

Table 22 (Continued)

**Bexar County, Texas
OPERATING INDICATORS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Unaudited)**

Function/Program	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
PUBLIC SAFETY										
Sheriff-Adult Detention										
Average Daily Inmate Population	3252	3529	3579	3378	2946	3131	3,253	3,149	3,209	3,341
Male	520	633	651	616	576	594	574	521	477	433
Female	46,316	60,059	62,684	58,530	53,002	58,986	61,378	62,031	57,267	59,322
Number of Prisoners Booked	44,575	56,731	58,456	56,052	52,782	58,970	60,871	61,653	57,308	59,298
Number of Prisoners Released	903	903	871	870	870	868	912	866	753	853
Number of Uniformed Officers	275	275	262	236	254	235	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Patrol Deputies ¹	393	392	389	376	371	385	533	532	530	519
Number of Law Enforcement Officers ²										
JUDICIAL										
District Courts										
Criminal	12,703	14,268	13,464	13,416	12,180	12,249	11,651	11,930	11,043	11,859
Civil	40,125	44,440	45,929	45,482	47,296	43,190	39,269	42,749	42,718	42,955
Juvenile	1,065	1,398	1,846	1,944	1,789	2,006	2,062	3,213	2,152	2,855
County Courts-At Law										
Criminal	22,992	26,642	29,359	27,129	25,417	29,573	32,452	33,174	31,474	30,589
Civil	7,417	12,309	9,948	9,730	9,250	9,527	8,567	9,145	8,807	9,302
Probate	4,890	5,034	5,075	4,949	4,806	4,937	4,789	4,677	4,629	5,328
Mental Health	5,158	7,754	7,247	7,102	8,178	7,981	7,802	7,265	5,744	5,335
Civil and Criminal Cases Filed During the Year ³	79,713	138,385	144,603	154,728	162,478	168,906	205,553	204,041	153,438	155,272
HEALTH & PUBLIC WELFARE										
Number of Grants										
Federal	14	41	30	39	60	73	44	46	47	51
State	149	151	139	131	74	30	56	40	69	61
Local	11	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private	47	46	44	46	17	25	18	9	15	11
Children in DFPS legal responsibility ⁴	3,109	3,234	3,631	3,719	4,834	5,002	5,445	5,571	5,761	5,238
Children in Substitute Care ⁴	1,739	1,702	2,049	2,367	1,910	1,562	1,887	1,877	2,164	5,184
Children in Foster Care ⁴	3,667	3,651	3,835	3,587	3,155	3,158	3,379	3,413	3,672	3,444
Number of Work Orders for Road Maintenance ⁵	18,625	22,700	4,500	4,200	4,000	3,402	2,800	2,400	8,812	8,723
Number of Work Orders for Traffic Maintenance	5,150	5,150	6,250	6,200	6,100	6,043	1,250	1,250	2,800	2,800
Number of Capital Projects in Design	8	9	13	17	18	19	12	13	6	11
Number of Capital Projects in Construction	13	16	15	13	12	14	9	9	13	9
Number of Capital Projects Completed	9	9	16	14	13	11	8	2	8	3
GENERAL GOVERNMENT										
Commissioners Court										
Number of Official Public Meetings	20	20	23	24	24	29	25	24	24	24
Regular Sessions	9	7	6	4	8	6	8	9	10	9
Special Sessions (Work Sessions)										

Source: Bexar County Annual Budget.
Note: ¹ Includes only officers from the patrol division.
² Total now includes law enforcement officers from all divisions except Adult Detention.
³ Totals are from the Texas Office of Court Administration.
⁴ Totals are from the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services website.
⁵ The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services Children in Foster Care figures were updated in 2016 for 2008 - 2016.
⁶ Work order system updated to an activity based system beginning in 2019.

Table 23 (Continued)

Table 23

Bexar County, Texas
CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Function/Program	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
<u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>										
Sheriff-Adult Detention	5,075	4,563	4,563	4,563	4,563	4,563	4,563	4,563	4,596	4,596
Sheriff-Law Enforcement	295	288	266	252	164	152	135	132	136	132
<u>JUDICIAL</u>										
District Courts										
Criminal	13	13	13	13	13	13	12	12	11	11
Civil	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
Juvenile	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
County Courts-At-Law										
Criminal	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Civil	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Probate	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Justice of the Peace Courts										
	5	5	7	7	8	8	8	8	6	6
<u>EDUCATION & RECREATION</u>										
County Parks	481	485	481	481	481	481	481	481	481	247
Number of acres maintained	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Number of county parks	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Number of civic centers	1,400	1,375	1,375	1,350	1,310	1,270	1,270	1,231	1,200	1,200
Road Miles Maintained	164	150	114	118	80	76	97	122	93	78
Road Resurfaced (miles)	287	291	290	273	261	280	278	312	312	308
Heavy Trucks/Equipment	936	882	895	870	894	925	911	670	670	784
Number of Light Vehicles ¹										

Source: Bexar County Annual Budget.
Note: ¹ Light vehicles have a carrying capacity of one ton and under. This includes cars used by every department except the Sheriff Department.

Table 24

Bexar County, Texas
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT COUNTY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Function/Program	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
General Government/ Administrative	1,039	960	932	925	888	935	948	948	1028	1037
Judicial	707	689	692	686	729	754	778	789	795	831
Public safety										
Officers	2,048	1,943	1,849	1,899	2,056	2,065	2,054	2,042	2,164	2,171
Civilians	509	492	659	717	622	659	688	666	742	760
Education and recreation	14	14	71	70	79	81	86	87	90	91
Public works	268	259	256	264	264	264	267	274	275	279
Health and public welfare	207	194	109	109	91	87	98	68	91	81
Total	4,792	4,551	4,568	4,670	4,729	4,845	4,919	4,874	5,185	5,250

Source: Bexar County Adopted Budget.

Table 25

Bexar County, Texas
Texas County and District Retirement System
ANALYSIS OF FUNDING PROGRESS AND CONTRIBUTION RATES
 Last Ten Fiscal Years
 (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability	Funded Ratio	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	Annual Covered Payroll ¹	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	Total TCDRS Required Contribution Rate
2011 ³	643,782,380	775,163,006	83.05%	131,380,626	210,826,765	62.32%	10.72%
2012 ⁴	666,871,683	821,494,429	81.18%	154,622,746	213,634,303	72.38%	11.30%
2013 ⁵	718,024,251	869,092,086	82.62%	151,067,835	220,622,466	68.47%	12.38%
2014	758,367,617	904,435,389	83.85%	146,067,772	231,087,684	63.21%	13.31%
2015	796,582,916	973,093,924	81.86%	176,511,008	240,592,521	73.37%	13.18%
2016	1,180,704,918	1,368,562,738	86.27%	187,857,820	251,255,949	74.77%	13.08%
2017	1,265,883,701	1,459,022,626	86.76%	193,138,925	265,871,892	72.64%	13.21%
2018	1,331,347,418	1,543,964,621	86.23%	212,617,203	280,604,553	75.77%	13.61%
2019 ⁶	1,423,459,856	1,632,747,891	87.18%	209,288,035	292,471,601	71.56%	13.51%
2020 ²	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.86%

Note: ¹ The annual covered payroll is based on the employee contribution received by TCDRS for the year ending with the valuation date.

² Fiscal Year 2020 figures will not be available from TCDRS until April or May 2021.

³ Funding information for 2011 may differ from prior year compliance due to plan changes effective January 1, 2013.

⁴ Funding information for 2012 may differ from prior year compliance due to plan changes effective January 1, 2014.

⁵ Funding information for 2013 may differ from prior year compliance due to plan changes effective January 1, 2014.

⁶ In 2019, Commissioners Court adopted an elected contribution rate of 13.61%.

Table 26

**Bexar County, Texas
LEGAL DEBT MARGIN INFORMATION
Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Unaudited)**

Legal Debt Margin Calculation for Fiscal Year 2019	
Assessed Value of All Taxable Property	\$ 172,332,699,081
Assessed Value of Real Property	\$ 156,513,957,274
Roads Debt Limit (25% of Assessed Value of Real Property) ^A	\$ 39,128,489,319
Amount of Debt Applicable to Constitutional Debt Limit:	
Total Bonded Debt Applicable	135,080,000
Less: Debt Service Available Funds ¹	10,850,050
Legal Debt Margin, Bonds Issued under Article 3, Section 52 of the Texas Constitution	<u>\$ 39,004,259,369</u>

Year	Debt Limit	Legal Debt Margin	Total Net Debt Applicable to Limit	Total Net Debt Applicable to the Limit as a Percentage of Debt Limit
2011	22,463,790,333	22,462,089,487	32,681,736	0.15%
2012	22,641,570,765	22,639,979,029	31,036,511	0.14%
2013	23,084,641,277	23,083,557,632	29,321,566	0.13%
2014	24,444,710,084	24,417,417,986	27,292,098	0.11%
2015	24,781,567,617	24,691,199	24,756,876,418	0.10%
2016	28,331,876,690	22,661,612	24,758,906,005	0.08%
2017	31,269,427,790	20,612,362	28,311,264,328	0.07%
2018	33,888,607,849	57,315,675	33,831,292,174	0.17%
2019	36,444,179,154	54,278,400	36,389,900,754	0.15%
2020	39,128,489,319	124,229,950	39,004,259,369	0.32%

^A Bonds Issued Under Article 3, Section 52 of the Texas Constitution

The County is authorized under Article 3, Section 52 of the State Constitution to issue bonds payable from ad valorem taxes for the construction and maintenance of roads. There is no constitutional or statutory limit as to rate on bonds issued pursuant to such constitutional provision. However, the amount of bonds which may be issued is limited to 25% of the assessed valuation of real property in the County.

¹ Amount estimated based on the subsequent year debt requirement assumed to be available from the Debt Service Fund balance of \$71,927,385.

Bonds Issued Under Article 8, Section 9 and Article 11, Section 2 of the Texas Constitution

In addition to unlimited tax bonds the County may issue bonds payable from the proceeds of a limited ad valorem tax provided for in Article 8, Section 9 of the State Constitution. Such constitutional provision provides that a county is limited to an ad valorem tax rate of \$0.80 per \$100 of assessed valuation for General Fund purposes.

Certain of the County's bonds payable from such limited tax may be issued under the provisions of Article 722, Vernon's Texas Civil Statutes. The principal amount of all bonds which may be issued under the provisions of such Statute is limited in the aggregate to 5% of all taxable property. The debt limit under Article 722 is approximately \$8,616,634,954 compared to applicable bonds outstanding at September 30, 2020 of \$1,933,060,000.


Table 27

**Bexar County, Texas
MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION
(Unaudited)**

Employment Statistics¹	2020	2011	
Total Employed	886,979	773,376	
Total Unemployed	76,901	61,957	
Total Labor Force	963,880	835,333	
Percent of Unemployment	8.0%	7.4%	
Non-agricultural employment by categories²	2020	Percent	2011
Natural Resources & Mining	8,700	0.84	4,000
Construction	59,200	5.72	41,500
Manufacturing	50,000	4.83	46,300
Trade/Transportation/Utilities	184,000	17.76	149,900
Information	18,900	1.82	17,100
Finance Activities	91,600	8.84	63,500
Services and Miscellaneous ³	452,600	43.70	369,000
Government	170,800	16.49	159,000
			18.70

CPS Energy ⁴		San Antonio Water System ⁵		County
Electric Customers	Gas Customers	Water Connections	Wastewater Connections	Registered Voters ⁶
2011	716,622	324,702	360,281	863,759
2012	728,307	328,300	412,275	874,173
2013	741,467	331,192	464,957	916,801
2014	756,545	334,023	475,528	918,912
2015	771,603	336,645	482,821	968,990
2016	786,455	338,951	488,705	1,020,079
2017	804,675	343,754	493,764	1,047,552
2018	821,675	347,408	500,024	1,091,233
2019	840,750	352,585	511,361	1,110,694
2020	860,934	358,495	529,392	1,166,684

Source: ¹ Texas Workforce Commission, Unemployment (LAUS) Report. Employment statistics are presented for the current year and for fiscal year 2011 for a limited ten year presentation.
² The Texas Workforce Commission, LMCI Economic Profiles, San Antonio, MSA Report.
³ Professional & Business Services, Educational & Health Services, Leisure & Hospitality, and Other Services are combined.
⁴ Formerly called City Public Service.
⁵ Greater San Antonio Chamber of Commerce (San Antonio Region Economic Trends 2005)
As of 2006, San Antonio Water System now provides figures for water and wastewater connections separately.
As of 2013, BexarMet is now San Antonio Water System District Special Project.
⁶ Bexar County Elections Department.



[This page is intentionally left blank.]

Hot Wells of Bexar County

Photo by Adnan Ahmetovic

Garza/Gonzalez & Associates

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Honorable County Judge and Commissioners
Bexar County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Bexar County, Texas (the County), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 30, 2021. We did not audit the financial statements of the University Health System (the System), a discretely presented component unit. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the System, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the System, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors. The financial statements of the Cibola Canyons Special Improvement District (the District), a discretely presented component unit, were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.


Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the County in a separate letter dated March 30, 2021.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



March 30, 2021

Report on Internal Control over Compliance


Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal and state program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal and state program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal or state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



March 30, 2021

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND
THE STATE OF TEXAS SINGLE AUDIT CIRCULAR

The Honorable County Judge and Commissioners
Bexar County, Texas

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and State Program

We have audited Bexar County, Texas (the County)'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* and the *State of Texas Single Audit Circular* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal and state programs for the year ended September 30, 2020. The County's major federal and state programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal and state statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal and state awards applicable to its federal and state programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal and state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular. Those standards, the Uniform Guidance, and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal and state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal and state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal and State Program

In our opinion, the County, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal and state programs for the year ended September 30, 2020.

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
As of September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
As of September 30, 2020

Fund/ Agency/ Organization	Grantor/Program Title	CFDA	Grantor or Pass-through Grantor's Number	Program Expenditures Through to Sub-recipients	Passed Through to Sub-recipients	Fund/ Agency/ Organization	Grantor/Program Title	CFDA	Grantor or Pass-through Grantor's Number	Program Expenditures Through to Sub-recipients
FEDERAL FUNDS										
U.S. Department of Agriculture										
100-4001-40534	Passed Through Texas Department of Agriculture Commission Child Nutrition Cluster National School Lunch Program Commodities - Juvenile Probation Total Child Nutrition Cluster	10-555	TX-015-2048	\$ 211,733	-	MS2068	Texas Center for the Judiciary Children's Justice Act Program Review Coordinator Program	93-643	CJA-19-08	71,532
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture										
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services										
SA2045	Bexar County Recovery Partnership Program	93-243	1H79SA063519-44	683,360	622,018	100-5070-40526	Texas Department of Public Safety's Division of Emergency Management	97-042	16TX-EMPG-0615	68,133
SA1946	Bexar County Recovery Partnership Program	93-243	1H79T1081936-01	94	-	CJ1726	Texas Governor's Office	97-067	29643-02	1,809
SA2046	Bexar County Baby Court	93-243	1H79T1081936-02	57,986	20,888	CJ1885	Bexar County Regional Preparedness & Resilience Project (03/01/2018 - 12/31/2019)	97-067	36854-01	125,939
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services										
100-4001-40541	Passed Through Texas Attorney General Child Support Enforcement Program Child Support Enforcement Supervision Title IV-D - Child Support Enforcement	93-563	14-C-0048	345,566	-	CJ1823	Bexar County WMD / Hazmat Equipment Project	97-067	36788-01	1,896
100-4001-41004	Passed Through Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program CEAP19 - CEAP Program Services CEAP19 - CEAP "Assurance 17" CEAP19106 CEAP19199 CEAP20102 CEAP20105 CEAP20106 CEAP20199 CEAP20202 CEAP20299	93-563	12-C-0014	483,277	-	CJ1901	Bexar County SWAT PPE Equipment	97-067	37268-01	119,936
						CJ1902	Bexar County Regional SWAT PPE Equipment	97-067	37733-01	24,000
						CJ1903	Bexar County Regional SWAT PPE Gear	97-067	39046-01	170,102
						CJ1905	Bexar County WMD/ Hazmat Response Team Prime Mover Vehicles	97-067	39045-01	187,238
						CJ1826	Bexar County Regional Preparedness & Resilience Project (03/01/2019 - 08/31/2020)	97-067	29643-03	3,971
						CJ1926	Bexar County Regional Preparedness & Resilience Project (09/01/2017 - 08/31/2019)	97-067	29643-04	38,103
						CJ1929	Bexar County WMD/Hazmat Team Enhancement Project	97-067	36627-02	10,892
						CJ1932	Zamro Shelter Systems	97-067	36829-01	65,999
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security										
										818,518
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development										
CD19	Community Development Block Grant - Entitlement Grants Cluster					CD19	Community Development Block Grant - Entitlement Grants Cluster	14-218	B-18-UC-48-0500	728,618
CD20	Community Development Block Grant 2018					CD20	Community Development Block Grant 2019	14-218	B-19-UC-48-0500	1,019,982
										643,740
Total Community Development Block Grant - Entitlement Grants Cluster										
										1,748,602
										1,372,358

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
As of September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
As of September 30, 2020

Fund/ Agency/ Organization	Grantor/Program Title	CFDA	Grantor or Pass-through Grantor's Number	Program Expenditures	Passed Through to Sub-recipients	Fund/ Agency/ Organization	Grantor/Program Title	CFDA	Grantor or Pass-through Grantor's Number	Program Expenditures	Passed Through to Sub-recipients
HM16	HOME Investment Partnership Agreement - 2015	14.239	M15-UC-48-4500	52,528	-	U.S. Department of Transportation	Passed through Texas Department of Transportation Highway Safety Cluster				
HM17	HOME Investment Partnership Agreement - 2016	14.239	M16-UC-48-4500	590	-	U.S. Department of Transportation	Texas Traffic Safety - S.T.E.P. 2018 Comprehensive	20.600	2020-BexarCSD-S-1V-G-00086	293,322	-
HM18	HOME Investment Partnership Agreement - 2017	14.239	M17-UC-48-4500	18,464	-	U.S. Department of Transportation	Texas Traffic Safety - S.T.E.P. Overtime	20.600	2020-BexarCSD-S-CMV-00042	1,464	-
HM19	HOME Investment Partnership Agreement - 2018	14.239	M18-UC-48-4500	13,930	90,951	U.S. Department of Transportation	No-Retal Initiative (D.W.L.)	20.616	2020-BexarCSD-G-1V-G-0189	1,433	-
HM20	HOME Investment Partnership Agreement - 2019	14.239	M19-UC-48-4500	322,800	322,417	U.S. Department of Transportation	Total Highway Safety Cluster			309,119	-
ESG18	Emergency Solutions Grant Program - 2017 (HESG)	14.231	E-17-UC-48-4500	(2,218)	-	Total U.S. Department of Transportation				309,119	-
ESG19	Emergency Solutions Grant Program - 2018 (HESG)	14.231	E-18-UC-48-4500	9,901	-	U.S. Department of the Treasury	Sheriff Asset Forfeitures Program	21.XXX	N/A	72,742	-
ESG20	Emergency Solutions Grant Program - 2019 (HESG)	14.231	E-19-UC-48-4500	79,969	72,419	District Attorney Asset Forfeitures Program	CARES Act	21.XXX	N/A	189,178	-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	CARES Act		21.019	N/A	63,342,103	15,345,543
JAI8	Justice Assistance Grant - 2017	16.738	2017-DJ-BX-0847	131,254	-	Passed through Texas Secretary of State	2020 Help America Vote Act (HAVA) CARES Act	90.404	TX201001CARES-015	335,642	-
JAI9	Justice Assistance Grant - 2018	16.738	2018-DJ-BX-0415	408,912	297,482	Total U.S. Department of the Treasury				63,200	-
JAI20	Justice Assistance Grant - 2019	16.738	2019-DJ-BX-0570	556,638	306,527	U.S. Social Security Administration	Disability Insurance/SSI Cluster	96.001		63,200	-
JA2009	Bexar County COVID 19 Response and Prevention Prgm	16.034	2020-YD-BX-0566	36,503	-	Total U.S. Department of the Treasury				63,200	-
DJ1602	Bexar County Prison Rape Elimination Act	16.735	2015-RP-BX-0002	(2,607)	-	Total U.S. Social Security Administration				63,200	-
DJ1807	Bexar County Women's Mental Health Court	16.585	2017-DJ-BX-0013	107,562	-	Total Federal Funds				82,499,307	18,564,307
DJ1902	Bexar County Family Drug Court	16.745	2018-MD-BX-0028	171,315	-	STATE FUNDS					
DJ1903	Bexar County Child Welfare Court Enhancement Project	16.885	2018-DJ-BX-0029	174,708	-	Texas Attorney General	Texas Automated Victim Notifications-VINE FY2020	N/A	2002822	92,346	69,260
DJ1904	Bexar County Child Welfare Court Enhancement Project	16.885	2018-DJ-BX-0030	174,708	-	Total Texas Attorney General				92,346	69,260
DJ1913/20010	Bexar County Re-Entry Program	16.838	2018-AR-BX-0052	219,415	-	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	County Courts Cases - Section 51	N/A	N/A	116,374	-
DJ1914	Bexar County Re-Entry Program	16.838	2018-AR-BX-0052	172,630	-	Prosecutor Longevity Pay	DA Witness Reimbursement	N/A	N/A	281,150	-
DJ2020	Bexar County Re-Entry Program	16.835	2019-BX-BX-0052	360,076	-	Jury Pay Supplemental (State SB 1794)	Probate Supplemental	N/A	N/A	290,836	-
DJ2021	Bexar County Re-Entry Program	16.835	2019-BX-BX-0052	360,076	-	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts				80,000	-
DJ1905	SA Task Force (DEA)	16.579	N/A	46,490	-	Texas Governor's Office	Bexar County Adult Drug Court	N/A	20476-12	341,983	-
DJ1915	FBI - San Antonio Public Border-Corruption Task Force	16.633	197-SA-CR87-12	222	-	Bexar County Adult Drug Court	Bexar County Juvenile Drug Court	N/A	20470-13	11,953	-
DJ1916	FBI - San Antonio Child Exploitation Task Force	16.633	31E-SA-C61741-MOU	23,828	-	Bexar County Juvenile Drug Court	Bexar County Family Drug Treatment Court	N/A	23902-10	11,953	-
DJ2001	Organized Crime Drug Enforcement (OCDETF) - Cobra Kai	16.633	M2-19-00107	17,361	-	Bexar County Family Drug Treatment Court	Bexar County Family Drug Treatment Court	N/A	17367-16	240,935	-
DJ2003	SA Task Force (DEA)	16.633	N/A	18,905	-	Bexar County Family Drug Treatment Court	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	N/A	17367-17	12,859	-
DJ2005	SAPD HDTA (DEA)	16.633	SW-TXV-0889H	61,468	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	27603-06	271,762	-
DJ2006	Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF) - Fels	16.633	M2-18-0087	11,420	113,704	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	27603-07	4,849	-
DJ2008	Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force (OCDETF) - Alan	16.633	M2-17-004YNHIG	5,036	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	28710-05	8,268	-
DJ2009	US Marshals Joint Law Enforcement Task Force	16.633	M-20-D80-O-000074	18,626	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	28710-06	8,268	-
DJ2011	ATF Task Force	16.633		6,684	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	16922-17	85,095	-
	Passed Through Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	16922-18	4,939	-
CJ1818	Victim Assistance Early Intervention	16.575	32476-01	361,696	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A	31695-04	163,254	-
CJ1804	First Responder Mental Health Resiliency Program	16.575	37040-01	1,800	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A		70,000	-
CJ1921	Human Trafficking Unit Program	16.738	35957-02	81,035	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
CJ1920	Human Trafficking Unit Program	16.738	35957-03	10,007	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
CJ1920	New Life Project	16.575	27612-05	238,927	113,704	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
CJ1910	Bexar County PEARLS Court	16.575	30512-03	136,141	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
CJ1811	Bexar County Mobil Outreach	16.738	35390-01	98,000	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
CJ2099	COVID-19 Response and Mitigation Project	16.034	41627-01	27,753	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
OA20201	Access and Visitation Program - Title IV-D	93.597	17-C-00128	58,285	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
OA2030	Internet Crimes Against Children	16.543	1885540-2015-MC-FX-K049	4,535	-	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice			3,693,647	717,713	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Governor's Office					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Attorney General					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts					Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			2,364,564	1,858,145	Bexar County Probation Prevention Program	Project Connect at Lander H.S.	N/A			-
	Total U.S. Department of Justice										

Bexar County, Texas
NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
Year Ended September 30, 2020

Bexar County, Texas
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS
As of September 30, 2020

Fund/ Agency/ Organization	Grantor/Program Title	CFDA	Grantor or Pass-through Grantor's Number	Program Expenditures	Passed Through to Sub-recipients
	Texas Department of State Health Services				
	Passed through				
	University - San Marcos				
DT1931	BCSO Tobacco Enforcement Programs - Tobacco Compliance	N/A	N/A	30,664	-
DT2031	BCSO Tobacco Enforcement Programs - Tobacco Compliance	N/A	N/A	6,559	-
	Total Texas Department of State Health Services			37,223	-
	Texas Department of Health and Human Services				
	Passed through				
	Texas Department of Family Protective Services				
MS2001	Early Intervention Program for Toddler: Project HOPEs	N/A	24588716-04	347,048	-
MS2101	Early Intervention Program for Toddler: Project HOPEs	N/A	24588716-05	16,085	-
	Total Texas Health and Human Services Commission			363,133	-
	Texas Department of Transportation				
	Passed through				
	City of San Antonio				
MS2004	Regional Auto Crimes Team	N/A	N/A	100,348	-
MS2104	Regional Auto Crimes Team	N/A	N/A	7,736	-
	Total Texas Department of Transportation			108,084	-
	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality				
100-4001-40555	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	N/A	N/A	18,597	-
	Total Texas Commission on Environmental Quality			18,597	-
	Texas Indigent Defense Commission				
MS1966	Indigent Defense Research and Evaluation	N/A	19-TS-015	61,935	61,935
MS2065	FY20 Mental Health Public Defender Improvement Grant	N/A	212-20-MH2	106,736	-
MS2064	FY19 Public Defender Mobile Technology for Pandemic Response	N/A	20-CVT-015	4,577	-
100-4001-40504	FY20 Formula Grant Program	N/A	212-20-015	1,308,657	-
100-4001-40504	FY20 Supplemental Capital Defense Formula Grant Program	N/A	212-20-15SC	83,041	-
	Total Texas Indigent Defense Commission			1,564,946	61,935
	Texas Veterans Commission				
MS1913	Veterans Texas Commission - Mental Health Component	N/A	VMH19-009	4,585	-
MS1912	FY2019 - 2020 Veterans Texas Commission - General Assistance	N/A	VTC_19-006	133,109	-
MS2012	FY2020 - 2021 Veterans Texas Commission - General Assistance	N/A	VTC_20-001	45,947	-
MS1911	FY19 - 2020 Veterans Texas Commission - Veterans Treatment Felony Court	N/A	VTC19-016	2,365	-
	Total Texas Veterans Commission			186,006	-
	Total State Funds			5,826,287	131,195
	Total Federal and State Funds			\$ 88,325,694	\$ 18,695,502

1. GENERAL

The accompanying schedule presents the activity of the federal and state award programs of Bexar County, Texas (the "County"), except for the federal and state award programs for the System and the Authority, the discretely presented component units of the County, which have been excluded. The System is audited by other auditors and separate reports are issued for compliance with federal and/or state requirements. The County's reporting entity is defined in Note A.1. to the County's basic financial statements.

2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. The governmental funds are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. All federal and state grant funds were accounted for in the General Fund, Capital Projects Fund or various special revenue funds included within the "Nonmajor Governmental Funds" column in the governmental funds financial statements. With this measurement focus, only current assets, deferred outflows of resources, current liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources generally are included on the balance sheet. Statements of Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of these funds present increases (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses).

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used in the governmental funds financial statements. This basis of accounting recognizes revenues in the accounting period in which they become susceptible to accrual (i.e., both measurable and available) and expenditures in the accounting period in which the fund liability is incurred, if measurable, except for un-matured interest on long-term debt, which is recognized when due, and certain compensated absences and claims and judgments, which are recognized when the obligations are expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources.

Federal and state grant funds are considered to be earned to the extent of expenditures made under the provisions of the grant, and accordingly, when such funds are received in advance, they are recorded as unearned revenues until earned. Expenditures for some programs are not specifically attributable to the federal revenue source and are shown on the schedule in amount equal to the federal and/or state revenue.

3. INDIRECT COST

Indirect costs are those that have been incurred for common or joint purposes. These costs benefit more than one cost objective and cannot be readily identified with a particular final cost objective without effort disproportionate to the results achieved. After direct costs have been determined and assigned directly to Federal awards and other activities as appropriate, indirect costs are those remaining to be allocated to benefited cost objectives. The County has elected to charge only direct costs to state and federal programs.

4. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES RELATED TO COMPLIANCE

The County participates in numerous state and federal grant programs that are governed by various rules and regulations of the grantor agencies. Costs charged to the respective grant programs are subject to audit and adjustment by the grantor agencies; therefore, to the extent that the County has not complied with the rules and regulations governing the grants, if any, refunds of any money received may be required, and the collectability of any related receivable at September 30, 2020 may be impaired.

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
Year Ended September 30, 2020
SECTION I -- SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
Year Ended September 30, 2020
SECTION II --- FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

<i>Financial Statements</i>	
Type of auditor's report issued:	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified?	___ Yes <u> X </u> No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	___ Yes <u> X </u> None Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	___ Yes <u> X </u> No

There are no financial statement findings required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* for the year ended September 30, 2020.

SECTION III --- FEDERAL AND STATE AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There are no federal or state award findings and questioned costs required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a) for the year ended September 30, 2020.

SECTION IV --- PRIOR YEAR FEDERAL AND STATE AWARD FINDINGS

There are no prior year federal or state award findings for the year ended September 30, 2020.

<i>Federal and State Awards</i>	
Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified?	___ Yes <u> X </u> No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	___ Yes <u> X </u> None Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	___ Yes <u> X </u> No

<i>Identification of Major Programs</i>	
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal or State Program
Federal	
21.019	Coronavirus Relief Fund
State	
N/A	State Indigent Defense Program
N/A	Adult Drug Court
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	
Federal – \$2,474,985	
State – \$300,000	
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	<u> X </u> Yes ___ No

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

APPENDIX D
FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL

(this page intentionally left blank)

BRACEWELL

[Closing Date]

\$ _____
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
PASS-THROUGH REVENUE AND LIMITED TAX BONDS, SERIES 2021
(STATE HIGHWAY 211 PROJECT)

We have acted as bond counsel for Bexar County, Texas (the “Issuer”), in connection with an issue of certificates of obligation described as follows:

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS PASS-THROUGH REVENUE AND LIMITED TAX BONDS, SERIES 2021 (STATE HIGHWAY 211 PROJECT), dated December 15, 2021 (the “Bonds”)

The Bonds mature, bear interest and may be transferred and exchanged as set out in the Bonds and in the order adopted by the Issuer’s Commissioners Court authorizing their issuance and a pricing certificate executed pursuant to the authority delegated in such order (together, the “Order”).

We have acted as bond counsel for the sole purpose of rendering an opinion with respect to the legality and validity of the Bonds under the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas, and with respect to the excludability of interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes. We have not investigated or verified original proceedings, records, data or other material, but have relied solely upon the transcript of certified proceedings described in the following paragraph. We have not assumed any responsibility with respect to the financial condition or capabilities of the Issuer or the disclosure thereof in connection with the sale of the Bonds.

In our capacity as bond counsel, we have participated in the preparation of and have examined a transcript of certified proceedings pertaining to the Bonds which contains certified copies of certain proceedings of the Issuer, customary certificates of officers, agents and representatives of the Issuer and other public officials and other certified showings relating to the authorization and issuance of the Bonds. We also have analyzed such laws, regulations, guidance, documents and other materials as we have deemed necessary to render the opinions herein. We have also examined executed Bond No. T-1 of this issue.

In providing the opinions set forth herein, we have relied on representations and certifications of the Issuer and other parties involved with the issuance of the Bonds with respect to matters solely within the knowledge of the Issuer and such parties, which we have not independently verified. In addition, we have assumed for purposes of this opinion continuing compliance with the covenants in the Order, including, but not limited to, covenants relating to the tax-exempt status of the Bonds.

Based on such examination, it is our opinion that:

Bracewell LLP

T: +1.210.226.1166 F: +1.800.404.3970
300 Convent Street, Suite 1500, San Antonio, Texas 78205-3723
bracewell.com

BRACEWELL

[Closing Date]

Page 2

- A. The transcript of certified proceedings evidences complete legal authority for the issuance of the Bonds in full compliance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas presently effective, and that therefore the Bonds constitute valid and legally binding obligations of the Issuer;
- B. that a continuing ad valorem tax, within the limits prescribed by law, has been levied on all taxable property in the Issuer and pledged irrevocably to the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds; and
- C. Interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In addition, interest on the Bonds is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax.

It is further our opinion that the Bonds are additionally payable from and equally and ratably secured by a lien on and pledge of the Pledged Revenues (as defined in the Order), such lien on and pledge of the Pledged Revenues will be subordinate and inferior to the lien on and pledge of Net Revenues (as defined in the Order) securing payment of any Prior Lien Bonds or Additional Revenue Obligations hereafter issued by the Issuer.

The Issuer has reserved the right to issue Prior Lien Bonds, Additional Revenue Obligations, and Additional Parity Obligations (all as defined in the Order) without limitation as to principal amount but subject to any terms, conditions, or restrictions as may be applicable thereto under law or otherwise.

The rights of the owners of the Bonds are subject to the applicable provisions of the federal bankruptcy laws and any other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions generally, and may be limited by general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion.

Except as stated above, we express no opinion as to the amount of interest on the Bonds or any federal, state or local tax consequences resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or acquisition, ownership, or disposition of, the Bonds. This opinion is specifically limited to the laws of the State of Texas and, to the extent applicable, the laws of the United States of America. Further, in the event that the representations of the Issuer and other parties upon which we have relied are determined to be inaccurate or incomplete or the Issuer fails to comply with the covenants of the Order, interest on the Bonds could become includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes from the date of the original delivery of the Bonds, regardless of the date on which the event causing such inclusion occurs.

Our opinions are based on existing law and our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof and may be affected by certain actions that may be taken or omitted on a later date. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions, and this opinion letter may not be relied upon in connection with any changes to the law or facts, or actions taken or omitted, after the date hereof..

APPENDIX E
TxDOT PASS-THROUGH AGREEMENT

(this page intentionally left blank)

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF TRAVIS §

**PASS-THROUGH TOLL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION AND BEXAR COUNTY
AMENDMENT # 1**

THIS AMENDMENT is made by and between the State of Texas, acting through the Texas Department of Transportation (the "Department"), and Bexar County (the "Developer"), and becomes effective when fully executed by both parties.

BACKGROUND

The Department and the Developer executed a "Pass-Through Toll Agreement" on January 13, 2010, Contract No. PTT 2009-002-01 ("Agreement"), under which the Department is obligated to make certain pass-through payments to the Developer as reimbursement of the costs of constructing improvements to the state highway system consisting of two (2) Segments: FM 1957, Potranco Road (Segment 1) from Loop 1604 to 600' west of SH 211 is to be constructed as a four-lane facility, with two lanes in each direction and a raised center median; and SH 211(Segment 2) from FM 1957 to FM 471 will be constructed as a two-lane, rural section on new alignment, with the ultimate development planned as a fully controlled access facility with frontage roads (altogether the "Project").

Segment 1 was deemed substantially complete on June 24, 2019.

AMENDMENT #1

Except as set forth in this Amendment, the Agreement is unaffected and shall continue in full force and effect in accordance with its terms. If there is conflict between this Amendment and the Agreement, the terms of this Amendment will prevail.

1. Paragraph 6. Right of Way and Real Property, of the Agreement is deleted in its entirety and replaced by:

a. The Developer is responsible for the provision and acquisition of all real property needed for the Project, including easements. All property interests shall be placed in the name of the State of Texas. The Developer may not acquire right of way until all environmental clearance procedures have been completed and either (1) right of way maps and field notes have been prepared or (2) a segment of the right of way map (consisting of one or more contiguous parcels) and the field notes and plat maps for such parcel(s) have been prepared and certified to fall within the right of way limits of the approved schematic. The Developer must comply with all applicable state and federal laws, regulations, policies, and procedures, including the requirements of the Right of Way Manual Collection of the Department's Online Manual System and Titles II

and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, 42 U.S.C. §4601 et seq. Documentation to support compliance must be maintained by the Developer. The Developer must obtain advance approval from the Department for any variance in established procedures. The Department's executive director may exercise discretion in authorizing an alternative procedure if it is sufficient to discharge the Department's responsibilities for acquiring real property. The Department may monitor and audit the Developer's acquisition of right of way on the Project at any time. On request, the Developer shall furnish the Department with satisfactory proof of compliance with applicable state and federal laws, regulations, policies, and procedures. If the Department determines that right of way maps, field notes, parcel plats, appraisals, access designations, acquisition documentation, relocation assistance benefits, or any other acquisition requirement is not in compliance with this agreement, the Developer shall take all necessary steps to achieve compliance. The cost for additional work to achieve compliance shall be borne by the Developer, and paid for using the Developer's own funds or funds provided by the Department separate from this Agreement.

b. The Developer is responsible for any required relocation assistance along the route of the right of way as may be determined to be eligible under the relocation assistance program. The relocation assistance plan must provide reasonable time frames for orderly relocation of residents and businesses on the Project. All costs associated with the relocation assistance, including payments to displacee, will be assumed by the Developer, and paid for using the Developer's own funds or funds provided by the Department separate from this Agreement.

2. Paragraph 11, Repayment, of the Agreement is deleted in its entirety and replaced by:

a. For purposes of repayment under this Agreement, "Substantial Completion" or "Substantially Complete" is defined as all travel lanes open to traffic as approved by the Department, and no further work is remaining that requires lane closures affecting the mobility of the traveling public. When each Segment of the Project is Substantially Complete, the Developer may issue and sign a "Notification of Substantial Completion" certifying that all work for the Section has been substantially completed in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement, all governmental approvals, and applicable law. Within thirty (30) days after receipt of this notification, the Department will perform an inspection and provide to the Developer a list of items, if any, to be completed prior to approval by the Department. Once the items have been addressed to the satisfaction of the Department, the Department will issue a "Letter of Approval for Payment" for the applicable Section to the Developer. In lieu of a Notification of Substantial Completion, the Developer may proceed directly to a Notice of Completion in accordance with Paragraph 9.

b. This paragraph applies until the Project as a whole is Substantially Complete and all highway improvements are open to the public. The Department shall reimburse the Developer by paying an annual amount equal to \$0.04 for each vehicle-mile traveled on the highway improvements that were Substantially Complete and open to the public at any time during the previous year. For each highway improvement that is opened after Pass-Through Amendment

the first highway improvement, the number of vehicle-miles traveled on the Project during the previous year shall include vehicle-mile traveled on the newly opened highway improvement beginning on the date that the newly opened highway improvement is Substantially Complete and opened to the public. The Department shall reimburse the Developer by paying a semi-annual amount equal to \$0.04 for each vehicle-mile traveled on the Project during the previous year. The annual reimbursement shall be no less than \$2,780,000. The total reimbursement by the Department shall not exceed \$55,600,000

c. The number of vehicle-miles traveled on the Project during a year will be based on the Department's actual traffic counts, which shall be performed in good faith and shall be conclusive and not subject to litigation in any forum.

d. Payments shall be due and payable in annual installments. The first payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after expiration of one (1) year after Substantial Completion and the Department's issuance of a Letter of Approval for Payment for either Segment 1 of Segment 2, whichever is completed first, or in the event that the Department does not issue a Letter of Approval for Payment, then within sixty (60) days after expiration of one (1) year after the Substantial Completion and the Department's issuance of a Letter of Acceptance for the Section that is completed first. The second payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after expiration of one (1) year after the first payment due date. Subsequent payments shall continue within sixty (60) days after each succeeding year due date. As each Segment reaches Substantial Completion, the Department shall adjust the payment amount accordingly, but shall keep the same payment schedule.

e. Under no circumstances will the annual reimbursement be more than \$5,560,000, and under no circumstances will the total cumulative reimbursement by the Department for the Project under this paragraph 11, during the course of this Agreement, exceed \$55,600,000 unless approved by the Texas Transportation Commission and formalized in an amendment to this Agreement.

f. Payment under this Agreement beyond the end of the current fiscal biennium is subject to availability of appropriated funds. The Department will request funds for payment under this contract in future biennia and reasonably believes that the funds will be appropriated.

3. Paragraph 13, Termination, of the Agreement is deleted in its entirety and replaced by:

This agreement terminates automatically when the Department has reimbursed the Developer fully. In addition,

- a. the agreement may be terminated in writing with the mutual consent of the parties;
or
- b. the agreement may be terminated by either party because of a material breach by the other party.
- c. If the Developer has not commenced construction of all project segments within five years of the date of execution of this Amendment #1, consideration for termination is in effect.

4. Paragraph 15, Notices, of the Agreement is deleted in its entirety and is replaced by:

All notices to either party shall be delivered personally or sent by certified or U.S. mail, postage prepaid, addressed to that party at the following address:

Developer:	Department:
<u>Bexar County Public Works</u> <u>1948 Probant Street</u> <u>San Antonio, Texas 78214</u>	<u>Texas Department of Transportation</u> <u>Attn: Deputy Executive Director</u> <u>125 East 11th Street</u> <u>Austin, Texas 78701-2483</u>

All notices shall be deemed given on the date delivered in person or deposited in the mail. Either party may change the above address by sending written notice of the change to the other party. Either party may request in writing that notices shall be delivered personally or by certified U.S. mail, and that request shall be carried out by the other party.

5. Paragraph 31. Inspection of Books and Records, of the Agreement is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:


The parties shall maintain all books, documents, papers, accounting records, and other documentation relating to performance of all aspects of Project development and implementation and all costs incurred under this agreement at an official governmental office of the Department or the Developer, as applicable. The parties shall make those materials available to the Department, the Developer, the State Auditor, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the U.S. Office of the Inspector General for review and inspection at the retaining party's official governmental office during the term of this agreement and for four (4) years after the date that the Department has reimbursed the Developer in full or thereafter until any impending claims are resolved. Additionally, the Department, the Developer, and the FHWA shall have access to all the governmental records that are directly applicable to this agreement for the purpose of making audits, examinations, excerpts, and transcriptions, including records in the possession of the Developer's agents, to the extent that they relate to expenditures for which reimbursement is requested. At the request of the Department, the Developer shall submit any information required by the Department in the format directed by the Department.

6. Attachment B, Project Description & Scope of Work, of the Agreement is deleted in its entirety and replaced by Attachment B-1, contained herein, to reflect that the majority of the right-of-way for SH 211 has been acquired or will be acquired by the Developer.

7. Signatory Warranty, Each signatory warrants that the signatory has necessary authority to execute this Amendment on behalf of the entity represented.


THIS AMENDMENT IS EXECUTED by the Department and the Developer in duplicate.

THE DEPARTMENT

DocuSigned by:
By: 
MARC WILLIAMS
Deputy Executive Director


Date: 5/7/2020

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

By: 
NELSON W. WOLFF
Bexar County Judge

Date: 3-10-2020

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

By: 
GERARD A. CALDERON
Assistant Criminal District Attorney
Civil Section

APPROVED AS TO FINANCIAL CONTENT:

By: 
LEO CALDERA, CIA, CGAP
County Auditor

By: 
DAVID SMITH
County Manager

By: 
RENEE D. GREEN, P.E.
Director of Public Works

ATTACHMENT B-1

Project Description & Scope of Work

Segment 1

FM 1957 (Potranco Road) from Loop 1604 to 600' west of SH 211 CSJ: 2104-02-027

Bexar County, with the cooperation of the City of San Antonio, is proposing to improve a 4.7 mile section of existing FM 1957 between Loop 1604 and SH 211

FM 1957 (Potranco Road) is to be constructed as a four-lane facility, with two lanes in each direction and a raised center median. The improvement of FM 1957 from Loop 1604 to SH 211 will provide for enhanced connection between two western relief routes of SH 211 and Loop 1604. The projects will enhance regional mobility.

The schematic design for the project is complete. An Environmental Assessment has been underway for FM 1957. Bexar County will complete the Environmental Assessment for FM 1957. Bexar County will be responsible for assessing environmental issues, conducting environmental impact studies, and preparing appropriate environmental documents. Environmental studies will be conducted in accordance with TxDOT and FHWA requirements. Environmental compliance issues would be anticipated to include consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

No right-of way is required for FM 1957.

Segment 1 was deemed substantially complete on June 24, 2019.

Segment 2

SH 211 from FM 1957 (Potranco Road) to FM 471 (Culebra Road) CSJs: 3544-03-002, 3544-04-002, 3544-05-001, 3544-06-001

Bexar County, with the cooperation of Medina County, is proposing to improve a 7.6 mile section of SH 211 between FM 1957 and FM 471.

SH 211 from FM 1957 (Potranco Road) to FM 471 (Culebra Road) is initially planned as a two-lane, rural section constructed on new alignment, with the ultimate development planned as a fully controlled access facility with frontage roads.

The schematic design for the project is complete. Bexar County will perform a re-evaluation of the Environmental Assessment on SH 211. Bexar County will be responsible for assessing environmental issues, conducting environmental impact studies, and preparing appropriate environmental documents. Environmental studies will be conducted in accordance with TxDOT and FHWA requirements. Environmental

compliance issues would be anticipated to include consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The majority of the right-of way for SH 211 has been acquired or will be acquired by the Developer.



BEXAR COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COURT

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT Capital Improvement Projects

COURT ORDER

ORDER authorizing the approval of the following to advance the SH 211 Pass-Through Finance Project in Precinct Number 1:

- A. Approval of the First Amendment to the Pass-Through Finance Agreement between Bexar County and Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) to include Right-of-Way (ROW) reimbursement in connection with the SH 211 Pass Through Finance Project.
- B. Approval of an Agreement for Right-of-Way Procurement and Resolution between Bexar County and the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) allowing for an estimated \$5,850,000 reimbursement of ROW costs for the SH 211 Pass Through Finance Project.
- C. Approval of the First Amendment to the State Highway 211/FM 1957 (Potranco Road) Financing Agreement between Bexar County and Westside 211 Special Improvement District to specifically allow for the reimbursement of all costs incurred by Bexar County for the acquisition of ROW in connection with the SH 211 Pass Through Finance Project.

Time Certain Agenda Items # 4c (i, ii, iii)

PASSED THIS 10TH DAY OF MARCH, 2020



Texas Department of Transportation

DEWITT C. GREER STATE HIGHWAY BLDG. • 125 E. 11TH STREET • AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701-2483 • (512) 463-8585

January 20, 2010

The Honorable Nelson W. Wolff
Bexar County Judge
Bexar County Courthouse
100 Dolorosa, Suite 1.20
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Re: Bexar County Pass-Through Toll Agreement for Payment of Pass-Through Tolls by the Department (TxDOT), Contract No. PT 2009-002-01

Dear Judge Wolff:

Please find enclosed one (1) executed original Pass-Through Toll Agreement between the County of Bexar, Texas and the Texas Department of Transportation.

If you have questions, please feel free to call me at (512) 374-5120.

Sincerely,

Janice Mullenix
Director of Contract Services
General Services Division

JM: wb

cc: John A. Barton, P.E., Engineering Operations
Rene Garcia, P.E., Design Division
Mario G. Medina, P.E., San Antonio District Engineer
Nancy Reinart, Finance Division

Enclosure

THE TEXAS PLAN

REDUCE CONGESTION • ENHANCE SAFETY • EXPAND ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY • IMPROVE AIR QUALITY
INCREASE THE VALUE OF OUR TRANSPORTATION ASSETS

An Equal Opportunity Employer

STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF TRAVIS §



**PASS-THROUGH AGREEMENT FOR PAYMENT
OF PASS-THROUGH TOLLS BY THE DEPARTMENT**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered between the State of Texas and the Developer under Transportation Code, §222.104.

Contracting Parties:

The Department: The Texas Department of Transportation
The Developer: Bexar County

BACKGROUND

Texas Transportation Code, §201.103, authorizes the Department to plan and to make policies for the location, construction, and maintenance of a comprehensive system of state highways and public roads. Transportation Code, §222.104, authorizes the Department to enter into pass-through agreements for the purpose of improving the state highway system. The Texas Transportation Commission has implemented this provision by enacting rules to be found at 43 TAC Chapter 5, Subchapter E. On September 24, 2009, the Texas Transportation Commission passed Minute Order 111977, authorizing improvements to portions of FM 1957 and SH 211 (the Segments of the Project), the locations of which are shown on **Attachment A**, which is attached to and incorporated by reference in this agreement. On October 29, 2009, the Texas Transportation Commission passed Minute Order 112016, authorizing the Department to enter a pass-through agreement with the Developer in furtherance of the Project. The governing body of the Developer has authorized entering into this agreement by Order of the Commissioners Court, dated November 3, 2009, which is attached to and incorporated by reference in this agreement as **Attachment E**. In consideration of the mutual promises contained in this agreement, the Department and the Developer now agree as follows.

AGREEMENT

1. Effective Date

This agreement becomes effective when signed by the last party whose signing makes the agreement fully executed.

2. Amendments

Amendments to this agreement must be in writing and executed by both parties.

3. Scope of Work

The Project is comprised of two (2) Segments. FM 1957 (Segment 1) from Loop 1604 to 600' west of SH 211 is to be constructed as a four-lane facility, with two lanes in each direction and a raised center median. SH 211 (Segment 2) from FM 1957 to FM 471 will be constructed as a two-lane, rural section on new alignment, with the ultimate development planned as a fully controlled access facility with frontage roads. The scope of work is described in more detail in **Attachment B**, which is attached to and incorporated by reference in this agreement.

4. Sources and Uses of Funds

- a. The total estimated cost of the Project is shown in **Attachment C**, which is attached to and incorporated by reference in this agreement. **Attachment C** includes expected cash contributions from each source of funding. The Department will pay for only those Project costs that have been approved by the Texas Transportation Commission.
- b. The Department is responsible only for securing the funding specifically identified as the responsibility of the Department on **Attachment C** and for making that funding available to the Developer as set forth on **Attachment C**. The Department will reimburse the Developer for costs incurred in the proportions identified on **Attachment C** for that activity. Unless and to the extent that this agreement is amended, the Department will not be responsible for funding in excess of the maximum identified on **Attachment C**.
- c. The Developer shall be responsible for all costs associated with the Project that are not shown as the responsibility of the Department. The Developer shall be responsible for all financing costs. The Developer shall be responsible for all overruns in excess of the estimated cost shown in **Attachment C**.
- d. The Department may audit the project at any time. Upon completion of the Project, the Department or an independent auditor approved by the Department, at the Department's option, may perform an audit of the Project costs. Any funds due to the Developer, the Department, or others shall be paid by the owing party within thirty (30) days after notification that funds are due.
- e. The State Auditor may conduct an audit or investigation of any entity receiving funds from the state directly under the contract or indirectly through a subcontract under the contract. Acceptance of funds directly under the contract or indirectly through a subcontract under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor, under the direction of the legislative audit committee, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. An entity that is the subject of an audit or investigation must provide the State Auditor with access to any information the State Auditor considers relevant to the investigation or audit.

5. Environmental Assessment and Mitigation

Development of the Project shall comply with all applicable federal and state environmental laws, including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, 43 TAC §2.50, and Natural Resources Code, Chapter 191.

- a. The Developer is responsible for the identification and assessment of any environmental problems associated with the development of the Project to the extent permitted by law.
- b. The Developer is responsible for the cost of all environmental permitting, mitigation, remediation, and compliance.
- c. The Developer is responsible for preparing for and providing all public meetings or public hearings required for development of the environmental decision and for summary and analysis of all public meetings or public hearings. When applicable, the Developer is also responsible for certifying that a public hearing has been held in accordance with applicable rules, the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987. Public hearings may not be held before environmental documents are approved for further processing and may not be held before approval of all highway schematics for the particular project.

- d. The Developer is responsible for the preparation of all documents required for the environmental clearance of the Project.
- e. The Developer shall submit all requests for permits, all reports, and all findings relating to the Natural Resources Code, Chapter 191, through the Department. The Developer shall provide the Department with final drafts of all necessary requests for permits, reports, and findings required by law. The Department is responsible for all coordination under those acts and for making all necessary filings with the appropriate agencies, and the Department will provide copies of those filings to the Developer. The Developer is responsible for obtaining all other permits and is responsible for obtaining all permits and approvals resulting from changes that occur after environmental approval is first obtained, except as otherwise required by law or by agreement between the Department and a state or federal agency.
- f. Before construction is begun, the Developer shall provide the Department with written certification that all required permits and commitments are complete. The Developer shall provide the Department with copies of all permit applications and approvals from each regulatory agency with environmental jurisdiction over the Project.
- g. All environmental reports and findings shall comply with the latest version of the Department's manuals. The Developer shall provide the Department with physical and electronic copies of all environmental documentation in a format approved by the Department.

6. Right of Way and Real Property

- a. The Developer is responsible for the provision and acquisition of all real property needed for the Project, including easements. All property interests shall be placed in the name of the State of Texas. The Developer may not acquire right of way until all environmental clearance procedures have been completed and either (1) right of way maps and field notes have been prepared or (2) a segment of the right of way map (consisting of one or more contiguous parcels) and the filed notes and plat maps for such parcel(s) have been prepared and certified to fall within the right of way limits of the approved schematic. The Developer must comply with all applicable state and federal laws, regulations, policies, and procedures, including the requirements of the Right of Way Manual Collection of the Department's Online Manual System and Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, 42 U.S.C. §4601 et seq. Documentation to support compliance must be maintained by the Developer. The Developer must obtain advance approval from the Department for any variance in established procedures. The Department's executive director may exercise discretion in authorizing an alternative procedure if it is sufficient to discharge the Department's responsibilities for acquiring real property. The Department may monitor and audit the Developer's acquisition of right of way on the Project at any time. On request, the Developer shall furnish the Department with satisfactory proof of compliance with applicable state and federal laws, regulations, policies, and procedures. If the Department determines that right of way maps, field notes, parcel plats, appraisals, access designations, acquisition documentation, relocation assistance benefits, or any other acquisition requirement is not in compliance with this agreement, the Developer shall take all necessary steps to achieve compliance. The cost for additional work to achieve compliance shall be borne by the Developer.
- b. The Developer is responsible for any required relocation assistance along the route of the right of way as may be determined to be eligible under the relocation assistance program. The relocation assistance plan must provide reasonable time frames for

orderly relocation of residents and businesses on the Project. All costs associated with the relocation assistance, including payments to displacees, will be assumed by the Developer.

7. Utilities

If the required right of way encroaches on existing utilities and the Project requires their adjustment, removal, or relocation, the Developer shall be responsible for determining the scope of utility work and notifying the appropriate utility company to schedule adjustments. The Developer shall be responsible for the adjustment, removal, or relocation of utility facilities in accordance with applicable state laws, regulations, rules, policies, and procedures, including 43 TAC §21.21 et seq. (State Participation in Relocation, Adjustment, and/or Removal of Utilities); and 43 TAC §21.31 et seq. (Utility Accommodation). The Developer shall be responsible for all costs associated with additional adjustment, removal, or relocation during the construction of the Project unless this work is provided by the owners of the utility facilities. Before a construction contract for the Project is let, a utility certification must be made available to the Department stating that all utilities needing to be adjusted for completion of the construction activity have been adjusted.

8. Architectural and Engineering Services

The Developer has responsibility for the performance of architectural and engineering services, including the responsibility of ensuring that all environmental permits, issues, coordination, mitigation, and commitments are adequately addressed in design of the Project and carried out during construction of the Project. The engineering plans shall be developed in accordance with the latest version of the Department's manuals. The Department's executive director may exercise discretion in authorizing alternative criteria or granting exceptions to this requirement on a case-by-case basis if a particular criterion could not reasonably be met because of physical, environmental, or other relevant factors and if the proposed design is a prudent engineering solution. The procurement of professional services shall comply with all federal requirements including those cited in 23 CFR Part 172 and those relating to participation by disadvantaged business enterprises (DBEs), the Americans with Disabilities Act, and environmental matters. Professional services shall be procured in compliance with Government Code Chapter 2254, Subchapter A. Access to the facility shall be in compliance with the Department's access management policy.

9. Construction Responsibilities

- a. The Developer shall advertise for construction bids, issue bid proposals, receive and tabulate the bids, and award and administer the contract for construction of the Project. Administration of the contract includes the responsibility for construction engineering and for issuance of any change orders, supplemental agreements, amendments, or additional work orders, which may become necessary after the award of the construction contract. The bidding process must be competitive and must comply with all applicable federal and state laws. The Project must be authorized by the Department before it is advertised for letting. The Project must also be authorized by the Federal Highway Administration before it is advertised for letting.
- b. The Developer has the responsibility of overseeing all construction operations, including the responsibility of ensuring that all environmental permits, issues, coordination, mitigation, and commitments are adequately addressed, of assessing potential environmental effects of contract revisions, and of obtaining environmental permits, issues, coordination, mitigation, and commitments that may be required by contract

revisions. Contract revisions shall comply with the latest version of all national and state administrative criteria and manuals. No contract revision may be made without the prior written approval of the Department's executive director if it would affect prior environmental approvals, significantly revise the scope of the Project or the geometric design, or change the cost to the Department. Procedures governing approval are contained in **Attachment D**.

- c. When each Project Segment is complete, the Developer shall issue and sign a "Notification of Completion" acknowledging the Segment's construction completion. Within six months after the Project Segment is complete, the Developer shall file with the Department a set of as-built plans that incorporate any contract revisions. These plans shall be signed, sealed, and dated by a professional engineer licensed in Texas, who shall certify that the Project Segment was constructed in accordance with the plans and specifications.
- d. The Developer is responsible for providing adequate inspection to ensure its contractor's compliance with the provisions of this agreement. At any time the Department may audit the construction process to ensure the adequate inspection of construction and may conduct its own inspection of construction.
- e. The parties to this agreement shall comply with federal construction requirements cited in 23 CFR Part 635 and with requirements cited in 23 CFR Part 633, and shall include the latest version of Form FHWA-1273 in the contract bidding documents. If force account work will be performed, a finding of cost effectiveness shall be made in compliance with 23 CFR 635, Part B.
- f. The Developer shall be responsible for purchasing, installing and maintaining the traffic counter equipment for the term of the agreement at the specified Project Segment count sites as shown in **Attachment A**, Location Map of Project. The Developer shall provide permanent site traffic counter equipment in accordance with the Department specifications. The Developer shall provide installation, preventive and remedial maintenance, inspection, testing and repair of traffic data collection equipment including labor, equipment, materials and parts. The Developer shall provide the above described service including installation of new components and repairs at specified locations. The Department shall be responsible for repairs to data collection equipment that is damaged during Department maintenance operations. The Department's local district office shall be responsible for collecting the traffic data and then providing this data to the Department's Transportation Planning and Programming Division (TPP) for verification. The number of vehicles and/or the number of vehicle miles travelled on the Project will be based on an actual traffic count that will be provided to the Developer by the Department. For traffic counter equipment malfunctions or breakdowns, a three (3) month traffic data average will be used. The Developer shall confirm the traffic count provided by the Department, and upon agreement of the traffic count, shall give 60 days prior notification of payment, by letter or invoice, to the local district office. The local district office will then verify and approve the invoice and prepare a reimbursement pay form to be submitted to the Department, Finance Division, Accounting Management Section, at least 30 days before the payment is due to the Developer.

10. Maintenance

The Department shall be responsible for maintenance of each Project Segment after completion of the work.

11. Repayment

- a. This paragraph applies until the Project as a whole is substantially complete and all highway improvements are open to the public. The Department shall reimburse the Developer by paying an annual amount equal to \$0.04 for each vehicle-mile traveled on the highway improvements that were substantially complete and open to the public at any time during the previous year. The total cumulative reimbursement by the Department for FM 1957 (Segment 1) shall not exceed \$37,500,000 and for SH 211 (Segment 2) shall not exceed \$18,100,000.
- b. This paragraph applies after the Project as a whole is substantially complete and all highway improvements are open to the public. The Department shall reimburse the Developer by paying an annual amount equal to \$0.04 for each vehicle-mile traveled on the Project during the previous year. The annual reimbursement shall be no less than \$2,780,000.
- c. The number of vehicle-miles traveled on the Project during a year will be based on the Department's actual traffic counts, which shall be performed in good faith and shall be conclusive and not subject to litigation in any forum.
- d. The annual payment shall be made within 60 days after the first anniversary of the date on which a Project Segment is substantially complete and open to the public. Subsequent annual payments shall be made on or before 60 days after each succeeding anniversary of the first Segment's completion.
- e. Under no circumstances will the annual reimbursement be more than \$5,560,000, nor will the total payments under this article, during the course of this agreement, exceed \$55,600,000 unless this agreement is amended.
- f. Payment under this contract beyond the end of the current fiscal biennium is subject to availability of appropriated funds. The Department will request funds for payment under this contract in future fiscal biennia and reasonably believes that the funds will be appropriated.

12. Mutual Cooperation

The Department and the Developer shall use all reasonable efforts to meet all deadlines specified in this agreement. The Department and the Developer shall use best efforts to provide each other with all necessary documents, information, and approvals in a prompt and timely fashion.

13. Termination

This agreement terminates automatically when the Department has reimbursed the Developer fully. In addition,

- a. the agreement may be terminated in writing with the mutual consent of the parties; or
- b. the agreement may be terminated by either party because of a material breach by the other party.
- c. If the Developer has not commenced construction of all project segments within five years of the date of execution of this agreement, consideration for termination is in effect.

14. Remedies

This agreement shall not be considered as specifying the exclusive remedy for any default, but either party may avail itself of any remedy existing at law or in equity, and all remedies shall be cumulative.

15. Notices

All notices to either party shall be delivered personally or sent by certified or U.S. mail, postage prepaid, addressed to that party at the following address:

Developer:	Department:
<u>Bexar County Infrastructure Services</u> <u>233 N. Pecos La Trinidad, Suite 420</u> <u>San Antonio, Texas 78207</u> <u>(210) 335-6700</u>	<u>Texas Department of Transportation</u> <u>Attn: John A. Barton, P.E.</u> <u>Assistant Executive Director for</u> <u>Engineering Operations</u> <u>125 East 11th Street</u> <u>Austin, Texas 78701-2483</u>

All notices shall be deemed given on the date delivered in person or deposited in the mail. Either party may change the above address by sending written notice of the change to the other party. Either party may request in writing that notices shall be delivered personally or by certified U.S. mail, and that request shall be carried out by the other party.

16. Assignment and Subcontracts

An assignment or subcontract may not be executed by the Developer without prior written authorization by the Department. Subcontracts in excess of \$10,000 shall contain all applicable terms and conditions of this contract. No subcontract will relieve the Developer of its responsibility under this contract. Neither party shall assign any interest in this agreement.

17. Ownership of Property

After completion or termination of this agreement, all documents prepared by the Department shall remain the property of the Department. All data prepared under this agreement shall be made available to the Department without restriction or limitation on further use. All documents produced or approved or otherwise created by the Developer shall be transmitted to the Department in the form of photocopy reproduction on a monthly basis as required by the Department. The originals shall remain the property of the Developer. The Developer shall grant the Department an irrevocable, perpetual, nonexclusive license to use all intellectual property acquired or developed under this contract.

18. Developer Resources

All employees of the Developer shall have adequate knowledge and experience to enable them to perform the duties assigned to them. The Developer certifies that it currently has adequate qualified personnel in its employment to perform the work required under this contract or will be able to obtain adequate qualified personnel from sources other than the Department. On receipt of written notice from the Department detailing supporting factors and evidence, the Developer shall remove from the Project any employee of the Developer who is incompetent or whose conduct becomes detrimental to the work. Unless otherwise specified, the Developer shall furnish all equipment, materials, supplies, and other resources required to perform the work.

19. Responsibilities of the Parties

Each party acknowledges that it is not an agent, servant, or employee of the other party. Each party is responsible for its own acts and deeds and for those of its agents, servants, or employees.

20. Compliance with Laws

The parties shall comply with all federal, state, and local laws, statutes, ordinances, rules, and regulations, and with the orders and decrees of any courts or administrative bodies or tribunals in any manner affecting the performance of this agreement. When requested, the Developer shall furnish the Department with satisfactory proof of this compliance. The Developer shall provide or obtain all applicable permits, plans, or other documentation required by a federal or state entity.

21. Legal Construction

In case one or more of the provisions contained in this agreement shall for any reason be held invalid, illegal, or unenforceable in any respect, that invalidity, illegality, or unenforceability shall not affect any other provisions and this agreement shall be construed as if it did not contain the invalid, illegal, or unenforceable provision.

22. Insurance

To the extent that this agreement authorizes the Developer or its contractor to perform any work on Department right of way, before beginning work the entity performing the work shall provide the Department with a fully executed copy of the Department's Form 1560 Certificate of Insurance verifying the existence of coverage in the amounts and types specified on the Certificate of Insurance for all persons and entities working on Department right of way. This coverage shall be maintained until all work on the Department right of way is complete. If coverage is not maintained, all work on Department right of way shall cease immediately, and the Department may recover damages and all costs of completing the work.

23. Hold Harmless

The Developer shall save harmless the Department and its officers and employees from all claims and liability due to its materials or activities of itself, its agents, or employees, performed under this contract and that are caused by or result from error, omission, or negligent act of the Developer or of any person employed by the Developer. The Developer shall also save harmless the Department from any and all expense, including but not limited to attorney fees that may be incurred by the Department in litigation or otherwise resisting the claim or liabilities that may be imposed on the Department as a result of such activities by the Developer, its agents, or employees.

24. Sole Agreement

This agreement constitutes the only agreement between the parties and supersedes any prior understandings or written or oral agreements concerning the agreement's subject matter.

25. Compliance with Texas Accessibility Standards and ADA

All parties to this agreement shall ensure that the plans for and the construction of the Project are in compliance with the Texas Accessibility Standards issued by the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation and with the Americans with Disability Act

Accessibility Guidelines issued by the U.S. Architectural and Transportation Barriers
Compliance Board.

26. Gratuities

Any person who is doing business with or who reasonably speaking may do business with the Department under this contract may not make any offer of benefits, gifts, or favors to employees of the Department. The only exceptions allowed are ordinary business lunches and items that have received the advanced written approval of the Department's executive director.

27. Conflict of Interest

The Developer shall not assign an employee to the Project if the employee:

- a. owns an interest in or is an officer or employee of a business entity that has or may have a contract with the Department relating to the Project;
- b. has a direct or indirect financial interest in the outcome of the Project;
- c. has performed services regarding the subject matter of the Project for an entity that has a direct or indirect financial interest in the outcome of the Project or that has or may have a contract with the Department; or
- d. is a current part-time or full-time employee of the Department.

28. Signatory Warranty

The signatories to this agreement warrant that each has the authority to enter into this agreement on behalf of the party represented.

29. Cost Principles

The parties shall comply with the cost principles established in OMB Circular A-87.

30. Procurement and Property Management Standards

The parties shall adhere to the procurement standard established in 49 CFR §18.36 and with the property management standard established in 49 CFR §18.32.

31. Inspection of Books and Records

The parties shall maintain all books, documents, papers, accounting records, and other documentation relating to costs incurred under this agreement and shall make those materials available to the Department, the Developer, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the U.S. Office of the Inspector General for review and inspection at its office during the contract period and for four (4) years from the date of completion of work defined under this contract or until any impending claims are resolved. Additionally, the Department, the Developer, and the FHWA shall have access to all the governmental records that are directly applicable to this agreement for the purpose of making audits, examinations, excerpts, and transcriptions, including records in the possession of the Developer's agents to the extent that they relate to expenditures for which reimbursement is requested. At the request of the State, the Local Government shall submit any information required by the State in the format directed by the State.

32. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Audit Requirements

The parties shall comply with the requirements of the Single Audit Act of 1984, P.L. 98-502, ensuring that the single audit report includes the coverage stipulated in OMB Circular A-133.

33. Civil Rights Compliance

The Developer shall comply with the regulations of the Department of Transportation as they relate to nondiscrimination (49 CFR Chapter 21 and 23 CFR §710.405(B)), and with Executive Order 11246, titled "Equal Employment Opportunity," as amended by Executive Order 11375 and as supplemented in the Department of Labor Regulations (41 CFR Part 60).

34. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program Requirements

The parties shall comply with the Disadvantaged/Minority Business Enterprise Program requirements established in 49 CFR Part 26. The Developer's program and goals are subject to approval by the Department.

35. Debarment Certifications

The parties are prohibited from making any award at any tier to any party that is debarred or suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal Assistance Programs under Executive Order 12549, "Debarment and Suspension." By executing this agreement, the Developer certifies that it is not currently debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal Assistance Programs under Executive Order 12549. The parties to this contract shall require any party to a subcontract or purchase order awarded under this contract to certify its eligibility to receive Federal funds and, when requested by the Department, to furnish a copy of the certification.

36. Lobbying Certification

In executing this agreement, each signatory certifies that:

- a. No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the parties to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any federal agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any federal contract, the making of any federal grant, the making of any federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- b. If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with federal contracts, grants, loans, or cooperative agreements, the signatory for the Developer shall complete and submit the federal Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- c. The parties shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

By executing this agreement, the parties affirm this lobbying certification with respect to the Project and affirm this certification of the material representation of facts upon which reliance will be made. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Title 31 U.S.C. §1352.

Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES bind themselves to the faithful performance of this contract.

Texas Department of Transportation
Developer's Name


Authorized Signature

John Barton, P.E.
Assistant Executive Director-EO
Texas Department of Transportation

Date: 13 JAN 2010

Bexar County
Developer's Name


Authorized Signature


Nelson W. Wolff
Bexar County Judge

Date: 1/5/10

ATTEST:

GERARD C. RICKHOFF
County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
Susan D. Reed
Criminal District Attorney
Bexar County, Texas

By: 
KELSEY MENZEL
Assistant Criminal District Attorney

APPROVED AS TO FINANCIAL CONTENT:



TOMMY J. TOMPKINS
County Auditor


DAVID SMITH
Executive Director of Planning and
Resources Mgt./Budget Officer

APPROVED:

JOE A. ACEVES, P.E.
Executive Director; Infrastructure Services Dept.

ATTACHMENT A Location Map of Project



\\B2601\Exhibit_1_8.5x11.dwg 12/10/2009 9:38:57 AM

ATTACHMENT B

Project Description & Scope of Work


Segment 1

FM 1957 (Potranco Road) from Loop 1604 to 600' west of SH 211

CSJ: 2104-02-027

The Developer is proposing to improve a 4.7 mile section of existing FM 1957 between Loop 1604 and SH 211.

FM 1957 (Potranco Road) is to be constructed as a four-lane facility, with two lanes in each direction and a raised center median as illustrated on the typical section shown below.



The improvement of FM 1957 from Loop 1604 to SH 211 will provide for enhanced connection between two western relief routes of SH 211 and Loop 1604. The projects will enhance regional mobility.

The schematic design for the project is complete. An Environmental Assessment has been underway for FM 1957. The Developer will complete the Environmental Assessment for FM 1957. The Developer will be responsible for assessing environmental issues, conducting environmental impact studies, and preparing appropriate environmental documents. Environmental studies will be conducted in accordance with TxDOT and FHWA requirements. Environmental compliance issues would be anticipated to include consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).


No right-of way is required for FM 1957.

Segment 2

SH 211 from FM 1957 (Potranco Road) to FM 471 (Culebra Road)
CSJs: 3544-03-002, 3544-04-002, 3544-05-001, 3544-06-001

The Developer is proposing to improve a 7.6 mile section of SH 211 between FM 1957 and FM 471.

SH 211 from FM 1957 (Potranco Road) to FM 471 (Culebra Road) is initially planned as a two-lane, rural section constructed on new alignment, with the ultimate development planned as a fully controlled access facility with frontage roads. Proposed typical section is illustrated below.



The schematic design for the project is complete. The Developer will perform a re-evaluation of the Environmental Assessment on SH 211. The Developer will be responsible for assessing environmental issues, conducting environmental impact studies, and preparing appropriate environmental documents. Environmental studies will be conducted in accordance with TxDOT and FHWA requirements. Environmental compliance issues would be anticipated to include consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA), and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The majority of the right-of way for SH 211 has been acquired or will be donated.

ATTACHMENT C

Budget

Description	Estimated PS&E Cost	Estimated Environ. Mitigation Cost	Estimated Right-of-Way Cost	Estimated Project Construction Cost	Estimated Construction Administration Cost	Department Participation (1)	Developer Participation
Segment 2 SH 211 from FM 1957 to FM 471	\$1,640,000	\$5,960,000	\$4,580,000	\$18,100,000	\$1,810,000	\$18,100,000	\$13,990,000
Segment 1 FM 1957 from Loop 1604 to SH 211	\$2,430,000	\$0	\$0	\$37,500,000	\$3,750,000	\$37,500,000	\$6,180,000
Total	\$4,070,000	\$5,960,000	\$4,580,000	\$55,600,000	\$5,560,000	\$55,600,000	\$20,170,000

(1) Upon acceptance of both Project Segments described in Attachment B by the San Antonio office of the Department, the representative of the Department hereby confirms that the Department guarantees that the Developer shall receive \$55,600,000 to be paid based upon the vehicle-mile traveled on each Segment of the Project. In the event that the Developer does not proceed with the either one of the Project Segments, the parties agree to prepare a revised Attachment C to document the Department's payment obligations pursuant to this agreement.

ATTACHMENT D

Project Implementation

Overview

This Attachment defines the roles, the responsibilities, and the working relationship between the Developer and the Department during the implementation of the Project.

1. Environmental Studies and Mitigation

- 1.1. The Developer shall complete all environmental studies and documents required to secure environmental approval, including each of the following items.
 - 1.1.1. Preparation and completion of environmental studies, including obtaining right of entry to perform such studies. All environmental studies will be performed by environmental specialists who meet the requirements to perform those studies.
 - 1.1.2. Submission of appropriate documentation (categorical exclusion, environmental assessment, and environmental impact statement, including reevaluation, and supplemental documentation) for Department review and approval. Department review is detailed in section 1.2 below.
 - 1.1.3. Preparation of any document revisions.
 - 1.1.4. Submission to the Department of copies of the environmental studies and documentation adequate for distribution.
 - 1.1.5. Preparation of legal and public notices in accordance with 43 TAC §2.43 for Department review and use.
 - 1.1.6. Arrangements for appropriate public involvement, including court reporters and accommodations for persons with special communication or physical needs related to the public hearing, if requested. The Department will serve as the Hearing Official at any public hearing with the assistance of the Developer.
 - 1.1.7. Preparation of public meeting and hearing materials.
 - 1.1.8. Preparation of any necessary responses to comments.
 - 1.1.9. Preparation of the public meeting and public hearing summary and analysis, and the comment and response reports.
 - 1.1.10. Submission to the Department of a verbatim transcript of any public hearing and the original certification of the public involvement process as described in 43 TAC § 2.43.
 - 1.1.11. Preparation of required US Army Corps of Engineers permit applications and associated drawings for impacts to jurisdictional waters, including mitigation requirements. The Developer will be responsible wholly for any and all mitigation that would be required.

- and all agreements will remain in effect until appropriate title to the New Right of Way is conveyed to the State of Texas.
- 2.3.2.** The Developer will provide the Department with a certification that it has received the Department's Right of Way Manual Collection and that it will comply with the procedures in that collection.
 - 2.3.3.** The Developer shall execute the Certificate of Compliance appended to Attachment F "Programmatic Procedures for Right of Way Oversight of Pass-Through Toll Projects" as Exhibit "A" and each for parcel to be acquired, the Developer will use checklists attached as Exhibit "B" to the Attachment F "Programmatic Procedures".
- 2.4.** The Developer will prepare right-of-way maps, field notes, parcel plats, and other data as needed to describe the right-of-way and access rights necessary for the Project.
- 2.4.1.** The field notes and parcel plats will be signed and sealed by a Registered Professional Land Surveyor currently licensed by the "Texas Board of Professional Land Surveying."
 - 2.4.2.** Copies of this data for a representative segment of right of way will be delivered to the Department before beginning the standard process for acquisition of right of way for each individual highway improvement.
- 2.5.** The Developer will acquire fee simple title, any required drainage channel easements, and any required access rights, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances for all land to be used as right-of-way for the Project.
- 2.5.1.** Title to the New Right of Way will exclude oil, gas, and sulfur from the deed without any right in the owners to ingress or egress to or from the surface of the land for the purpose of exploring, developing, drilling, or mining.
- 2.6.** The Developer is responsible for the negotiation of access points at the time of acquisition based on Department's Roadway Design Manual, the Department's Access Management Manual, and the preferred engineering access points shown on the schematic for the highway improvement.
- 2.6.1.** The access points for each highway improvement will be incorporated into the deed when the property is acquired and will be transferred to the Department when that highway improvement is completed.
- 2.7.** Subject to the force majeure provisions of this Agreement, the Developer will ensure that all right-of-way used in constructing the Project will be free and clear of all hazardous materials and contaminants. All costs associated with the detection and remediation of the hazardous materials and contaminants shall be borne by the Developer. The Developer shall provide written documentation from appropriate regulatory agencies that all known hazardous materials and contaminants in the right of way have been adequately mitigated or that the Developer otherwise meets the requirements for regulatory closure.

- 2.8.** The Developer will, upon completion of individual highway improvements, convey all ownership of the New Right of Way to the State of Texas through the Department. The conveyance shall be in a form acceptable to the Department and shall be of fee simple title for right of way and easements for required drainage channel easements. Developer shall also convey all applicable conveyance instruments to the Department for its permanent files.
- 2.8.1.** The Developer will also provide the Department with title insurance for the New Right of Way in the name of the State of Texas as the insured owner. The policy amount shall be based upon the aggregate total amounts paid for each individual parcel.
- 2.8.2.** The Developer will provide tracings and electronic files of right-of-way maps to the Department.
- 2.8.3.** The Developer will also provide the Department a final map showing the final location of all utility lines that were adjusted or remained in place and joint use numbers assigned to those utilities.

3. Utilities

- 3.1.** The Developer is responsible for determining the scope of utility work if the required right of way encroaches on existing utilities and the Project requires their adjustment, removal, or relocation. Utilities will not be adjusted, removed, or relocated before environmental approval is secured.
- 3.2.** The Developer is responsible for notifying the appropriate utility company to schedule adjustments.
- 3.3.** The Department will grant the Developer or its authorized representative site access required to execute the work and will issue right of entry for the performance of utility relocation.
- 3.4.** The Developer is responsible for all costs associated with additional adjustment, removal, or relocation during the construction of the Project unless this work is provided by the owners of the utility facilities.

4. Engineering Services

- 4.1.** The Developer will remain the single point of contact for engineering and design issues. All correspondence and instruction to the design consultants will be the sole responsibility of the Developer.
- 4.2.** At the commencement of an individual highway improvement, the Developer will coordinate a Design Concept Conference with the Department to establish the performance parameters and design requirements for the highway improvement, including the Pavement Design, Hydraulic Design, Design Concept Conference Forms and Typical Sections, which will remain in place throughout the implementation of the highway improvement.

- 4.3.** All plans, specifications, and estimates developed by or on behalf of the Developer shall conform to the latest version of the Department's Standard Specifications for Construction and Maintenance of Highways, Streets, and Bridges, and the special specifications and special provisions related thereto, and shall conform to the latest edition and revisions of the State's Roadway Design Manual. The Department's executive director may exercise discretion in authorizing an alternative specification or alternative design criteria. The construction plans furnished to the Department shall be reproducible tracings on mylar or equivalent.
- 4.4.** If the Department determines that the complete plans, specifications, and estimates are unacceptable, the Developer shall correct the design documents to the Department's satisfaction. Should additional specifications or data be required by the Department, the Developer shall redesign the plans and specifications to the Department's satisfaction. The costs for additional work on the plans, specifications, and estimates shall be borne by the Developer.
- 4.5.** If exceptions to the Department's design criteria are required as specified in the Department Roadway Design Manual, a request for exceptions shall follow the procedure set forth in that manual.

 - 4.5.1.** If it becomes necessary to change a design after it has been approved by the Department, and if that change does not require the adoption of alternative design criteria or an exception to the Department's design criteria, the Developer will coordinate with the Department and FHWA for approval of the change.
 - 4.5.2.** The Department shall have no more than 10 business days either (1) to approve the design change as proposed by the Developer or (2) to respond with a Department-recommended alternative to the design change.
 - 4.5.3.** If the Department responds with an alternative to the design change, the Developer and the Department shall work diligently to develop a mutually agreeable design solution.
 - 4.5.4.** The Department is responsible for obtaining any necessary approval from FHWA.
- 4.6. Reviews**

 - 4.6.1.** When the design is approximately 30 percent complete, the Developer shall submit a completed pavement design to the Department. The Department may request additional information related to the pavement design, and the Developer shall provide that information promptly. The pavement design must be approved by the Department before letting. After the pavement design has been approved by the Department, it may not be changed by either party without the written consent of the other.
 - 4.6.2.** When design is 30% complete, the Developer will coordinate the submission of the following design information for a joint review session between the Developer and the Department to allow

comments and concerns to be addressed by the Developer within the expedited review schedule defined in Exhibit 2, General Review Schedule.

4.6.3. The following will be reviewed as set forth in Exhibit 1, Roles and Responsibilities.

- 1) Preliminary cross sections showing existing utility lines, R.O.W.
- 2) Plan and profile sheet showing existing and proposed:
 - a) R.O.W. lines
 - b) Roadway alignments and profiles
 - c) Intersecting streets
 - d) Curb and lane lines
 - e) Existing Utilities
- 3) Existing and proposed typical sections including pavement section
- 4) Preliminary title and index sheets.
- 5) Preliminary drainage area map, discharge relationships and drainage calculations.
- 6) Storm drainage master plan.
- 7) Preliminary culvert layouts.
- 8) Preliminary bridge and bridge classification culvert layouts, including test hole information.
- 9) Preliminary retaining wall layout, including test hole information.
- 10) Sequence of work outline for traffic control.
- 11) Preliminary traffic control typical sections and layouts.
- 12) Preliminary intersection layouts.
- 13) Preliminary utility layouts - identify potential conflicts and exchange of information with existing utilities.
- 14) Update estimates and prepare preliminary roadway and drainage quantity summary sheets.
- 15) Updated design contract schedule.
- 16) Facility typical sections and pavement design.

4.6.4. When the Project design is final, the Developer will coordinate the submission of the following information to the Department for review to allow comments and concerns to be addressed by the Developer to secure approval of the Department and FHWA within the expedited review schedule defined in Exhibit 2, General Review Schedule.

- 1) Seven (7) copies of final plans, specifications, and engineer's estimate.
- 2) Revisions to the preliminary design submittal.
- 3) Proposal to award construction contract in compliance with applicable state and federal requirements.
- 4) Proposed contract administration procedures for the construction contract with criteria that comply with the applicable national or state administration criteria and manuals.
- 5) Documentation of all environmental permits, issues, and commitments that will be addressed in construction.

4.6.5. Approval by the Department of this final design submittal will constitute authorization for the Developer to advertise for construction bids.

- 4.6.6. For any individual highway improvement with a construction cost over \$25 million, the Developer shall conduct a value engineering workshop.

5. Construction Responsibilities

- 5.1. The Developer will supervise and inspect all work performed during construction and provide engineering inspection and testing services as may be required to ensure that the Project is accomplished in accordance with the approved plans and specifications.
 - 5.1.1. Unless the parties enter a separate agreement to the contrary, all correspondence and instruction to the contractor performing the work will be the sole responsibility of the Developer.
 - 5.1.2. All work will be performed, unless otherwise specifically stated in the contract documents for the Project, in accordance with the Standard Specifications for Construction and Maintenance of Highways, Streets, and Bridges or special specifications or provisions approved by the Department.
- 5.2. The Department will allow the Developer or its authorized representative to have access to the site to perform any activities required to execute the work and issue a right of entry for the performance of all construction activity.
- 5.3. The Developer will negotiate and approve all Change Orders and contract revisions that the Developer finds necessary or convenient to accomplish the construction activities for the Project. For Change Orders and contract revisions that affect prior environmental approvals or result in non-conformity with the specifications and standards agreed upon for the Project, the Developer must assess any potential environmental effects and any additional or revised environmental permits, issues, coordination, mitigation, and commitments required as a result of the contract revisions.
 - 5.3.1. The Developer will document any such changes, including a proposed course of action.
 - 5.3.2. The Developer will notify the Department of the need for such changes and submit the appropriate documentation.
 - 5.3.3. The Department shall have no more than 10 business days after the Developer's submission either to approve the changes as submitted by the Developer or to respond with the Department's proposed revisions.
 - 5.3.4. If the Department responds with revisions, the Developer and the Department will work diligently and in good faith to develop mutually agreeable changes that shall then be implemented by the Developer.
 - 5.3.5. The Developer shall be responsible for obtaining any required approvals from federal, state, or local governmental authorities, with the exception of the Department and FHWA, and except as otherwise specified in this agreement.
 - 5.3.6. To the extent that a Change Order requires the adoption of alternative design criteria, an exception to the Department's design criteria, or a

change in the approved design, the design must be approved as set forth in Sections 4.3, 4.4, or 4.5, as applicable.

- 5.4. The Developer will comply with applicable Federal requirements throughout the procurement and construction process in order to maintain the Department's eligibility for Federal reimbursement for Project costs. The Developer's compliance with requirements necessary to maintain eligibility for federal reimbursement is a condition precedent to performance by the Department.
- 5.5. Within six (6) months of issuance of the "Notification of Completion," the following documentation will be provided to the Department:
 - 1) Record Drawings
 - 2) Engineer Certification of Project Completion
 - 3) Right of Way Parcel Information (Exhibits, Descriptions, Right of Way Maps, Field Notes, etc.)

6. General

- 6.1. Unless otherwise specified in this agreement, all actions required of the Developer shall be taken by the Developer's Program Manager, who shall be an individual designated by name by the Developer. The Developer's Program Manager may delegate responsibility to another person in a writing provided to the Department.
- 6.2. Unless otherwise specified in this agreement, all actions required of the Department shall be taken by the Department's District Engineer. The District Engineer may delegate responsibility to another person in a writing provided to the Developer. Whenever this agreement requires an action to be taken by the Department's executive director, that responsibility may be delegated to another Department employee who is not below the level of district engineer. On request, the Department will provide the Developer with a copy of the executive director's delegation of authority.
- 6.3. The Developer and the Department will attempt to agree on the procedures and policies to be used by the Department in the auditing of Project activities.
- 6.4. The Developer and the Department will attempt to agree on a transition plan at the time of or before completion of the Project.
- 6.5. The Developer will schedule regular meetings with the Department to maintain the communication necessary to successfully implement the Project.
- 6.6. The Developer will prepare program organizational and management documents, including Program Management Plan and Quality Control/Quality Assurance Plan for all work products. The Developer will provide these documents to the Department for all contracted firms participating in the Project.

- 6.7.** The Developer will maintain all documentation relative to implementation and completion of the Project, including without limitation documentation relating to environmental issues, acquisition of right of way, preliminary and final design, and bidding, award, and construction of the Project.

Exhibit 1: Roles and Responsibilities for Each Entity

	Responsible Party
Preliminary Engineering	
Retain Consultant	Developer
Develop Preliminary Design	Developer
Develop Preliminary Cost Estimate	Developer
Define Right of Way Requirements	Developer
TxDOT Review and Approval of Preliminary Engineering Report	Developer, Department
Environmental Review	
Retain Consultant	Developer
Draft Environmental Documents	Developer
Schedule & Conduct Public Meetings	Developer, Department
Review of Environmental Documentation	Developer, Department, FHWA
Notification and Documentation of Comments	Developer
Publish and Hold Public Hearings	Developer, Department
Analyze and Document Public Hearings	Developer
Final Review	Developer, Department, FHWA
Document Approval	Department, FHWA
Environmental Permits, Issues, and Commitments	Developer
Permitting	
Develop Required Permit Applications	Developer
Submit Required Permit Applications	Developer, Department
Right of Way Acquisition	
Develop Right of Way Budget	Developer
Retain Surveyor	Developer
Develop Right of Way Map	Developer
Retain Appraisers	Developer
Work with Owners on Donations, Access, Etc.	Developer
Purchase Parcels After NEPA Process	Developer
Eminent Domain Proceedings	Developer
Utility Identification and Relocation	Developer
Audit of Right of Way Process	Department

	Responsible Party
Design	
Retain Designer, Geotech, Surveyor, and other professional service providers	Developer
Develop 30% Submittal Package	Developer
30% Submittal for Department Review	Developer
Final Submittal for Department Review	Developer
Approval of Design	Department, FHWA
Bid for Construction	
Preparation of Bid Documents	Developer
Advertisement for Bids	Developer
Bid Opening, Evaluation, and Award	Developer
Construction	
Coordination with Utilities for Relocation	Developer
Issuance of Construction Notice To Proceed	Developer
Administration of Construction Contract	Developer
Inspection of Construction	Developer
Issuance of Notification of Completion	Developer

Exhibit 2: General Review Schedule

Specific timelines are incorporated into the timeline for each highway improvement.

		Type of Review	Review Time
1		Preliminary/Schematic Layout Review	2 weeks
2	Environmental Review	Joint Environmental Review	To be determined
		Review (Department)	
		Review (Categorical Exclusion) (2 weeks district; 2 weeks ENV)	4 weeks
		Review (Environmental Assessment) (3 weeks district; 3 weeks ENV)	6 weeks
		Review (Environmental Impact Statement) (4 weeks district; 4 weeks ENV)	8 weeks
		Resource Agency Review	To be determined
		FHWA Review (draft document)	To be determined (minimum 4 weeks)
		Release by the Department to Public Hearing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advertising for public hearing • Conducting Public Hearing • Receipt of written comments 	6 weeks plus 10 days for receipt of written comments
		Developer Addresses Comments from Public Hearing (note, 3 weeks is minimum for non-controversial projects, and is not realistic for a developer-type project)	6 weeks
		Department Review of Comments (2 weeks district; 2 weeks ENV)	4 weeks
	FHWA Review of Comments and issues determination document	To be determined (minimum 4 weeks)	
3	Review of Plans, Specifications, and Estimates	30% Completion	2 weeks
		100% Completion	2 weeks
		Federal Approval of Letter of Authority	1 week

ATTACHMENT E

Order of Commissioners Court

Gerard Rickhoff



BEXAR COUNTY COURT HOUSE
100 DOLOROSA, SUITE 104
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78205

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF BEXAR §

I, GERARD RICKHOFF, County Clerk, and Ex-Officio Clerk of the Commissioners Court of Bexar County, Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Original Order (s) passed by the Commissioners Court on the 3rd day of November A.D., 20 09, as the same appears of Record in my office in the Minutes of Commissioners Court of Bexar County, Texas.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, witness my hand and Official Seal of Office in the City of San Antonio, County of Bexar on this the 4th day of January A.D., 20 10.



GERARD RICKHOFF
COUNTY CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO CLERK
OF COMMISSIONERS COURT OF
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

BY: Leon Salazar
Deputy County Clerk

A letter of condolence is to be sent to the family of William Snyder Jr. expressing to them the sympathy of the court on the loss of their loved one. He enjoyed a long professional music career. He played with the San Antonio Symphony for 26 years, directed the Youth Symphony Orchestra and taught in the San Antonio Independent School District. He is survived by his dear friend and travel companion Fern Burke, daughter; Stephanie Bostic, son; Bill; and 6 grandchildren.

A letter of condolence is to be sent to the family of Victoria Haese expressing to them the sympathy of the court on the loss of their loved one. She was a 1973 graduate of St. Gerard's High School and of St. Phillips College of Nursing where she received her Licensed Vocational Nurses training. She was a volunteer for St. Benedict's Catholic Church where she served as the Children's Choir director and held other positions as a supporter and volunteer of St. Benedict's Catholic School. She is survived by her husband Alfred Haese, sons; Eric Haese, Ryan Haese and Sean Siggins, sisters; Catherine Allen, Cheryl Segan, and Susan Hunt and numerous nieces and nephews.

A letter of condolence is to be sent to the family of Rev. E. Thurman Walker expressing to them the sympathy of the court on the loss of their loved one. A San Antonio native, he began his ministry here in 1987 as the assistant pastor to the Rev. John Joseph Rector Sr., who had served 40 years in the position and became pastor after Rev. Rector died in 1992. In 1995, he founded the Christian Academy, a private school at the church. In 2000, he founded a nonprofit corporation, the Antioch Community Transformation Network, to provide an alternative way to serve the community in addition to traditional church-based programs. He led the creation of Community Churches for Social Action, a coalition of East Side churches that gave them a large voice as a group. He also worked behind the scenes in business and politics, and last year he delivered the opening prayer at a campaign by then Sen. Barack Obama. He came to the ministry well prepared in business and religious matters. He received his bachelor's in accounting from Colorado College, his master of divinity degree from the Interdenominational Theological Center at Morehouse College in Atlanta, and his doctorate in ministry from United Theological Seminary in Dayton, Ohio.

A letter of condolence is to be sent to the family of Lisa Marie Meffert expressing to them the sympathy of the court on the loss of their loved one. She completed her undergraduate studies in the Biological Sciences at Purdue University of Houston in 1982. In 1988 she gained her Ph. D. from the University of Houston in the Department of Biology. Subsequently, she served with distinction on the facilities of both the University of Houston and in the Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology at Rice University. She is survived by her husband Dr. Nicholas Terry and her parents, Roland and Marcella Meffert and numerous relatives and friends.

A letter of condolence is to be sent to the family of Jesse Oppenheimer expressing to them the sympathy of the court on the loss of their loved one. An attorney for 63 years, he founded his own law firm, Oppenheimer, Blend, Harrison & Tate, Inc., in 1969. He graduated with honors from the University of Arizona, and then attended Harvard Law School, where he served as an editor of the Harvard Law Review. Graduating cum laude in 1942, he took a leave from Harvard shortly after the attack on Pearl Harbor and served four years in the Army, seeing action in both the European and Pacific Theatres and rising to the rank of Lieutenant Colonel. He received Theatre Commendations for his service in both Europe and the Pacific and was recommended for the Legion of Merit. After the war he returned to Harvard for graduate studies in Taxation. He was as a passionate advocate of human rights and social justice a commitment exemplified by his willingness to speak out on issues and his many charitable contributions. He served on the boards of the Marion Koogler McNay Art Museum (former President); the Robert B. Green Hospital, Santa Rosa Children's Hospital; the World Affairs Council of San Antonio; the Symphony Society of San Antonio (President and Chairman); the United Way; The Bexar County Mental Health Association; Children's Service Bureau; Ursuline Academy; St. Mary's Hall; the Argyle Club, where he was on the Advisory Board; the Downtown Association of San Antonio and InterFirst Bank San Antonio, N.A. He was appointed a Trustee of the Woodrow Wilson International Center of Scholars in Washington, D.C. An avid rancher and outdoorsman, he was a Founder and Trustee of the Southwestern Cattle Raisers Foundation (now the Cattle Raisers Museum in Fort Worth). He is survived by his wife Susan Rosenthal Oppenheimer, his son David Oppenheimer; daughters Barbara Cohn and Jean Oppenheimer and four grandchildren

copy of the original on file and
in office ATTES:LD 1/4/10
CERARICAN
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY TEXAS
15

A letter of condolence is to be sent to the family of Hilmer Pingnot expressing to them the sympathy of the court on the loss of their loved one. He served his country in Italy as a member of the U.S. Army Air Corp during World War II. Following the war, he became an attorney, and devoted much of his free time to helping those in most need. He was a long time member of St. Celia Church. He is survived by his sister Patricia Ray and by a number of nieces and nephews.

Commissioners Court will adjourn today's meeting in honor and memory of:

*Lonnie Villarreal
William Snyder Jr.
Victoria Haese
Rev. E. Thurman Walker
Lisa Marie Meffert
Jesse Oppenheimer
Hilmer Pingnot*

◆◆

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, to excuse County Commissioner Kevin A. Wolff from today's meeting. He is on county business.

Motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

Motion carried.

◆◆

Item - 3 (a-d)
November 3, 2009
Commissioners Court

The Court presented a Certificate of Excellent Service recognizing Andrea San Miguel for 35 years of service with Bexar County.

The Court presented a Proclamation to the Bexar County Welfare Board and the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services recognizing and proclaiming the month of November 2009 as Adoption Awareness Month in Bexar County and across the nation.


The Court presented a Proclamation recognizing and proclaiming November 7, 2009 as "10th Annual Veterans Parade Day" in Bexar County.

◆◆

Item - 4a
November 3, 2009
Community Investment

A presentation by Craig Erickson regarding an announcement of the LeRoy V. Greene, Jr. Award and honorees for each Bexar County precinct. The LeRoy V. Greene, Jr. Award was presented to **Baldemar (JR) Garza, Jr.**

Precinct 1, Sylvia C. Sanchez
Precinct 2, Baldemar (JR) Garza Jr.
Precinct 3, John Clamp
Precinct 4, Joseph Schaefer



◆◆

Commissioners Court recessed at this time. 12:10 p.m.

◆◆

Commissioners Court reconvened at this time. 1:45 p.m.

◆◆

Item – 5
November 3, 2009
Commissioners Court

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the Consent Agenda (items 9-51) with the exception of items 10, 21, 23, 25, 26 and 50, which will be heard separately.

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item – 9
November 3, 2009
District Clerk's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a request to rehire a Bexar County retiree, as a File Clerk at the minimum salary of a Grade NE-01, \$20,292.00 which hourly wage is \$9.75. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

◆◆

Item – 11
November 3, 2009
Sheriff's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the Sheriff's request to accept monetary (\$1,000) and in-kind donation from community agencies, businesses, and individuals for the Sheriff's MATCH/PATCH Program Annual Christmas event for the children of the incarcerated scheduled for December 10, 2009 for MATCH and December 17, 2009 for PATCH. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

STATE
This document which this certificate is
may have been altered to reflect
of personal information
and shall be a true and correct
copy of the original on file and all records
in the office ATLAS/ID 1/4/10
TERA DICKAUFF
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
Deputy

♦♦

Item – 12
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving accounts and authorizing checks be drawn totaling:
(Record)

- \$ 3,146,795.97 (Checks printed October 21, 2009)
- \$ 2,449,551.50 (Checks printed October 23, 2009)
- \$ 3,581,958.49 (Checks printed October 28, 2009)
- \$12,565,614.57 (Checks printed October 30, 2009)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 13
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the disbursement of payroll, including overtime, and auto allowances to be paid Friday, November 13, 2009. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 14
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the County Auditor to pay routine bills through Friday, November 13, 2009. The list of bills paid will be presented to the Court on November 17, 2009. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 15
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the payment of cellular telephone bills over \$75.00 for the period of August through September 2009 for ATT (Cingular), NexTel, and Sprint. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

11/4/10
TRACY

♦♦

Item - 16
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing approval to create an additional change fund for the County Clerk's Deeds Department in the amount of \$50.00. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item - 17
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the withdrawal of Tax Refunds for Paid In Error Requests totaling \$91,531.94, which have been submitted in error on October 20, 2009 by the Auditor's Office. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item - 18
November 3, 2009
County Auditor's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the Tax Refunds for Paid In Error Requests totaling \$153,888.42, which have been reviewed by the Auditor's Office and found to be valid. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item - 19
November 3, 2009
Elections Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a request to submit a budget for the Election Department Contract Fund - 680 per Texas Election Code Section 31.100 (d)(f) and (g). (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".



♦♦

Item – 20
November 3, 2009
Elections Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a request to submit a budget for the Election Department Contract Fund per Chapter 19 funds through the Secretary of State and the TEC Section 19.004 (a)(b), effective upon approval, starting November 4, 2009. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 22
November 3, 2009
Purchasing Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion awarding a contract to the lowest and best bid submitted by Continental Battery to provide for the purchase of Automotive and Equipment Batteries on a requirements contract basis for an initial term beginning upon award and ending September 30, 2010 for an estimated amount of \$17,500.00 and providing for four (4), one-year options for renewal as requested by Bexar County Infrastructure Services Department; authorizing the Purchasing Agent to execute a contract renewal in accordance with the terms of the original contract only: (i) as provided for in each fiscal year appropriated budget, and (ii) upon mutual consent of Continental Battery and Bexar County; authorizing the Purchasing Agent to execute and file the appropriate award documents for the record. (Record)


A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 24
November 3, 2009
Purchasing Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion granting a discretionary exemption to the competitive bidding requirements set forth in the Texas County Purchasing Act for the purpose of awarding a contract for the purchase of professional services; awarding a contract to the Center For Health Care Services to provide for the purchase of medication monitoring services for mental health outpatient commitments for the period beginning upon award and ending September 30, 2010 in the amount of \$45,000.00; granting authorization and providing for payment in the amount of \$45,000.00 to the Center For Health Care Services for the purchase of medication monitoring services for mental health outpatient commitments for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009 as requested by the Bexar County Probate Court No. 1; authorizing the Purchasing Agent to execute and file the appropriate award documents for the record. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".



◆◆
Item – 27

November 3, 2009

Information Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the County Judge to sign agreement(s) between Bexar County and the Law Office of John P. Kennedy for the purpose of allowing access to the Bexar County Public Information Network for records limited to information obtainable by the public under the provisions of Rule 12 of the Rules of Judicial Administration. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

◆◆
Item – 28

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing approval of a three-year lease agreement with two (2) one-year renewal options between Bexar County, Texas and Northchase I Venture, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company, d/b/a Texas Northchase I Venture, LLC, for 2,517 square feet of rentable office space, located at 10127 Morocco, Suite 119, at an initial rate of \$2,831.63 per month, for use as a Sheriff's Office Substation. Precinct Number 3.

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

◆◆
Item – 29

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a First Amendment to the Lease Agreement between Bexar County, Texas and BFSC Group, L.P. for 6,000 square feet of office space, located at 8407 Bandera Road, to adjust the term of the lease to coincide with the actual construction time and for the continued operation of the northwest Tax Office substation. Precinct Number 2 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆
Item – 30

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a Revival and Second Amendment of Lease between Bexar County, Texas and Rafael C. Galaviz, DDS, and Rosalinda P. Galaviz for a period of four years at the current rate of \$3,130.71 per month for the continued operation of the south side Juvenile Probation Department, located at 3315 S.W. Military Drive, Suite 103. Precinct Number 1 (Record)

I hereby certify that this certificate is true and correct. My duty has been assigned to collect and disseminate personal information. I am a full-time, true and correct employee of the State of Texas. My commission expires on 11/30/10. ATTEST: SERGIO RODRIGUEZ, COUNTY CLERK, BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS. Date: 11/3/09

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

♦♦

Item - 31
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the Novation Agreement between Bexar County, Texas and GCA Investments, Inc. changing the landlords name from Gabriel Carrero to GCA Investments, Inc. for the lease space which houses the Justice of the Peace, and Constables Office Precinct 4, located at 2711 S.E. Loop 410 South. Precinct Number 4 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item - 32
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving an Inter-local Agreement between the City of Grey Forest and Bexar County to establish the rights and obligations between the two governmental agencies with regard to the CW1 High Water Detection System at Scenic Loop Road at Helotes Creek (N1). (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item - 33
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing approval of an Engineering Services Agreement between Bexar County and URS Corporation for professional civil engineering design services in an amount not to exceed \$308,366.94 related to the Live Oak Slough Overflow Control (Flood Control Division MR-27), BC 2009-242. Precinct Number 1 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

NOTED
The person to which this certificate is
issued may have been altered to reflect
changes in personal information
due to the use of a list of true and correct
copies of this certificate on the and
DATE: 11/4/10
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY TEXAS
ls

◆◆

Item – 34
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the First Amendment to the Engineering Services Agreement between Bexar County and Bury + Partners-SA, Inc. in the amount of \$999,553.00 for additional professional civil engineering design services related to the San Pedro Huisache Phase II (Flood Control Division SA-22), BC 2008-316. Precincts Number 2 and 4 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item – 35
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the County Judge to execute Field Alteration Number 11 to the contract between Bexar County and Capital Excavation, in connection with the Blanco Road (FM 2696) Reconstruction Project, BC 2008-173 increasing the contract amount by \$269,412.94. Precinct Number 3 (Record)


A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item – 36
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing approval of a Letter of Understanding from Independence Title to perform title examination work and prepare closing documents for upcoming property acquisitions related to right-of-way improvement projects located in Bexar County. All precincts. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".



1/4/10
ls

◆◆

Item – 37
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the purchase of right-of-way, being 0.174 acres of land, known as Parcel 1, Galm Road located in northwest Bexar County from Mark H. Herzberg Heritage Trust and the Herzberg 1996 Trust for total consideration of \$40,215.00. Precinct Number 2 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item – 38

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the purchase of right-of-way, being 0.786 acres of land, known as Parcel 17, Galm Road located in northwest Bexar County from JSL SWR, LTD. for total consideration of \$38,120.00. Precinct Number 2 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio “Chico” Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting “Aye”.

◆◆

Item – 39

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the purchase of property, the West ½ of Lot 6, Block 7, New City Block 15661, Valley View Acres Unit 2, known as 7349 Nickle Street in the City of San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, from Alice L. Garza aka Alice L. McPhee, Owner, for a total consideration of \$49,000.00, relating to the Hausman Road Drainage Project Phase I, LC9, Flood Control Capital Project. Precinct Number 3 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio “Chico” Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting “Aye”.

◆◆

Item – 40

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the purchase of property, the East ½ of Lot 7, Block 2, New City Block 14888, Valley View Acres Unit 2, known as 7335 Glenney Street in the City of San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, from Ivy F. Dunn, Owner, for a total consideration of \$55,000.00, relating to the Hausman Road Drainage Project Phase I, LC9, Flood Control Capital Project. Precinct Number 3 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio “Chico” Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting “Aye”.

RECEIVED
The City of San Antonio
Confidential personal information
has been removed to protect
privacy. If you wish to request
copies of the original document,
please contact the City Clerk's
Office at (210) 241-1111.
4/4/10
L3

◆◆

Item – 41

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the purchase of property, the North ½ of Lot 8, Block 3, New City Block 15657, Valley View Acres Unit 2, known as 13511 Babcock Road in the City of San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, from Paullette J. Allen, Owner, for a total consideration of \$53,000.00, relating to the Hausman Road Drainage Project Phase I, LC9, Flood Control Capital Project. Precinct Number 3 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 42

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the purchase of property, Lot 10, Block 8, New City Block 15662, Valley View Acres Unit 2, known as 13203 Danvers in the City of San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, from Guillermo L. Gonzalez and Eustacia R. Gonzalez, Owners, for a total consideration of \$107,500.00, relating to the Hausman Road Drainage Project Phase I, LC9, Flood Control Capital Project. Precinct Number 3 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

♦♦

Item – 43

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing approval of a 0.7419 acre Temporary Access Easement to the City of San Antonio over and across existing County owned property within Padre Park for a period of one year to allow San Antonio Water System access from Padre Drive down to the San Antonio River for construction purposes as part of the River Improvement Project. Precinct Number 1 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 44

November 3, 2009

Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing approval of donating six parcels of land from Bexar County to the San Antonio River Authority out of Mission County Park, Padre Park and the Villamain area required as part of the tri-party participation in the San Antonio River Improvements Project, Mission Reach, said properties being described as follows: Padre Park a 2.156 acre tract; Mission County Park a 0.466 acre tract and a 7.446 acre tract; Villamain area a 2.48 acre tract, a 0.21 acre tract and the Northeast 159 feet of the Northwest 200 feet of Lot 34, N.C.B. 10933. Precinct Number 1 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

CLERK OF COUNTY COURTS
BEXAR COUNTY TEXAS
1/4/10
15

◆◆

Item – 45
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the following Subdivision Plats located within the Extra Territorial Jurisdiction of the City of San Antonio, subject to all County Regulations: (Record)

Replat and subdivision plat establishing Kallison Ranch Elementary School, owner: Northside Independent School District, located northwest of Kallison Road and Kallison Pass, not within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Precinct Number 2 (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

◆◆

Item – 46
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services/Public Works Division

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion accepting the following streets and drains in the identified subdivisions for County maintenance to begin November 3, 2009: (Record)

<u>Subdivision</u>	<u>Street/Drain</u>
Candlewood, Unit 1 Plat #030489 (Precinct #4)	Candlewick Ct. From 32 lf west of Candleknoll Circle east to Candlevue Ct., 533.64 lf Subgrade: Lime stabilized, dark brown clay Base: 9.5 flexible base Asphalt: 1 ½" Type "D" Candlevue Ct. From Candlewick Ct. 548.39 lf north to Candlemeadow south to west matching existing pavement 1,224.40 lf. Subgrade: Lime stabilized, dark brown clay Base: 9.5 flexible base Asphalt: 1 ½" Type "D" Candleside Dr. From Candlewick Ct. north to Candlemeadow Dr. 574.63 lf Subgrade: Lime stabilized, dark brown clay Base: 9.5 flexible base Asphalt: 1 ½" Type "D"
Candlewood Unit 1 Plat #030489 (Precinct #4)	Drain "A"
Canyon Springs, Unit 12E Plat #050668 (Precinct #3)	Canyon Golf Road (4200.85 lf)

STATE
 to which this certificate is
 have been added to record -
 personal information
 and correct
 and of record
 ID
 1/4/10
 CLERK
 COUNTY, TEXAS
 D

Canyon Springs, Unit 12E
 Plat #050668
 (Precinct #3)

Drain A (128.84 lf)
 Drain B (141.36 lf)
 Drain C (84.5 lf)
 Drain D (70.31 lf)
 Drain E (129.46 lf)
 Drain F (142.76 lf)

Lost Creek Subdivision, Unit 3
 Plat #060220
 (Precinct #3)

Presidio Ledge (645.0 lf)
 Presidio Alley (435.0 lf)
 Presidio Sands (529.99 lf)

Lost Creek, Unit 5
 Plat #060231
 (Precinct #3)

Lost Creek Way (1,734.59 lf)
 Presidio Cove (580.13 lf)
 Presidio Crest (843.27 lf)
 Presidio Bluff (580.04 lf)
 Presidio Mesa (417.47 lf)
 Presidio Cliff (668.54 lf)
 Mission Point (115.32 lf)
 Mission Summit (108.28 lf)
 Presidio Haven (472.06 lf)

Lost Creek Subdivision, Unit 5
 Plat #060231
 (Precinct #3)

Drain A (112.0 lf)
 Drain B (28.0 lf)
 Drain C (120.42 lf)
 Drain D (46.0 lf)
 Drain E (141.72 lf)
 Drain F (787.77 lf)
 Drain G (136.05 lf)
 Drain H (299.05 lf)
 Drain I (11.0 lf)

Silverado Hills #1, Phase 1 & 2
 Plat #050616
 (Precinct #3)

Silverado Way (1060.35 lf)
 Rodeo Ranch (813.13 lf)
 Rustic Cabin (267.27 lf)
 Saddlefoot Way (322.27 lf)
 Rustic Star (210.84 lf)
 Rustic Horse (605.87 lf)

Silverado Hills #1, Phase 1 & 2
 Plat #050616
 (Precinct #3)

Drain A (1482.0 lf)
 Drain B (243.99 lf)
 Drain B1 (45.5 lf)
 Drain D (127.0 lf)

NOTICATE
 The map to which this certificate is attached may have been altered to reflect modifications to personal information. Please contact the title and correct any errors. Thank you for your attention.

Silverado Hills, Unit 3
 Plat #060718
 (Precinct #3)

Canyon Golf Road (2,622.27 lf)
 High Country Ridge (188.110 lf)
 High Country Ridge (232.80 lf)
 Trinity Star (115.79 lf)
 Trinity Cross (1,505.52 lf)
 Trinity Meadow (92.16 lf)
 Rio Cactus Way (64.50 lf)

1/4/10
 K.

Silverado Hills, Unit 3
 Plat #060718
 (Precinct #3)

Drain A (10.0 lf)
 Drain A2 (10.0 lf)
 Drain A3 (80.31 lf)
 Drain B2 (207.84 lf)
 Drain C (475.61 lf)
 Drain D (11.0 lf)
 Drain E (46.45 lf)
 Drain F (29.78 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 2
 Plat #030027
 (Precinct #2)

Velvet Spring (331.0 lf)
 Marengo Lane (794.01 lf)
 Marigold Bay (412.03 lf)
 Country Shadow (435.0 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 4
 Plat #030067
 (Precinct #2)

Appaloosa Bay (289.70 lf)
 Spring Beauty (801.49 lf)
 Sandlet Trail (797.76 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 4
 Plat #030067
 (Precinct #2)

Drain A (82.10 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 5
 Plat #030081
 (Precinct #2)

Fairlong Trail (195.76 lf)
 Stagecoach Bay (1396.72 lf)
 Welsh Valley (123.19 lf)
 Timber Country (812.0 lf)
 Appaloosa Bay (78.04 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 5
 Plat #030081
 (Precinct #2)

Drain A (165.86 lf)
 Drain B (69.83 lf)
 Drain C (162 lf)
 Drain D (574.42 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 12
 Plat #040518
 (Precinct #2)

Mustang Walk (355.23 lf)
 Trotters Bay (609.01 lf)
 Welsh Valley (646.08 lf)
 Oak Saddle (936.69 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 12
 Plat #040518
 (Precinct #2)

Drain A (141.40 lf)

Wildhorse, Unit 13
 Plat #040524
 (Precinct #2)

Oak Saddle (145.0 lf)
 Trotters Bay (1,008.21 lf)
 Timber Country (609.26 lf)
 Shrine Country (1,248.28 lf)
 Buckskin Ranch (529.59 lf)

STATE OF TEXAS
 I hereby certify that this milk certificate is
 correct and that the information contained
 therein is true and correct.
 1/4/19
 COUNTY CLERK
 TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
 [Signature]

Wildhorse, Unit 13 Plat #040524 (Precinct #2)	Drain A (733.26 lf) Drain B (236.41 lf) Interceptor Drain C (301.06 lf) Drain D (23.0 lf)
Wildhorse, Unit 19 Plat #040420 (Precinct #2)	Drain A (1350.08 lf) Drain B (74.71 lf) Drain B1 (164.23 lf)
Wildhorse, Unit 20 Plat #050154 (Precinct #2)	Drain A (153.87 lf) Interceptor Drain B (789.83 lf)
Wildhorse, Unit 23 Plat #050441 (Precinct #2)	Shetland Brook (366.41 lf) Caspian Ledge (465.34 lf) Shetland Gate (655.64 lf) Caspian Bend (689.46 lf)
Wildhorse, Unit 23 Plat #050441 (Precinct #2)	Drain A (35.11 lf) Interceptor Drain B (11.0 lf) Interceptor Drain D (11.0 lf)
Wildhorse, Unit 24 Plat #050442 (Precinct #2)	Shetland Brook (644.29 lf) Buckskin Rise (339.36 lf) Caspian Falls (174.10 lf)
Wildhorse, Unit 25 Plat #050469 (Precinct #2)	Arabian Hill (506.14 lf) Shetland Hills (1,734.96 lf) Shetland View (274.94 lf) Connemara Hills (333.49 lf) Mustang Rise (495.18 lf) Arabian Moon (145.26 lf)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 47
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a request from the Infrastructure Services Department authorizing the County Auditor to pay \$8,018.90 in various invoices for miscellaneous supplies and services. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

...ATE
 ... which this certificate is
 ... they have been altered to reflect
 ... original person(s) of a nation
 ... is and cannot
 ... and
 1/4/10
 ...

◆◆

Item – 48
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the submission of the 2009 Citizen Corps Program (CCP) grant funding application to the Alamo Area Council of Governments (AACOG) by the Bexar County Fire Marshal/Emergency Management Office, for a total of \$60,258.28 and acceptance of funding upon award. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item – 49
November 3, 2009
Planning and Resource Management

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving an amendment to the FY 2009-10 Adopted Budget by funding 28 position in the Bexar County Sheriff's Office – Law Enforcement budget scheduled for deletion on November 30, 2009; maintain funding for position in Sheriff's Office – Law Enforcement and Sheriff's Office – Detention to conform with the terms of the Voluntary Retirement Incentive Program (VRIP) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), effective November 3, 2009. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item – 51
November 3, 2009
Planning and Resource Management

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the following budgetary transfer(s): (Record)

Office/Department	BT #	Amount	From	To
Co. Buildings Capital Improvement Fund	BT09-199	\$121,000	Appropriated Fund Balance (9450)	Flood Control Svc-SARA (5430)
Infra Svcs -Flood Control Multi Year	BT09-200	\$6,741,711	Undesignated Funds (9400)	Flood Control Svc-SARA (5430, TBD)
Planning and Resource Management	BT09-205	\$3,698,322; \$380,975; and \$47,488	FY 2008-09 Non-Departmental, Contingencies – Appropriated Fund Balance (9450); Farm to Market and Lateral Road Fund (9400); and Flood Control Fund (9450)	General Fund; Farm to Market and Lateral Road – Regular Salaries (0110); and Flood Control Fund – Regular Salaries (0110)
Economic Development	BT10-015	\$400,000	Non-Departmental Contingencies	Non-Departmental Contributions to Other Agencies

1/4/10
 CLERK
 BEXAR COUNTY TEXAS
 Deputy

Co. Building Capital Improvement Fund	BT10-018	\$450,000	Appropriated Fund Balance (9450)	Flood Control Svc-SARA (5430)
Co. Building Capital Improvement Fund	BT10-020	\$125,000,000	Flood Control Capital Projects Fund (309), Mission Reach Project (3748)	\$3,123,292 – Eagleland Betterments Project (TBD); \$1,600,000 – Park Reach Betterments Project (TBD); \$120,276,708 – Mission Reach Betterments Project (TBD)
Community Resources	BT10-22	\$35,000	Non-Departmental Consultant Services (3825)	Community Investment Administration Consultant Services (3825)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

♦♦

Item - 21
November 3, 2009
Purchasing Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion awarding a contract to the lowest and best bid submitted by Vulcan Signs on Subpart A – Sign Fabrication & Materials for an estimated amount of \$80,000.00; 3M Company on Subpart C – Sign Sheeting for an estimated amount of \$230,000.00; and Wenzel Wenzel & Associates on Subpart B – Pavement Markings for an estimated amount of \$60,000.00 and Wenzel Wenzel & Associates on Subpart D - Work Zone Traffic Control Devices & Material for an estimated amount of \$140,000.00 to provide for the purchase of Traffic Materials on a requirements contract basis for the period beginning upon award and ending September 30, 2010 for an estimated combined amount of \$510,000.00 and providing for two, one-year options for renewal as requested by Bexar County Infrastructure Services Department; authorizing the Purchasing Agent to execute a contract renewal in accordance with the terms of the original contract only: (i) as provided for in each fiscal year appropriated budget, and (ii) upon mutual consent of Vulcan Signs; 3M Company; and Wenzel Wenzel & Associates and Bexar County; authorizing the Purchasing Agent to execute and file the appropriate award documents for the record. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye"

♦♦

Item - 23
November 3, 2009
Purchasing Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion awarding a contract to the lowest and best bid submitted by Finser Construction and Remodeling, Inc. to provide for the purchase of construction services for the renovation of the Rodriguez Park Restroom in the amount of \$173,882.00 as requested by the Bexar County Infrastructure Services Department; and authorizing the Purchasing Agent to execute and file the appropriate award documents for record. (Record)

11/4/09
 ls

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item - 50
November 3, 2009
Planning and Resource Management

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a resolution by the Commissioners Court of Bexar County, Texas approving the County's Preliminary Official Statements pertaining to the sale of one or more series of Hotel Occupancy Tax and Motor Vehicle Rental Tax Revenue Venue Project Bonds; complying with the requirements contained in Municipal Securities Rule Making Board Rule 15c2-12; and other matters in connection therewith. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item - 10
November 3, 2009
Sheriff's Office

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving a request from the Sheriff's Office authorizing the County Auditor to pay \$488,855.53, for various invoices from Crystal City, GEO Group, and ARAMARK for supplies and services related to housing inmates, including appropriate budgetary transfer. (Record)


A motion was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

◆◆

Item - 26
November 3, 2009
Economic Development

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the First Amendment to the Economic Development Incentive Agreement with Park Centre Towers, LTD to adjust the developer's schedule of deliverables due to Force Majeure. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".



♦♦

Item – 25
November 3, 2009
Purchasing Department

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the removal and sale of one each surplus firearm weapon to Chief Deputy Rolando R. Tafolla, Bexar County Sheriff's Office in the amount of \$250.00 in accordance with attached Surplus Firearm Equipment List as requested by the Bexar County Sheriff's Office; authorizing the Purchasing Agent to update the Bexar County Inventory Records and file the appropriate documents for the record. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 6
November 3, 2009
Commissioners Court

Filing for record the following legal and administrative documents and directing the Criminal District Attorney's Office to take action, if necessary:

- a. Mark S. Vojvodich, Bexar County Constable Pet. 3, FY 10 Budget Discretionary Fund – 023 Constable L.E.O.S.E Fund.
- b. US District Court – Summons in a Civil Action – Veronica Cerda vs. Former Bexar County Deputy Lance Billingsley, Individually; Bexar County Sheriff's Department; San Antonio Police Department, City of San Antonio.
- c. US District Court – Opinion and Order Granting Temporary Restraining Order - Veronica Cerda vs. Former Bexar County Deputy Lance Billingsley, Individually; Bexar County Sheriff's Department; San Antonio Police Department, City of San Antonio.
- d. US District Court – Summons in a Civil Action – Vernon Harris vs. Bexar County, Texas.
- e. Return of Citation by Personal Service in a Delinquent Tax Suit – City of Selma vs. Eugene G. Dominguez.
- f. Return of Citation by Personal Service in a Delinquent Tax Suit – Schertz, Cibolo, Universal City ISD vs. James Thompson, Individually and DBA Best Transportation FKA Best Transport, Ind.
- g. Return of Citation by Personal Service in a Delinquent Tax Suit – Schertz, Cibolo, Universal City ISD vs. Pamela Mahan.
- h. Notice of Citation directed to County of Bexar (Plaintiff's Original Petition, Application for Temporary Restraining Order with Bond and Application for Injunction) – Rameses School, Inc. vs. County of Bexar.
- i. Notice of Citation directed to Bexar County Sheriff's Office – Sean Thompson vs. Crestridge Association, Inc.
- j. Notice of claim on behalf of Alonzo Neri.

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
NOV 14 2009
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
1/4/12
ls

♦♦

Item – 7
November 3, 2009
Commissioners Court

Communications, including citizens who signed the registration/sign-in for to speak: (Record)

Damon Mason Melanie Ross

♦♦

Item – 8
November 3, 2009
Commissioners Court

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving the Commissioners Court minutes for Tuesday, October 20, 2009 as printed. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, duly seconded by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 52
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion ratifying the letter sent by County Judge Nelson Wolff to the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) agreeing to project development and financial terms associated with a proposed pass-through financing agreement for FM 1957 (Potranco Road) and State Highway 211 within Bexar County. (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

♦♦

Item – 53
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion authorizing the acceptance of a \$475,750 grant from the U.S. Department of Energy – Golden Field Office to install Solar Panels on the new County Parking Garage, currently in design phase, to be located at 227 S. Flores. Acceptance of this grant requires a County cash match in the amount of \$475,750 (50% of total project cost). (Record)

A motion was presented by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, duly seconded by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

1/4/10
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
Deputy

◆◆

Item – 55
November 3, 2009
Community Venues Program

There was nothing to report on the Community Venues Programs at this time.

◆◆

Commissioners Court met in Executive Session at this time pursuant to Chapter 551, Texas Government Code and the following items were discussed:

551.071 –
Consultation with Attorney for advice regarding:

- a. Pending or contemplated litigation or settlement offers in the following matters:
 - i. Roger Sluder v. Bexar County Sheriff Deputies David Solis, Seth Allen, Carlos Marroquin, Jacinto Davila and Josie Moreno.

551.072 –
Deliberation regarding real property:

- e. Lots 11-12, Blk 82, N.C.B. 192.

2:55 p.m.

◆◆

Commissioners Court returned to Open Session at this time and the following action was taken.

3:00 p.m.

◆◆

Item – 54
November 3, 2009
Infrastructure Services

The Court hereby ordered and approved a motion approving an agreement with Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. for the removal of a billboard on the property described as Lots 11-12, Block 82, N.C.B. 192, AKA 1233 W. Commerce, and for the expenditure of an amount not to exceed \$73,000. Precinct Number 2 (Record-agreement to be filed later)


A motion was presented by County Commissioner Sergio "Chico" Rodriguez, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson, and Judge Wolff voting "Aye".

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office. ATTESTED 11/4/09
GERALD RICH OFF
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
[Signature]




A motion for adjournment was presented by County Commissioner Paul Elizondo, duly seconded by County Commissioner Tommy Adkisson, which motion was voted on as follows: Commissioners Rodriguez, Elizondo, Adkisson and Judge Wolff voting "Aye." It is ordered by the Court that Commissioners Court of Bexar County, Texas be, and hereby stands, adjourned, *In honor and memory of Lonnie B. Villarreal, William P. Snyder Jr., Victoria Haese, Rev. E. Thurman Walker, Lisa Marie Meffert, Jesse Oppenheimer and Hilmer Pingnot* until Tuesday, November 17, 2009 at 11:00 a.m.

3:00 P.M.



NELSON W. WOLFF, COUNTY JUDGE
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

ATTEST:



GERARD RICKHOFF, COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

NOTED
I hereby certify that the information
contained herein is true and correct
to the best of my knowledge and belief
and that I am duly qualified to perform
the duties of my office.
11/17/09
GERARD RICKHOFF
COUNTY CLERK
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS
15

Letter



NELSON W. WOLFF
COUNTY JUDGE
BEXAR COUNTY COURTHOUSE
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78205-3036
(210) 335-2626 • FAX (210) 335-2926

October 20, 2009

John A. Barton, P.E.
Assistant Executive Director
Engineering Operations
Texas Department of Transportation
125 E. 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

Re: Pass Through Toll Negotiations on FM 1957 and SH 211

Dear Mr. Barton,


Bexar County is in receipt of your October 20, 2009 letter to Bexar County Engineer Renee Green outlining project development items and financial terms associated with the development of a pass-through toll agreement for development of portions of FM 1957 and SH 211 within Bexar County.

Bexar County accepts the scope of work and limits for FM 1957 and SH 211. Bexar County will be responsible for preparing the project design and any environmental updates or re-evaluations for the FM 1957 project. Bexar County will be responsible for preparing the project design and any environmental documents for the SH 211 project. Bexar County also will be responsible for right of way acquisition, utility relocation, project letting, and construction management for this project.

Bexar County accepts the following tentatively negotiated financial terms for the pass-through toll agreement (FM 1957/SH 211): total reimbursement through pass-through tolls for the construction of the project will be \$55,600,000; per-vehicle-mile reimbursement rate to be \$.04 per vehicle-mile; minimum annual reimbursement for project once opened to traffic will be \$2,780,000; and maximum annual reimbursement for the project once opened to traffic will be \$5,560,000.

Please proceed with the development of the pass-through toll agreement based on these terms. Thank you and your staff for your help on this issue.

Sincerely yours,


Nelson W. Wolff
NWW/shm:rg

ATTACHMENT F

PROGRAMMATIC PROCEDURE FOR RIGHT OF WAY OVERSIGHT OF PASS-THROUGH PROJECTS

For those Pass-Through projects where a Pass-Through Toll Agreement (Agreement) has been entered into by and between the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and a local public agency (county or municipality), and in conjunction with such Agreement the local public agency (LPA) has also executed a "Certification of Compliance" in the form as attached hereto as Exhibit "A", TxDOT shall provide right of way monitoring and audit of the acquisition of right of way in the following manner:

1. Following the execution by the LPA of the Certification of Compliance, schedule a meeting as soon as practical with the LPA officials who will be providing oversight and management of the Project for the LPA, and also with the project manager(s) of any engineering consultant hired by the LPA to directly manage the Project, to include those individuals both from the LPA and under contract with the engineering consultant to handle right of way acquisition.
 - A. At this meeting, discuss the need to establish separate right of way parcel files for each parcel of land or easement to be acquired for the Project, and to include and retain within each parcel file, documentation that establishes that all certifications contained in the "Certification of Compliance" have been met. Emphasize that a detailed written "negotiator's report" for all negotiation contacts must be included within each parcel file (as provided for in the on line Right of Way Manual Collection).
 - B. Provide a copy of the "Expanded Parcel Review Checklist" (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B") which TxDOT will be utilizing when it monitors and audits a random selection of parcel files each month during the progress of the right of way acquisitions for the Project.
 - C. Provide a copy of the form for the conveyance instruments to be utilized for initial acquisition of right of way interests in accordance with the Agreement and also the form for the final conveyance of all right of way interests acquired from the LPA (Developer in the Agreement) to the State of Texas upon completion of each Project.
2. Beginning the month following the initiation of right of way acquisition by the LPA, TxDOT shall not less than once a month meet with the LPA and any consultants retained by the LPA that are handling right of way parcel acquisition and randomly select from those parcels for which acquisition has been completed or are in the process of being submitted for eminent domain proceedings during the prior month, either three of such parcels, or 10% of the total number of such

parcels reaching such status during the prior month (which ever is more), and audit such parcel files using the Expanded Parcel Review Checklist.

- A. For those parcels so audited which according to the audit and completion of the checklist appear to be in compliance with Title III guidelines, place one copy of the checklist within the parcel file, and retain an additional copy of the check list for TxDOT's monitoring and auditing file for this Project.
- B. For any parcel so audited for which one or more check list items indicate non-compliance with Title III guidelines, TxDOT shall provide written notice to the LPA containing detailed information about such non-compliance, together with recommended action to be taken by the LPA in order to remedy such non-compliance. An additional copy of such written notice shall be placed in the parcel file and a copy also retained by TxDOT for the Department's monitoring and auditing file for this Project.
- C. During any subsequent month's TxDOT review of parcel files as required under this paragraph 2. above, in addition to auditing the number of new parcel files required above, TxDOT shall specifically re-review any parcel files for which non-compliance notices were provided, and additional written documentation placed in such parcel file indicating the current status relating to the prior non-compliance, and if the non-compliance status still exists, provide an additional written notice of this to the LPA. If, after the third month's review of a parcel with a non-compliance notice, the non-compliance status remains, and it appears to the TxDOT personnel conducting the review that the LPA is not taking sufficient steps to remedy the non-compliance, the TxDOT Right of Way Division shall be provided a copy of all prior notices of non-compliance for review. If this review determines there is definitely continuing non-compliance without adequate basis or other justification, a letter will be issued from the Right of Way Division to the LPA, informing the LPA that acquisition of the parcel does not meet the requirements of the "Uniform Act" which could result in the Project being ineligible for State and Federal participation in reimbursement payments, and unless remedied, such could be considered a material breach of the Agreement. A copy of this letter will be provided to the local FHWA Realty office and also to TxDOT Office of General Counsel.

**ATTACHMENT F
EXHIBIT "A"**

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

Bexar County, the Developer under a Pass-Through Agreement with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) for the purpose of constructing and operating improvements to SH 211 has purchased the right of way in Bexar County pursuant to Texas Transportation Minute Order 84186 passed on March 31, 1986.

Bexar County, the Developer under a Pass-Through Toll Agreement with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) for the purpose of constructing and operating improvements to SH 211 and FM 1957, pursuant to Texas Transportation Commission Minute Order 112016 (the Project), hereby certifies that:

- (1) the remaining real property will be acquired for the Project right of way in compliance with all applicable State and Federal laws and requirements, including the policies and practices of the Right of Way Manual Collection of TxDOT's Online Manual System and Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, 42 U.S.C. §4601 et seq.;
- (2) it has received and has continuing access to the Online Right of Way Manual Collection; and
- (3) prior to the implementation of any procedures that are at variance with established TxDOT policies and practices for the acquisition of real property, Bexar County will submit such procedures in writing to TxDOT's District Engineer for the San Antonio District and the Executive Director's approval must be obtained.

For purposes of this Project, it is understood that references in the Right of Way Manual Collection to TxDOT personnel, District personnel, District, District Engineer, ROW Division, Director of the ROW Division, and other similar TxDOT employees or titles involved in the acquisition process shall be deemed to mean Bexar County and its authorized agents. It is the intent of this provision to allow Bexar County to acquire real property for the Project on behalf of the State without prior review and approval of TxDOT, subject to compliance with all applicable State and Federal laws and requirements as described above, the variance procedure, and TxDOT's audit and enforcement obligations.

Date: 1-4, 2000

BEXAR COUNTY

By: _____

County Judge

ACCEPTED:

TEXAS DEPARTMENT
OF TRANSPORTATION

By: _____
John A. Barton., P.E.
Assistant Executive Director
for Engineering Operations

TITLE III PARCEL REVIEW CHECKLIST FOR LPAs

County:
District:
ROW CSJ No.:
Parcel No.:
Acquiring Agency:

General

Was the informational notice given to owner? Yes No

Date of the notice:

Was the "Landowner's Bill of Rights Statement" properly provided prior to initiation of negotiations? Yes No

Date provided:

Appraisal

Was the real property appraised before the initiation of negotiations? Yes No

Approval date of the appraisal:

Was the owner or his designated representative given the opportunity to accompany the appraiser during inspection of the property? Yes No

Did the appraisal disregard any decrease or increase in value caused by the proposed facility? Yes No

Did the written appraisal of the parcel conform to the established standards for appraisal? Yes No

Does the acquiring agency require compliance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices (USPAP) and Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisition (UASFLA) for appraisals? Yes No

Were the appraiser and review appraiser qualified by the acquiring agency? Yes No

Were there any apparent conflicts of interest on the project on behalf of the appraiser or review appraiser? Yes No

Was a written appraisal review report prepared, and an executed certification provided by a qualified review appraiser? Yes No

Were all items of real estate included in the appraisal? Yes No

Were retention values for any improvements retained by the owner properly documented? Yes No

Negotiation

Did the review appraiser negotiate for acquisition? Yes No

Did the appraiser negotiate for any parcel for which the appraised just compensation was more than \$2,500? Yes No

Were acquisition policies and procedures explained to the owner? Yes No

Was prompt written offer made to acquire real property for the full amount of the approved appraisal of just compensation? Yes No

Date of the first written offer:

The written offer included the following:

- statement of the full amount established as just compensation
- separate statement as to damages (if applicable)
- description and location identification of the ROW parcel, and of the interest in the real property to be acquired
- identification of the buildings, structures and other improvements considered to be real property for which the offer is made
- identification of separately owned interests (if applicable)
- a copy of the appraisal report delivered to the owner at the time the offer was made

Was the offer and its basis discussed with the owner? Yes No

Was the owner given reasonable opportunity to consider the offer and to present material believed to be relevant to valuation of the property? Yes No

Was any evidence discovered which suggests that coercive action was taken to compel agreement on price paid for the property? Yes No

Was the owner required to surrender possession before payment was made or proper award deposited in court? Yes No

If the property was donated, was the owner advised of his right to receive just compensation? Yes No

Was every reasonable effort made to acquire the property expeditiously by negotiation? Yes No

Was property acquired under Title VI requirements, without regard to race, color, age, religion, sex, national origin, or handicap? Yes No

Relocation Assistance

The acquisition of this property resulted in the displacement of: (check all that apply)

None Residence Business Farm Operation Non-Profit Organization Personal Property Only

If residential, was a replacement housing supplement computed utilizing comparable decent, safe, and sanitary replacement housing? Yes No

Amount of the supplement: Date approved:

Amount of actual replacement housing payment: Date of payment:

APPENDIX F

**INFORMATION REGARDING THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AND THE STATE HIGHWAY FUND**

(this page intentionally left blank)

THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE STATE HIGHWAY FUND

The Commission

The Texas Transportation Commission (the “Commission”) is the policy-making body governing the Texas Department of Transportation (the “Department”) and is composed of five commissioners appointed by the Governor of the State of Texas (the “Governor”) with the advice and consent of the Texas Senate. The Commission currently has one vacancy. Commissioners serve staggered six-year terms. One member is designated by the Governor as the Chair and serves as the presiding officer of the Commission. A person is not eligible to be a member of the Commission if the person or the person’s spouse is employed by or manages a business that is regulated by or receives funds from the Department; directly or indirectly owns or controls more than a 10% interest in a business that is regulated by or receives funds from the Department; uses or receives a substantial amount of goods, services, or funds from the Department; or is registered, certified, or licensed by the Department.

The Department

General Information Concerning the Department

The Department is a public authority and body politic and corporate created in 1917 as the “Texas Highway Department” by an act of the State Legislature to administer federal funds for highway construction and maintenance. The Department is headquartered in Austin, Texas, with multiple divisions and district offices located throughout the State. Each district is responsible for the planning, design, construction, operation and maintenance of its area’s transportation systems. The Department is managed by an Executive Director, subject to and under the direction of the Commission.

Sunset Review

The Texas Sunset Act (Chapter 325, Texas Government Code) (the “Sunset Act”) provides that virtually all agencies of the State, including the Department, are subject to periodic review by the State Legislature, and that each agency subject to sunset review will be abolished unless the State Legislature specifically determines to continue its existence. The Department will be subject to its next sunset review in 2029. Pursuant to the Sunset Act, the State Legislature specifically recognizes the State’s continuing obligation to pay bonded indebtedness and all other obligations incurred by the Department.

The State Highway Fund

General

The State Highway Fund (the “Fund”) is the general source for a substantial portion of funding for the State highway system, the Department and the administration of State laws relating to traffic and safety on public roads. The Fund receives revenue from a variety of sources, including, without limitation, certain federal transportation program funds received from the United States Department of Transportation (“USDOT”), State motor fuels tax funds, State motor vehicle registration funds and State motor lubricants tax funds.

Fund Revenues

Pursuant to Article VIII, Section 7-a of the Texas Constitution, (i) 75% of the net revenues generated from the State motor fuels tax (net of enforcement, administrative and refund charges), (ii) the State tax on motor lubricants and (iii) the net revenues generated from the State motor vehicle registration fees (net of collection charges and the portion of such fees that is reserved for counties within the State) are dedicated for acquiring rights-of-way; constructing, maintaining, and policing public roadways; and for the administration of laws pertaining to the supervision of traffic and safety on such roads. Also, pursuant to Article VIII, Section 7-b of the Texas Constitution, all revenues received from the federal government as reimbursement for State expenditures of funds that are themselves dedicated for acquiring rights-of-way and constructing, maintaining and policing public roadways are constitutionally dedicated and may be used only for those purposes.

Fund Expenditures

The Fund is the general operating fund of the Department through which, generally, all revenues dedicated or appropriated to the purposes of the Department are deposited and all of the Department's administration, maintenance and operating expenses are paid. In prior years, certain expenses of the Texas Mobility Fund were processed through the Fund, whereby the Texas Mobility Fund transferred amounts for such expenses to the Fund prior to such expenses being paid (except in situations where the Texas Mobility Fund was reimbursing the Fund for expenses incurred by the Fund). Beginning in fiscal year 2013, these expenditures are reported as capital outlays from the Texas Mobility Fund instead of transfers to the Fund. The Department's ongoing "pay as you go" construction program is also paid from the Fund. Such expenses include payroll, repairs and maintenance, costs of materials and supplies, professional fees or commitments, utilities, rent and lease payments and intergovernmental payments. To accomplish all of these purposes, money in the Fund is appropriated by the State Legislature to the Department and certain other agencies of the State.

In addition to the general operating expenses described above, amounts in the Fund may be used by the Department to pay amounts due pursuant to certain financing obligations, whether now outstanding or incurred in the future. Amounts deposited into Fund are pledged to secure payment of certain bonds, notes and other obligations ("Senior Obligations") authorized by Article III, Section 49-n of the Texas Constitution and Section 222.003, Texas Transportation Code which Senior Obligations have a lien on the Fund which is senior to any payments made under the Pass-Through Toll Agreement. In addition, Article III, Section 49-m of the Texas Constitution and Section 201.115, Texas Transportation Code authorize the Commission to borrow money to carry out the functions of the Department through the execution of agreements and contracts, the issuance of notes, or in such other form as determined by the Commission ("Subordinate Obligations"), payable from revenues deposited to the credit of the Fund and appropriated by the State Legislature for such purpose. To the extent that Fund revenues have been appropriated by the State Legislature to pay Subordinate Obligations and all other long-term commitments payable from the Fund (as described below), the payments due under the Pass-Through Toll Agreement may rank on a parity with payments due on Subordinate Obligations. The Commission may also issue highway tax and revenue anticipation notes payable from revenues of the Fund, pursuant to Sections 201.961, et seq., Texas Transportation Code, to address a temporary cash flow shortfall in the Fund during any fiscal year. In addition to the financing obligations described above, the Commission is authorized to enter into agreements ("Credit Agreements") related to the issuance of any of such financing obligations for the purpose of credit enhancement or otherwise, and such Credit Agreements (whether now outstanding or entered into in the future) may be secured by or payable from the Fund. For additional information concerning the Department's financing obligations payable from Fund, see "Additional Information Regarding the Fund" below.

The Commission has also previously incurred, and has reserved the right to incur in the future, certain long-term obligations and other commitments that are payable from or secured by amounts in the Fund, as authorized by law, including through appropriation by the State Legislature. These long-term obligations or commitments include: (1) amounts the Department expects to pay for certain expenses, including capital costs, for certain toll projects known as the Central Texas Turnpike System and the State Highway 249 System; (2) amounts committed or to be committed to certain toll facilities under toll equity agreements authorized pursuant to Section 222.103, Texas Transportation Code; (3) amounts committed or to be committed to the construction of projects on the State highway system under pass-through toll agreements (similar to the Pass-Through Toll Agreement) authorized pursuant to Section 222.104, Texas Transportation Code; and (4) certain lease payments payable by the Department relating to the Austin Campus Consolidation Project. For additional information concerning the Department's long-term obligations and other commitments payable from the Fund, see "Additional Information Regarding the Fund" below.

The Department's pecuniary obligations under pass-through toll agreements, including the Pass-Through Toll Agreement, do not provide for the payment of, or obligate the Department to pay, amounts sufficient to pay debt service on bonds (including the Bonds) or other public securities secured by such payments and, such payments are subordinate to Senior Obligations, which will have a prior lien on Fund revenues, and may be on a parity with or subordinate to Subordinate Obligations or highway tax and revenue anticipation notes. The amount of payments owed by the Department under pass-through toll agreements will be determined by the terms and conditions of the relevant agreement, without regard to the actual debt service payable in respect of any bonds (including the Bonds) issued by the governmental entity receiving the payments. The Department's obligation to pay amounts owed under the terms of pass-through toll agreements (including the Pass-Through Toll Agreement) are currently payable from the Fund and are subject to appropriation by the State Legislature of sufficient funds to discharge the obligations of the Department.

Additional Information Regarding the Fund

Additional information regarding the State Highway Fund may be accessed by viewing the Remarketing Memorandum dated September 14, 2021 (the “SHF Remarketing Memorandum”), relating to the Commission’s State Highway Fund First Tier Revenue Bonds, Series 2016-B (the “SHF 2016-B Bonds”), which has been filed with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the “MSRB”) through its Electronic Municipal Market Access (“EMMA”) system with respect to the SHF 2016-B Bonds (the CUSIP No. for the final maturity of which is 88283LXX3) and may be accessed over the internet at <https://www.emma.msrb.org>. All information in the SHF Remarketing Memorandum relating to the State Highway Fund is hereby incorporated by reference into and made a part of this Official Statement as if set forth herein. The Department anticipates filing its comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2021 (the “2021 AFR”) with the MSRB’s EMMA system on or before December 31, 2021. Upon the filing of the 2021 AFR with the MSRB pursuant to its EMMA system, the 2021 AFR shall be incorporated by reference into and made a part of this Official Statement as if set forth herein.

(this page intentionally left blank)

**Co-Financial Advisory Services
Provided By:**



RBC Capital Markets®

and

